

Minutes of March 6, 1961
Meeting of Board of Representatives
Stamford, Connecticut

3016

A regular meeting of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, March 6, 1961, in the Cafeteria of the Dolan Junior High School, Toms Road, Stamford, Connecticut. The meeting was broadcast over Radio Station WSTC.

The meeting was called to order by the President, John R. Nolan, at 8 P.M.

INVOCATION: Was given by Rev. Bernard Johnson, Pastor, St. Johns Lutheran Church.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. At the calling of the roll there were 34 present and 6 absent. However, Mr. Scarella, Mr. Meyers and Mr. McLaughlin arrived later, changing the roll call to 37 present and 3 absent. The absent members were: Michael Macri, Allen Shanen and Stuart Palmer.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of February 6, 1961

The Minutes of the above meeting were accepted, there being no additions or corrections.

Correction to Minutes of August 15, 1960 - Page 2885 - Concerning omission of a word when the Charter Revision Commission Report was printed:

MR. CALLAHAN, Chairman of the Charter Revision Committee, said he wished to correct the Minutes of the above Board meeting, incurred through the inadvertent omission of a word in the Charter Revision Commission Report submitted to the Board at the August 15, 1960 meeting. He said his attention had been directed to this typographical error by the Corporation Counsel in his letter dated March 2, 1961. The correction to the Minutes was approved and ordered inserted in the proper places where it appears.

The following letter from the Corporation Counsel explains the correction:

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

March 2, 1961

Mr. John R. Nolan, President
Board of Representatives
City Hall
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Nolan:

A question has been presented to this office in connection with the amendment to Section 611.4 of the Charter. This amendment was adopted at a referendum held on November 8, 1960.

As I understand it, the change to be made in Section 611.4 was the result of the adoption of proposal number four as submitted by the Charter Revision Commission concerning dates and schedules for the preparation of the annual budget. The only change to be made was the change in the date from "the twenty-fifth day of

March" to the "1st day of March".

When this proposal was incorporated in the Charter Revision Commission's formal report and in the minutes of the Board for the August 15th meeting, at page 2885, a clerical error was apparently made in that the word "not" was omitted between the words "may include".

It seems quite clear to me, from an examination of the minutes and reports, that the intent of the amendment was only to change the date and not to change any of the other provisions of Section 611.4 and that the word "not" was omitted as the result of a clerical error. The provisions of Section 611.4 are ambiguous and conflicting as the section reads with the word "not" omitted.

I thought I would write this letter to you to request that steps be taken to have the clerical error in the report and the minutes of August 15, 1960 meeting corrected.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) Isadore M. Mackler
Corporation Counsel

IMM:A

cc Mr. Paul T. Callahan
Chairman, Charter Revision Committee

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

The President requested Mrs. Farrell to read the minutes of the Steering Committee meeting, which are as follows:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT
Meeting held February 20, 1961

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held on Monday, February 20, 1961 in the Mayor's office, City Hall.

The meeting was called to order at 8 P.M. by the Chairman, John R. Nolan.

The following were present: John Nolan, Chairman; George Russell, Eleanor Austin, John DeForest, Daniel Baker, Paul Shapero, Clyde O'Connell, William Murphy, Paul Callahan and James Carey; the last two being members ex-officio. Mr. Silco arrived later.

Absent were: Daniel Reback, Henry Nolan, Stephen Kelly, Bernard Geronimo, George Connors and Jack McLaughlin.

The following matters were discussed and acted upon:

- (1) Appointments to Board of Taxation and Parking Authority (Mayor's letter 2/20/61) REFERRED TO APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

(2) Additional Appropriations

All requests for additional appropriations previously approved by the Board of Finance were REFERRED TO THE FISCAL COMMITTEE. In the case of items of \$2,000 and over, these were also referred to a secondary committee.

(3) Four matters previously referred to the LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE were ordered placed on the agenda.

(4) PARKING AUTHORITY - Request in letter of 1/31/61 for 75 meters on both sides of SUMMER STREET, between North Street and Woodside Street REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE

(5) SEASIDE AVENUE - Flooding and improper drainage conditions - Complaint in letter of 2/20/61 from the 10th District Representatives - REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

(6) PERSONNEL COMMISSION - Report of January monthly meeting REFERRED TO PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

(7) Regarding certain recommendations of the previous Third CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION (in letter from former Chairman of the Commission, dated 2/10/61) REFERRED TO THE REACTIVATED CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

(8) Regarding secret ballots on matters before Board of Representatives - Letter from Robert Meyers, 7th District Representative, dated 2/7/61 - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

(9) Auditor's Report for 1959-1960 fiscal year - Letter from Chairman of Board of Finance, dated 2/18/61, answering request initiated by Patrick Scarella at the February 6, 1961 Board meeting, under "New business" (See page 3007) PLACED ON AGENDA UNDER "COMMUNICATIONS"

(10) Resignation of Bernard Geronimo as Personnel Committee Chairman (Letter dated February 14, 1961) ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA UNDER "PERSONNEL COMMITTEE" with announcement of Committee reassignment.

(11) Special Board meeting for February 23, 1961

Peter Sileo, Clerk, arrived at this time with a typewritten carbon copy of a "Call" for a Special Board meeting to be held on February 23, 1961 at 8 P.M. in the Cafeteria of Dolan Jr. High School. The typewritten names appearing at the bottom of the "Call" were: George Georgoulis, George Connors, Patrick Scarella, Gerald Rybnick, Edward Dombroski, Stanley Kulish, Paul Kuczo, Jr., James Mulreed and William Ivler. Accompanying this was a sworn affidavit by Barbara Ivler, dated February 20, 1961 that the aforesaid "Call" was mailed to the members of the Board of Representatives.

It Was MOVED, seconded and CARRIED that all members make every effort to attend this meeting.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 P.M.

JOHN R. NOLAN, CHAIRMAN
Steering Committee

vf

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MRS. AUSTIN, Chairman, presented her committee report. She said a meeting was held on February 24, 1961 in the Mayor's office, at 7 P.M. Present were: Eleanor Austin, Chairman; Paul Callahan and John DeForest. Absent were: Paul Shapero and George Georgoulis. She said the two absent members have indicated their approval of the action taken by the committee.

Mrs. Austin reported that all appointees listed on the agenda, with the exception of Leonard Leeds, who is vacationing in Florida, were approved unanimously, after being interviewed as to their qualifications.

Mrs. Austin said that the remaining appointee, Mr. Leonard Leeds, would be interviewed before the next Board meeting and presented for approval at that time.

There being three appointments to be acted upon, the Tellers distributed the ballots among the Board members, picking up the ballots after each vote. The result of the voting listed below: (President not voting)

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| (1) <u>BOARD OF TAX REVIEW</u> - <u>JOHN R. BOYD</u> (Democrat) ----- | <u>Term Ending:</u> |
| (Reappointment) 20 Evergreen Court | Dec. 1, 1965 |

VOTE: 33 yes
2 no

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| (2) <u>ELECTRICAL EXAMINING BOARD</u> - <u>EDWARD TROY, SR.</u> (Democrat) | Jan. 1, 1963 |
| (Reappointment) 20 Quintard Terrace | |

VOTE: 33 yes
2 no

- | | |
|---|--------------|
| (3) <u>BOARD OF TAXATION</u> - <u>ROBERT L. LEVISTER</u> (Republican) | Dec. 1, 1965 |
| (New appointment) 1659 Summer Street | |
| (Replacing Daniel F. B. Hickey, Republican, who resigned) | |

VOTE: 31 yes
4 no

FISCAL COMMITTEE

Mr. Reback presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held in the Mayor's office on Thursday, March 2, 1961. Present were Rose Farina, Eleanor Austin, George Connors, Paul Callahan, Jack McLaughlin, George Russell, Peter Sileo and Daniel Reback, Chairman

- (1) \$75.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 550 23 - Janitor Service for public hearings
(REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$100) Mayor's letter 2/1/61)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above appropriation, which was unanimously approved by the Fiscal Committee. Seconded by Mr. Callahan and CARRIED unanimously.

- (2) \$1,278.42 - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE. Bureau of Accounts & Records, Code GG-482.1, Salaries - Reclassification for balance of 1960-1961 fiscal year (Mayor's letter 2/2/61)

<u>Classification and name of incumbent</u>	<u>Present Salary</u>	<u>New Salary</u>	<u>Amount Required 11 Pay Periods</u>
<u>From:</u> Bookkeeping Machine Operator II			
<u>To:</u> General Ledger Clerk (Nancy Sansone -----)	\$4,167.00	\$5,193.00	\$434.06
<u>From:</u> Bookkeeping Machine Opera- tor I			
<u>To:</u> Account Clerk I, the follow- ing:			
Geraldine Rubino -----	\$3,652.00	\$3,988.00	\$142.12
Mary Pachkovsky -----	\$3,652.00	\$3,988.00	\$142.12
Margaret McGuinness -----	\$3,652.00	\$3,988.00	\$142.12
Virginia Fedorchuck -----	\$3,494.00	\$3,988.00	\$209.00
Helen Densky -----	\$3,494.00	\$3,988.00	\$209.00
Total -----			\$ 1,278.42

MR. REBACK said the above request was unanimously approved by the Fiscal Committee and MOVED for approval. Seconded by Mr. Kelly and CARRIED unanimously.

- (3) \$100,000.00 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, Snow Removal Account, Code 412A.6A (As per Mayor's letter 2/3/61)

(Above also referred to the Public Works Committee.)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. He said it was approved unanimously by the committee. Seconded by Mr. Henry Nolan, who reported that the Public Works Committee concurred in the approval of this appropriation.

MR. SCARELLA, asked through the Chair, if this money had been expended. Mr. Reback replied that it was for the January snow removal and had been entirely expended.

VOTE taken on the above appropriation. CARRIED unanimously.

- (4) \$20,000.00 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - Code 417, Gas, Oil, Repairs (As per Mayor's letter 2/8/61) (REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$30,000.00)

(Above also referred to the Public Works Committee)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. He said it had received the unanimous approval of the committee. Seconded by Miss Farina.

Mr. Henry Nolan said the Public Works Committee had concurred in the approval.
CARRIED unanimously.

- (5) \$75,000.00 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - Code 412A.6A, Snow Removal Account
(REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$100,000) (As per Mayor's letter
of 2/6/61)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of this request. Seconded by Mr. Carey. Mr. Henry Nolan said the Public Works Committee concurred in approval of the appropriation.
CARRIED unanimously.

- (6) \$10,000.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 200.11A - For recodification,
indexing, printing and binding of Code of General Ordinances and
Charter (As per Mayor's letter of 12/6/60)

(Also referred to Legislative & Rules Committee, which Committee
recommended this be done - See Minutes of Sept. 15, 1960, page
2899)

MR. REBACK MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Shapero, who said the Legislative and Rules Committee approved this appropriation. CARRIED unanimously.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

MR. SHAPERO presented his Committee report. He said a meeting was held on February 27, 1961, together with the Planning & Zoning Committee. Present were Paul D. Shapero, Chairman, Robert Meyers and David Johnson. Absent were: Michael Macri, Raymond Mazza and Daniel Baker. He reported on several matters that had been referred to his committee.

- (1) Appeal from decision of Zoning Board action in denying application of T. D. ROCHE, ANN A. ROCHE and CHARLES J. SPERIGLIO - Application No. 60-033

MR. SHAPERO reported on the above matter and said that in view of the fact that the appeal was accompanied by a petition bearing over 1,500 signatures and since Section 553.2 of the Charter requires only 100 signatures, the Committee ruled that the appeal was properly before the Board of Representatives.

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman of the Planning & Zoning Committee, was requested to report out on the above matter at this time. He reported that his committee held a joint meeting with the Legislative & Rules Committee on February 27, 1961. Present were Joseph Mancusi, Stanley Kulish, Patrick Scarella, Allen Shanen and George Russell, Chairman.

MR. RUSSELL reported that both committees had allowed attorneys and interested individuals to be heard. Present were attorneys Daniel Ryan Jr., W. Patrick Ryan, Peter Bentley, Melvin Dichter, Sydney Kveskin, Ronald Schwartz, Irving Rosenblum, as well as Bennett Kirk, Jr. of the Board of Realtors. He said letters were presented from the following: Stamford Parking Authority, Stamford Board of Realtors, Inc., the Bolton Real Estate Agency, law firm of Macrides, Zezima & Schwartz, Spelke & Weil, Maguire, Cole & Bentley and Wofsey, Rosen, Kveskin & Kuriansky, as well as the Urban Redevelopment Commission.

MR. RUSSELL reported that a vote was taken and a majority agreed to sustain the action taken by the Zoning Board and thus recommend to reject the appeal. He said the reasons to reject were as follows:

1. The effect of the application would be extremely far reaching city-wide and too discriminatory.
2. That all commercially zoned property in Stamford would be affected in an undetermined degree, especially under a rapid, continual and changing growth of the City.
3. This would seriously alter many possible commercial land uses whenever an associated parking area of 36 or more cars would be involved.
4. That the unanimous vote of all five members of the Zoning Board to reject this application because, in their findings as transmitted to the Board of Representatives, they felt there were various inconsistencies with existing related regulations, plus the apparent sweeping general application of the proposal throughout all the commercial zones concerned and did not lend itself to practical changing; also, the application in the form submitted, was too discriminatory and too broad.

MR. RUSSELL: "As a result of the above facts, the committee voted three to two to sustain the action of the Zoning Board and thus reject the appeal and so recommends their action to the Board."

MR. RUSSELL MOVED the proposed amendment be placed on the floor. Seconded by Mr. Scarella.

MR. MURPHY asked if the motion was to approve the amendment or to disapprove.

The President informed Mr. Murphy that the vote was to approve the amendment; in other words "to sustain" the appeal.

Mr. Russell asked the President if it would be necessary to read from the Charter. He replied it would not be necessary.

The President explained if a member wishes to vote in favor of the Application to the Zoning Board of T. D. Roche, Ann A. Roche and Charles J. Smeriglio, he would vote "yes" and a vote of "no" would be voting in favor of the decision rendered by the Zoning Board in rejecting the appeal.

MR. BAKER asked if the Board should vote to approve the report of the Committee. The President said this was not necessary, as the Charter is very explicit on this point - that a vote of the majority of the Board (21) is all that is necessary.

MR. SILEO spoke as a representative of the 1st District and said he felt obligated to go on record as being opposed to a shopping center being placed there and urged a vote in favor of the petitioners.

MR. GEORGOULIS urged that this be deferred for further study.

MR. CONNORS said he did not think the appeal should be sustained.

MR. COLE spoke in favor of the petition.

MR. SCARELLA said he is in favor of upholding the Zoning Board.

MR. RUSSELL spoke in favor of upholding the decision of the Zoning Board. He said the committee recommends that the appeal be denied and therefore sustains the decision of the Zoning Board.

MR. BAKER asked how this proposed amendment to the Zoning Regulations would affect properties already in existence.

MR. RUSSELL replied that it would mean, in the future, that they could not build within 300 feet of a school, etc., as outlined in the proposed zoning amendment.

MR. IVLER asked Mr. Russell to tell which members of the Committee voted on the minority side and wanted to know if there was a minority report.

MR. RUSSELL informed him that there had been no minority report presented.

MR. IVLER said it was his understanding that the rules call for the names of "who voted for what" and he would like that point of information.

MR. CONNORS said he not think the Board has the right to ask who voted for or against anything in committee; that it is the prerogative of the committee to record the vote of the majority, unless there is a minority report represented.

MR. GERONIMO said this proposed amendment would definitely affect construction in the city of Stamford and before long we would have to have another re-assessment.

MR. SHAPERO spoke against the appeal. He said he felt very sympathetic to the feelings of the people who lived in the vicinity of St. Mary's School who wish to protect their children from traffic. He said if this Board sustains the appeal "we would be shooting a gnat with a shotgun" and would seriously affect the development of large areas of the city. He pointed out that no member of the Board of Education supported the appellants. He said he thought it was primarily a police problem.

There was considerable debate at this point which continued for some time.

MR. DEFOREST said he thinks the debate on this question is a prime example of why this Board should not consider appeals from the Planning & Zoning Boards, for the reason that this Board must, of necessity, rely very heavily on the Zoning and Planning Boards and on the committees of the Board. He said the Board does not have the time to spend on considering each individual problem that comes before it, without relying on the various committees to study the matter and report their recommendations and they must be guided by the report of the committee that has studied it.

MR. BLOIS MOVED the question.

The President said, without objection, the question is now moved and a vote will be taken. He said: "If you vote yes, you are voting in favor of the amendment. If you vote no, you are voting in favor of the decision of the Zoning Board."

VOTE taken at this time. The proposed amendment to the Zoning Regulations was REJECTED by a vote of 13 in favor and 21 opposed. Therefore, the amendment was LOST. (The President not voting. Mr. McLaughlin and Mr. Meyers had not yet arrived and therefore did not vote on the above matter)

- (2) Concerning proposed Ordinance to prevent the location of large retail outlets in dangerous proximity to schools or parks (Note: Brought up by Mr. Sileo and printed in the Minutes of Dec. 5, 1960 - see pages 2976-2977)

MR. SHAPERO spoke on the above matter. He said the proposed Ordinance would have the same general effect as the proposed amendment to the Zoning Regulations, which the Board has just voted to reject.

MR. SHAPERO. "At the meeting of the Legislative & Rules Committee, a question arose as to whether or not this Board has the authority to act on this Ordinance, because of the fact that under the Charter we have delegated our zoning powers to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Since the Committee was in doubt about this, we referred the question to the Corporation Counsel." He said when a reply is received from the Corporation Counsel, it will be reported out at the next meeting.

- (3) BUILDING CODE - Proposed amendment - Proposed by the Heating and Air Conditioning Board (See letter dated Oct. 18, 1960 from Gerald Kolinsky, Assistant Corporation Counsel to Commissioner of Public Works. Also, see letter from Commissioner to Board, dated Oct. 27, 1960. Minutes of Dec. 5, 1960, page 2950, item #2)

MR. SHAPERO said (referring to the above): "These are technical changes to the Building Code that were requested by the members of the Building Board and were drafted by the Corporation Counsel's office after a meeting with the members of the Heating and Air Conditioning Board." After explaining the proposed amendments to the Code, Mr. Shapero MOVED to approve the suggested amendments, waiving prior publication. Seconded by Mr. Parker. (Requires a 2/3rds vote)

MR. MEYERS said he had met with the members of the Heating and Air Conditioning Board, who explained the reasons why the amendments were necessary. He read the proposed changes to the Code. He said the suggested amendments would allow the Heating and Air Conditioning Board to operate by licensing people to make installations, but were handicapped by ambiguities in the Code.

MR. IVLER said he wanted to know why this was held up so long. He called attention to the Minutes of December 5, 1960, page 2950, item #2, at which time this was referred to the Legislative & Rules Committee by the Steering Committee. He said it was his opinion that this required prior publication.

After considerable further debate, the following amendments to the Building Code were APPROVED, (waiving prior publication) by unanimous vote:

ORDINANCE NO. 80.2 SUPPLEMENTAL

AMENDING THE BUILDING CODE OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD -
AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING THE BUILDING CODE, APPENDICES
AND AMENDMENTS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

The Building Code of the City of Stamford be amended as follows:

- (1) The following language will be eliminated on page 75, under HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING - 300. General, paragraph 2. Conformance with Code, second paragraph thereunder, to strike out the words:

"but excluding the associated piping ducts, radiators, electrical wiring and controls and hot water tanks".

Substitute for such exclusion the following:

"and including the associated piping, ducts, radiators, controls and oil fired hot water heaters".

Also in paragraph three, following, to strike out the words:

"excluding the associated piping, ducts, and electrical wiring and controls".

Substitute for such exclusion the following:

"and including the associated controls, piping and ducts".

The revised portions will then read as follows:

HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING

300. GENERAL

1. TITLE

The following provisions in Sections 300 to 304, inclusive, as the same may be amended from time to time, shall constitute the Heating and Air Conditioning Code of the City of Stamford, as referred to in Section 113 of the City of Stamford Building Code, and are hereby made a part thereof, and may be cited as such.

2. CONFORMANCE WITH CODE

No new heating or air conditioning work nor extension of or alteration to existing heating or air conditioning installation shall be undertaken or performed hereafter except in conformance with the provisions of this Code.

For the purpose of this Code, the term "heating" installations may be defined as pertaining to space heating boilers and furnaces and to space heaters, including the associated burners, flues, vents, fuel supply lines and fuel tanks, and including the associated piping, ducts, radiators, controls and oil fired hot water heaters.

For the purpose of this Code, the term "air conditioning installations" may be defined as pertaining to the cooling equipment of air conditioning units, and including the associated controls, piping and ducts.

- (2) The following language will be eliminated on page 127, under PLUMBING CODE - 600. General, 11. Licenses and Fees, a. (1) c. and d., to delete the following words therefrom:

c. Heating work except the gas or oil burning equipment of the heat producing plant.

d. Air conditioning work except the cooling equipment of the air conditioning unit.

The revised portion will then read as follows:

PLUMBING.

600. GENERAL

11. LICENSES AND FEES

a. Every person, firm or corporation engaged in, or having direct charge of the installation, alteration or repair of any plumbing work requiring a permit as set forth in paragraph 5 above, shall be licensed by the Building Inspector in accordance with the following classifications and provisions, except as in subparagraphs b. or c. of this paragraph:

(1) Class I Master Plumbers' licenses cover the installation, alteration or repair of:

(a) Plumbing work.
Gas piping.

(2) Class II Journeyman Plumbers' licenses cover the practice of the trade of a plumber under the direction and employment of the holder of a Class I license.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon the date of its enactment.

(4) Proposed Ordinance concerning regulation of Swim Clubs in City of Stamford

(Note: Brought up by Mr. Reback and printed in Minutes of 12/5/60 -

See item #2 on pages 2975-2976) (Also see page 2951 of same Minutes)

MR. SHAPERO read from his committee report at this time, as follows:

"A proposed set of Ordinances, submitted by the Newfield Civic Association were referred to Mr. Johnson of the Committee for further work and review before the Board of Representatives. It should be noted that Mr. Shapero has disqualified himself from any participation in the work of the Committee of the Board in connection with the proposed regulatory measures concerning swimming clubs."

Mr. Shapero asked that Mr. Johnson report on the above matter.

MR. JOHNSON then gave the committee report. He said the committee considered letters and proposed ordinances referred to them; but for the reason there was not sufficient time to give this proper consideration, asked that any action be DEFERRED.

MR. IVLER said he was a little confused as to the way the item appeared on the Agenda. He said it refers to a proposed ordinance brought up by Mr. Reback and printed in the Minutes of December 5, 1960. However, in the Steering Committee report, included in the same meeting, on page 2951, there appears a motion by Mr. Huizinga that the Legislative & Rules Committee make a study of the problems involved in the operation of non-profit and/or Tennis Clubs to be constructed in residential areas of the City of Stamford....Mr. Ivler wanted to know if the Board was getting a report on the proposed Ordinance as offered by Mr. Reback, or a

or a motion by Mr. Huizinga.

MR. JOHNSON said the committee's report has no reference to Mr. Huizinga's suggestion made at the November Board meeting.

MR. REBACK spoke briefly on this matter. He asked that the Legislative & Rules Committee expedite an ordinance for the regulation of swim clubs, as the weather would soon be getting warmer and these problems would be coming up again.

MR. JOHNSON said the committee asks that any action on this matter be deferred until the next Board meeting.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

MR. HENRY NOLAN gave a verbal report of a meeting of his Committee held March 3, 1961.

HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE

- (1) Parking Authority request for meters on Summer Street - For 75 meters on both sides of street, between North Street and Woodside Street (Letter dated 1/31/61)

MR. BAKER said action on the above request was DEFERRED by the Committee until they have time to hear further from interested people.

- (2) Newfield Court - Concerning unsanitary conditions (See Minutes of 12/5/60, page 2959, item #2 and Minutes of 2/6/61, item #2, page 3005, under Committee report - Also see Minutes of 10/3/60, item #7, page 2916)

MR. BAKER presented a 14 page comprehensive report on the above matter. (Note: This report is on file in the office of the Board of Representatives. Because of its length, it is not being included in the Minutes.)

MR. BAKER read the following recommendations of the Committee as MOVED for their approval. Seconded by Mr. Cole:

From the Department of Public Works:

1. Developers should show financial responsibility before being allowed to develop property in Stamford.
2. Land fill operations should be licensed and bonded just as excavation operations are.
3. Drainage plans for the area should be approved before any license or bond is issued.
4. No work should be allowed on subdivisions until a proper performance bond has been posted.
5. The type of performance bond should be changed.
6. Inspections should be made by more and better qualified personnel.

From the Commissioner of Health:

From the Commissioner of Health:

1. The Planning Board should not approve any part of a subdivision until a report is received from the Health Department.
2. The Planning Board should present to the Health Department plans, not only showing the size of the lots, but marked with contours, both with present and future grades, and with any details of storm drains requested and locations of brooks. If any relocation of brooks or storm drains are made by the City Engineer, original maps should be changed and this department should be notified of changes.
3. The Health Department recommends that the Planning Board require the developer to install all roads and drains before lots are approved.
4. The Health Department recommends that on lots where there is a ledge, or contains muck or impervious soil, or high water table, the muck or impervious soil be removed and replaced with gravel, according to amounts set by the Health Department and that wet land be drained before approval is given. If these conditions are not met, then the areas should not be approved until sanitary sewers are available.
5. Percolation tests should be made on all subdivisions. The number of test holes for these tests should be determined by the Health Department and be at least one per acre.
6. Concerning time of year for approval of subdivisions:
Special consideration should be given to the time of year when subdivisions are investigated or approved. A site that may appear favorable in the summer and fall may be unsatisfactory in the winter or spring because of high ground water. If necessary, approval should be held up until the site can be re-inspected during a wet season.

Note: The above recommendations are subject to change by the Health & Protection Committee, the Board of Representatives and the Health Department. Final legal language should be approved by the Corporation Council.

Recommendations concerning Corporation Counsel:

It is recommended that the Corporation Counsel be asked to inform the developer, in the event the drainage problem is not resolved to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works prior to June 8, 1961, that it is the intention of the City to call the bond, and to proceed with the correction of this drainage situation, with the costs of such construction to be charged to the developer.

Concerning the recommendations made by the Department of Public Works and the Health Commissioner, the committee recommends the following:

1. The recommendations be forwarded to the Corporation Counsel, with a request that he consult with both departments for the purpose of

formulating whatever legislation is required by way of Ordinances or amendments to the Charter, or other legislation which may be required to effectuate the recommendations of these two departments.

2. It is further recommended that the Corporation Counsel prepare proposed legislation and forward it to the Health & Protection Committee for their consideration, the objective being that aforesaid committee can then present it to the Board of Representatives for approval, in order to enact the appropriate legislation as expeditiously as possible.
3. It is also recommended that the recommendations of the Department of Public Works and the Health Commissioner be forwarded to the Planning Board and such other boards as may be concerned with said recommendations, for their consideration, to the end of amending rules or regulations, or instituting new rules or regulations, which they may deem advisable or appropriate, within the exercise of their judgment and authority.

Respectfully submitted,
HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE
Daniel Baker, Chairman

Mr. McLaughlin arrived while Mr. Baker was reading his report. This changed the roll call to 37 present and 3 absent.

The members asked Mr. Baker several questions about the situation under discussion.

MR. BAKER explained the situation surrounding the original complaint from the residents in the Newfield Court area.

MR. REBACK said he wished to compliment Mr. Baker on one of the most detailed and comprehensive reports he has ever heard. He said he would like to ask Mr. Baker a question. He said it was his understanding that not later than June 8, 1961 positive action would be taken, either by the city or by the builders to rectify this deplorable condition and that the complainants can expect this condition to be cleared up about that time. He asked if this was correct.

MR. BAKER replied that action will be taken as soon as the bond can be called on this.

MR. REBACK asked what the size of the bond was. MR. BAKER replied that it was in excess of \$17,000 which would be fully adequate, according to the Commissioner of Public Works, to do this work.

MR. IVLER spoke on the committee report. He stated that he could not find the recommendations of the committee acceptable. He objected to the part concerning recommendation of the committee to the Corporation Counsel to call a bond. He said he also objected to the part where the legislative functions of the Board of Representatives would be turned over to the Corporation Counsel by asking him to draw up suitable legislation. He said this was the function of the Board of Representatives to do this, because they represent the legislative body of the city. He said it would seem to him that this should be done by the Health and Protection Committee and the Legislative & Rules Committee.

MR. IVLER said the third recommendation he also objected to, because it was turning over to the Department of Health and the Public Works Department to make certain recommendations to the Planning Board. He said the Planning Board have their powers outlined under Section 520 of the Charter, which does not give them this power.

MR. IVLER said that after listening to the report of the Committee, he felt very strongly that their recommendations do not come within the power, scope or area of this Board, except that apparently Mr. Baker is very desirous of getting rid of the obligations of this Board.

MR. BAKER said he thought Mr. Ivler's remarks were an unjustified attack upon the Health & Protection Committee who spent a good deal of time and many evenings in an earnest attempt to resolve the problem. He said the committee, with respect to the Corporation Counsel, was merely making certain recommendations, which this Board has done on many previous occasions to the various city officials. He said he was sure that Mr. Ivler was well aware of this fact and did not need particular citation to previous instances.

MR. BAKER said he was sure Mr. Ivler was well aware of the fact that those who serve on this Board have full time jobs and obligations and must, of necessity, lean on the various departments of the city who are staffed to carry out the broad outlines of what this Board thinks ought to be done in matters that come before the Board.

MR. SCARELLA asked a question, through the Chair. He said he gathered from the report of the Committee that the Corporation Counsel is supposed to be saddled with the power to write legislation for the Board of Representatives and asked if this is true.

MR. BAKER replied that this is a well established practice of this Board, of which Mr. Scarella is fully aware, from many years experience, that the Corporation Counsel's office is staffed with people who are paid to help the various unpaid City Boards in their work, among this being the drawing up of Ordinances. He said the Committee would then get together with the Corporation Counsel's office and review the proposed legislation, either in the Legislative & Rules Committee or the two Committees combined. The Committees will then decide if this conforms with what they want; if not, it will be re-drafted. He said proof of this is that the various Boards and Committees have requested this help many times and it has been given.

This debate went on for some time, with various members speaking on the motion.

VOTE taken on Mr. Baker's motion to approve the recommendations of the Committee. CARRIED by a rising vote. (not unanimous)

Re: Public hearing on fluoridation of the city water supply

MR. BAKER announced that the Health & Protection Committee would be holding a public hearing on the fluoridation of the city water supply, the evening of March 30, 1961 in the Auditorium of Dolan Junior High School, at 8 P.M. to hear the opponents and proponents of fluoridation.

PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE

MR. KELLY, Chairman, read his committee report. He said the Committee met jointly

with the Park Commission and the Superintendent of Parks on February 28, 1961, with David Johnson, William Murphy, Edwin Cole and Stephen Kelly, Chairman, present. Absent were Allen Shanen, Anthony Esposito and Benjamin Kozlowski.

Mr. Kelly reported that a second meeting was held on March 1, 1961, with David Johnson, Edwin Cole, Benjamin Kozlowski, and Stephen Kelly, Chairman, present.

(1) PETITION NO. 274 - CENTRAL VETERANS' ASSOCIATION - Request for annual Memorial Day Parade on Tuesday, May 30, 1961

MR. KELLY read his committee report, giving the itinerary of the parade. He MOVED for approval of this request, subject to approval of the Police and Fire Departments and compliance with City Ordinances and insurance regulations. Seconded by Mr. Mulreed and CARRIED unanimously. Mr. Kelly said all members are cordially invited.

(2) Park Department - Rules and Regulations for the 1961 Season (In compliance with the provisions of Ordinance No. 64 Supplemental)

MR. KELLY said the rules and regulations for the Parks and Beaches are the same as they were for the year 1960. He MOVED for the approval of the Board of these rules. Seconded by Mr. Sileo and CARRIED unanimously.

(3) Park Department - Fees for facility use for the 1961 season (As per letter from Mr. Edward Connell, Superintendent of Parks, dated 2/6/61 - Ties in with requirements of Ordinance No. 64 Supplemental)

MR. KELLY presented the following, as outlined in the letter of 2/6/61 from Mr. Connell. He MOVED for approval of these facility fees. Seconded by Mr. Connors and CARRIED:

The Park Commission, in accordance with City of Stamford Ordinance No. 64 Supplemental, Section 2, is filing with the Board of Representatives the following fees for facility use for the 1961 season:

MARINAS:

Cummings dockage -----	\$20.00
" open -----	7.50
" shore -----	5.00
Southfield dockage -----	20.00
Dyke dockage -----	10.00
Cove Island dockage -----	20.00
" " open -----	7.50
" " shore -----	5.00
Launching ramp - season -----	5.00
" " daily -----	.50

PARK STICKERS ----- 1.00

TENNIS - Hourly rate per court ----- .40

BEACH LOCKERS:

 Seasonal ----- 20.00

Clothes Checking (daily) ----- \$.25

LAWN BOWLING ----- 2.00

MR. KELLY MOVED for suspension of the rules in order to take up the following matter which is not on the agenda. Seconded by Mr. Connors and CARRIED:

- (4) Request for three city-wide TAG DAYS, on Thursday, Friday and Saturday, APRIL 13TH, 14TH and 15TH, 1961 - By the STAMFORD HIGH SCHOOL GOOD WILL TOUR COMMITTEE To send 75 members of the A CAPPELLA CHOIR AND ORCHESTRA on a five week concert tour of Europe. Also request for permission to erect a thermometer sign in Central Park, similar to the one used by the United Fund.

MR. KELLY MOVED for approval of the above request, pending approval by the Police Department and compliance with all city ordinances. Seconded by Mr. Connors and CARRIED.

MR. KELLY MOVED for suspension of the rules in order to take up the following matter which is not on the agenda. Seconded by Mr. Murphy and CARRIED:

- (5) Boy Scouts of America, Alfred W. Dater Council - For use of Cove Island Park from May 11 through May 14, 1961

MR. KELLY explained that permission for this event has already been given by the Park Commission and he thought this Board would like to join in the approval. He MOVED for approval. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

PAUL CALLAHAN, Chairman, presented the report of his committee of meetings held February 24 and March 3, 1961. Present were Paul Callahan, Chairman; Rose Zarina, Clyde O'Connell and John DeForest. Absent at both meetings were Paul Shapers and George Connors. The Town and City Clerk attended the first meeting.

MR. CALLAHAN presented the following recommendations of the Committee: *

1. That the proposal for placing the administration of Sunset Home under the Public Welfare Commission await further details concerning the requested Charter change. The Committee felt the Public Welfare Commission should submit its request to the next Charter Revision Commission.
2. That the proposal for appointment of alternate members to the Planning, Zoning and Zoning Board of Appeals be referred to the Corporation Counsel for an opinion as to the validity of such action through Home Rule. (This request has already been submitted by the Chairman)
3. That the proposal for centralization of licenses and permits be retained in Committee until the Town and City Clerk furnishes the Committee with a list of the permits and licenses desired to be centralized. (This is now being done)
4. That the proposal for redistricting of the city's voting districts has merit and action should be taken by the Board to set the necessary machinery into operation.

MR. CALLAHAN MOVED for suspension of the rules for consideration of the establishment of a Redistricting Study Committee. Seconded by Mr. O'Connell and CARRIED.

MR. CALLAHAN MOVED for the establishment of a ten member Redistricting Study Committee, appointed by the President of this Board to consist of the following: One Democrat and one Republican member of this Board, two members of the Democratic Town and City Committee, two members of the Republican Town and City Committee, two members appointed by the Democratic party Chairman and two members appointed by the Republican party Chairman and that said committee shall submit to the next Charter Revision Commission its proposal for the redefinition of the city's voting districts. Seconded by Mr. O'Connell and CARRIED.

*Note: These 4 recommendations were submitted by the 1960 Charter Revision Commission (3rd Commission), and appear on pages 4 and 5 of their report.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS:

- (1) RESIGNATION OF BERNARD B. GERONIMO as Chairman of Personnel Committee - Contained in letter of 2/14/61.

The President read the letter of resignation of Mr. Geronimo as Chairman of the Personnel Committee.

The President announced the assignment of members to the PERSONNEL COMMITTEE, as follows:

Allen Shanen, Chairman (D)
Alvin Philpotts (R)
William M. Ivler (D)
Paul J. Kuczo (D)
Anthony Truglia (D)

- (2) Letter from John Stashenko, Chairman, Board of Finance - Concerning Auditor's Report for 1959-1960 fiscal year (dated 2/18/61)

The President read the following letter at this time:

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

February 18, 1961

Mr. John R. Nolan, President
Board of Representatives
City of Stamford
City Hall
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Nolan:

Re: Auditor's Report 1959-1960

In reply to your letter of February 15, 1961, concerning the City Audit, I wish to inform you that, since early October, 1960, I have been in touch with the Commissioner of Finance, the Mayor, and Mr. Thomas Morrissey, Jr., who was engaged by the Board of Finance to audit the City's books and records. In this respect, I am enclosing herewith copies of letters from Mr. Morrissey to me, dated October 24, 1960 and January 28, 1961.

In view of the circumstances outlined in Mr. Morrissey's letters, above referred to, it was not possible for the Board of Finance to deliver copies of the Audit to the Board of Representatives, in accordance with the provisions of Section 657 of the Charter. May I assure you that as soon as the Audit is completed and printed, copies will be forwarded to your Board.

Very truly yours,

JOHN STASHENKO, Chairman

The following are the enclosures in above letter:

Hon. John Stashenko, Chairman
Board of Finance
Roxbury Road
Stamford, Conn.

Dear John:

In reply to your recent communication concerning the City Audit, I wish to inform you that the work has been held up, pending the completion of the posting in the Department of Finance.

We have completed all departmental examinations, including the tax department, which is as far as we can proceed under the circumstances. It appears that a heavy turn-over in personnel in the Finance Department has seriously hampered their operation.

May I respectfully direct your attention to Section 7-392 and 7-397 of the General Statutes, which provide, in part, that "Any independent Public Accountant retained to render an audit shall have his duties and powers defined by the State Tax Commissioner and so much of any Charter provision of any municipality, as is inconsistent with the provisions of this chapter, is repealed." The rules of the State Tax Commissioner provide that the audit shall be completed within six months from the close of the fiscal year which, in the present instance, means December 31, 1960.

I wish to assure you and the members of the Board that we shall proceed with the work, with the utmost dispatch, as soon as the remaining records are made available to us.

Very truly yours,
THOMAS MORRISSEY, JR.
Public Accountant

Second enclosure:

Hon. John Stashenko, Chairman
Board of Finance
Overhill Road
Stamford, Conn.

Dear Sir:

Minutes of March 6, 1961

Dear Sir:

I wish to confirm our telephone conversation of last evening, relative to the status of the city audit.

Under the regulations of the State Tax Commissioner, the audit should have been filed on December 31, 1959. Inasmuch as the records of the Finance Department, covering the transactions for the year ending June 30, 1960, were not completed on that date, it was impossible for me to comply, so that I requested from the State Tax Commissioner, and was granted by him, an extension of two months, to March 1, 1961. This request was made in the manner prescribed by the regulations.

Part of the appropriation ledger was given to me on January 18, which was not actually in order, and on which additional entries had to be made. The completed appropriation ledger was made available to me on January 24th and the general ledger and estimated receipts ledger were given to me at the close of business of January 26, 1961.

As stated in my previous letter, all of my work in the other departments had been completed by the end of the summer. For the past two weeks, I have been concentrating on the Finance Department records, and, by working nights and weekends, I hope to complete the report within the allotted extension period. The Finance Department has given me their full cooperation and assistance to make this possible.

Although this work has been put into the normally busy tax season, I wish to assure you and your Honorable Board, that I shall give it my undivided attention until completion.

Very truly yours,
THOMAS MORRISSEY, JR.
Public Accountant

*** *****

- (3) Letter dated 2/10/61 from Michael J. Nagurney, former Chairman of the 3rd Charter Revision Commission. (Concerning recommendations made by the former Commission)

February 10, 1961

Mr. John Nolan, President
Board of Representatives
City Hall
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Nolan:

The Charter Revision Commission, in its report to the Board of Representatives, submitted July 21, 1960, made certain recommendations. These recommendations appear on Pages 4 and 5 of the report. Recommendation No. 3 and recommendation No. 4 were especially important, because they seem to have a great deal of merit.

I would like to take this opportunity to call these recommendations to the attention of the Board of Representatives because I feel that if anything is going to be done about the studies recommended, it should be begun without delay.

Sincerely yours,

Michael J. Nagurney
Chairman of the 3rd Charter
Revision Commission

OLD BUSINESS:

Concerning removal of Mr. Ivler as a member of various committees:

MR. IVLER requested the floor at this time in order to present a prepared paper concerning the above matter. He started to read his statement which was quite lengthy.

MR. MEYERS ROSE TO A POINT OF ORDER at this time. He said Mr. Ivler's remarks are out of order for the reason that he is trying to attack certain members of the Board and that a special board meeting was held on February 23, 1961 to consider the very matter that Mr. Ivler is trying to bring up again. He said he saw no reason to rehash a matter that has already been voted upon by the Board at the aforesaid meeting.

The President said it would seem that a motion should be on the floor in order to have a discussion of any type. Because there is an objection to Mr. Ivler reading the paper, the President ruled Mr. Ivler out of order. He said if Mr. Ivler had a motion of some sort, he would be allowed to speak on the motion.

NEW BUSINESS:

MR. HENRY NOLAN rose to speak at the same time Mr. Ivler rose. Mr. Nolan yielded the floor to Mr. Ivler.

MR. IVLER distributed a three page prepared paper at this time to the President and reporters present.

The President asked Mr. Ivler if he wished the paper that he had just released to the reporters and everyone else to be referred to a pro; committee.

MR. IVLER: "Mr. Nolan - I intend to make a statement and then a motion and I have given you a copy so that you can follow me, sir."

The President informed Mr. Ivler that it is proper under the rules of parliamentary procedure to first make a motion and then make the statement and not the reverse.

MR. IVLER replied that he would then do it backwards.

MR. IVLER: "I MOVE at this time that there be referred to the Steering Committee the following facts which have been brought to my attention and pursuant to the President's suggestion at the special meeting of the Board on February 23, 1961 that they be presented to this Board for reference to the Steering Committee.

"Under letter of May 6, 1960, the law firm of Cushing and Shapero made application to the Zoning Board of Appeals on behalf of their client, Mrs. Alice Ballin, for a 'variance of Article 2, Section 3 of the Zoning Regulations, to permit the maintenance and operation of a professional pharmacy in conformity with other professional offices in the professional building located on premises situated on-----Morgan Street-----'."

MR. MEYERS ROSE TO A POINT OF ORDER. He said: "It is quite obvious that Mr. Ivler is trying to circumvent standard procedures which are set up for what he is about to attempt. I would therefore suggest that his remarks are completely out of order and that he is trying to attack members of this Board, without having a foundation for his attack."

The President ruled Mr. Ivler out of order and quoted from Robert's Rules of Order, Sec. 43 - Decorum in Debate (on page 180) as follows:

"In debate a member must confine himself to the question before the assembly and avoid personalities. He cannot reflect upon any act of the assembly, unless he intends to conclude his remarks with a motion to rescind such action, or else while debating such a motion. In referring to another member, he should, as much as possible, avoid using his name, rather referring to him as 'the member who spoke last,' or in some other way describing him."

The President informed Mr. Ivler that he was referring to members of this Board by name, and that his allegations have been submitted in writing and in his opinion, the proper thing to do is to refer it to the Steering Committee. He told him he did not consider it proper for Mr. Ivler to bring out specific charges on the floor of the Board which have never before been presented to the members of the Board - that some of the members have never seen these at all. He said: "I think for you as a lawyer to do this, you should certainly know that this is entirely wrong and I am going to rule this out of order at this time. It is not my intention to hide anything, but it would seem that the members to whom you are referring should be given ample opportunity to answer these charges, but it should be done in an orderly process, by Committee action. I would like to have you refer this to the Steering Committee, for referral to the proper Committee to look into."

MR. SHAPERO ROSE ON A POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE. He was granted this privilege.

MR. SHAPERO: "For some time now, Mr. Ivler has been, by innuendo-----".

MR. IVLER: "Now, now-----point of order."

The President reminded Mr. Ivler that he was the gentleman who rose on a point of personal privilege before and he thought the same courtesy should be granted to Mr. Shapero when the situation was reversed.

MR. IVLER objected.

The President informed Mr. Ivler that he was out of order and to sit down.

MR. SHAPERO: "I would merely ask, at this point, if Mr. Ivler has facts which he claims to have, and I gather from the tone of his previous remarks that he has something (although I was not granted the privilege of seeing his press release).

If Mr. Ivler feels that I have done anything which are grounds for removal or for impeachment, I would ask that he follow the procedure set forth in the Charter of the City of Stamford. There is an orderly procedure provided for impeachment - a procedure which I assume Mr. Ivler is familiar, and as a lawyer he is familiar with the idea of a fair trial. I do not feel that it is fair to my reputation to be maligned and subjected to this attack over the radio, when there is no possible way for me to answer. If he has charges, I ask that he please submit them in accordance with the procedure outlined in the Charter so that I may be able to get fair play and deal with these things properly."

MR. IVLER ROSE ON A POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE and was granted permission..

MR. IVLER: "Since I have been accused of maligning Mr. Shapero-----."

The President told Mr. Ivler he was not going to allow him to speak over the radio and malign the character of another Board member without a proper chance being given the member to defend himself. He declared Mr. Ivler out of order and asked him to sit down.

MR. IVLER: "I can still speak for myself, Mr. Nolan-----".

MR. SCARELLA: "Mr. President - do we have a proper and orderly procedure of submitting anything to this Board, and if so, how?"

The President informed the speaker that it has always been the practice, in the past as he is well aware, to submit, in writing to the Board or to the Steering Committee, any matter which a member wishes considered - that it will then be referred to the proper committee.

MR. SCARELLA: "Is it proper for the person, and is it within his prerogative to read what he is submitting so that the other members will know that in channeling it to some committee where it can be buried so we will not know what he is submitting?"

The President read the last paragraph of the paper which Mr. Ivler had submitted:

"It is my belief that the above facts may constitute an improper use of political influence by members of this Board upon City employees. It is my desire that these facts be referred to the Steering Committee for such action as they sit fit."

The President informed Mr. Scarella that it was not within his knowledge whether or not Mr. Ivler's presentation were facts - that it was Mr. Ivler's contention that they are - but there might be other people who would suggest that this is not the case. He said the way this should be handled would be to refer the matter, and that all Mr. Ivler has done so far is to make vague charges that political influence has been used to gain-----"

Mr. Scarella interrupted the President at this point.

The President told Mr. Scarella that he does not intend reading Mr. Ivler's paper over the radio and to the members, because to his knowledge he does not know whether these are proper facts and that a matter of this sort should never be brought up in an open meeting, aired over the radio, when the person or persons accused have not been given an opportunity to defend themselves.

Mr. Scarella again interrupted the President.

The President asked for a vote on his ruling Mr. Ivler and Mr. Scarella out of order and said he wanted to know how the members feel on it.

MR. SCARELLA said he wished to make his point. He called attention to the Minutes of April 4, 1960, on page 2805, under "Communications from other Board and Individuals" item #2 entitled "Letter dated 4/4/60 from George Georgoulis, 4th District Representative, concerning the possibility of the formation of a school tax district." He told the President that the letter had been read by the President at that meeting and ordered held for the next meeting of the Steering Committee.

The President informed Mr. Scarella that in the case he refers to, it concerned the formation of a School District, but in this particular case, Mr. Ivler was making personal allegations concerning a member of the Board and was an entirely different matter and of much more serious import.

The President declared Mr. Scarella out of order.

MR. BAKER rose to a point of order. He said he thought Mr. Scarella should be requested to comply with the rules of orderly procedure and should not seize the floor without being granted that privilege.

MR. SCARELLA rose to a point of order.

The President informed him that Mr. Baker had the floor.

MR. BAKER said the only thing that could be done by anyone dissatisfied with the ruling of the Chair was for him to appeal from the Chair's ruling to the body. He said the appeal must come from a person who feels he is aggrieved by the ruling.

MR. IVLER asked if he may rise to speak at this time. The President told him he could.

RESIGNATION - WM. M. IVLER, 15TH DISTRICT BOARD MEMBER

MR. IVLER: "At this time I would like to tender my resignation as a member of this Board, effective immediately."

MR. CALLAHAN MOVED FOR ADJOURNMENT. The President said there was another speaker.

Re: Extension of the Summer Street Bus Line

MR. HENRY NOLAN MOVED that an appropriate committee of this Board be asked to investigate the possibility of the extension of the Summer Street bus line, which now ends at Bulls Head, to continue up High Ridge Road as far as the new Rippowam High School. He said this September some 1,500 school children will be going to this school and an extension of the bus line to the school would help traffic on High Ridge and might possibly save the taxpayers bus transportation money. Seconded by Mr. Baker and CARRIED unanimously.

Re: Safety of School Children - Sidewalks to be cleared of snow

MR. RUSSELL spoke briefly on the need for enforcing the city's ordinances on making the sidewalks passable, by keeping them cleaned of snow and ice. He said there

have been many instances during the past winter when school children had been forced to walk in the street because the sidewalks were impassable. He said in many cases the police had been very cooperative by asking the property owners to clear their sidewalks and were ignored. He suggested that these sidewalks should be cleared of snow by 10 o'clock of the following day after the storm, particularly in areas where school children have to walk and are endangered because of narrow roads and traffic.

Announcement concerning Redistricting Committee:

MR. O'CONNELL, Majority Leader, announced that if there are any Democratic members of the Board who are interested in serving on the new Redistricting Committee, that they come forward and announce their availability to serve.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, upon motion by Mr. Mulreed, seconded and duly CARRIED the meeting adjourned at 11:20 P.M.



Velma Farrell
Administrative Assistant

vf

APPROVED:


John R. Nolan, President
Board of Representatives

NOTE: The Minutes of the meetings of the Board of Representatives are not transcribed verbatim. However, Audograph recordings of meetings are on file in the office of the Board. Any member wishing to listen to the recordings may do so.

John R. Nolan, President

