

**MAYOR
DAVID R. MARTIN**



**PURCHASING AGENT
BEVERLY A. AVENI
Phone: (203) 977-4107
FAX: (203) 977-6263
Email: baveni@stamfordct.gov**

**CITY OF STAMFORD
OFFICE OF POLICY & MANAGEMENT
888 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD
P.O. BOX 10152
STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06904-2152**

**ADDENDUM NO. 2
(October 6, 2016)**

**RFP No. 706
Materials Testing and Special
Inspection Services for New Police Headquarters**

Addendum No. 2 is being issued to all potential proposers to respond to inquiries received and to provide the following information.

1. Response to RFI No. 1 dated 9/15/16, submitted by HAKS Material Testing Group Connecticut (see attached).
2. Response to RFI No. 2 dated 9/21/16, submitted by Special Testing (see attached).
3. Response to RFI No. 3 dated 9/25/16, submitted by IMTL (see attached).
4. Response to RFI No. 4 dated 10/2/16, submitted by IMTL (see attached).

All other terms and conditions of RFP No. 706 remain the same.

Beverly A. Aveni
Purchasing Agent

Cc: Jeff Pardo, Construction Manager
Purchasing Department File

Pardo, Jeff

Subject:

FW: RFP 706

RFI No. 1

From: cgenduso@haks.net [mailto:cgenduso@haks.net]

Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2016 2:52 PM

To: Aveni, Beverly

Cc: Richard Hallahan

Subject: RFP 706

Ms. Aveni,

1.16 AMBIGUITY IN THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

Prior to submitting the proposal, the proposer is responsible to bring to the City's attention any ambiguity in this RFP. Not to do so, shall result in the proposer forfeiting any claim for adjustment based on such ambiguity as should have been noted by a prudent proposer.

HAKS Engineers Material Testing Group Connecticut appreciates the opportunity presented by this RFP, according to section 1.16 quoted above we find it difficult to provide a "Not to Exceed" proposal that would be fair and equitable to both HAKS and the City of Stamford due to the inability of either entity to gauge the means and methods of Contractors processes, placements and erections. This inability and lack of control of means and methods or known frequencies presents a serious estimating issue that could be overcome through unit price comparisons between bidders comparing apples to apples avoiding the pitfalls of attempting to estimate unforeseen issues regarding contractors means and methods.

In short would it be possible to submit a proposal based on unit costs and estimated frequencies for comparison?

Answer: The final determination of which Proposer is selected shall not be made solely upon the "Not To Exceed" Fee. The rates included in the fee proposal sheet will carry more weight than the "Not To Exceed" Fee. However, in awarding the contract and providing a Purchase Order, a total fee is required.

Warmest Regards

Christopher Genduso



Pardo, Jeff

Subject: FW: Stamford Police Headquarters Materials Testing & Special inspections RFP Questions

RFI No. 2

From: Reade Moorman [<mailto:readem@specialtesting.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2016 5:18 PM
To: Pardo, Jeff
Subject: Stamford Police Headquarters Materials Testing & Special Inspections RFP Questions

Good afternoon Mr. Pardo,

I would like to take this opportunity to thank you your request for a proposal from Special Testing Laboratories for the required materials testing and inspections at the Town of Stamford's New Police Headquarters project.

After reviewing the Bid documents I have few questions that I hope you can help me with.

1. Has a detailed construction schedule been written that we can have access to?

Answer: The project duration was revised in Addendum No. 2 for Bid S-65555. Project duration is now from November 2016 to November 2018. It shall be the winning bidders responsibility to prepare a detailed construction schedule.

2. Reference is made in the RFP to submitting an executed Qualification Questionnaire and an Ordinance Compliance form. I do not see specific forms for these in the RFP documents. Are there specific forms for these that need to be filled out and if so can you send us a copy of them?

Answer: Qualification Questionnaire and Ordinance Compliance Form are not applicable to this RFP.

3. The Statement of Special Inspections assigned the agent performing the testing of soils compaction to be a PE or GE. Generally a qualified certified technician is acceptable for compaction testing. Can this be clarified with the EOR?

Answer: Typically the Geotechnical engineer would be involved with this at the very beginning of the project to verify that the fill material and compaction requirements are being met and then this task is handed off to the qualified testing and inspection technician. The testing agency should assume they will be doing the actual testing, albeit with the Geotechnical engineer oversight. The Geotechnical engineer will continue to receive and review the reports.

4. The Statement of Special Inspections assigned the agent performing the prestress operations of the pre-cast concrete to be ICC-PCSI certified, according to ICC's website there is no one in Connecticut with this certification. If no one holds this certification will a ACI certified technician be acceptable or will a PE be required in lieu of an ICC-PCSI technician?

Answer: The ACI certified technician will be acceptable providing they have experience with this type of operation.

Pardo, Jeff

Subject:

FW: Stamford Police Headquartes

RFI NO. 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Itson (<mailto:bill.itson@imtlct.com>)

Sent: Sunday, September 25, 2016 6:57 PM

To: Pardo, Jeff

Subject: Stamford Police Headquartes

Hi Jeff,

In reviewing the docs, we were hoping to get a construction schedule.

Answer: See response RFI No. 1.

We are assuming full time pre-cast plant inspections for the garage unless we can get clarifications.

Answer: If the project is awarded to a plant that is certified by the Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institutes Plant Certification Program, commercial category, the special inspections may be "reduced or eliminated" per the new building code. However this will have to be reviewed with the local officials and perhaps the State Building Inspector. For pricing purposes, assume a daily inspection, not a full time inspector.

We will be bidding the Special Inspection per your request, please confirm.

Thanks

Bill Itson

IMTL-Independent Materials Testing Laboratories, Inc

57 North Washington Street

Plainville, CT 06062

p860-747-1000

1312 Washington Blvd

Stamford, CT 06902

p203-722-6613

Pardo, Jeff

Subject: FW: Stamford Police Headquarters

RPI No. 4

-----Original Message-----

From: Bill Itson [mailto:bill.itson@imtlct.com]

Sent: Sunday, October 02, 2016 8:40 PM

To: Pardo, Jeff

Subject: Re: Stamford Police Headquarters

Jeff,

With the GC bid delayed until Oct 20, will material testing also be pushed back from Oct 13. Thanks

Answer: No the due date for RFP 706 will not be extended.

Bill Itson
IMTL-Independent Materials Testing Laboratories, Inc
57 North Washington Street
Plainville, CT 06062
p860-747-1000

1312 Washington Blvd
Stamford, CT 06902
203-722-6613

Quoting Bill Itson <bill.itson@imtlct.com>:

> Hi Jeff,
>
> In reviewing the docs, we were hoping to get a construction schedule.
> We are assuming full time pre-cast plant inspections for the garage
> unless we can get clarifications.
> We will be bidding the Special Inspection per your request, please confirm.
> Thanks
>
> Bill Itson
> IMTL-Independent Materials Testing Laboratories, Inc
> 57 North Washington Street
> Plainville, CT 06062
> p860-747-1000
>
> 1312 Washington Blvd
> Stamford, CT 06902
> p203-722-6613