-

1-64

interest and a second second

A regular meeting of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Conn. was held in the Cafeteria of the Dolan Jr. High School, Toms Road, Stamford, on Monday, January 11, 1960.

The meeting was called to order by the President, John R. Nolan, at 8:05 P.M.

INVOCATION was given by Rabbi M. Silver of Temple Sinai.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 37 present and 3 absent at the calling of the roll Mr. Huizinga arrived later, changing the roll call to 38 present and 2 absent. The absent members were: Edward Wynn and Stuart Palmer.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of December 1, 1959 Meeting of December 7, 1959

There being no additions or corrections, the Minutes of the above meetings were APPROVED.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

MR. NOLAN, Chairman, presented the following report of his committee:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT Meeting held January 4, 1960

A meeting of the Steering Committee of the Board of Representatives was held in the Mayor's Office, City Hall, on Monday, January 4, 1960.

The meeting was called to order at 8:25 P.M. All members were present, with the exception of Mr. Geronimo.

The following matters were discussed and referred to the proper committees:

Appointments Committee:

Letters from the Mayor, requesting confirmation of several appointments to various city boards. (Too many to list here) The Chairman of the Appointments Committee, Mr. Shapero, said they would cry to interview as many as possible. Those they could not contact would have to go over to the next Board meeting.

Fiscal Committee:

All fiscal matters approved by the Board of Finance were referred to this committee and ordered placed on the agenda. Any items over \$2,000 were also referred to another committee.

Legislative & Rules Committee:

- Letter dated 12/22/59 from Acting Supt. of Schools, regarding grant of \$425,000 for Westover School.
- (2) Petition and letter from Planning & Zoning Director dated 12/3/59 referring same to Board of Representatives on appeal in application of SYLVIA A. FIEBER and CAROL K. LAMPKE.

Minutes of January 11, 1960

VOTE: 31 yes 6 no (Note: Mr. Huizinga arrived at this time, making 38 members present) (8) HUBBARD HEIGHTS GOLF COMMISSION - GEORGE MURPHY (Democrat), 114 Grove St. (Replacing Linsley A. Pettit, Democrat, who resigned) TERM ENDING 12/1/64 VOTE: J2 yes 6 no (9) SEWER COMMISSION - LANIEL M. COLDSTEIN (Democrat), Lanark Road (Replacing Salvatore Catino, Republican) TERM ENDING 12/1/64 VOTE: 31 yes 7 no (Note: There were two votes taken on this. the first one being declared void, being incorrect) (10) FLOOD & EROSION CONTROL BOARD - EDWARD J. BANKOWSKI (Democrat), 203 Hubbard Avenue (Replacing Gerald Kolinsky, Democrat) TERM ENDING 12/1/64 VOTE: 21 yes 15 no l void Replacement for DONALD RUSTICI, Republican, member of BOARD OF EDUCATION, resigned

BOARD OF EDUCATION - WALTER E. GAIPA (Republican) TERM ENDING 12/1/62

184 Woodbury Ave., Springdale

VOTE: 34 yes 3 no 1 abstention

FISCAL COMMITTEE:

- Balantin Balance - California Parameter

Mr. Reback, Chairman, presented his committee report. He said the Committee met on January 7, 1960. Present were: Messrs. Reback, Cassidy, Huizinga, Ivler and Misz Farina. Absent were: Messrs. McLaughlin, Sileo and Wynn.

(1) \$1,908.00 - <u>Fire Department</u> - Salary Account - Salary for balance of fiscal year for additional Clerk-Typist I, S4 (Mayor's letter of 12/14/59)

Let. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Kelly and CARRIED unanimously.

(2) <u>\$15,999.00 - Salary increases</u> - Approved by Personnel Commission re Griffenhagen Survey (As listed in attachment to Mayor's letter of 12/11/59) Retroactive to beginning of 1959-60 fiscal year.

MR. REBACK explained the above matter had been discussed by his committee, being amount necessary to cover salary increases retroactive to July 1, 1959 for various employees who had appealed the decision set forth in the Griffen-Hagen Report. He said: "This matter was tabled, pending further discussion."

(3) <u>\$11,200 00 - Rents</u> - For City offices located a: 303 Main Street, for balance of fiscal year 1959-60 (As set forth in Mayor's letter of 12/11/59)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Kulish and CARRIED unanimously.

(4) <u>\$750.00 - Board of Representatives</u> - Code 200.1B for Clerk-Typist (half days) (As requested in Mayor's letter of 9/4/59 and REDUCED by Board of Finance from the \$1,826 requested)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Sileo and CARRIED unanimously.

(5) <u>\$9,184.12</u> - Bureau of Buildings - Gode 416.1, Salary Account - For additional personnel (2 Deputy Building Inspectors, I Electrical Inspectors and I Plumbing Inspector (As set forth in Mayor's letter of 10/9/59)

(Note: Original amount requested in above letter from Mayor was for \$15,963.48)

MR. REBACK: "This was voted to be tabled by my committee. However, in the past few hours I have had additional information on this and held a meeting with the members of my committee prior to this meeting. I would like to have Mr. Canavan, who is the Commissioner of Public Works, address the Board on this particular item and I SO MOVE." Mr. Murphy seconded the motion. CARRIED unanimously.

MR. CANAVAN: "Gentlemen, this appropriation request originated in the previous administration. I have with me correspondence from the previous Commissioner of Public Works, Mr. Maguire, to the Mayor at that time. I have correspondence from the Building Inspector to Mr. Maguire, asking for this additional personnel in his office.

"It might be well to note at this time that Mr. Swinnerton also asked for two additional people, but at the Personnel Commission hearing, when they approved requests for the Bureau of Buildings at that time deleted two of the people that were asked for. Mr. Maguire carried on an extensive correspondence with the former Mayor - Mayor Givens, and Mr. Givens in turn asked the Personnel Commission to go along with the request for the additional help needed.

"One of the reasons cited for the need for these men, in addition to the normal expansion of building within the city, which I am sure you are all aware of, is the fact that your Board has enacted Ordinance No. 65 Supplemental which requires, within a period of two years of its enactment, certain things be done with regard to inferior dwellings, sub-standard housing conditions and that these matters be acted upon by the Bureau of Buildings.

"With the present personnel, it is not possible to take care of all the new subdivisions being built in the city and all the expansion of building going on, to also comply with the provisions of the Ordinance without additional inspectors.

"I therefore feel that if the Building department is to carry out the wishes of your Board as outlined by this Ordinance, we must beg your support and ask that you give us the personnel to do so." Several members asked questions of Mr. Canavan as to whether or not a job evaluation had been done.

Mr. Canavan replied that as far as determining the individual work load of each man was concerned, this was not done. He said he thought the situation spoke for itself - that because there was such a tremendous work load, it was physically impossible to cope with it.

After considerable further discussion, in which Mr. Canavan suggested that the original amount requested in the Mayor's letter, being \$15,963.48. be reduced to \$9,184.12 for the reason that half of the fiscal year has now passed and the full amount will not be necessary.

MR. REBACK MOVED for the appro 1 of \$9.184.12 as set forth in item (5) above. Seconded by Mr. Connors.

MR. HUIZINGA MOVED to recommit this matter for further information. Seconded by Mr. DeForest.

MR. SCARELLA said he saw absolutely no reason to recommit this matter.

MR. REBACK said he thought that inas much as Mr. Canavan had gone into this request thoroughly and investigated the matter that it should be approved tonight.

MR. IVLER spoke on the motion to recommit and urged that this be acted upon conight and a vote be taken against recommittal.

VOTE taken on Mr. Huizinga's motion to recommit item (5). LOST.

VOTE taken on Mr. Reback's motion for approval of item (5). CARRIED.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE.

MR. POMPADUR, Chairman, said a meeting of his committee was held January 7, 1960. Present were: Mesers. Baker, Shapero, Russell, Macri and Pompadur. Mr. Mazza was absent.

(1) <u>Westover School - State Department of Education</u> - Re \$425,000 grant and request for resolution accepting same.

MR. POMPADUR: "On November 6, 1959, Mr. Huizinga, then Deputy Mayor of the City of Stamford, pursuant to the action of the Board of Representatives on August 2, 1954, by the passage of Resolution No. 184, made application to the Connecticut State Board of Education for payment of the grant due on account of the completion of the yublic school building project, known as Westover School.

"On December 15, 1959, the State Board of Education notified us that the grant had been approved in the amount of \$425,000, to be paid in twenty equal annual payments of \$21,250, the first payment to be made on April 1, 1960. Therefore, the Legislative and Rules Committee unanimously presents the following resolution for approval and I so MOVE."

Mr. Pompadur's motion was seconded by Mr. Sileo and the following resolution was CARRIED by unanimous vote of approval:

RESOLUTION NO. 313

ACCEPTANCE OF STATE SCHOOL BUILDING GRANT FOR THE WESTOVER SCHOOL IN AMOUNT OF\$425,000

BE AND IT HEREBY IS RESOLVED BY THE BOARL OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

We, the Board of Representatives, as previously authorized by the legislative body (the Board of Representatives) of the City of Stamford on August 2, 1954, by the passage of Resolution No. 184, do hereby accept a state grant in the amount of \$425,000 for the Westover School Project, payable in twenty (20) equal annual payments of \$21,250 beginning April 1, 1960, pursuant to and under the provisions of Chapter 173, General Statutes of Connecticut, revision of 1958, said grant having been ... oved by the State Board of Education on December 15, 1959.

> (Note: See pages 753, 754 of Aug. 2, 1954 Minutes)

(2) Petition appealing from Zoning Board's approval of application of SYLVIA A. FIEBER and CAROL K. LAMPKE to change Zoning map of City (Note: Also referred to Planning & Zoning Committee)

MR. POMPADUR stated that this matter had been referred to both the Legislative & Rules Committee and the Planning & Zoning Committee. For the reason that his law firm was involved in this matter, he asked to be excused from taking part in the discussion of the matter and said the report would be given by the Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Committee.

MR. POMPADUR: "I have disqualified myself in this matter. It was my intention not to talk about the merits of this particular matter before us, but rather to acquaint the new members of the Board of Representatives with what we will be talking about. If there is any objection to this, 1 will yield the floor to Mr. Russell, Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Committee, at this time."

The President suggested that this matter be discussed under the report from the Planning & Zoning Committee. There being no objection, it was decided to handle the matter in this fashion.

(3) <u>Request to revise Ordinance No. 85 regulating sale, gift, trade or conveyance</u> of plastic materials.

MR. POMPADUR said this Ordinance had been referred to his committee for possible revision. Because the Committee still has this matter under advisement, he stated that it would be kept in committee for further study.

HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE:

<u>Re: Parking meters on South Frentage Road</u> - Need for parking meters (as questioned by Mr. Fred C. Blois, 6th District Representative in letter of Oct. 5, 1959)

MR. BAKER requested Mrs. Austin to give a report on this matter as it had been given to her to investigate as a member of the Committee.

MRS. AUSTIN read the following report:

At the request of Rep. Daniel Baker, Health & Protection Committee Chairman, 1 called Mr. Gerald Longo, Supt. of the Parking Authority, who was most accommodating and offered to tour the Frontage Road area with me, which we did on Saturday, December 17, 1959.

Frontage Road is a name that was used to designate North State Street at the time the Thruway was being constructed. My findings were as follows:

There are 32 meters on Frontage Road. They are the 25c allday parking meters (presumably used by commuters). They were installed in good faith, as prior to their installation this street was lined with cars.

The Police Dept. curvey i ch areas and recommends where meters should be situated. It is to be assumed that many cars which formerly parked there, have now discovered free parking spaces elsewhere.

These meters were installed in July 1958. Of the 32 meters, between 14 and 17 are now being used on week days, according to Mr. Longo. I checked twice in December and once in January and found this information to be correct.

There is no expense to the cit; involved, as the Parking Authority is self-sustaining through revenue realized from meters.

The Parking Authority feels, and the Health & Protection Committee unanimously concurs, that in view of the growing commuter population, all of these meters should be left where they are now situated.

The Committee would like to commend Mr. Fred Blois, 6th District Representative for bringing this matter to our attention. This sort of watchfulness cannot help but innure to the benefit of the City of Stamford.

Respectfully submitted,

Eleanor Austin Health & Protection Committee

<u>Re: 500 special policemen</u> - Request for approval to appoint, as contained in Mayor's letter of Dec. 10, 1959.

MR. BAKER presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held on Jan. 7, 1960 with all members being present. Police Chief Joseph Kinsella was also present at this meeting.

Mr. Baker read the Mayor's letter and MOVED for approval of the appointment of 300 additional Tpecial Police above the 200 allowed under Clapter 43, Section 431 of the Stamford Charter. Seconded by Mr. Blois and CARRIED unanimously.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEF:

MR. RUSSELL presented his committee report He stated that a joint merting of the Legislative & Rules Committee and the Planning & Zoning Committee was held on December 31, 1959 to discuss the Fieber-Lampke appeal. He said a second meeting

10.00

was held on January 3, 1960 at the site of the Zoning appeal on Cedar Heights Road.

Mr. Russell said a third meeting was held by the Planning & Zoning Committee in relation to other matters before the committee.

(1) Proposed Ordinance to change name of a portion of Old Logging Road to GARY ROAD

(Note: This was first presented at Board meeting of October 5, 1959 and adopted for publication, but no subsequent action taken. Published in Advocate on Oct. 12, 1959 - See pages 2715-16 of Nov. 9, 1959 Minutes, when it was recommitted - Also see page 2683 Minutes of Oct. 5, 1959 meeting)

MR. RUSSELL said the Committee had agreed to republish the revised Ordinance. He therefore MOVED for republication of the following proposed Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Callahan and CARRIED unanimously:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CHANGING THE NAME OF A PORTION OF OLD LOGGING ROAD TO GARY ROAD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

A portion of the following street shall have the name changed as shown below:

Change that portion of Old Logging Road, from the now existing Gary Road and continuing northerly for a distance of 140 feet to intersection, to GARY ROAD.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon the date of its enactment.

(2) <u>Pinner Lane</u> - Request from property owner to bring road up to acceptable standards for acceptance as a city street, under Sec. 640 of Charter and Ordinance No. 79.

The above matter was recommitted - no action taken.

(3) Petitions for road acceptance

MR. RUSSELL MOVED for acceptance of the following roads. He said they have been certified for acceptance by the City Engineer in letter dated 12/7/59 and all maps referred to are filed in the office of the Town and City Clerk. His motion was seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

SUMMIT RIDGE ROAD: Extending westerly from Westover Road to the Greenwich Town line, as shown on Maps #6257 and #5381. Length, approximately 1,230 ft., width 26 ft.

VICTORIA LANE: Excending southerly from Summit Ridge Road to and including a permanent turnaround. Map #6257. Length approximately 533 ft., width 26 ft.

(4) Petition appealing from Zoning Board's approval of application of SYLVIA A. FIEBER and CAROL K. LAMPKE to change Zoning map of City

(Note: See item #2 under Legislative & Rules Committee)

In reference to the above matter and because other appeals of similar nature are now before the Supreme Court, Mr. Pompadur, Chairman of the Legislative and Rules Committee read the following letter from the Corporation Counsel:

Re: Appeal from Zoning Board's Approval of Application of Sylvia A. Fieber and Carol K. Lampke

 Martin Pompadur, Esq. Chairman, Legislative & Rules Committee Board of Representatives Stamford, Conn. Jan. 11, 1960

Dear Mr. Pompadur:

In view of the fact that there are pending several appeals on the question and that there has not been a final determination of this matter by the Supreme Court, it is my belief that the Board of Representatives should follow the same procedures as it has in the past in connection with these appeals until the matter is clarified by the Supreme Court of Errors.

If the position is taken that the procedure should now be changed to reflect the decision by Judge Dwyer, it would seem to me that no appeal then should be taken from that decision and that the decision should be followed. As you know, this is a difficult matter on which to give an opinion because the ultimate result of the procedure followed in the above matter will depend upon the decision of the Supreme Court of Errors. If the Supreme Court of Errors upholds Judge Dwyer in this matter, then the procedure in connection with these appeals will have to be revised in accordance with the decision of the Supreme Court.

Very truly yours,

Isadore M. Mackler Corporation Counsel

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Committee, continued with his committee report on the above matter.

MR. PUSSELL: "The above matter was discussed at length at all three meetings held. The application had been heard before the Zoning Board at two different times, April 8, 1959 and again on November 5, 1959. The application was denied after the first hearing by a tie vote - two for and two against.

"This application was again heard on November 5, 1959, after applicant relocated the proposed road, giving ingress and egress to the property in question. The application was then approved by a vote of three in favor and two opposed.

"The decision of the Zoning Board was appealed by petition dated November 21, 1959 and referred to this Board of Representatives by letter of December 3, 1959 from

Mr. Walter Wachter, Planning & Zening Director. Accompanying this letter were the desired documents as required under Section 552.2 of the Stamford Charter.

"At all three Committee meetings, lengthy discussions were held, using the facts presented in the supplied transcripts, appeal petition, as well as information gathered from supplied marked map from the City Engineer's office. At the request of both committees, the Planning & Zoning Chairman contacted the City Engineer, the Planning & Zoning Director and the Health Commissioner for detailed information to questions presented by the Committee members.

"At the third and final meeting of the committee, the members came to their individual decision, mainly on the following facts:

"The members who voted to uphold the appeal, thus reversing the Zoning Board, felt that they agreed, at least in part with the two members of the soling Board, (Mr. Woodman and Mr. Cameron) that the higher density for the complete tract was not desirable nor consistent to good zoning, considering the long and substantial investment of property owners adjoining and westerly of the tract in question. They also thought that the continuation of granting higher density zoning in unsewered areas, either in or near areas of swampy or wet tracts of land, is not in the best welfare of either the City or the buyer.

- "It was also agreed that the drainage was not a major factor on this particular land in question (which itself is high and dry), but the impact of the drainage into the lower already swampy area was debated considerably.
- "These members also felt that the opinions and strong opposition to this application by the two District Representatives, who presented several strong reasons against same were reasonable and had merit.

"The reasons accepted by the members of the committee who voted to reject the appeal and thereby uphold the Zoning Board, were that they accepted the opinions of the City Engineer that no drainage condition was indicated on this particular tract, even though the adjoining area showed serious drainage conditions. Also the fact that the Health Department gave assurance that they would not O.K. any questionable areas for septic tank sanitary systems, unless there was assurance of satisfactory drainage conditions. They also felt that under the heavy demand for new moderate cost homes, plus the abnormal high cost of open land, the quarter acre was justified, since it was already alongside of existing half acres, and that many quarter acre homes already existed, both northerly and easterly of the tract in question.

"As a result of the many strong arguments and lengthy presentations of both sides, the Planning & Zoning Committee voted two for and two against the appeal. One member - Mr. Stanley Kulish - was absent. Thus, the Committee, by its split vote can or; y present the results of the various discussions and facts obtained and is unable to make a recommendation to the Board.

"In view of the split decision of our Committee, some manner of voting on this will have to be presented. I have consulted the Charter on how this can be presented. The only part of the Charter that is extremely definite is Section 556.1 which reads as follows:

Minutes of January 11, 1960

"Sec. 556.1 Vote Required by Board of Representatives. In deciding all matters referred to the Board of Representatives pursuant to this chapter, the affirmative vote of a majority of the entire membership of said Board shall be required."

MR. BAKER rose to a point of order at this time. He said the manner of determining how this matter should be presented to the Board was a matter for a Parliamentarian and not for Mr. Russell.

The President said this had been foreseen and ruled that this requires an affirmative vote of 21 members of the Board to upset the decision of the Zoning Board.

There was considerable debate at this point.

MR. BAKER MOVED that this body ""CT the proposed amendment of the Zoning Board to change the zoning map of the City of Stamford, Connecticut. in application \$59-027 of SYLVIA A. FIEBER and CAROL K. LAMPKE from R-20 to R-10 of the following described property:

> "land owned by Sylvia A. Fieber and Carol K. Lampke, being that portion of land in area 3.817 acres lying on the southerly side of Cedar Heights Road, which land lies between Alpine Street and Cedar Circle which intersect said Ced r Heights Road on its north side, and also that area designated as Lots 28 and 29 and 'proposed road.' Lot depth 776.07. Said land being bounded northerly by Cedar Heights Road, easterly by lands now or formerly of Clifford M. Knapp and Meta Knapp, by Joseph Mammone, et al. southerly and westerly by land now or formerly of David S. Reid, westerly by land now or formerly of Frederick Balk, et ux and Amelia L. McDermant"

MR. HENRY NOLAN seconded Mr. Baker's motion.

MR. McLAUGHLIN asked to be excused from voting on this matter.

MR. POMPADUR also requested that he be excused from voting.

MR. IVLER MOVED that the Board postpone this matter indefinitely.

MR. CALLAHAN seconded Mr. Ivlet's motion.

It was pointed out that this matter must be acted upon tonight, otherwise it would automatically be deemed as approval of the Zoning Board's decision. (See Sec. 552.3 of Charter - page 54)

The President stated that a motion to postpone indefinitely is not debatable and requires a simple majority vote. He also said there could not be any discussion of Mr. Ivler's motion to postpone indefinitely.

VOTE taken on Mr. Ivler's motion. LOST.

VOTE taken on Mr. Baker's motion. CARRIED by a vote of 23 in FAVOR. (As per Sec. 556.1 of Charter)

MR. IVLER: "I would like to say that this was not properly submitted to this Board by the Zoning Board. They have not complied with the Charter. They did not give us their written findings, nor their written recommendations - they have not given us their reasons for what they did. Now, what should be my procedure at this point, when I am excluded from putting that into a motion?"

MR. RUSSELL MOVED that Mr Ivler's remarks be sent to the Zoning Board, particularly in regard to the quality of their findings. He said he felt that this Board did not have a word for word report from the Zoning Board on this matter, but merely a summary of their findings. He said this was one of the reasons why the Committee had come to a split decision - because there were "so many factors to be considered".

MR. CAREY seconded Mr. Russell's motion. CARRIED.

EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE:

MR. IVLER said his committee met January 5, 1960. Present were Messrs. Ivler, Palmer, Carey, Truglia and DeForest. Also present at the invitation of the Committee were: Mayor Kennedy, Corporation Counsel Isadore Mackler, Judge Buckley and Attorneys Gordon, Wise, Pimpinella, Hanrahan and Cushing, all being former Town or City Corporation Counsels.

 Letter from Attorney Samuel Gordon re need for expansion of City's Legal Department to protect against litigation. (See page 2719, minutes of 11/9/59)

MR. IVLER presented his committee report on the above matter. He said many suggestions were made and after the committee went into executive session, it was decided to hold further meetings to consider the suggestions that had been made and also to obtain further information on some of the matters presented.

(2) <u>Request for sale of former J. M WRIGHT TECHNICAL school building</u> (As per Mayor's letter of 12/11/59)

MR. IVLER: "The second item on the agenda was the consideration of the sale of the land and building located at Schuyler Avenue, which was the former J. M. Wright Technical School, to the Sacred Heart Church Corporation of Stamford, for the sum of \$130,000, said sale having previously been approved by the Mayor, the Planning Board and the Board of Finance. The Committee considered and reviewed the appraisal of said property made by Messrs Samuel F. Pierson, Charles Nosal and Bennet Glazer, dated November 23, 1959, and transmitted to the former Mayor Webster C. Givens under date of letter of November 27, 1959, wherein said appraisers set the market value of said land and buildings at \$125,000, said sum being apportioned as land value of \$25,000 and building as \$100,000."

MR. IVLER said the Committee approved the sale of the above property to the Sacred Heart Church Corporation – He read a letter from the Corporation Counsel, dated i/11/60 approving the form and contents of the proposed Ordinance which had been presented to him.

MR. IVLER read the proposed Ordinance at this time, because there had not been time enough to propare copies for all members of the Board before the meeting.

MR. IVLER MOVED for approval of the following Ordinance for publication. (Final approval to take place at the next regular meeting of this Board) His motion was seconded by Mr. Georgoulis.

MR. HUIZINGA: "Is it necessary that this be published?"

The President replied: "According to the Charter it is. unless the Ordinance is of an emergency nature. However, it was not felt that this was of an emergency nature."

MR. RUSSELL: "In view of the time of the year and in order to get this building ready in time for the opening of school, one month may make a great deal of difference. I feel that we could have a suspension of the rules under our emergency provisions and then we could suspend the prior publication of this Ordinance."

MR. IVLEA: "Mr. Russell, the way I understand the Charter, we do not need a suspension of the rules, but we do need a two-thirds vote and if we have a two-thirds vote, under the emergency provision, it can be passed at this time. But, I presume that would have to be made in the form of a motion."

MR. RUSSELL MOVED for the suspension of publication of the proposed Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Henry Nolan. CARRIED by a unantimous rising vote.

MR. IVLER MOVED for approval of the following Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Georgoulis and CARRIED unanimously:

ORDINANCE NO. 88 SUPPLEMENTAL

£

ORDINANCE RE SALE .. F CITY-OWNED PROPERTY TO THE

SACRED HEART CHURCH CORPORATION OF STAMFORD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding any provisions of Chapter 2. Sections 24 to 27, inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the sale to the SACRED HEART CHURCH CORPORATION OF STAMFORD, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut and located in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut, of the following property:

> All that certain piece, parcel or lot of land with the buildings and improvements thereon situated in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut, being bounded and described as follows:

Northerly 150' by land now or formerly of Estate of Stephen Smith, Deceased;

Fasterly 150' by land now or formerly of Estate of Stephen Smith, Deceased;

Southerly 150' by Smith Street and

Westerly 150' by Schuyler Avenue.

and the second second

as the same are now used. The northerly line of said Smith Street adjoining said lot as it now exists differs from the line as originally laid out in that Smith Street has been slightly widened in front of and adjoining the said lot

for the price of not less than ONE HUNDRED THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$130,000.00) is hereby approved, approval of the Mayor, the Planning Board and the Board of Finance having been previously granted.

The Mayor is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City and to execute all documents necessary to transfer title to said property.

This ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:

12

. 6

The President announced he appointment of the following as members of the above Committee:

James E. Carey, Jr. (Chairman) (D)Raymond H. Mazza (D)William D. Murphy (D)Ratherford G. Huizinga (R)Edward P. Wynn, Jr. (D)Jack McLaughlin (R)Carmine V. Longo (D)Henry F. Nolan (D)Allen J. Shauen (D)James E. Mulreed (D)

MR. CAREY presented his committee report. He said a meeting of the committee was held Jan. 6, 1960. Present were Messra. Carey, Chairman; Murphy, Longo, Huizinga, McLaughlin and Mulreed. Absent were: Henry Nolan, Messra. Wynn, Shanen and Marza.

He said Mr. Greenbaum and Mrs. Marshall attended as members of the Urban Redevelopment Commission and Mr. lack Toth, Assistant Director.

<u>Re: Urban Redevelopment Commission's request for approval of sale of property</u> <u>located in the EAST MEADOW STREET PROJECT</u> (See Mayor's letter of Oct 16, 1959, which was deferred by Board of Finance on Oct. 16, 1959 and again on Nov. 6, 1959; final approval given by them on Dec. 21, 1959)

MR. CAREY said the original request covered three separate items. He said: "However, at the request of the Urban Redevelopment Commission, items Nos. 2 and 3 were not discussed and tabled, pending certain changes by the URC in their plan for the East Meadow Street Project."

MR. CAREY said the Committee approved the following and he MOVED for approval of the following resolution: Seconded by Mr. Pompadur and CARRIED unanimously:

RESOLUTION NO. 314

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION EAST MEADOW SIREET PROJECT

BE AND IT HEREBY IS RESOVLED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT

We hereby approve agreement between the City of Stamford, acting by and through the Urban Redevelopment Commission of the City of Stamford and William Tandet, of the City of Stamford, concerning the sale by said Urban Redevelopment Commission to said William Tandet, of all its right, title. interest, claim and demand whatsoever, which it, the said releasor has or ought to have. in or to that certain piece, parcel or tract of land situated in the City of Stamford, County and State, being shown and designated as:

> 0.6 acres, fronting on the proposed Meadow Street and shown as parcel #1 on map annexed hereto, entitled "City of Stamford Urban Redevelopment Commission East Meadow Project, pending contracts for disposition

and to approve all of the conditions contained therein, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Urban Redevelopment Commission and/or the oper of the City of Stamford are hereby authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the transfer of the aforesaid property.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM MAYOR

Re: Letter dated 12/17/59 concerning use of Mayor's office for peetings.

The President said the Board was in receipt of the above letter from the Mayor, stating that the Mayor's office is available for Committee meetings for anyone caring to use it. However, because there are many requests, reservations for the use of the Mayor's office must necessarily be made well in advance of the cime it will be needed.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS

(1) Letters and telegram concerning resignation and replacement of DONALD J. RUSTICI (Republican) member of Board of Education.

MP. NOLAN (President) stated that this matter has already been taken care of at the beginning of this meeting.

(2) Letter from Board of Tax Review - Dated 12/11/59 - concerning meetings, as per provisions of Section 530. Chapter 53 of Stamford Charter.

MR. NOLAN explained that this letter was for the purpose of notifying the Board that the Board of Tax Review would hold meetings during January for the purpose of receiving applications for revisions of assessments on the List of September 1. 1959.

OLD LUSINESS

APLANT DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

Re: Care of Veterans' Graves

MR. NOLAN: "We have received a copy of a letter from Mr. Samuel Morrell, Grave Registration Officer of the Central Veterans' Association, Inc. Would you like to have this matter referred to Committee?"

MR. BLOIS: "I would like to have this matter straightened out. I have a copy of the letter to which you refer and I don't believe that it has anything to do with the care of Veterans' graves."

a second second second second

It was agreed that this matter would be followed up, and the Mayor's attention would be called to the state statutes governing the matter of caring for the graves of Veterans.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:55 P.M. The next regular meeting of the Board to be held on February 1, 1960.

Respectfully submitted,

Administrative Assistant

Velma Farrell

APPROVED:

John R. Nolan, President

The next regular mosting of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford will be held on Monday, February 1, 1960 at 8 P.M. in the Cafeteria of Dolan Jr. High School, Toms Read.

AGENDA

INVOCATION - To be given by Rev. Alfred Sienkiewicz of The Holy Name of Jesus Church.

ROLL CALL

a division of master and

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of January 11, 1960

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

STEERING COMMITTEE - Mr. Nolan

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Mr. Shapero

- (1) <u>PARK COMMISSION</u> <u>ROBERT B. NOLAM</u> (Domocrat) (Reappointment) 110 Hopo Stroot - Term ending 12/1/64
- (2) <u>PARK COMMISSION</u> JOHN A. SCALZI, JR. (Independent)-Replacing 21 Ralsoy Road J.Walter Kennedy, who resigned-Term ending 12/1/61

FISCAL COMMITTEE - Mr. Reback

(1) \$15,999.00 - Salary Incroases (Note: This was recommitted at the Jan.ll, 1960 Meeting)
- Approved by Personnel Commission re Griffenhagen Survey (As listed in attachment to Mayor's letter of 12/11/59) Retroactive to beginning of fiscal year 1959-1960

(Above also referred to Personnel Committee)

(2) <u>\$225,000</u> - Welfare Department - (As outlined in Mayor's letter 1/21/60)

Code 460-61 Cash Relief-----\$137,000.00 Code 461-A General Hospitals--- 88,000.00 \$225,000.00

(Above also referred to Education, Welfare & Government Committee)

(3) <u>\$25,000.00</u> - <u>Snow Removal</u> - Public Works Dopt.-(As outlined four 412A.6A in Mayor's letter of 1/21/60)

(Above also referred to Public Works Committee)

(4) <u>\$250,000.00 for Plan #1</u> - Resolution amonding Capital Projocts Budgot for 1959-60-For new <u>\$280,000.00 for Plan #2</u> (As outlined in Hayer's letter of 1/25/60)

> (Above also referred to Education, Welfare & Government Committee)