

Minutes of June 4, 1962
Meeting of the 7th Board of Representatives
Stamford, Connecticut

3392

A regular meeting of the 7th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, June 4th, 1962 in the Cafeteria of the Dolan Junior High School, Toms Road, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the President, Paul D. Shapero, at 8:15 P.M.

INVOCATION was given by Rev. George Poulos, Church of the Archangels.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG: The President led the members in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 38 members present and 2 absent. The absent members were: Vincent Vittl and Ronald Schwartz.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of May 7, 1962
Meeting of May 14, 1962

The Minutes of the above two meetings were accepted, there being no additions or corrections.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

THE PRESIDENT read the following report:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT
Meeting held Monday, May 21, 1962

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held on Monday, May 21, 1962 in the Mayor's office, City Hall.

The meeting was called to order at 8:45 P.M. The Chairman, Paul D. Shapero, presided. All members were present, with the exception of Mrs. Austin and Mr. Blois.

The following matters were discussed and acted upon:

- (1) Anti-discrimination Ordinance (Proposed City Code of Fair Practices)
(As proposed by Mr. Schwartz, 16th District Representative, in letter dated April 9, 1962).

Mr. Johnson asked that the above letter, previously tabled by the Steering Committee at the April 23rd meeting, be referred to the Legislative & Rules Committee.

Mr. Mulreed suggested that it be referred to the Charter Revision Commission.

After considerable debate, it was decided to write to Mr. Schwartz, (Mr. Johnson voting in opposition) calling his attention to Sec. 742 of the Charter, which seems to cover this problem.

- (2) Use of Magee Avenue city property for Carnival the week of June 4th (Requested in letter of May 11, 1962 from Mr. Frank LiVolsi, President, Stamford Musicians' Protective Association (Local No. 626), American Federation of Musicians.

For the reason that the request was received too late, lacked complete information and the fact that the next Board meeting will not be held until June 4th, it was decided to write to Mr. LiVolsi, informing him of these reasons for not placing the petition on the Agenda.

- (3) Reappointment of Robert Warner to Plumbing Examining Board (Mayor's letter of April 23, 1962) which was not acted upon at the May 7th Board meeting, was ORDERED PLACED ON THE AGENDA under Appointments Committee.
- (4) Additional appropriations - Previously approved by Board of Finance on May 10th - REFERRED TO THE FISCAL COMMITTEE. In appropriations of \$2,000.00 and over, these were also referred to a secondary committee.
- (5) Ordinance for final adoption concerning approval of City of Stamford joining the SOUTHWESTERN FAIRFIELD COUNTY REGION - Approved for publication at the May 7th Board meeting - ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA under Legislative & Rules Committee and the Planning & Zoning Committee.
- (6) Proposed amendment to Sec. 3.4 of Ordinance No. 65 Supplemental (See Mayor's letter of 3/16/62 and Minutes of 4/2/62, item #2, page 3337 (Deferred on 5/7/62 - See page 3360, item #3) ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA under Legislative & Rules Committee.
- (7) Proposed amendments to Building Code (Sec. 613, page 161 of Plumbing Code (Presented in letter from 16th District Representatives at 4/2/62 meeting - Deferred 5/7/62 - see page 3360, item #4) ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA under Legislative & Rules Committee.
- (8) Proposed amendment to Building Code, Section 105-16 - Concerning fire hazards in old buildings and method of issuing certificate of occupancy (Contained in letter from Joseph T. McCue, Attorney, dated 5/18/62) - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE.

All matters on the agenda at the May 7th meeting under the PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE and not acted upon, were ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA.

- (9) Letter from Mrs. Joseph Ackerman, dated 5/11/62, requesting that a portion of EAST LANE, which abuts Lot #12 owned by her, be accepted as an addition to REVONAH AVENUE - REFERRED TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE and ordered on Agenda.
- (10) Parking Authority - Letter dated 5/8/62 requesting permission to establish metered parking to accommodate 17 cars on city-owned property at junction of Main and West Main Streets - REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE and ordered on agenda.

- (11) Traffic Light at Corner of 8th Street & Summer Street - Requested by a Mrs. Ruth Cohen, 2475 Summer Street and forwarded to Board in letter from Robert Meyers, 7th District Representative, (dated April 23, 1962) REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE.
- (12) Letter from Mrs. Henry K. Schenck of Stamford Good Government Association (dated 5/2/62) concerning fluoridation - REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE
- (13) Petition from SAN MANGHESE SOCIETY (dated 4/24/62) Requesting permission to have procession and music during celebration to commemorate the Feast of St. Theodore on August 23rd through 26th - REFERRED TO PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE
- (14) Informational correspondence from BUILDING BOARD OF APPEALS, concerning action taken by Building Inspector, which they upheld - ORDERED FILED (no action necessary)
- (15) Copy of regular monthly meeting of Personnel Commission held April 16, 1962 - REFERRED TO PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.
- (16) Public Welfare Department - Monthly report for January 1962 - REFERRED TO EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.
- (17) Board of Education - Financial statement dated April 30, 1962 - REFERRED TO EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

Changes in Committee Assignments:

MR. SHAPERO announced the following changes in Committee assignments:

STEERING COMMITTEE - Anthony D. Truglia replacing Bernard B. Geronimo

FISCAL COMMITTEE - Eleanor R. Austin replacing Bernard B. Geronimo

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Jack Baer replacing Bernard B. Geronimo

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - Richard J. O'Neill replacing Anthony D. Truglia

HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Anthony D. Truglia replacing Bernard B. Geronimo as Chairman, and Richard J. O'Neill replacing Michael D. Macri

EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Jack Baer replacing Daniel Baker

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.

vf

PAUL D. SHAPERO, Chairman
Steering Committee

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE:

MRS. AUSTIN, Chairman, reported that Mr. Robert Warner, whose name appears on the agenda for reappointment to the Plumbing Examining Board for a 3 year term, expiring January 1, 1965, has informed the Mayor that he is unable to serve on this

Board, so that no action can be taken at this time, until another appointee has been designated by the Mayor.

FISCAL COMMITTEE:

MR. MEYERS presented his committee report at this time. He said a meeting had been held on May 28, 1962. Present were: George Russell, Jack McLaughlin, Rose Farina, William Murphy, Richmond Mead, Jr. and Robert Meyers.

- (1) \$975.00 - SUNSET HOME - Code 430.1501 - Light, Heat, Power, Propane Gas
(Requested in Mayor's letter May 4, 1962)

MR. MEYERS MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

- (2) \$2,180.00 - COLLECTOR OF TAXES (Requested in Mayor's letter May 4, 1962)

Code 146.0403 Printing -----	\$1,200.00
Code 146.1102 Record Books-----	380.00
Code 146.003 Postage -----	600.00
	<u>\$2,180.00</u>

MR. MEYERS said the above item was approved by his committee by a vote of 5 to 1, with Mr. McLaughlin voting in the negative.

MR. MEYERS MOVED for approval of the above appropriation. Seconded by Mrs. Clarke, Chairman of the Education, Welfare & Government Committee, to whom this had also been referred. She stated that her committee concurred in approving the request. CARRIED with Mr. McLaughlin voting against it.

- (3) \$5,400.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Requested in Mayor's letter of May 4, 1962)
(Note: REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$8,400.00 to \$5,400.00)

Code 602.0501 Administration, Telephone -----	\$ 500.00
Code 624.0101 Division of Collection, Salaries -----	2,000.00
Code 632.1501 Elm Street School, Fuel, Oil, Water -----	450.00
Code 634.1501 Circuit Court House, Fuel, Oil, Water -----	<u>2,450.00</u>
	<u>\$ 5,400.00</u>

MR. MEYERS MOVED for approval of the above appropriation. Seconded by Mrs. Austin and Mr. Blois. Mr. Blois said the Public Works Committee, to whom this had also been referred, concurred in the approval. CARRIED unanimously.

- (4) \$4,370.00 - REGISTRARS OF VOTERS (Requested in Mayor's letter May 4, 1962)
(Note: REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$4,570.00 to \$4,370.00)

(Covering cost of Democratic Primary held May 22, 1962)

MR. MEYERS MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Shanen. Mrs. Clarke said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee also approved. CARRIED unanimously.

- (5) \$700.00 POLICE DEPARTMENT (Requested in Mayor's letter of April 6, 1962)
(Note: REDUCED by Board of Finance from \$1,390.00 to \$700.00)

Code 530.0501 - Telephone, Teletype & Telegrams - \$700.00

MR. MEYERS MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Miss Farina and CARRIED unanimously.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE:

THE PRESIDENT announced that he had received, prior to this meeting, a petition together with a letter signed by Mrs. Louise Worsham, Secretary, Citizens for Local Autonomy (dated June 4, 1962). He said the letter states that the petition contains over 1,000 names of people who are opposed to action on the question of Regional Planning for Stamford at this time, and requesting that further time be given for study of the question.

- (1) Ordinance concerning approval of City of Stamford joining the SOUTHWESTERN FAIRFIELD COUNTY PLANNING REGION (Pursuant to provision of Sec. 1 of Public Act 613, as defined by Public Act 448, 1959 Session of General Assembly) (Requested in Mayor's letter of 2/19/62) (See item #3, page 3321, Minutes of 3/5/62 - Deferred 4/2/62 - Approved for publication 5/7/62--see page 3356 of Minutes, item #1 under Legislative & Rules Committee - Published May 10, 1962)

(Above also referred to Planning & Zoning Committee)

MR. McLAUGHLIN requested a ten minute recess in order to discuss certain information that has just been received.

There being no objection, a recess was declared at 8:30 P.M.

The recess being over, the members resumed their seats at 8:40 P.M.

MR. BAKER, Chairman of the Legislative and Rules Committee, said he wished to depart from the order of the agenda and dispose of the other three matters referred to his committee, first. Permission was given.

- (2) Proposed amendment to Sec. 3.4 of Ordinance No. 65 Supplemental (See Mayor's letter of 3/16/62 and item #2, Minutes of 4/2/62, page 3337 - Deferred on 5/7/62 - See page 3360, item #3)

The above matter was DEFERRED. (For action at the next Board meeting)

- (3) Proposed amendments to Building Code (Sec. 613, page 161 of the Plumbing Code) (Presented in letter from 16th District Representatives at 4/2/62 meeting - Deferred 5/7/62 - See page 3360, item #4)

MR. BAKER said the Committee recommended that no action be taken on the above matter and voted unanimously to that effect and there is now nothing before the Board for action in that regard.

- (4) Proposed amendment to Building Code, Section 105-16 Concerning Fire Hazards in Old Buildings and Method of Issuing Certificate of Occupancy (Requested in letter of 5/18/62 from Attorney Joseph T. McCue)

The above matter was DEFERRED. (For action at the next Board meeting)

MR. BAKER now returned to item #1 on the agenda:

- (1) Ordinance concerning approval of City of Stamford joining the SOUTHWESTERN FAIRFIELD COUNTY PLANNING REGION (Pursuant to provision of Sec. 1 of Public Act 613, as defined by Public Act 448, 1959 Session of General Assembly) (Mayor's letter of 2/19/62) (See item #3, page 3321, Minutes of 3/5/62 - Deferred 4/2/62 - Approved for publication 5/7/62 - See page 3356 of Minutes, item #1 under Legislative & Rules Committee - Published 5/10/62) (Above also referred to Planning & Zoning Committee)

MR. BAKER presented the following joint report on the above matter:

JOINT REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE & RULES
AND PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEES

The Committees met jointly on May 26, 1962 at 9:30 A.M. In attendance were: Frank Melzer, George Russell, Allen Shanen, Michael Sherman, Ronald Schwartz, James Mulreed, John Nolan and David Johnson.

Absent: Robert Meyers and Raymond Mazza.

Prior to this meeting in joint session, the committees jointly had conducted a public hearing where interested citizens, as individuals and, as representatives of community organizations, presented views with regard to the participation of the City of Stamford in the Southwestern Fairfield County Planning Region.

Thereafter the committees, meeting in closed session, heard testimony of experts in this field, who were in attendance by invitation of the committees.

At the May 7th meeting of the Board, an ordinance was passed for publication, providing for participation of the City of Stamford in the Southwestern Fairfield County Planning Region. This action was taken pursuant to the recommendation of the two committees, which, at that time, in recommending enactment of the ordinance for publication, pointed out specifically that its recommendation to that effect was not to be construed as action of the merits, since ONLY the issue of publication had been considered, and that the joint committees would bring in a report on the merits for consideration and action at the June 4th meeting of the Board.

At the May 26th joint meeting, the committee members unanimously agreed upon certain revisions of the ordinance as passed for publication at the May 7th meeting. (Note: See page 3357, 5/7/62 Minutes)

These changes are as follows:

Section II

The members to be appointed shall be two members of the Democratic Party and two from the Republican Party, making the appointments bi-partisan.

The ordinance enacted May 7th contained no such provision.

Section III

Provides for filling vacancies.

Section IV

Provides for publication of plans of development formulated by the agency. The ordinance enacted May 7th made no such provision.

Section V

Provides that the participation of the City of Stamford in the Planning Region shall terminate and expire two years after the date on which the Southwestern Fairfield County Regional Planning Group becomes operative, as certified by the Commissioner of the Connecticut Development Commission. The ordinance provides, however, that at the regular meeting of the Board preceding that expiration date, the Board shall act upon termination, or renewal, of participation of Stamford in the Planning Region. If, at that time, the Board decides that Stamford shall continue its participation, it shall then decide upon the method of selection of members, other than those to be appointed by the Planning Board, as required by Statute.

The Corporation Counsel has advised the committees that further publication of the ordinance, as amended, may be waived.

It is the unanimous recommendation of the Joint Committees that this ordinance, which reads as follows, be adopted.

DANIEL BAKER, Chairman
Legislative & Rules Committee
(For the joint committees)

MR. BAKER said the only question raised by the joint committees was the question as to whether or not this Board could pass any legislation by way of ordinance which would have the effect of removing the provision which would affect the established zoning regulations where a development encroaches on a line within 500 feet of an adjoining community and it has to be submitted to the Planning Group for an advisory opinion. He said this had been submitted to the Corporation Counsel, whose opinion was to the effect that the State Statute controls and local legislation could not limit its powers such as had been suggested.

He said that since the committee had agreed that the Corporation Counsel's opinion would be conclusive on this point, it was thereupon dropped from any further consideration.

He said the changes that were finally made in the ordinance were made by joint consent of all parties involved.

MR. BAKER also read the following opinion from the Corporation Counsel:

June 1, 1962

Paul D. Shapero, Esq.
President, Board of Representatives
City Hall
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Shapero:

This letter is in response to your request dated May 29, 1962 for an opinion as to whether or not a change in a proposed ordinance which has been published pursuant to the provisions of Section 204.1 of the City Charter requires a re-publication of the proposed ordinance as amended, prior to final passage. It is my opinion that the publication of the original proposed ordinance is sufficient compliance with Section 204.1 where the amendment is such that it does not change the basis and fundamental scope of the proposed ordinance.

The purpose of the publication provision in Section 204.1 is to apprise those who may be affected by the nature and character of the action proposed so that they may make their views known to the Board of Representatives prior to final action by that Board. It is implicit in the legislative procedure that changes in the original proposal may ensue as a result of the views expressed to the Board of Representatives and as a further result of the deliberations of the Board of Representatives in connection with its action on a proposed ordinance. I am of the opinion that the amendments do not change the basic and fundamental scope of the proposed ordinance and therefore, do not require a re-publication.

Very truly yours,

Isadore M. Mackler
Corporation Counsel

MR. BAKER said it was the unanimous recommendation of the joint committees that this ordinance, as amended, be adopted. Mr. Baker said this ordinance in its amended version, has been distributed to all members of the Board, so he would, if there is no objection, dispense with its reading.

THE PRESIDENT inquired if there were any member of the Board who had not received a copy of the amended version of the Ordinance. There being none, the reading was dispensed with.

MR. BAKER MOVED adoption of the Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Meyers and Mr. Nolan.
(Note: The Ordinance as adopted in its final version, was subsequently amended, so it will be incorporated in the Minutes when that amendment is made.)

MR. McLAUGHLIN said he has received innumerable letters and telegrams objecting to the passage of this ordinance without further study. He termed the proposed

ordinance "emergency legislation" and said he considered this ordinance to have profound effect on the future of Stamford and the other communities that are involved in this piece of legislation. He said it is a tremendous undertaking and should not be entered into hastily without preliminary profound deliberation. He called particular attention to Public Act No. 429 which has already been adopted by the State of Connecticut.

MR. BAKER protested that Public Act No. 429 has nothing whatsoever to do with regional planning and is on an entirely different matter which has absolutely no bearing on the legislation now being considered by this Board, which is, he said: ".....the question of the authority of a community to enter into a pact with another community with relation to matters affecting those communities.." and is not within the compass of regional planning and doesn't touch on it in one single iota. He asked if the worthy member (Mr. McLaughlin) is aware of this fact.

THE PRESIDENT said he was sure that Mr. McLaughlin has had this called to his attention and was well aware of the fact.

MR. JOHNSON asked the speaker to yield the floor so that he might ask a question. He said: "I understand that this Public Act No. 429 which was passed in 1961 has been in question and I will agree that the question may still be there. I also have been led to believe that there may be a ruling by the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut regarding this." He asked whether or not anyone had heard if there has been a ruling by the Attorney General.

MR. NOLAN objected to the question. THE PRESIDENT said he was not able to answer the question.

MR. McLAUGHLIN continued his remarks. He quoted paragraph #11 from Public Act No. 429 of the 1961 session of the General Assembly:

"Sec. 11. An interlocal agreement shall have the status of an interstate compact. In any case of controversy involving the performance or interpretation of the interlocal agreement or liability thereunder, the contracting public agencies shall be the real parties in interest, and the states in which they are located shall not be parties solely because of the status of the interlocal agreement as an interstate compact."

MR. McLAUGHLIN said this means that a "contracting public agency" shall supersede this Board of Representatives and THEY shall be the parties in interest and will eliminate this Board. He urged that the Board not act hastily in this matter.

MR. BAKER rose to ask a question. He asked if Mr. McLaughlin was aware that the question which he is now raising was raised at the last meeting on May 26th of the joint committees, participated in by Mr. Johnson, the Minority Leader, and there were four or five capable attorneys present, and all were in agreement that this particular Public Act has no relationship whatever to the matter of regional planning. He said he wanted to know why Mr. Johnson did not raise any question at the time of the committee meeting, when "they all came to an agreement".

MR. JOHNSON rose on a point of personal privilege. He said "No. 1, the question was raised, and No. 2, I did not participate in any voting, and I did not agree with one single solitary thing which was set forth-----"

MR. McLAUGHLIN, who still had the floor, continued speaking.

MR. McLAUGHLIN MOVED TO AMEND the motion (Mr. Baker's motion to approve the ordinance) that this legislation be TABLED for a period of 180 days and that a bipartisan committee of ten members of this Board be created for the express purpose of singling out every bit of legislation in regard to this subject and that it be fully examined. Seconded by Mr. Cushing.

MR. BAKER said he does not accept the amendment to his motion.

MR. NOLAN spoke on the motion to table and said he was opposed and urged that action be taken tonight.

MR. CUSHING spoke in favor of the amendment to the motion. He said he wanted to know how this Board can ignore the hundreds of letters and telegrams and telephone calls that have been received.

MR. MELZER spoke against the motion to amend and said that Public Act No. 429 does not affect our decision on regional planning.

MR. JOHNSON said he did not agree with the fact that P. A. 429 does not apply and also that there was not unanimity within the two committees as "Mr. Baker would have us believe".

MR. CONNORS said the thing that bothers him the most is the fact that so many local organizations within the city have committees studying this, and asking for time for further study. He said he would like to watch and wait to find out more about it as members of the Board of Representatives have many other jobs to do besides studying the need for regional planning. He said, "What have we got to gain? That is one of the things that really bothers me. I also feel that these other communities should not have so many votes, and what's to prevent these other communities from ganging up on us and giving us legislation that we don't even want?" He spoke at some length. (applause)

THE PRESIDENT requested the visitors not to applaud or boo the speakers and warned them that he could clear the hall if any further demonstrations were indulged in.

MR. MORRIS said he disagreed with Mr. Nolan and said he thought the people were showing a great interest in this legislation and urged no action be taken tonight, but to wait and see, as he could see no pressing reason for immediate action.

MR. MEYERS said apparently no one was speaking on the subject before the Board - namely the motion to table. He said the question that is bothering him is the question which is being raised as to the applicability of P. A. 429. He said: "We have had bandied about the question of does this apply. I think that we are somewhat confused by semantical questions. The real question is whether or not it is pertinent to the matter before us. Any Statute which is on the books of the State of Connecticut applies as long as it is alive. Mr. Johnson, as a member of the bar is fully aware of this--"

MR. JOHNSON objected to personal remarks by the speaker.

MR. MEYERS continued his discussion, and read a letter from the League of Women Voters dated May 25, 1962 on the subject.

MR. SHANEN spoke in opposition to the motion to table, and MOVED the question.

MR. SCARELLA spoke in favor of tabling the ordinance. He said out of courtesy to the people who have requested time for further study of this legislation, that no action should be taken tonight.

After considerable further debate, a VOTE was taken on MOVING THE PREVIOUS QUESTION. CARRIED by a vote of 24 in favor and 13 opposed.

MR. SCARELLA objected, saying he had asked to speak and was waiting for his turn.

MR. SHANEN WITHDREW HIS MOTION to move the previous question.

There was considerable further debate at this time.

MR. SHANEN again MOVED THE PREVIOUS QUESTION. Seconded by Mr. Nolan and CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT announced that the question now before the house is Mr. McLaughlin's motion to TABLE. He read the motion again.

MR. NOLAN requested a roll call vote.

VOTE on Mr. McLaughlin's amendment to the original motion was taken by roll call vote and DEFEATED by the following vote of 15 in favor, 20 opposed, with two abstentions - Mr. Oppenheimer and Mr. Sherman:

THOSE OPPOSED

ARRUZZA, Patsy
AUSTIN, Eleanor
BAER, Jack
BAKER, Daniel
BLOIS, Fred
DOMBROSKI, Edward
ESPOSITO, Anthony
FARINA, Rose
KOZLOWSKI, Benjamin
KULOWIEC, Stanley
LONGO, Carmine
MAZZA, Raymond
MELZER, Franklin
MEYERS, Robert
MULREED, James E.
MURPHY, William
NOLAN, John
O'NEILL, Richard
SHANNEN, Allen
TRUGLIA, Anthony

THOSE IN FAVOR

CLARKE, Hilda
CONNORS, George
CUSHING, Samuel
HEARING, William
JOHNSON, David
KELLY, Stephen
KUCZO, Paul
LILLIENDAHL, Frances
McLAUGHLIN, Jack
MEAD, Richmond
MORRIS, Thomas
RUSSELL, George
RYBNICK, Gerald
SCARELLA, Patrick
WALSH, William

THE PRESIDENT announced that the question now before the house was Mr. Baker's motion for approval of the Ordinance (No. 100).

MR. SHERMAN rose to a point of information. He asked about the termination date (Section VI of the ordinance). He said it had been his understanding in committee

that the compass of provision for automatic termination two years after the effective date of operation of the regional planning agency was to provide for an automatic cutoff, although there is a provision at the next regular meeting immediately preceding the two year period, the Board of Representatives would decide at that time whether or not there would be continued participation or final termination. He said it was his understanding that 18 months after the effective date there would be an automatic notice to the Regional Planning Agency of our intention to withdraw, unless there should be action to the contrary. He asked Mr. Baker if his understanding was correct.

MR. BAKER replied that it would seem to him that the implication which Mr. Sherman has drawn is correct, if the termination of the city is automatically to come to an end - at the end of the 2 years from the date specified, unless this Board decided to continue.

After some further discussion about this portion of the proposed ordinance, MR. NOLAN MOVED to strike out the words "termination or" in Section VI under "Termination Date", 7th line, 4th and 5th words respectively. Seconded and CARRIED with one member voting in opposition.

The debate then resumed on the question of the passage of the ordinance itself.

MR. JOHNSON spoke in opposition to the passage of the ordinance now. He said there were several questions he would like to have answered before voting. He said he wanted to know first, where the money was coming from to defray the expenses of the Regional Planning Agency; how it would be appropriated; secondly, would it impinge upon the rights of the local planning agency; and thirdly, that under the Charter the Board of Representatives has the right to hear appeals and would this mean that this Board can still hear appeals from the Planning Board, or must this Board send it over to the Regional Planning Agency and they will then send it back to the Planning Board; and does the Agency plan only for the towns who are members of the Agency?

MR. McLAUGHLIN spoke in opposition to the motion.

MR. SCARELLA said he objected to certain portions, such as appears in Section II. He said he would like to know if the Mayor's appointment is subject to approval by this Board, why the appointment of the Planning Board is not. He said he is opposed to this.

MR. CUSHING said he wished to urge the members to ignore any pressure that had been brought to bear on them and to vote the way they feel is right on this matter.

MRS. LILLIENDAHL said she was opposed to the ordinance for the reason that it appeared that Stamford was not allowed enough representation and could be outvoted by the small towns who would be allowed enough votes to push through something that Stamford did not want.

MR. SHANEN said he would like to know why all of a sudden appears a lot of questions that have to be answered, when the Minority Leader attended the joint meetings when the ordinance was under discussion.

MR. SCARELLA rose on a point of order. He objected to personal remarks, saying he thought a policy had been established on this Board that no member should take another to task. Several members speaking at once at this time.

THE PRESIDENT called for order.

After considerable further debate, MR. MULREED MOVED the question. Seconded by Mrs. Austin. LOST.

MR. MORRIS spoke against the motion.

MR. MELZER spoke in favor of the motion.

MR. McLAUGHLIN spoke against the motion.

MR. OPPENHEIMER spoke in favor of the ordinance and said he thought it was necessary for the future welfare of the city.

MR. SCARELLA said he objects to Section III and thinks the Agency should be allowed to make their own rules.

MR. MEYERS MOVED the question. Seconded by Miss Farina and CARRIED.

MR. NOLAN MOVED for a roll call vote. Seconded and CARRIED.

A ROLL CALL VOTE was taken on the following Ordinance, as amended:

(NOTE: The roll call of the vote appears after the Ordinance.)

ORDINANCE NO. 100 SUPPLEMENTAL

CONCERNING APPROVAL OF CITY OF STAMFORD JOINING
THE SOUTHWESTERN FAIRFIELD COUNTY PLANNING REGION

SECTION I - ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE

Pursuant to the provisions of Sec. 1 of Public Act 613 of the 1959 session of the General Assembly, the City of Stamford hereby adopts Public Act 613 of the General Statutes and joins in the creation of the Regional Planning Agency for the Southwestern Fairfield County Regional Planning Group Region, as defined by the Connecticut Development Commission, under the provisions of Public Act 448 of the 1959 session of the General Assembly.

SECTION II - LOCAL REPRESENTATION

Pursuant to the provisions of Public Act 613, supra, the City of Stamford, having a population of 92,713 according to the Federal Census of 1960, is entitled to four (4) representatives on the agency.

The Planning Board of the City of Stamford, pursuant to Sec. II of the Public Act 613 of the 1959 session of the General Assembly, is hereby authorized to appoint to the Regional Planning Agency one (1) member who shall be an elector of the City of Stamford. The term of the first member, appointed by the Planning Board, after the enactment of this ordinance, shall be one (1) year. Thereafter, the term of the member

appointed by the Planning Board shall be two (2) years. The Mayor is hereby authorized to appoint to the Regional Planning Agency, subject to the approval of the Board of Representatives, three (3) members, who shall be electors of the City of Stamford. The term of one member, appointed by the Mayor, after the enactment of this ordinance, shall be one (1) year, and thereafter the term of such member, or his successor, shall be two (2) years. The terms of the other two members appointed by the Mayor, after the enactment of this ordinance, shall be two (2) years. Appointees under this section shall continue to serve after the expiration of their terms, until their successors are appointed and take office. The terms of office of initial appointees shall commence upon the establishment of said Regional Planning Agency. The four (4) appointed members, as heretofore stated, shall consist of two (2) members of the Democratic Party and two (2) members of the Republican Party.

SECTION III - RESIGNATION OF MEMBER

Any member who is absent from three (3) consecutive meetings of any Regional Planning Agency and any intervening duly called special meetings thereof, shall be considered to have resigned from said Regional Planning Agency and the vacancy shall be filled by the appointing authority for the expiration of the term of such member, except that the requirements of this section may be waived by the appointing authority where illness or other extenuating circumstances make it impossible for a member to meet the attendance requirement of this section. Said vacancy shall be filled in accordance with the provisions of Sec. II above.

SECTION IV - PUBLICATION OF DECISIONS

Official notice of the adoption of a Regional Plan or part thereof, or amendment thereto, by the Regional Planning Agency pursuant to Sec. 8 - 35A of the General Statutes, shall be given by publishing each plan of development or a summary thereof, together with a clear and accurate map, showing the area or areas affected thereby, in an official newspaper for at least two (2) consecutive business days, commencing within five (5) days after such adoption has taken place. Official publication of each plan of development shall be deemed to have been made upon the date of the second appearance of such official notice as herein provided.

SECTION V - APPEALS

Nothing contained in this ordinance shall deprive any person of a right of appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction, pursuant to any statute of the State of Connecticut.

SECTION VI - TERMINATION DATE

All the powers, the duties, rights and obligations of the City of Stamford conferred by this ordinance shall cease, terminate and expire two (2) years after the date on which the Southwestern Fairfield County Regional Planning Group becomes operative, said date to be certified by the Commissioner of the Connecticut Development Commission. At the regular meeting of the Board of Representatives next preceding

the expiration date aforesaid, the Board of Representatives shall act upon the renewal of the City of Stamford's participation in said Southwestern Fairfield County Regional Planning Group, and if at said time said Board of Representatives decides to continue with the City of Stamford's participation in said Southwestern Fairfield County Regional Planning Group, the Board shall also decide on the method of selection of members, other than the one required to be appointed by the Planning Board. The method of selecting said members shall be by election, appointment, or a combination thereof.

SECTION VII - EFFECTIVE DATE

This ordinance shall become effective upon its adoption.

The above ordinance was APPROVED by the following ROLL CALL VOTE of 22 in favor, 13 opposed, with two abstentions (Mr. Russell and Mr. Scarella) and the President not voting:

THOSE OPPOSED

CLARKE, Hilda
CONNORS, George
CUSHING, Sammuel
HEARING, William
JOHNSON, David
KELLY, Stephen
KUCZO, Paul
LILLIENDAHL, Frances
McLAUGHLIN, Jack
MEAD, Richmond
MORRIS, Thomas
RYBNICK, Gerald
WALSH, William

THOSE IN FAVOR

ARRUZZA, PATSY
AUSTIN, Eleanor
BAER, Jack
BAKER, Daniel
BLOIS, Fred
DOMBROSKI, Edward
ESPOSITO, Anthony
PARINA, Rose
KOZLOWSKI, Benjamin
KULOWIEC, Stanley
LONGO, Carmine
MAZZA, Raymond
MELZER, Franklin
MEYERS, Robert
MULREED, James E.
MURPHY, William
NOLAN, John
O'NEILL, Richard
OPPENHEIMER, David
SHANEN, Allen
SHERMAN, Michael
TRUGLIA, Anthony

MR. RUSSELL explained why he had abstained from voting. He said at the time the committees met in joint session he had felt differently, but since then he had received very many telephone calls, letters and telegrams from his constituents, opposing this ordinance and he felt that in respect and deference to the people he represents, he must abstain from voting.

MR. SCARELLA said that he had abstained from voting because he sincerely believes that this matter needs more study.

RECESS DECLARED:

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman of the PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE, requested a short recess

at this time (10:45 P.M.) in order that he might meet with the members of his Committee on matters referred to it. He explained that because of pressure of many other meetings, the committee had been unable to get together. The recess was granted.

The recess was declared over at 10:55 P.M. and the President recalled the members to their seats.

HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE:

- (1) Parking Authority Letter dated 5/8/62 requesting permission to establish metered parking to accommodate 17 cars on city-owned property at junction of Main and West Main Streets
- (2) Traffic Light at corner of 8th Street & Summer Street (Requested by Mr. Meyers, 7th District Representative on behalf of residents)

MR. TRUGLIA said that because of reorganization of his committee, they had not been able to hold a meeting. He asked that the matters be DEFERRED that had been referred to his committee. His request was granted.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE:

- (2) APPEAL from decision of ZONING BOARD, denying Application No. 61-021, DOROTHY DOYLE, ET AL (Deferred at 5/7/62 meeting)

MR. RUSSELL presented his committee report, on the above matter, which follows:

After the committee called the open committee meeting to order, and it was explained that the Legislative & Rules Committee of the Board had voted that this appeal was properly before the Board of Representatives, the committee requested those interested individuals present who wished to speak on this appeal to do so, with the committee hearing from the proponents first.

Among those present who spoke were: John D. Hertz, attorney, Dr. Joseph L. Carwin, William F. Malloy, resident; Ralph Layman, resident; Arthur T. Joyce, resident; Mrs. Agnes M. Joyce, resident; Mrs. Rachel B. Canaday, resident, and Dr. Kevin A. Doyle.

Considerable discussion was heard by the Committee from both proponents and opponents to the decision of the Zoning Board.

A summary of the facts presented by the opponents as well as the three majority of the Zoning Board, was that spot zoning was involved, since three sides of land area involved was R-7½. Also, that other areas were available near this area for such a building.

Others felt that a traffic condition would be added and that property values of present residential property would drop.

Proponents argued that it was not spot zoning, since large 11 and 13 story apartments, a hospital and other non-residential, other than R-7½ uses existed, either across or close proximity to land

area involved. Also, testimony by a Planning Traffic Consultant said that he felt no traffic problem would occur if such a land use was involved. They also said that such land use was similar to the acceptance of schools, churches and firehouses near, or within highly residential area. Also, that this building and its restricted use would make a good buffer between the encroaching apartment houses and the residential area.

The committee had the transcript and the findings of the Zoning Board for their consideration.

The committee finally voted three for and two against the appeal, thus reversing the decision of the Zoning Board and recommends to the Board that the appeal to amend the Zoning map be granted.

MR. RUSSELL MOVED to sustain the appeal. Seconded by Mr. Shanen.

THE PRESIDENT said that in order to avoid confusion he would explain it more fully. He said a vote for this is a vote to sustain the appellants, thus overturning the decision of the Zoning Board.

MR. MULREED spoke against the motion. He said it was "spot zoning" and asked the members to reject the appeal.

MR. O'NEILL spoke ^{for} against the appeal and asked the Board to deny the appeal.

MR. SHANEN spoke in favor of the appeal.

MR. SCARELLA said he thought that because Mr. Mulreed's property abuts the land in question that his speaking on the motion creates a conflict of interest.

MR. NOLAN raised a point of order and asked for a ruling of the Chair on this.

THE PRESIDENT ruled that Mr. Mulreed is not out of order.

MR. SCARELLA said he agreed with Mr. Shanen and thinks this should be granted.

MR. MEAD said he is in favor of the appellants.

MR. JOHNSON spoke against the motion.

MR. MEYERS spoke against the appeal at some length, and asked the Board to deny the appeal.

MR. RUSSELL answered some questions of the Board members.

MR. CONNORS said there was only one use for this property - that it was too expensive to build a house there.

MR. MEYERS spoke for the second time. The President reminded him that he spoke for the first time for about 20 minutes. Mr. Meyers said he would be very brief.

THE PRESIDENT explained that the question before this body now is that the decision of the Zoning Board be overruled, and the appeal granted. He said a vote of "yes" would allow the building to be built and that a vote of 21 is necessary to carry.

VOTE taken on the motion. The appeal was SUSTAINED (upheld) by a vote of 24 in favor and 10 opposed. (standing vote)

THE PRESIDENT said the vote results in the decision of the Zoning Board being overruled.

- (3) Request from Mrs. Joseph Ackerman asking that a portion of EAST LANE which abuts lot #12 owned by her, be accepted as an addition to REVONAH AVENUE

The above matter was DEFERRED.

- (4) MUNKO DRIVE - Hazardous condition because of island at end of street
(Deferred 3/5/62 - See page 3333, item #3; also deferred
on 5/7/62 - See page 3362 of Minutes)

The above matter was DEFERRED.

- (5) Concerning request from Mrs. Elizabeth T. Horan of 47 Ledge Lane to give another street the name of HORAN AVENUE in honor of her late son (being the first Stamford boy killed in World War II) and for the reason that a street was previously named in his honor, but later abandoned when new Rippowam High School was built (See Minutes of Special Board meeting held May 7, 1959 and Ordinance No. 68 Supplemental)

MR. RUSSELL explained that this Board does not have the naming of streets within its province, but he had inquired of the Planning Board and has been assured that they will name another street in honor of Mrs. Horan's son the very first chance they get.

PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE:

PETITION from San Manghese Society - Requesting permission to have procession and music during celebration to commemorate the Feast of St. Theodore, on August 23rd through 26th

MR. SHANEN requested this be DEFERRED until next month.

EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE:

MRS. CLARKE, Chairman, presented the following report which she read at this time:

REPORT - EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

This is a preliminary, or progress report on assignment titled:
"POSSIBILITY OF REVISING METHOD OF ADMINISTRATION OF CONTRACTS ENTERED INTO BY THE CITY WITH INDIVIDUALS NOT EMPLOYED BY THE CITY."

This Committee met April 25th in the office of the Mayor. The members present were: William Walsh, Vincent Vitti, Anthony Truglia and Hilda Clarke. Two members of the Board of Education, with service on Building and Sites, Mrs. Margaret Hume and Mr. Henry Nolan were also present.

On May 11th, the Committee again met in the Mayor's office, with the Commissioner of Public Works, John Canavan. Committee members present; Anthony Truglia, William Walsh and Hilda Clarke.

On May 18th, the Committee members William Walsh, Anthony Truglia and Hilda Clarke met with Commissioner Canavan in his office.

On May 24th, Committee members William Walsh, Jack Baer and Hilda Clarke, met in Commissioner Canavan's office with the Commissioner, Purchasing Agent Frank Benevelli; City Engineer Charles White and Building and Grounds Superintendent Guy Clements.

Since Stamford is currently spending large sums in building schools, the Committee approached this angle first. The Board of Education picks the site, advertises, interviews and selects an architect and finally, the plans. The school building and grounds Superintendent is present at most meetings. The Superintendent of Schools submits the plans to the State.

The Commissioner of Public Works then receives the construction plans. A copy of the site plan is sent to the City Engineer. Preliminary to working plans, the Purchasing Agent advertises for bids and the lowest, qualified bidder is awarded the contract. Other City Departments are involved as outlined in the Charter, but it is the Commissioner of Public Works who is responsible for work performed under any contract of the municipality. A Clerk of the Works is assigned to see that the terms of the contract are carried out day by day on the job. This is all a brief run-down.

Ideas discussed and recommended in Committee: That there should be a closer liaison between the Board of Education and the Commissioner of Public Works, from the inception of the project. At present, he does not enter the picture until construction starts.

That the Board of Education, notably hard working, intelligent or dedicated though they may be, are not building experts, engineers or architects, and should have the benefit of a professional, experienced engineer, hired permanently by the City, and without political ties, to advise, inspect and follow through to the end on plans and construction. He would, of course, cost more than a Clerk of the Works, but he should be worth it to the City.

That the time has come to stop building schools as show places - their function is education. That module, or standard, as opposed to special materials (always more costly) should always be used.

According to studies of the State Department of Education, schools built today may easily be outmoded in twenty years, due to rapidly changing ideas and conditions.

That it might prove profitable to investigate school plans, available in the State Department of Education. Two booklets received covered "SCHOOL BUILDING PROJECT PROCEDURES" and "ACQUISITION OF SCHOOL SITES" contain much valuable information and help. The "Economy Building Commission will provide towns with assistance in building economical schools.

The officials of the City and Board of Education members were most cooperative when we met with them.

While the Committee leaned toward one especially trained and professional

individual to be responsible in these matters, others felt that having many departments involved, set up a valuable method of checks and balances.

As mentioned above, this is a progress report on an exploratory task. The Committee submits it as such, pending the next Steering Committee meeting when further clarification of future Committee action will be discussed.

Respectfully submitted,

HILDA S. CLARKE, Chairman,
Education, Welfare and
Government Committee

URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

MR. OPPENHEIMER, Chairman, presented the following committee report at this time:

REPORT OF URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Meeting held May 17, 1962

A regular meeting of the Urban Redevelopment Committee was held at 8 P.M. Thursday, May 17th at the Urban Redevelopment Commission's office. Committee members present were: Messrs. Anthony Esposito, Carmine Longo, Benjamin Kozlowski, William Murphy and David Oppenheimer; also Mr. Patsy Arruzza of the 4th District. Members of the Commission present were: Mrs. Dwight Marshall, Messrs. Louis Greenbaum, Paul Plotkin, James Carey, Salem Shapiro and John Toth.

We were privileged to have, as guest speaker, Mr. John R. White, Senior Vice President of the well known real estate firm of Brown, Harris and Stevens, New York. His informal discussion dealt with the economic aspect of our plan, such as "What ingredients are necessary to make it a financial success."

He felt that we, in Stamford, are in a enviable position compared to many other cities involved in urban redevelopment, because we are assured of increased tax revenues from the quadrant and a large and ever-increasing regional population that will do their shopping in the area. Some cities are lucky if their program just stops the exodus of industries, people and tax revenues. (New Britain is an example.) We also enjoy a proximity to the advantages of New York, a front seat at one of the world's most popular water facilities, and a native charm and rusticity that makes Stamford attractive to higher salaried research companies and light industries. Mr. White also felt that the problem of relocating businesses and residents was an average one and well within our abilities and resources. Other subjects, such as traffic, convention facilities, and inter-dependence on neighboring areas were discussed.

Plans for an inspection trip to the Hartford project were talked about with much enthusiasm.

The meeting adjourned slightly after 10:00 P.M.

David E. Oppenheimer, Chairman
Urban Redevelopment Committee

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE:

MR. MELZER, Chairman of the above committee, presented the following report:

"On Friday, June 1, 1962 an organizational meeting of the Charter Revision Commission was called by Paul D. Shapero, President of the Board of Representatives. All members of the Commission were present. At said meeting Michael Nagurney was elected Chairman of the 5th Charter Revision Commission and Mrs. Pobie Johnston was elected Secretary.

"A deadline for proposed changes and amendments to the Stamford Charter was established; said date being mid-night June 30, 1962. All proposed changes or amendments must specify the section of the Charter, must be in writing and must be signed by the proponent.

"A public hearing on any submitted proposals will be held at Dolan Jr. High School during the week of July 9, 1962, the date to be specified later.

"The meeting was adjourned at 8:30 P.M."

Franklin Melzer, Chairman
Charter Revision Committee

PICNIC COMMITTEE:

MR. GEORGE RUSSELL, Chairman of the Picnic Committee, reported that the Picnic this year will be held Sunday, July 1st; the committee has not yet arrived at a place to hold the Picnic. He said, for the benefit of the new members, this is a picnic for the members of the Board, with invitations to all city officials, both past and present.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS:

THE PRESIDENT said he was in receipt of two communications, as follows:

PETITION NO. 288 - REQUEST FROM STAMFORD MUSICIANS' PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION TO HOLD CARNIVAL

THE PRESIDENT read the following letter: (in response to letter of 5/22/62)

Dear Mr. Shapero:

In reference to your letter of May 22nd, I would like to re-submit an application requesting use of the Magee Avenue City lot for the purpose of conducting a carnival under the auspices of the Stamford Musicians Protective Association, Local 626. Funds hoped to be raised by this affair are to be used strictly for public educational and recreational purposes.

As you know, we conduct weekly concerts at Woodside Park during the months of July and August, which are naturally free to the public. Musicians who participate in these concerts are paid by money allocated to us from the Music Performance Trust Fund. These concerts alone necessitate a special library of music including special music scores, piano rentals, public address system and rental and securing of special instrumentation.

The following are other activities that this money would be used for:

- (1) Furnishing of music during year, at no cost, to the annual adult graduation exercises, annual boy scout meeting, Sunset Home during Christmas season.
- (2) Entertaining 200 children between ages of 5 through 12 at annual Christmas party.
- (3) Supplying toys to the Salvation Army at Christmas time.

The aforementioned concerts are attended by thousands weekly and I am sure that members of the Board of Representatives who have attended these concerts will confirm their support of these worthwhile projects.

This year the Exchange Club has come to our aid by purchasing a concert trailer which we will inaugurate at our concert during the first week of July.

The details regarding the future date requested and further information on the type of carnival will be delivered to your office by members of my committee this weekend.

Thank you most sincerely for your cooperation, I remain

Respectfully yours,

FRANK LIVOLSI, President

Letter dated 5/24/62 from Connecticut Carnival Supply Co., 252
Lake Avenue, Bristol Connecticut

THE PRESIDENT read the following letter from the above concern:

Dear Sir:

I am sending you a list of my rides, we have a

Merry-Go-Round, Ferris Wheel, Roll-O-Plane, List a

whirl, Comet Kiddie Fire Engine, Kiddie Whip

20 x 40 Bingo

15 to 20 Mds. Booth - All games of skill.

Yours truly

John J. Belloise

THE PRESIDENT informed the Board members that this group has requested permission to have a Carnival during the first week in June and in order for permission to be given, the rules will have to be suspended.

MR. KELLY MOVED for suspension of the rules to take up this request for a Carnival for the Musicians' Union. Seconded by Mr. Truglia and CARRIED.

MR. KELLY MOVED that permission be given to the Musicians Local Union to have a Carnival on city-owned property. Seconded by Mr. Scarella.

MR. MEAD said he thought there should be provision made to have them clean up afterward.

THE PRESIDENT explained that any permission given would necessarily have to be subject to all Ordinances and rules of the Police and Fire Department and requirements of the Corporation Counsel being obeyed.

MR. KELLY said that whenever those grounds are used, the Public Works Department always sends someone over there to make sure that those things are done.

MR. JOHNSON inquired if the Ordinance (No. 98) does not restrict these matters very closely, allowing permission to be given only to certain types of organizations.

THE PRESIDENT informed Mr. Johnson that he thought this is a question that would have to be answered by the Corporation Counsel. He explained that anything this Board does in this line would necessarily be subject to all requirements being met.

VOTE taken on Mr. Kelly's motion, subject to the approval of the Corporation Counsel, the Fire Chief and the Chief of Police. CARRIED.

INVITATION to all Board members from the Yankee Division Veterans Association and Auxiliary to march in the parade on Saturday, June 30, 1962 along "Yankee Division Highway"

THE PRESIDENT announced that the above organization has extended a cordial invitation to all Board members to march in their parade.

NEW BUSINESS:

MR. TRUGLIA said that last year, he and the other representative from the 2nd District, Mr. Kozlowski, had requested a much needed sewer program in the 2nd District. He said in due time the contract was put out for bids and the contract awarded to a local construction company. He said there was considerable upset to the local residents from loose dirt left lying around over weekends. He said they

had been assured this condition would be corrected, after complaints being registered during the weekend of May 4th, 5th and 6th and the same condition still existed the following weekend. He said his constituents were forced to clean up the dirt themselves which was brought on by lack of concern of the contractor doing the work.

He urged that some consideration be given to specifying in all future contracts awarded by the city that due consideration be given to local residents when work of this nature is going on, to clean up these conditions, in order to eliminate as much dirt as is possible.

He asked that his recommendation be studied by this Board for possible future action.

THE PRESIDENT told Mr. Truglia to present his request in writing and it would be referred to the Steering Committee for consideration and referral to the proper committee.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, upon motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 12:15 A.M.

vf

Velma Farrell
Velma Farrell
Administrative Assistant
(Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

Paul D. Shapero
Paul D. Shapero, President
Board of Representatives

Note: The proceedings of the above meeting
were broadcast over Radio Station WSTC.