Waterside Traffic Project

Stuart Katz <skatz@stuartcapital.net>

Tue 4/28/2020 7:31 PM

To: Rosenson, Valerie < VRosenson@StamfordCT.gov>;

Please distribute my comments below to the members of the Board of Representatives and have them read aloud during the public participation period.

Many thanks,

Stuart

From: Stuart Katz < skatz@stuartcapital.net > Sent: Friday, April 10, 2020 10:00 AM

To: Valerie T. Rosenson <VRosenson@StamfordCT.gov>; The Honorable David R. Martin <DMartin@StamfordCT.gov>

Subject: Waterside Traffic Project

Dear Ms. Valerie T. Rosenson and The Honorable David R. Martin:

As a Waterside homeowner and President of Southfield Point Association, Incorporated, a 41 member home owners' association, I would like to add my voice to those requesting the Board of Representatives take up the issues related to the Waterside traffic improvement project at its upcoming May meeting.

Traffic tie ups are an ever increasing problem for those living in Waterside and the city needs to address the issue.

I must say don't believe the issue actually being debated is regarding the city's use of eminent domain, though every effort is being made to characterize it as such. Some members of the Board are attempting to characterize the issue as the big, bad metropolis taking advantage of the small defenseless homeowner by its use of the overwhelming power of eminent domain. Whereas, a more apt characterization is that of a city is being held up by (i) a savvy owner of an investment property trying to extract the last nickel from a politically hamstrung Board and, possibly, (ii) Representatives who are seeking to wield political power to achieve other objectives or settling old scores.

Respectfully submitted, Stuart B. Katz 128 Davenport Dr.

Stamford, Connecticut

Waterside & South End Traffic Improvements project

Jordan Dolger <jbdolger@yahoo.com>

Wed 4/29/2020 11:56 AM

To: Rosenson, Valerie < VRosenson@StamfordCT.gov>;

Dear Ms. Valerie Rosenson,

I am writing you to express my full support the traffic improvement projects planned for Waterside and the South End. As a local realtor living and servicing the Waterside area, the traffic issue has become problematic for myself personally as a homeowner as well as perspective home buyers considering the Waterside area when they witness first hand the traffic issues for the area. Please proceed with the project in its entirety.

I respectfully request that my comments be distributed to the Board of Representatives members and read during the public participation period.

Regards,

Jordan Dolger

Jordan Dolger- Realtor Residential Sales and Leasing **Higgins Group Real Estate** 1055 Washington Blvd

Stamford, CT 06901

Mobile: 203-240-8318 Fax: 866-583-7026 jdolger@higginsgroup.com www.higginsgroup.com 4/30/2020 9:09:59 Marlene g Rhome

Good evening, I have lived on Elmcroft Rd.for 42 years. This project is a waste of taxpayers money. This will only benefit Charter and BLT. Why do board members continue to vote for numerous high-rises when there are numerous traffic problems all over our city. Widening Pulaski st. And Washington Blvd. Will not solve our problems. Put our money to better use, fix our roads and sidewalks. We do not need bike lanes for years I have not seen people riding bikes. I am against this project. Thank You. Marlene g Rhome.

Vote in favor of eminent domain use to restart traffic plan.

Emile de Neree <emiledeneree@gmail.com>

Thu 4/30/2020 11:26 AM

To: Rosenson, Valerie <VRosenson@StamfordCT.gov>; Martin, David <DMartin@StamfordCT.gov>;

Cc:emiledeneree@gmail.com <emiledeneree@gmail.com>; de la Cruz, Virgil <VdelaCruz@StamfordCT.gov>; Chris Bastis <cgbastis@seagroupct.com>; Larry Fenster <Lfenste@optonline.net>;

Dear Valerie Rosenson,

As a twenty year resident in Dolphin Cove, I have witnessed the steady increase in traffic from new construction on Fairfield Ave and increased employment at Gartner and Point 72. The bottle neck created by this increased traffic has made our ability to get to the train station and out of our Waterside community in stop and go traffic impossible at times.

We have been promised for at least the last 4 years that the solution and plans to resolve this issue with a traffic circle had been approved and funded and still the City of Stamford has not begun work.

I strongly support the use of eminent domain, since it appears that all reasonable offers to buy the property have failed and the owner(s) is holding out for a better deal. It is clearly to be benefit of thousands of property owners and commuters to have this issue resolved at the up coming Monday meeting.

I trust you will record my strong vote in favor of, and read out loud to the committee, the use of eminent domain to be able to proceed with this traffic work benefiting the entire Waterside Community. It is a shame and embarrassment that the committee has held up this important project for so long.

Respectfully submitted,

Emile de Neree

Emile de Neree 12 <u>Flying Cloud Road, Stamford, CT</u> 06902 <u>emiledeneree@gmail.com</u> cell: 914-572-4526

Emile de Neree

emiledeneree@gmail.com

cell: 914-572-4526

Pulaski - Condemn building

Virus-free. www.avast.com

William Wagner billre@optonline.net>
Thu 4/30/2020 11:42 AM
To: Rosenson, Valerie <vrosenson@stamfordct.gov>;</vrosenson@stamfordct.gov>
As. Rosenson,
Condemnation should move forward promptly. We at the Westside have been waiting long enough. Transportation is unded and ready to go with roundabout. Let's move forward.
Please give this email to meeting.
hank you.
William Wagner Real Estate 98 Southfield Avenue stamford, CT 06902
o. 203 353-4333 . 203 353-4331 o <u>illre@optonline.net</u>

Re: Good afternoon

Trez <trezevantmoore@gmail.com>

Sat 5/2/2020 7:17 AM

To: Rosenson, Valerie < VRosenson@StamfordCT.gov>;

As I understand the Board is addressing this issue again this week, I am taking the liberty of resending my original endorsement of the Pulaski Street widening project In truth, the project is even more necessary last month as construction continues in the South End of new dwellings. The amount of pollution caused by auto traffic backed up is reason alone to enact this project.

Best personal regards and feel free to publish or read this at the meeting

Trez Moore +1.610.608.3858

- > On Apr 5, 2020, at 1:19 PM, Trez <trezevantmoore@gmail.com> wrote:
- > My name is Sewell Moore and I live in Waterside. I am writing you to register my strong support for the traffic improvements contemplated at your meeting tomorrow. It is essential that we start to alleviate the traffic issues in Waterside as the neighborhood expands. I do not want to see any grants jeopardized or timelines further delayed. It is imperative that we get on to the work as soon as practical.
- > Kindly forward this message to the entire Board and feel free to incorporate this into the record.
- > Regards,
- > Sewell 'Trez' Moore
- > +1.610.608.3858

Board of Representatives Meeting - May 4, 2020

Comments to be read into the record during the meeting

Topic: Eminent Domain: LU30.040- (Formerly LU30.032)

submitted by Mrs. Genevieve Cal

I am Genevieve K. Cal, one of the owners of 274 Washington Blvd. We first heard of the road widening from articles in the Stamford Advocate in Nov. and Dec. of 2019. They had already taken away our street parking. On December 10, 2019, a letter was sent to Ann Koziol, my sister who lived on the 2nd fl. before she passed away, informing us that the city was interested in acquiring part of the property to widen the street.

Attorney Cynthia Anger of the legal dept. met with me on January 21, 2020 and gave me a map showing 3 properties and info. only about 274 Washington Blvd.

The land they were taking was for a total of 610 sq. ft., and they offered me \$25,000.

I told her I did not think the road widening was necessary.

I also learned that BLT and Charter Communications are involved.

Weeks later, Attorney Anger, phoned me saying the city was appraising our property, and I said OK. Around March 23, she phoned me, again, and said the city was taking the rest of our land and HOUSE by Eminent Domain for \$525,000. This was a big surprise to me!

Our property is surrounded by 5 neighbors, and I asked what are you going to do with it? She said they were doing a land swap with 2 neighbors, the Bridgewater condos and the Holy Name of Jesus rectory. There were no details of how much land each would get.

On April 24, Attorney Anger said the land swap price was corrected to an increased amount of \$739,000. I understand that Eminent Domain is for a government to take land for public use. This plan is for the benefit of <u>private</u> entities. I was told I am not a lawyer and <u>that I don't know the law</u>.

On April 28, Attorney Anger called again with a new, corrected price of \$772,200.

I AM AGAINST EMINENT DOMAIN FOR THE CITY TO TAKE MY FULL PROPERTY.

After over 70 years of paying taxes, we don't want the property lines broken.

My parents belonged to Holy Name church as did all my siblings and myself still as of this date. We all went to Holy Name School as did my children.

Thank you, Genevieve Cal



This petition has collected 138 signatures using the online tools at ipetitions.com

Printed on 2020-05-04

Waterside & South End Traffic Improvements

About this petition

We submit this petition to express our full support for the traffic improvement projects planned for Waterside and the South End. The various components of the project shown on the attached "concept plan" are designed to work together, including the widening of Pulaski Street and Washington Boulevard at their intersection. These projects have been needed for decades to address the chronic traffic problems in Waterside and the South End. To this end we support approval of item LU30.040 "approval of public hearing initiating the process of the acquisition by negotiation or eminent domain of rights of way for the Washington Blvd and Pulaski Street widening project".

Signatures

1.	Name: Laura Burwick on 2020-04-26 22:45:18 Comments: Waterside has been waiting for too many years for this plan to ease congestion. Please vote in favor of the condemnation so that the plan can proceed.
2.	Name: Peter Brandt on 2020-04-27 00:15:29 Comments: Please vote yes - we need this!
3.	Name: Christina Feeny on 2020-04-28 21:31:27 Comments: This is a critical needed improvement for our community.
4.	Name: Alyssa Grodotzke on 2020-04-28 21:32:57 Comments:
5.	Name: Anya Kishinevsky on 2020-04-28 21:33:03 Comments:
6.	Name: Stephen Massimi on 2020-04-28 21:40:42 Comments:
7.	Name: Richard thomas on 2020-04-28 21:57:35 Comments:

8.	Name: John Colandro on 2020-04-28 21:59:18 Comments: SLOW DOWN
9.	Name: Carole Greenberg on 2020-04-28 21:59:57 Comments: This situation is becoming a traffic nightmare and unsafe. Please pass this project. Waiting will result in loss of funds and more traffic problems
10.	Name: Anna Norman on 2020-04-28 22:05:54 Comments:
11.	Name: M Jason Levy on 2020-04-28 22:06:40 Comments:
12.	Name: Jacquelyn Davis on 2020-04-28 23:23:14 Comments: Please approve, our tax dollars in this area are bringing a lot of revenue into Stamford and the traffic is unbearable.
13.	Name: Stuart Katz on 2020-04-28 23:26:17 Comments: In my view, the city needs to take the necessary steps to complete this well thought out project.
14.	Name: Katie Stockton on 2020-04-28 23:28:08 Comments:
15.	Name: Suzanne Katz on 2020-04-29 00:06:39 Comments:
16.	Name: Peter Catanzaro on 2020-04-29 00:13:20 Comments: I have to express that all city officials that voted in favor of all of the construction down on Southfield Avenue and for the most part Harbor point should have an obligation to doing whatever is necessary to make this project go through. Approving these projects without having an approved traffic plan is just irresponsible.
17.	Name: Andrew Kaminski on 2020-04-29 00:18:57 Comments:
18.	Name: Frederik van der Weijden on 2020-04-29 00:30:19 Comments:
19.	Name: Hannah Kaminski on 2020-04-29 00:35:13 Comments:
20.	Name: Kelly N on 2020-04-29 00:55:52

\sim	_			_	1	L
	\sim	m	m	$\mathbf{\Delta}$	n	ts:

21. Name: Paul Rivera on 2020-04-29 01:11:15

Comments: The congestion is caused by all the apartment real estate development projects (High Rises etc) along Southfield. Which has generated corresponding tax revenue for the city and state both Income Tax and as well as Real Estate Tax. .It would be expected that this additional tax revenue would justify the needed repairs and mitigate any delays or plan changes.

22. Name: Lindsey Labriola on 2020-04-29 01:30:21

Comments:

23. Name: Mary Clare Florentin on 2020-04-29 10:47:01

Comments:

24. Name: Richard kohlberger on 2020-04-29 11:36:34

Comments: 30 minutes to travel less then 2 miles is unacceptable. I doubt an ambulance, police, or fire response could do much better because of the congestion.

25. Name: Constantine Filardi on 2020-04-29 11:48:41

Comments: Let's stop the talk and get on with the road improvements. Enough is enough!!

26. Name: Sara Cronenwett on 2020-04-29 11:57:18

Comments: It is critical that the city follow through on planned traffic enhancements that match the amount of traffic created by new construction the city has approved... you can't do one without the other. Please do your jobs and get this figured out!

27. Name: John Polcer on 2020-04-29 12:00:19

Comments: If the owner of the property is not being reasonable with negotiations, please go forward with Eminent Domain. This area has needed theses improvements for decades.

28. Name: WAYNE GARZANCICH on 2020-04-29 12:02:36

Comments:

29. Name: Mia Schipani on 2020-04-29 12:03:49

Comments: Please approve traffic improvements. I have owned a condominium on Southfield Avenue for 12 years and due to all the new multifamily "rental" housing - the quality of life has diminshed due to the traffic congestion. It takes us 30 minutes to get to downtown Stamford. A firetruck or ambulance would never get through in case of emergency.

30. Name: Mikhail Shevelev on 2020-04-29 12:11:59

Comments: The congestion is indeed a noticable problem. I am in favor of the project to happen in full.

31.	Name: James Russek on 2020-04-29 12:13:59 Comments: Over 400 apartments have been added to Southfield Avenue and this infrastructure work is essential for traffic flow
32.	Name: Danielle Carozza on 2020-04-29 12:28:44 Comments:
33.	Name: Michael Carozza on 2020-04-29 12:36:17 Comments: Can't wait!
34.	Name: Danielle Polcer on 2020-04-29 12:42:11 Comments:
35.	Name: John V on 2020-04-29 12:56:45 Comments:
36.	Name: Danielle Carozza on 2020-04-29 12:59:08 Comments:
37.	Name: Beth Danilek on 2020-04-29 13:01:19 Comments: This area of Stamford is total gridlock most mornings and evenings. Please ge this done!!
38.	Name: Judith Garfinkel on 2020-04-29 13:09:39 Comments:
39.	Name: Josh Shapiro on 2020-04-29 13:11:11 Comments:
40.	Name: Catherine Boisvert on 2020-04-29 13:13:33 Comments: Please approve these long overdue improvements.
41.	Name: John DeSoye on 2020-04-29 13:16:19 Comments: Should this project not be completed in a timely manner, the traffic will force us to move away from Stamford.
42.	Name: Stacey on 2020-04-29 13:31:29 Comments:
43.	Name: Joseph Johnson on 2020-04-29 13:47:22 Comments:

44.	Name: Thomas Madden on 2020-04-29 13:53:13 Comments:
45.	Name: Katherine Behar on 2020-04-29 13:54:00 Comments:
46.	Name: Chris Kaoutzanis on 2020-04-29 14:15:04 Comments:
47.	Name: Nick Adamson on 2020-04-29 15:15:48 Comments:
48.	Name: Gary Kalan on 2020-04-29 15:25:49 Comments:
49.	Name: Patrick Cosgrove on 2020-04-29 15:34:15
	Comments: Waste of money. Widening the roads that lead to the narrow 2-lane bridge at Pulaski St & 2-lane underpass at Metro North/Greenwich Aveis not going to improve traffic flow. The 2 lane bridge & underpass will continue to bottleneck traffic, same as they do now
50.	Name: Marc Ducret on 2020-04-29 15:47:21 Comments:
51.	Name: Jordan Dolger on 2020-04-29 15:48:10 Comments: I fully support the traffic improvement projects planned for Waterside and the South End. As a local realtor living and servicing the Waterside area, the traffic issue has become problematic for myself personally and perspective buyers considering the Waterside area. Please proceed with the project.
52.	Name: Alyssa Levy on 2020-04-29 15:51:38 Comments:
53.	Name: Vanessa Harrington on 2020-04-29 15:53:49 Comments: This will ease congestion which is getting worse every year. Please proceed!
54.	Name: John O'Neill on 2020-04-29 15:54:04 Comments: This is the best plan for our community.
55.	Name: Eileen Cottington on 2020-04-29 16:18:28 Comments:

56.	Name: Andy on 2020-04-29 16:59:09 Comments:
57.	Name: Wayne Ebanks Jr. on 2020-04-29 17:01:37 Comments: This will be good for our community.
58.	Name: Georg Matenar on 2020-04-29 17:29:47 Comments:
59.	Name: Gerald Braun on 2020-04-29 17:33:31 Comments:
60.	Name: Kaitlyn Connolly on 2020-04-29 17:43:48 Comments:
61.	Name: Calum Dewar on 2020-04-29 17:58:36 Comments:
62.	Name: Nikki Schutt on 2020-04-29 18:01:37 Comments:
63.	Name: Donna Gould Pordes on 2020-04-29 18:10:43 Comments: Waterside needs traffic relief. We have been waiting for years do not abandon the plan we want! Dolphin Cove Resident
64.	Name: Laura Hanley on 2020-04-29 18:21:48 Comments:
65.	Name: carla catanzaro on 2020-04-29 20:27:54 Comments: Please please get this done quickly. It would be so great for commuters and the community. It will make such a difference.
66.	Name: Donald Harmelin on 2020-04-29 20:30:20 Comments:
67.	Name: Hilary Starks on 2020-04-29 20:32:37 Comments:
68.	Name: Daniel Ligeiro on 2020-04-29 20:47:19 Comments:
69.	Name: Julie Barker on 2020-04-29 20:55:03

Comments: Traffic on Southfield going toward downtown/the train station/I-95 on any afternoon is backed up from the lights at Greenwich St. and won't be cured unless this entire plan is implemented. Please move on acquisition of the property holding up the plan.

70. Name: Velma Taylor on 2020-04-29 21:03:35 Comments: 71. Name: Sondra Durant on 2020-04-29 21:44:34 Comments: 72. Name: Judith Gediman on 2020-04-29 21:49:15 Comments: 73. Name: Demetra Jones on 2020-04-29 21:55:51 Comments: 74. on 2020-04-29 22:06:49 Name: PAUL ADELBERG Comments: 75. Name: Ted Thaxter on 2020-04-29 22:26:09 Comments: Please get this done.

76. Name: Anonymous on 2020-04-29 23:37:35

Comments: Want to ease congestion? Stop allowing city officials to construct an absurd amount of apartment buildings in such a small area.

The influx of New Yorkers and outsiders into the area is what 's dramatically increasing traffic at an outstanding rate. Widening the road or altering traffic patterns will not address the real issue which is the continued influx of commuters and new residents into the area. The input is greater than the output. Altering traffic patterns is a "band aid" solution. Get to the source of the problem by limiting the amount of people living in the area and stopping the construction of high rises.

The owner of the property on Pulaski may do what they wish with their land. Direct your anger at Stamford and the city officials for approving all of the high rises. The continued construction in such a small footprint of land is imprudent on behalf of the City - but they knew exactly what was to come. Their primary interest is money.

If you want to talk about road improvements, first talk about halting new apartments. The City of Stamford officials are to blame.

77. Name: Chris Carlucci on 2020-04-29 23:47:25

Comments: Imperative to upgrade traffic flow with all the development that has increased the number of vehicles using the above noted intersections

78.	Name: Robin Kurtz on 2020-04-30 02:30:43 Comments:
79.	Name: Mark Stone on 2020-04-30 02:43:51 Comments: I chose not to rent on Waterside due to the traffic on Pulaski St. If you make improvements, I'd consider leasing or buying there.
80.	Name: Juanita Strassfield on 2020-04-30 11:07:27 Comments:
81.	Name: Cesar Ruiz on 2020-04-30 11:18:48 Comments:
82.	Name: Eric Cottington on 2020-04-30 11:26:36 Comments:
83.	Name: Pamela Costello on 2020-04-30 12:12:16 Comments:
84.	Name: Kevin Costello on 2020-04-30 12:36:07 Comments: I fully support! We need improved traffic flow NOW
85.	Name: Sarah Morris on 2020-04-30 12:38:33 Comments:
86.	Name: Andrew Peranick on 2020-04-30 12:39:48 Comments:
87.	Name: Janette van der Weijden on 2020-04-30 12:56:45 Comments:
88.	Name: Christina Saglimbeni on 2020-04-30 13:17:57 Comments:
89.	Name: Christopher Catania on 2020-04-30 13:48:27 Comments: I live in the Waterside section of Stamford and the traffic situation in the area of Pulaski and Greenwich avenues has long been a disgrace. They city can/should do everything necessary to address this situation.
90.	Name: Michael Gottlieb on 2020-04-30 14:43:29 Comments:
91.	Name: CONSTANCE ELKINSON on 2020-04-30 16:16:49

Comments: These transportation improvements are critical to the quality of life of South End/Waterside residents. We did not ask for the over-building of our neighborhoods and the ensuing danger and congestion that additional traffic has caused. Please stop the unnecessary delay of these beneficial transportation improvements.

92.	Name: Adam Estlund on 2020-04-30 17:08:57 Comments:
93.	Name: Joann Vento on 2020-04-30 17:36:14 Comments:
94.	Name: THOMAS DOUGHERTY on 2020-04-30 18:24:47 Comments: This improvement project facilitating traffic around the train station will help all citizens and visitors of Stamford.
95.	Name: Nasiyr on 2020-04-30 22:21:10 Comments:
96.	Name: Doug Harrison on 2020-04-30 23:05:55 Comments: We cannot state more seriously the need for this work to proceed, thanks
97.	Name: Joan Rankine on 2020-04-30 23:08:29 Comments:
98.	Name: Jody Kamrowski on 2020-05-01 00:33:34 Comments: I support the traffic improvement plan for Waterside. Our neighborhood is very congested.
99.	Name: Karyn Ward on 2020-05-01 00:55:12 Comments: Please go forward with this plan. This barrel has been pushed down the road long enough.
100.	Name: Donald Kurtz on 2020-05-01 01:19:43 Comments:
101.	Name: Paul Acello on 2020-05-01 01:21:18 Comments:
102.	Name: Fidan Genc on 2020-05-01 01:36:59 Comments:
103.	Name: Lisa LaBonville on 2020-05-01 11:43:40 Comments:

104. Na	ame: John Wooten on 2020-05-01 17:58:51 Comments: The widening of the road on Washington blvd a pulaski all the way down to waterside is long over due. And now with transportation hub being developed we need to get this done.
105.	Name: Maxine Biesenbach on 2020-05-01 21:44:36 Comments:
106.	Name: Susan Huang on 2020-05-01 21:49:28 Comments:
107.	Name: Rudolph Taddonio on 2020-05-01 21:49:43 Comments:
108.	Name: Nancy Thode on 2020-05-01 21:56:49 Comments:
109.	Name: Lynne Tranos on 2020-05-01 21:59:34 Comments:
110.	Name: Adelia DeCoene on 2020-05-01 22:43:15 Comments:
111.	Name: Christopher G Bastis on 2020-05-02 00:18:01 Comments: Please take the only remaining logical choice for this issue: the use of Eminent Domain. Please do not let one property destroy the entire traffic mitigation project; and please do not succumb to the homeowner's completely unreasonable demands which is clearly akin to extortion. Thank you.
112.	Name: S Krasnow on 2020-05-02 00:45:23 Comments: Take the only remaining logical choice our community has for this issue: use Eminent Domain. Let's not let one property destroy the entire traffic mitigation project; and let's not submit to the homeowner's outrageous demands which are akin to extorting all of us
113.	Name: Gillian zackham on 2020-05-02 01:41:43 Comments:
114.	Name: Gary Buonanno on 2020-05-02 10:37:27 Comments:
115.	Name: Sewell Moore on 2020-05-02 11:06:53

Comments: Dear Board, I am a Waterside resident and would like to express my

unqualified endorsement of the traffic improvement project for the South End and Waterside. The construction of new dwellings in the South End has resulted in overwhelming volume in our neighborhoods. Both areas are constrained by limited options and we must have the Pulaski Street/ Washington Blvd project completed as soon as possible. Thank you

	·
116.	Name: Virgil de la Cruz on 2020-05-02 13:57:38 Comments:
117.	Name: Jean Moore on 2020-05-02 18:51:31 Comments: Please act to complete this vital project for the safety of pedestrians and traffic in Waterside.
118.	Name: Anthony Robins on 2020-05-02 18:54:22 Comments: Please facilitate the execution of necessary roadworks to reduce congestion around Polaski and Greenwich Avenue.
119.	Name: Judy Kalan on 2020-05-02 23:39:18 Comments:
120.	Name: Dianne Daddona on 2020-05-02 23:40:55 Comments:
121.	Name: Alice Contillo on 2020-05-03 00:27:44 Comments: Please take necessary action to move forward with this important project.
122.	Name: Elizabeth Adamson on 2020-05-03 01:56:07 Comments:
123.	Name: Chris McDonald on 2020-05-03 09:41:25 Comments: The Waterside traffic improvements project is critical for the well being of the Waterside and South End areas. Traffic continues to build in these areas, harming quality of life and productivity for residents and local businesses. This project is LONG overdue and must now be pushed ahead.
124.	Name: Heidi Coleman on 2020-05-03 12:46:12 Comments:
125.	Name: Margaret de la Cruz on 2020-05-03 14:35:54 Comments:

126.

Name: Olga

on 2020-05-03 14:58:27 Comments: Morning/evening traffic in that area is a mess

127.	Name: Richard Recker on 2020-05-03 15:12:31 Comments: Exactly why we have the emanate domaine law to exercise in these uncompromising situations.
128.	Name: Bradley Michelson on 2020-05-03 15:57:30 Comments:
129.	Name: Michael Jones on 2020-05-03 16:42:44 Comments:
130.	Name: Michele Morck on 2020-05-03 18:10:23 Comments:
131.	Name: SARAH O BLACK on 2020-05-03 19:06:05 Comments:
132.	Name: Louise Amell on 2020-05-03 21:11:49 Comments: As our elected representatives I expect you to get this done without any further delays. Excellent example of where eminent domain needs to be used.
133.	Name: Charlie Dill on 2020-05-04 01:45:08 Comments:
134.	Name: Jill luciani on 2020-05-04 01:49:10 Comments:
135.	Name: Alyssa Grodotzke on 2020-05-04 02:22:51 Comments:
136. Na	me: Diane C Jones on 2020-05-04 10:57:37 Comments: Once workers in Waterside return to work, the serious bottleneck will resume. It is past time to use Eminent Domain to resolve this unconscionable mess in Waterside. Many thanks.
137.	Name: Katie Miller on 2020-05-04 12:21:00 Comments:
138.	Name: Giovanna Giordano on 2020-05-04 14:06:53 Comments: