

From: [misun53](#)
To: [Board of Representatives](#)
Subject: Anti- Resolution.
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021 3:24:43 PM

Please do not allow Stamford Public Safety & health comm., to infringe on legal gun owners rights. Profiling is Profiling. It's tough enough that certain races have this difficulty without added burden. We're LEGAL owners for a reasons; focus on the influx of illegal weapons and stop the illegal purchases. Clean up the streets.

Respectfully
R. Butler

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [N1TKS](#)
To: [Board of Representatives](#)
Subject: Police stopping pistol permit holders
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021 3:24:04 PM

Is this Germany or Italy 1939?

Fascism is rearing its ugly head in Stamford .

Targeting a specific group of individuals because they exercise their second amendment rights "LEGALLY" is pure fascism. Stop this madness !!!

Respectfully,
Anthony Salvate

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Matthew Ha](#)
To: [Board of Representatives](#)
Subject: Resolution to Require Gun Owners to Produce their Permits Upon Request of Police
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021 3:28:43 PM

I would like to say that I have very serious concerns about this proposed ordinance. Racial profiling has long been a very real and serious issue in policing both in this state and in this city and granting police this additional power may have the unintended consequence of exacerbating this long standing problem. Those who are correctly exercising their legal and lawful rights should not be subject to arbitrary search without the appropriate requisites of probable cause. Furthermore, I am fairly certain that passage of this ordinance will result in lawsuits against the city and the police that cannot withstand the scrutiny of the courts. The end result will be considerable litigation which the city cannot afford and which may actually result in more restrictions on police authority in areas where they are acting within the confines of the existing law.

Furthermore, it is more than evident that those with criminal intent, who would seek to unlawfully and intentionally use a firearm in the commission of a violent crime, are unlikely to openly display the means with which they will commit such a crime upon another and therefore the argument of such an ordinance being an obvious and effective means of increasing public safety and decreasing violent crime is specious at best.

While all of us in the Stamford community would like to see a reduction in firearm related violence, this is not an effective remedy and therefore in its present form should not be passed.

Matthew Haine

From: [susan halpern](#)
To: [Stella Jeffrey](#)
Cc: [Board of Representatives](#)
Subject: Gun resolution
Date: Thursday, July 29, 2021 4:42:06 PM

Good day,

I am in favor of the resolution to allow police to ask those carrying guns to show a permit if there is a concern.

I am aware of the Second amendment of the Constitution.

I understand that CT is an open carry state, but I would want the police to consider an armed person a potential threat until they have established that they are legally allowed to carry in public, which they can only do by seeing a pistol permit, which is also required to be on their person.

We live in a time where we are often asked to prove our identity, to fill a prescription, to buy a drink or cigarettes, to have medical procedures done, to fish, etc.

It's all about safety to our citizens.

We do not know if someone is "a good guy" or a "bad guy" when we see someone with a gun, nor do the police.

I don't see the harm in asking people for a gun permit if need be, no more than asking for a drivers license for a traffic infraction.

Thank you

Sue Halpern

South End.

Sent from my iPhone