# Minutes of July 6, 1964 Meeting of the 8th Board of Representatives Stamford, Connecticut

The regular monthly meeting of the 8th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, July 6, 1964 in the Cafeteria of the Walter Dolan Jr. High School, Toms Road, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the President, Ronald M. Schwartz, at 8:20 P.M.

INVOCATION was given by Rev. George Poulos, Church of the Archangels.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG - The President led the members in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 35 present and 5 absent at the calling of the roll. However, Mr. Rand arrived shortly thereafter, changing the roll call to 36 present and 4 absent. The absent members were: Vito Biscaglio. Lee Mogul, Allen Shanen and Jennie Esposito.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of May 11, 1964 Meeting of June 1, 1964

The Minutes of the above two meetings were accepted, there being no corrections.

MAYOR'S ANNUAL REPORT - Fiscal Year 1963-1964

Mayor Thomas C. Mayers read his annual message at this time. Copies were handed to all Board members.

Mr. Rand arrived at this time, changing the roll call to 36 present and 4 absent.

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Mr. Schwartz, Chairman, read the Minutes of the Steering Committee as follows:

# STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT Meeting held Monday, June 22, 1964

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held on Monday, June 22, 1964, in the Board of Representatives' meeting room, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman at 8:10 P.M. All members were present, with the exception of the following: William Hearing, Benjamin Nathanson and Michael Zezima. Mr. Schwartz, the Chairman, left early to address the Naval Reserve Officers and Mr. Ketcham, Majority Leader, substituted at the meeting.

The following matters were discussed and acted upon:

(1) Mayor's appointments to various Boards, as outlined in his letters of June 1, 1964 and June 22, 1964; also the resubmission of Mrs. Lillian Meltzer to the HUBBARD HEIGHTS GOLF COMMISSION - Ordered placed on agenda under APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE.

- (2) Additional appropriations, approved by the Board of Finance on June 11, 1964 REFERRED TO FISCAL COMMITTEE, with items in excess of \$2,000.00 referred to a secondary committee.
- (3) Final adoption of Ordinance declaring intent of City to withdraw from the SOUTHWESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY Ordered on agenda under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE
- (4) SEWER CODE Adoption of Per Sec. 4 of Ordinance No. 80 (Being balance of Building Code not yet adopted Rest of Building Code adopted 7/1/59. with this portion left out) Ordered on agenda under PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTER.
- (5) DORSET LANE Petition requesting abandonment of strip of land at dead end Ordered on agenda under PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE
- (6) Request for change of name for AMELIA PLACE for STELMA CO. CORPORATION Ordered on agenda under PLANNING & ZONING COMPITTEE
- (7) Proposed Ordinance to cause supermarkets, grocery and variety stores to place cash registers so patrons can see tally on items rung up (Proposed in letter from Peter A. Martin, 18th District Representative, dated \$/6/64) REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (8) Request to AMEND BUILDING CODE, by repealing Paragraph 3, "Inspector"
  of Section 300, Heating & Air Conditioning part of Code Letter from
  Arthur Swinnerton, Bldg. Inspector, dated 4/24/64 REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (9) Concerning request for another fire hydrant on EUCLID AVENUE (Letter from Stephen Kelly, 12th Dist. Representative) REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (not on Agenda)
- (10) Concerning deplorable condition of road on STAMFORD AVENUE (Letter of 5/28/64 from Mr. Paul A. Verses to Mr. Lindstrom, 1st District Representative) REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (11) Request for SIDEWALK ON SOUTH SIDE OF CHESTNUT STREET (Letter dated 5/28/64 from residents to Mr. Tatano, 5th District Representative) REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (12) Concerning Mayor's request for permission to APPLY TO FEDERAL AND STATE
  GOVERNMENTS for grant to purchase 84 acre OLD MILL-MIANUS TRACT for park
  and recreational purposes (letter of 6/22/64)Ordered on syenda under
  LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE Also referred to Parks & Recreation
  Committee
- (13) Petition requesting ELIMINATION OF ASH DUST FROM CITY INCINERATOR, in East side (From Milton Libowitz, dated 6/22/64) REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE and HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE (On agenda)
- (14) Re INSTALLATION OF STORM SEWER LINE across various owners' property in ALVORD LANE and COMMERCE ROAD AREA (Letter from Robert S. Bello, dated 6/16/64 REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE and HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE (on agenda)

- (15) Re TODD LANE and PERSHING AVENUE Petition from residents, dated 5/5/64, concerning hazardous health conditions from brook REFFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (not on Agenda)
- (16) Re WIDENING OF SKY MEADOW DRIVE (Letter from Marilyn Sabini, dated 6/7/74, to Alan Ketcham, 20th Dist. Representative) REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE AND HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE
- (17) Request for TRAFFIC LIGHT OR SIGN AT INTERSECTION OF SKYVIEW DRIVE, POND ROAD and BLUEBERRY DRIVE (From Peter Martin, 18th Dist. Representative, dated 6/19/64) (because of dangerous conditions REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (18) Re PROPOSED MUNICIPAL CODE OF FAIR PRACTICES (Submitted by Lee Mogul, 8th Dist. Representative, dated 6/22/64 REFERRED TO PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (not on agenda)
- (19) Concerning better liaison between REDISTRICTING STUDY COMMITTEE, the BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES and 8th CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION to eliminate any confusion (Letter from Raymond Cushing, 8th Charter Revision Commission dated 5/19/64) REFERRED TO CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE
- (20) Proposed substitute RESOLUTION for RESULUTION NO. 415, adopted 3/4/63, AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE and EXECUTION OF ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT (Requested in Mayor's letter of 6/22/64, pertaining to Urhan Redevelopment Commission's request to Mayor dated 5/19/64) (Note: For previous Resolution No. 415, see Minutes of 3/4/63, pages 3606-3607)
- (21) Request for ABANDONMENT OF BETSY BROWN ROAD (Letter and petition, dated 6/17/64, from Michael Sherman, 18th Dist. Representative) REFERRED TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE (Ordered on agenda)
- (22) Carbon copy of letter from John Macrides, dated 6/11/64, to Board of Finance (with attached correspondence) CONCERNING PROPOSED EASEMENT TO FRIENDSHIP HOUSE, INC. over city-owned Hatch Field Noted and filed, with copies given to Education, Welfare & Government Committee for study.
- (23) Department of Public Welfare. Monthly Report for April 1964 Noted and filed, with copy sent to Education, Welfare & Government Committee.
- (24) SALE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY (List of property from Planning Board and recommendations for disposition of same, their meeting of 5/26/64, pursuant to request of Commissioner of Finance of 5/8/64 Pursuant to provisions under Code of General Ordinances Sec. 2-24 and Sec. 2-25) (Copies mailed to all Board members 6/4/64) (Note: See Minutes of Steering Committee meeting of 7/20/64)
- (25) Concerning request for approval of FLOODLIGHTING FEES IN SCALZI PARK (Letter from Chairman of Park Commission, dated 5/13/64 TABLED at 6/15/64 meeting) Ordered on agenda under PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE
- (26) Concerning PARKING PROCEDURES AT CUMMINGS PARK (Letter of 6/22/64 from Alan H. Ketcham, 20th District Representative) REFERRED TO PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (on agenda)

- (27) Proposed Ordinance relative to the election of Justices of Peace
  REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE (on agenda)
- (28) Concerning Exchange of Properties between the City of Stamford and Research Resity. Inc., located on Wood Ridge Drive South (Mayor's letter of 6/5/64) REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE (on agenda)
- (29) Concerning DESIGNATE FROM REBUBLICAN TOWN CHAIRMAN (W. Patrick Ryan)
  as replacement on SPECIAL COMMITTEE CONSIDERING NEW BOUNDARY LINES FOR
  STAMFORD'S 20 VOTING DISTRICTS (Letter dated 6/19/64 from Mr. Ryan,
  designating John L. DeForest, former Town Chairman, as his replacement)
  NOTED AND FILED, with copy sent to Town & City Clerk, Chairman
  of the special committee.
- (30) RE WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEE on construction of new building for Aquath Sholom, 29 Grove St., a non-prof!t eleemosynary institution, under provisions of Building Code (Letter dated 6/20/64 from Louis Kuriansky to Building Inspector) REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (on agenda)
- (31) RE INSTALLATION OF TOW ZONE AT EAST END OF FAIRVIEW AVENUE EAST (R quested in letter dated 6/22/64 from Mr. Lindstrom, lit District
  Representative, and Paul Kuczo, Jr., 10th District Representative)
  (REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE, PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
  and HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE.
- (32) LOCATION OF JULY BOARD MEETING

For the reason that the Board's new meeting rooms are not yet ready for occupancy, it was decided to hold the July 6, 1964 meeting in the Cafeteria of Dolan Jr. High School.

Alan Ketcham, Chairman Pro Tempore Steering Committee

# APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE:

MR. NATHANSON, Chairman, presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held Tuesday, June 30, 1964, with all members present.

The Tellers distributed the ballots among the members. The vote is noted after each appointment as recorded below:

#### BUILDING BOARD OF APPEALS:

Term Ending:

(1) CARL W GOEBEL (R)
Westover Park
(Reappointment)

Jan. 1, 1968 (4yr. term)

VOTE: 20 yes 14 no 2 void

#### **ELECTRICAL EXAMINING BOARD:**

(2) HOMER MEYER (R) Master Electrician
36 Diamond Crest Lane VOTE: 30 yes
(Replacing John Burns) 6 no

Jan. 1, 1967 (3 yr. term) (3) FREDERICK TYNES (R) 160 High Clear Drive (Replacing Anthony Vero)

VOTE : 32 yes

Jan. 1, 1967 (3yr. term)

# FLOOD & EROSION CONTROL BOARD:

(4) WARREN S. DAVIDSON (R)
Old Wagon Road
(Replacing Alfred G. Kanzler)

VOTE: 28 yes 8 no Dec. 1, 1968

# HUBBARD HEIGHTS GOLF COMMISSION:

(5) MRS. LILLIAN MELTZER (D) (2nd submission)
44 Studio Road
(Replacing George Murphy) VOTE: 23 yes
13 no

Dec. 1, 1964

# PARKING AUTHORITY:

(6) ALFRED A SESSA (R)
444 Bedford Street
(Replacing Leonard W. Leeds)

VOTE: 31 yes 5 no Jan. 1, 1967

# PARK COMMISSION:

(7) DOMINICK DePRETA (R)
93 Avery Street
(Replacing Robert Crosswaite)

Dec. 1, 1967

#### BOARD OF TAXATION:

(8) OWEN ZUCKERT (R)
31 Old North Stamford Road
(Replacing James Bingham)

VOTE: 24 yes 12 no

VOTE: 33 yes

3 no

Dec. 1, 1967 (5yr. term)

#### ZONING BOARD:

(9) DOMINICK FLORENZA (R) 25 Gaymoor Circle (Replacing Elmer Lowden)

VOTE: 32 yes

Dec. 1, 1966

# PATRIOTIC & SPECIAL EVENTS COMMISSION:

(10) ROBERT W. ARMSTRONG III (R) 3 Dundee Road (Reappointment) (Deferred 5/4/64 and 6/1/64

VOTE: 29 yes 7 no Dec. 1, 1968 (5 yr. term)

# CONCERNING APPOINTMENTS ON AGENDA NOT ACTED UPON:

MR. TRUGLIA said he noted that three appointments which appear on the agenda, were not acted upon - namely, Jesse M. Wells, to Board of Taxation; Raymond Kellogg to Board of Taxation and Everett E. Niemi to Board of Tax Review. He asked, through the Chair, if the Chairman of the Appointments Committee could give the reason why. He asked if they had appeared before the Committee for interviews. Mr. Nathanson said they have all been interviewed. Mr. Truglia said he would like an explanation.

- MR. NATHANSON said the names he presented are the names of those that were acted upon at his committee meeting. The names of the three not acted upon are still in Committee.
- MR. TRUGLIA said he still could not understand why they had not been acted upon.

THE PRESIDENT informed Mr. Truglia that inasmuch as the Committee took no action, there is nothing to report.

- MR. TRUGLIA said he is still waiting to hear from Mr. Nathanson.
- MR. NATRANSON replied that he could give no further clarification, as the committee had not acted on all of the appointments submitted.
- MR. TRUGLIA asked if the Committee found the hour too late to continue its meeting.
- MR. NATHANSON said there was a motion to table which was carried.

#### NEW BALLOT BOXES:

MR. NATHANSON MOVED that the Board obtain new ballot boxes as the ones in use are in a bad state of disrepair. Seconded and CARRIED.

#### FISCAL COMMITTEE -

- MR. HEMINGWAY, Chairman, reported that the Committee met on July 1st at the Stamford Museum and approved the two items on the agenda.
- (1) \$8,116.29 REGISTRARS OF VOTERS, Code 102,5104, Election Expense
  (Mayor's letter of 5/8/64) (Deferred by Board of Finance on 5/14/64 and approved 6/11/64)
- MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request.
- MR. KUCZO, said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee also concur in approval and seconded the motion. CARRIED.
- (2) \$2,000.00 To be TRANSFERRED from SUNDRIES account to Code 171,0000 (Pension Consultant Service) (Per Sec. 656 of Charter) Mayor's letter received 6/5/64
- MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request.
- MR. PHILPOT said the Personnel Committee did not meet, but they concur in approval. He seconded the motion. CARRIED.

#### LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE:

- MR. SELSBERG, Chairman, gave his committee report. He said a meeting was held on July 1, 1964 in the Municipal Office Building, with the following members present: Messrs. Selsberg, Kane, Kelly and Keggi
- (1) Concerning Exchange of properties between the City of Stamford and Research Realty, Inc., located on Wood Ridge Drive South (Mayor's letter of 6/5/64)

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee, after thorough observation of submitted maps of the proposed exchange and Mr. James F. Bingham's letter to the Mayor, dated 5/21/64, that the exchange would be beneficial to the City, in that it would facilitate maintenance, deliveries, student transportation and would also be a convenience to a large number of homes.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for approval of the following proposed Ordinance for publication. Seconded and CARRIED:

#### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CONCERNING EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN THE CITY OF STANFORD AND RESEARCH REALTY, INC., LOCATED ON WOOD RIDGE DRIVE SOUTH

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 400 of the Stamford Charter and nothwithstanding any provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 to 2-27, inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the exchange of property between the City of Stamford and Research Realty, Inc., of the following properties is hereby approved, viz:

Property to be deeded to the City of Stamford by Research Realty, Inc.:

All that certain piece, parcel or tract of land situated in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut, being known and designated as "Meadow Park Avenue South", on a certain map to be filed in the City and Town Clerk's office, Stamford, Connecticut, entitled: "Map Showing Subdivision of Property of Research Realty, Inc., Section 2, Stamford, Connecticut."

Said parcel as shown on said map is bounded:

NORTHERLY: 50.00 feet by Meadow Park Avenue South,

EASTERLY: 148.20 feet by Lot No. 30 as shown on said map,

SOUTHERLY: 88.63 feet by Wood Ridge Drive South, and

WESTERLY: 141.41 feet by Lot No. 29 as shown on said map.

Property to be deeded to the Research Realty, Inc., by the City of Stamford, Connecticut:

All that certain piece, parcel or tract of land situated in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut, being known and designated as "Reserved for Future Road", on a certain map entitled: "Subdivision of Property of Research Realty, Inc., Section 1, Stamford, Connecticut", which map is filed in the City and Town Clerk's office, Stamford, Connecticut, as map numbered 7617.

# Minutes of July 6, 1964

Said Parcel as shown on said map is bounded:

2012 . 01401 02 21000 00 0010 mb 12 14011001

NORTHERLY: 147.27 feet by Lot No. 7 us shown on said map,

EASTERLY: 50.07 feet by land of Wood Ridge Lake

Corporation,

SOUTHERLY: 148,71 feet by land of Lot \*6 as shown on

said map, and

WESTERLY: 90,00 feet by Wood Ridge Drive South

and is hereby authorized.

The Mayor is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City and to execute all documents necessary to transfer title to such above described property.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(2) Resolutions (Nos. 446 and 447) concerning authorization of the filing of applications to the State and Federal Governments for Grant to acquire land for park and open space, located on 84 acre QLD MILL-Mianus Tract. (Per Mayor's letter of 6/22/64) (Approved by Planning Board 5/8/64 - No approval of Board of Finance required at this time)

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee that it would be extremely beneficial to the City of Stamford to produce the 84 acres which would be used for park and recreational purposes. He said the asking price is \$270,000.00 of which approximately \$162,000.00 may be acquired from the Federal and State Governments. He said all that is necessary at the present time is the Board of Representatives' permission to apply to the Federal and State Governments for grants, which no way commits the City to either the acceptance and/or approval of the acquisition of this property.

Because of the length of the resolutions, Mr. Selsberg requested the waiving of their reading, inasmuch as they were on file with Mrs. Farrell, the Administrative Assistant of the Board. His request was approved.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for approval of the following resolution; seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

#### RESOLUTION NO. 446

CONCERNING AUTHORIZATION OF THE FILING OF APPLICATION TO STATE OF CONNECTICUT COUNCIL ON AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES FOR GRANT TO ACQUIRE LAND FOR PARK AND OPEN SPACE, LOCATED ON 84 ACRE OLD MILL-MIANUS TRACT,

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the City of Stamford has reviewed and approved the area known as OLD MILL - Mianus Tract, City of Stamford, Connecticut, and

WHEREAS, the Mayor's Advisory Committee on Conservation has reviewed and approved the area known as OLD MILL - MIANUS TRACT for open space purposes which the municipality desires to acquire for open space purposes, and the Planning Board has adopted a plan which designates such area for such open space purposes; and

WHEREAS, the municipality wishes to obtain financial assistance from the State of Connecticut:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, as follows:

- That an application be made to the Council on Agriculture and Natural Resources for a grant in an amount presently estimated to be \$108,000,00 Dollars, and that the balance of the consideration for the acquisition and the total of all related costs will be secured by the municipality from other sources.
- That the Mayor is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application, and to act as authorized correspondent.
- 3. That the proposed acquisition is in accordance with the Plan of development of the municipality and that, should said grant be made, said land will be retained in accordance with the provisions of Public Act 649 of the 1963 Session of the General Assembly.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for approval of the following resolution; Mr. Kelly said the Parks and Recreation Committee also concurs, and seconded the motion. CARRIED unanimously.

#### RESOLUTION NO. 447

APPROVAL AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF APPLICATION
TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR GRANT TO ACQUIRE LAND
FOR PARK AND OPEN SPACE.

WHEREAS, Title VII of the Housing Act of 1961 provides for the making of grants by the Housing and Home Finance Administrator to States and local public hodies to assist them in the acquisition of permanent interests in land for open-space purposes where such acquisition is deemed essential to the proper long-range development and welfare of urban areas in accordance with plans for the allocation of land to such purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stamford desires to acquire full title to certain land known as Old Mill-Mianus Tract, which land, in accordance with the open space provisions of the Plan of Development (Master Plan) adopted by the Planning Board of the City of Stamford, is to be held and used for permanent open-space land as park and recreational, mature studies, camping, fishing and similar uses; and

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the total consideration to be paid for the acquisition of said interest will be approximately \$270,000.00:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. That an application be made to the Housing and Home Finance Agency for a grant in an amount authorized by Title VII of the Housing Act of 1961, which amount shall not exceed \$55,000.00, and that the City of Stamford will pay the balance of the consideration for the acquisition of such interest and the total of all related costs from other funds available to it.
- 2. That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and directed to execute and to file such application with the Housing and Home Finance Agency, to provide additional information and to furnish such documents as may be required by said Agency, to execute such contracts as are required by said Agency, and to act as the authorized correspondent of the City of Stamford.
- S. That the proposed acquisition is in accordance with the Plan of Development (Master Plan) adopted by the Planning Board of the City of Stamford for the preservation of permanent open-space land, and that, should said grant he made, the City of Stamford will retain said land for the uses designated in said application and approved by the Housing and Home Finance Agency.

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(3) Proposed Substitute Resolution No. 448 for previous Resolution No. 415, adopted 3'1/63, Authorizing Filing of Application for State Financial Assistance and Execution of Assistance Agreement. (requested in Mayor's letter of 6/22/64 pertaining to Urban Redevelopment Commission's request to Mayor dated 5/19/64) (Note: For previous Resolution No. 415, see pages 3606-3607, Minutes of 3/4/63)

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee that such a resolution should be proposed and adopted by the Board, because it is a matter of form only.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Rich, Chairman of the Urhan Renewal Committee, who reported that his committee concurred in approval. CARRIED unanimously:

# RESOLUTION NO. 448

# AUTHORIZIN; FILING OF APPLICATION FOR STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCEAND EXECUTION OF ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STANFORD THAT:

Section 1. The area in the Municipality known and described as H.H.F.A. Project No. Conn. R-43 and named the Southeast Quadrant (Extended) Urban Renewal Project is a substandard, insanitary, deteriorated, deteriorating or blighted area and is an urban renewal or redevelopment area within the intendment of the redevelopment laws of the State.

Section 2. The existence of said area is impairing and arresting the sound growth and development of the Municipality and is inimical to the public health, safety, morals and welfare of the inhabitants there of and of the State.

Section 3. The Municipality is undertaking a redevelopment or an urban renewal project in said area, hereinafter called the Project, under and pursuant to the Federal Housing Act of 1949, as amended.

Section 4. The development of the Project is essential to the sound growth and development of the Municipality and to the welfare of the inhabitants thereof.

Section 5. It is necessary and in the public interest that the Municipality avail itself of the financial assistance provided by the redevelopment laws of the State for the purpose of carrying out the Project.

Section 6. The municipality hereby recognizes that financial assistance received in the form of an advance shall be repaid in accordance with the provisions of Sections 8-154a, 8-154b and 8-154c, General Statutes, as amended by Public Act \*646, 1963 Session.

Section 7. The Municipality's redevelopment agency is hereby authorized and directed to file an application on forms prescribed by the Connecticut Development Commission, for State financial assistance to the Project in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing Act, in an amount not to exceed \$6,197,532.00, and upon approval of said application, to enterinto and execute an Assistance Agreement with the State for such financial assistance.

#### (4) Proposed Ordinance relative to the election of Justices of the Peace.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee that the number of Justices of the Peace should be fixed at 70. Pursuant to Section 9-183 of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, HE MOVED approval of the following Ordinance for publication, which was seconded and CARRIED:

#### PROPOSED ORDINANCE

# RELATIVE TO THE ELECTION OF JUSTICES OF THE PEACE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STANFORD THAT:

WHEREAS, Section 9-183 of the Connecticut General Statues (Revision of 1958) provides that the number of Justices of the Peace for each town shall be equal to one-third the number of jurors to which such town is by law entitled, and

WHEREAS, by law the City of Stamford is entitled to 540 jurors, and

WHEREAS, there is no need for the election of 180 Justices of the Peace in the City of Stamford, and

# Minutes of July 6, 1964

WHEREAS, Section 9-183 of the Connecticut General Statutes (Revision of 1958) provides that a City may, by Ordinance, provide for the election of a lesser number of Justices of the Peace for such City,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED that the number of Justices of the Peace for the City of Stamford be fixed at 70.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

#### \*\*\*\*

(5) Request for Weiver of Building Permit Fee for construction of a new building on behalf of the Congregation Agudath Sholom, a non-profit eleemosynary institution (Letter dated 6/20/64 to Building Inspector from Louis J. Kuriansky)

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee that this request should be approved, pursuant to the provisions of Ordinance No. 80.6 Supplemental (amendment to Building Code - Adopted 6/20/64 - See pages 4024-25 of Minutes), Section 13 thereof.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED that the building permit fee for the above request be waived. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

(6) Final adoption of Ordinance declaring the intent of the City of Stamford to withdraw from the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency. (Adopted for publication on 6/1/64; published 6/9/64 - See page 4028, Minutes of 6/1/64)

MR. SELSBERG said it was the opinion of the Committee that the Ordinance was in proper form and hereby MOVES the approval of the following Ordinance for final adoption; seconded:

# ORDINANCE DECLARING THE INTENT OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO WITHDRAW FROM THE SOUTHWESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8-36a of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, Revision of 1958, as amended, and notwithstanding the provisions of any other Ordinance of the City of Stamford, the said City of Stamford does hereby declare its intent to withdraw from membership in the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency, and does hereby withdraw from membership in the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency, effective six months after the date of the enactment of this Ordinance, and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED THAT:

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to give all required notices of such withdrawal from membership in the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

\*\*\*\*

MR. RICH spoke against the adoption of this Ordinance and said he was in favor of remaining a member of this Agency.

THE PRESIDENT said there has been a request for a five minute RECESS by the Majority Leader and this Board will now adjourn for the recess. (10:00 P.M.)

RECESS over at 10:10 P.M. The members resumed their seats.

MR. PHILPOT spoke against the adoption of the Ordinance and read a letter to him from the Assistant Managing Director (James S. Klar) of the State of Connecticut Development Commission, dated July 2, 1964, which follows:

Mr. R. A. Philpot 46 Oakdale Road Glenbrook Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Philpot:

This is in response to your telephone inquiry regarding certain aspects of the federal and state laws governing regional planning and regional planning grants.

The following answers to the questions include quoted repetition of the questions themselves as you delivered them over the telephone on July 1, 1964:

"1. The degree to which, if any, the federal government through grants to the regional agency is or will be, under the terms of the present law, able to control the acts of the agency or of its individual member towns."

The federal government makes grants to the regional agency through the Housing and Home Finance Agency under Section 701 of the Housing Act of 1954, as amended. The HHFA "...Administrator is authorized to make planning grants to...regional planning agencies...." Such appropriations are made in accordance with usual administrative and fiscal control. All grants are made under a contract between the HHFA and the regional agency and include a scope of services defining that planning work which is to be performed.

The HHFA's concern is that comprehensive planning be completed as provided for in the scope of services agreed upon with the regional planning agency but limited to the following types of studies: "(1) preparation, as a guide for long-range development, of general physical plans with respect to the pattern and intensity of land use and the provision of public facilities, including transportation facilities, together with long-range fiscal plans for such development; (2) programing of capital improvements based on a determination of relative urgency, together with definitive financing plans for the improvements to be constructed in the earlier years of the program; (3) coordination of all related plans of the departments or subdivisions of the government concerned; (4) intergovernmental coordination of all related planned activities among the state and local governmental agencies concerned; and (5) preparation of regulatory and administrative measures in support of the foregoing." (Section 701 of the Housing Act of 1954, as amended.) The federal government does not dictate the content of these plans or studies or the decisions made regarding their use.

<sup>&</sup>quot;2. The degree to which the State of Connecticut through grants,

regardless of where they are derived, is or will be, under current legislation, able to control the individual municipalities or the regional planning agency."

The Stata Legislature, through the Regional Bnabling Legislation, Chapter 127 of the General Statutes, has directed the Connecticut Development Commission to: "define or redefine; promote and assist the formation of, provide technical assistance to; and administer planning grants provided by the General Assembly; to regional planning agencies." The regional planning agency must "make a plan of development for its area of operation, showing its recommendations for the general use of the area including land use, principal highways and freeways, bridges, airports, parks, playgrounds, recreational areas, schools, public institutions, public utilities and such other matters as, in the opinion of the agency, will be beneficial to the area. Any regional plan so developed shall be based on studies of physical, social, economic and governmental conditions and trends and shall be designed to promote with the greatest efficiency and economy the coordinated development of its area of operation and the general welfare and prosperity of its people." (Chapter 127 of the General Statutes, 1958 Revision, as amended.)

The state through the Connecticut Development Commission, therefore, assists the regional planning agency in the ways and within the legislative context designated above. Otherwise, the state does not control the content of the regional plan nor does it have any power within the regional planning assistance program over the action of any municipality.

"3. The degree to which the regional planning agency itself can exert control over the participating municipalities."

A regional planning agency is not a new form of government. It has no taxing, police or eminent domain powers. It is answerable to the voters through their appointed representatives. Plans and policies are adopted by vote of the municipal representatives of the agency. As in local planning, a hearing must be held before plans are adopted. A regional planning agency has no authority to enforce its recommendations. A regional plan is an advisory document for each municipality in that region and is valued by the municipalities and any others concerned by virtue of the fact that the plan proposes "a good idea." Even the subdivision and zoning referral recommendations by the regional planning agency are advisory only. The agency has no power, either direct or indirect, to implement its comprehensive plan.

"4. The degree of financial obligation which Stamford shall have incurred if (a) it withdraws at the end of year or (b) it continues and should withdraw at some future date; that obligation as it would pertain both to the repsyment of federal funds and of state funds."

Since the regional planning legislation does not precisely explain the financial responsibility of a town when it withdraws its membership from a regional planning agency (see Section 8 - 36s), an informal verbal opinion on this matter was obtained from an Assistant Attorney General. He has stated that unless there was a specific contract between the regional planning agency and the municipality regarding payment of local funds, the regional agency would have no legal recourse to obtain the appropriated town funds. The municipality has the option of making either full, partial or no payment upon withdrawal from the regional planning agency. So far, and for obvious possible complications,

no regional agency in Connecticut has contracted with any of its member municipalities.

The regional planning agency, which is under contract with both state and federal governments, "must make its adjustments for any inequities resulting from such withdrawal." (Section 8-36a.) However, it is the opinion of the staff of the Connecticut Development Commission that a municipality has an obvious obligation to make a payment for that period of time it is a member of the regional planning agency or for its pro rata share of funds advanced by the state or federal government prior to the municipality's withdrawal.

A copy of the pertinent federal and state legislation is enclosed.

I trust that the answers provided are satisfactory for your purposes. Obviously, answers to such general questions could be expanded considerably but for the purposes required I believe it is best to stick to the statements as herein presented. Of course, the state government feels that communities can best resolve their own problems of future development collectively and it is for this reason that financial assistance is provided. The future of the state is dependent upon the future of its communities. It is sincerely hoped that Stamford will continue its membership in the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency and will actively assist in making a strong voice with the communities of your part of the state in working (1) collectively to help each other, (2) with other state and federal agencies, and (3) with the Tri-State Transportation Committee.

We are most anxious to hear the outcome and will look forward to hearing from you on Tuesday, July 7.

Very truly yours,

James S. Klar Assistant Managing Director

JSK:dlc Encs.

MR. KANE spoke in opposition to the adoption of the Ordinance, and said he was definitely in favor of staying in the Agency.

MR. SHERMAN spoke in opposition to the Ordinance and said that 86 of the 169 towns in Connecticut belong to the S.W.R.P.A. He urged the members to defeat the adoption of the Ordinance.

MR. KEGGI spoke in favor of remaining a member of the Agency.

MR, KUCZO spoke in favor of the Ordinance.

MR. JOHN MORRIS spoke in opposition to the Ordinance. He read a letter which he had received from a member of the League of Women Voters who resides in his District, urging that Stamford remain in the Agency.

MR. KETCHAM spoke in favor of the Ordinance. He said he did not doubt that a good case could be presented either for or against remaining in the Agency. He pointed

out that a few years ago another similar situation developed when County government was abolished, because of such "good communication between the various towns and cities made the County form of government arachaic". He said it would seem that we are now trying to reverse the trend by setting up this Regional Planning Agency. He urged passage of the Ordinance.

MR. MARTIN rose on a point of information and said he had a question directed at nobody. He said the question he thinks is germane at this point is what does the Agency take in (appropriations) in total. He said he has no idea from any of the letters that he has received for and against the passage of the Ordinance.

MR. SHERMAN said the total budget of the Regional Planning Agency, as far as contributions of member towns is a total of \$9,040,00 per year. He said that through the Connecticut Development Commission, matching funds are available on a dollar for a dollar basis, thereby giving the Agency, in effect, double that amount, or \$18,080.00 for a single year, which, however is done on a biennium basis, since the Legislature meets on a biennium basis.

MR. MARTIN asked if what we are talking about, then, is a total of \$18,080.00?

MR. SHERMAN said the Federal grant does not enter into this at this point of the discussion. However, he said the Federal Government will then give \$2.00 for each one of those dollars, upon application by the Agency.

MR. MARTIN said his second point of information is that Norwalk apparently is not contributing anything to the Agency. He asked why this is so.

MR. SHERMAN said the situation in Norwalk is not unlike the situation in Stamford last year on urban redevelopment - approved by all Boards, except by one member of the Board of Finance. He said the same thing happened in Norwalk in last year's appropriation.....the Mayor, City Council, approved it and the Board of Estimate and Taxation denied the appropriation. He said it appears very likely that it will be approved this year, if Stamford remains in the Agency.

MR. CONNORS said he fails to see that Regional Planning has any bearing on Federal or State funds. He said the City has received both Federal and State grants in the past and wanted to know what bearing regional planning could possibly have on the Board of Education. He said it doesn't make sense and when he read this in the paper - the news letter from the Stamford Good Government. He said he failed to see any relationship as to whether we belong or don't belong to it. He said, for instance, the Town of Greenwich does not belong to the Agency. He said Stamford is an industrial town and what bearing does New Cansan, Darien have on the City of Stamford, as far as air pollution, or anything else, as they have very little in common, because Stamford has factories which neither one of the two towns mentioned have. He said he failed to see where Stamford can gain anything by joining the Agency.

THE PRESIDENT said it is nearly 11:00 O'clock at which time this Board will go off the air. He reminded the members that many people are, no doubt, listening to the broadcast and are eager to hear the outcome of this matter. He urged the members to make their speeches brief.

MR. TRUGLIA said he did some investigating on his own and would say that most of the charges are not to be substantiated and as far as he is concerned, would like to see the Regional Planning Agency continued.

MR. THOMAS MORRIS said it was obvious to him that most people who spoke in favor of

staying in the Agency seem to have a bit of fear as to what might happen, even when they intend to vote yes. He said he hasn't seen a Commission or an Agency yet that did not want power, and it would seem to him that the present Agency does not want it to appear as if they want power, because if it appeared as if they had a lot of power they would be unable to "woo them over". He urged support of the Ordinance.

Mr. Sherman, Mr. Martin and Mr. Philpot spoke again on the question.

MR. LINDSTROM said he has heard that regional planning is supposed to take care of air pollution, water pollution, and so on. He pointed out that down in Shippan the city has a big problem of water pollution, with raw sewage on our beaches. He said earlier in the meeting this Board considered a petition concerning ash dust from the City's own incinerator. He said he would like to know how air pollution through regional planning is going to help us when we can't handle our own problems.

MR. CONNORS MOVED THE QUESTION. CARRIED.

A roll call vote was requested, CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT explained the vote. He said if you vote "yes" the city will be out of regional planning and if you vote "no" --- in other words, against the Ordinance, then Stamford will remain in the Regional Planning Agency. He said 21 votes will be necessary to carry for the reason that it is an Ordinance.

The Clerk called the roll on item No. 6 on the Agenda concerning the proposed Ordinance to withdraw from the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency. LOST by a vote of 16 opposed, 19 in favor and one abstention (Mr. George Russell). The roll call vote follows:

# THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

- (R) Joseph Bitetto
- (D) Vincent Caporizzo
- (D) George Connors
- (D) Edward Dombroski
- (D) Robert Durso
- (R) William Hearing
- (R) Booth Hemingway
- (D) Stephen Kelly
- (R) Alan Ketcham
- (D) Paul Kuczo
- (R) Frances Lilliendahl
- (R) Edwin Lindstrom
- (D) Carmine Longo
- (R) Peter Martin
- (R) Thomas Morris
- (R) Benjamin Nathanson
- (R) Daniel Remling
- (D) Gerald Rybnick (R) Andrew Tatano
  - de de Maria Maria

# THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION

- (D) Patsy Arruzza
- (D) John Kane
- (R) J. John Keggi
- (D) Stanley Kulowiec
- (D) John Morris
- (D) William Murphy
- (R) Romaine Philpot
- (R) Paul Rand
- (R) John Rich
- (R) Ronald Schwartz
- (R) William Selaberg
- (R) Michael Sherman
- (D) Anthony Truglia
- (D) Dominick Vivons
- (D) Chester Walajtys
- (R) Michael Zezima

# ABSTAINED

(R) George Russell

#### PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

MR. MORRIS, Chairman, presented his Committee report at this time. (verbal)

(1) <u>Petition (dated 6/22/64) from Milton Libowitz, 36 Mages Avenue, requesting elimination of ash dust emanating from the City Incinerator in the easterly portion of Stamford.</u> (Copy of petition also sent to the Mayor)

MR. MORRIS read a letter from the Corporation Counsel to Mr. Libowitz regarding the above matter, which had been turned over to that office by the Mayor, in which he explained that the City of Stamford is now in the process of acting upon the installation of a modern type incinerator which will include the latest type of smoke abatement equipment and that the information is being passed along to him in order that he may know that all effort is being expended in overcoming this nuisance to the residents of the City.

(2) Concerning installation of a storm sewer line across the properties of owners of land in the ALVORD LANE and COMMERCE ROAD area. (Letter dated 6/16/64 from Attorney Robert S. Bellow)

MR. MORRIS said he spoke to Mr. Mitchell, Commissioner of Public Works, and he said bids have gone out for the job and this work should be completed shortly.

(3) Concerning adoption of a SEWER CODE, as per Sec. 4 of Ordinance No. 80 (Being balance of Building Code never adopted - Rest of Building Code having been adopted 7/1/59 with this portion left out) (Deferred 3/2/64; 4/6/64; 5/4/64 and 6/1/64)

MR. MORRIS said the Sewer Code is now pretty well set and it is now in the Legislature and Rules Committee, who will take care of holding a public hearing in the near future. DEFERRED for further action by that Committee.

(4) Re installation of TOW ZONE at EAST END OF FAIRVIEW AVENUE EAST (Requested in letter dated 6/22/64 from Mr. Lindstrom, 1st District Representative, and Paul Kuczo, Jr., 10th District Representative) (See No. 31 under report of Steering Committee)

MR. KUCZO asked if any action was being taken on the above matter.

MR. MORRIS said this was not on the agenda for tonight. He reported that he has spoken to the Commissioner of Public Works, and that it is quite a problem and not only involves the Public Works and also involves Health and Protection and the Legislative and Rules Committee.

#### HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE:

#### TRAFFIC LIGHTS - INSTALLATION AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS

MR. HEARING reported that there are four traffic lights being installed at the present time in four different locations. He said the one at Seaside Avenue and Sylvan Knoll. He said there also was another one on Shippan Avenue that we had a few years back.

#### PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE:

MR. RUSSELL, Chairman, said his Committee met on Wednesday, July 1, 1964.

(1) Concerning petition requesting abandonment of strip of land at dead end of DORSET LANE (Pursuant to Sec. 641, Chapter 64 of Charter)

MR. RUSSELL MOVED for abandonment of the following described road; seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

- DORSET LANE A one foot strip across the width and at the accepted dead-end of DORSET LANE, being shown on Map No. 7086, known as Section 1, Woodway Acres, on file in the Town and City Clerk's Office. Also shown on Map No. 319, Stamford Land Records, filed in the Town and City Clerk's office.
- (2) Request for Ordinance changing name of AMELIA PLACE, by the STELMA Company Corporation, in letter of 5/14/64 from Samuel S. Miller, broker.
- MR. RUSSELL said the Committee agreed to hold this item in committee for further consideration, due to the number of homes that would be affected and must be contacted.
- (3) Request for abandonment of BETSY BROWN ROAD (Letter and petition), dated 6/17/64 from Michael Sherman, 18th District Representative.
- MR. RUSSELL MOVED for abandonment of the following described undeveloped road; seconded and CARRIED unanimously:
  - BETSY BROWN ROAD Being an undeveloped road, 50 feet wide and approximately 485 feet in length, as shown and delineated on a certain map, entitled, "Property Surveyed for Dominic Crucitti, Stamford Greenwich, Conn.", which map is on file in the office of the Town and City Clerk of said City of Stamford, as Map Numbered 3744, reference thereto being hereby had.

### (4) Acceptance of roads as city streets:

MR. RUSSELL MOVED for acceptance of the following streets. He said they have all been certified for acceptance by the City Engineer, inspected, and meet with the approval of the Committee and that all maps referred to are on file in the office of the Town and City Clerk. His motion was seconded and CARRIED unanimously:

MICHAEL ROAD (Extension) - Extending from the already accepted portion, running southerly 530 feet to and including a permanent turnaround. Length 530 feet., width 27 ft. Map No. 7697.

MILL STREAM ROAD - Extending from Sawmill Road westerly to and including, temporary turnaround. Length, approximately 900 ft., more or less, width 27 ft., shown on Map No. 7718.

#### PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE:

MR. KELLY, Chairman, said the Committee met with the Park Commission on June 26, 1964, at which time the following were present: Mr. Bundock, Chairman of the Park Commission, Mr. Giordano, Mr. Cowlin and the Park Superintendent, Mr. Edward Connell, and from the Committee - Mrs. Esposito, Mr. Kulowiec, Mr. Bitatto, Mr. Dombroski and Mr. Kelly.

(1) Concerning parking procedures at CUMMINGS PARK (Requested in letter of 6/22/64 from Alan H. Ketcham, 20th District Representative)

MR. KELLY read the above letter which follows:

June 22, 1964

Mr. Steve Kelly, Chairman, Parks & Recreation Committee Board of Representatives Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Sir:

Several of my constituents have complained of the parking procedures at Cummings Park, especially over week-ends. Apparently, no check is made of vehicles, as to park stickers, with all vehicles allowed to park, and then ticketed, if not with stickers.

As a result, Stamford residents who have paid for their beach stickers are penalized, with out-of-state and city vehicles being allowed to park with a minimum fine, if ever, paid.

Could this situation be investigated, and if this is the general rule, be corrected as soon as possible?

(signed) Alan H. Ketcham

MR. KELLY said he took this over to the Park Commission office and Mr. Farrell, who is Chief of the Auxiliary Police, happened to be there at the time.

MR. KELLY read a letter which he had received from Mr. Edward A. Connell, Superintendent of Parks and Trees, in which he states that all cars are checked at the rotary at the beach pavilion. If they have no stickers, they are allowed to pick up passengers and drive around the rotary without going into the parking area. He said, however, sometimes these drivers say that they are merely going to drive in and out of the parking lot and once they get past the rotary, if they do park, their cars are checked by the police and tagged.

MR. KETCHAM said he has a vague feeling that his question has not been answered. However, he thanked Mr. Kelly for making a valiant attempt.

(2) Concerning request for approval of FLOODLIGHTING FEES IN SCALZI PARK (Letter from Chairman of Park Commission, dated 5/13/64 - TABLED at 6/15/64 meeting)

MR. KELLY read his committee report on this matter. He said the meeting with the Park Commission members resulted in a much better understanding, such as a change of their meeting date to the first part of each month, a commitment to place the fees for any coming year in the hands of the Board in time to discuss them at the February meeting of the Board, shead of the upcoming budget, all policies of the Park Commission will be formulated by the Park Commission and rules and regulations will be submitted to the Board of Representatives, with any additions or deletions being made and submitted to the Board for their approval early each year.

He said that he was in receipt of a letter, dated June 30, 1964 from the Park Commission to the Board of Representatives, as follows:

SUNDAY through FRIDAY nights: FLOODLIGHTED AREAS IN SCALZI PARK

Softball Field ----- \$5.00 per night

Basketball Court ----- 2.00 per night

Small Games (Boccie, etc.) ------,50 per night

Saturday nights there will be no regularly scheduled or league games, except that if Sunday-Friday game has been rained out or postponed for a valid reason, and cannot be re-scheduled in the Sunday-Friday period, it can be played on Saturday night at the Sunday-Friday fee. In such cases, the game is to be arranged directly with the Park Department Office.

Also, the Park Commission requests the approval of the following: These fees and all other fees previously approved, may be REDUCED at the discretion of the Park Commission, but may not be increased, until such time as the request for an increase in the amount of fees has been presented to the Board of Representatives for their study and full approval by the Board of Representatives, is granted.

(signed) E. A. Connell, Superintendent

#### APPROVED:

Robert D. Bundock, Chairman Bruno Giordano T. Frank Cowlin Mrs. W. E. Mills, Jr.

MR. KELLY MOVED to withdraw his previous motion made at the June 15, 1964 meeting of this Board. (Note: See page 4043 of Minutes, 4th line from bottom of page)

Mr. Connors seconded Mr. Kelly's motion. CARRIED unanimously.

THE PRESIDENT informed the members that the "slate is cleared" and that the motion made by Mr. Kelly at the July 15, 1964 meeting to DENY the request of the Park Commission, which was later tabled, has now been withdrawn, so he can now offer a new motion on this request.

MR. KELLY MOVED approval of these fees, as recommended by the Parks and Recreation Committee. (See item #2 above) Seconded.

MR. TRUGLIA asked if it were in order to offer an amendment. THE PRESIDENT replied that it would be in order.

MR. TRUGLIA MOVED to amend Mr. Kelly's motion by adding the following:

"That all City sponsored recreational activities, such as soft ball, basket ball, be exempt from the fees,"

MR. KETCHAM said he thinks that any City body should be exempted from paying any fees for lights.

MR. KELLY accepted Mr. Truglia's amendment.

After some discussion, MR. SELSBERG MOVED the question. CARRIED, with one no vote. (Mr. Kuczo)

VOTE taken on Mr. Truglia's amendment, CARRIED.

VOTE taken on Mr. Kelly's motion, as amended. CARRIED.

#### EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE:

MR. KUCZO presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held on Thursday, July 2, 1964 with the following members present: Vito Biscaglio, Audrew Tatano and Paul Kuczo. Jr.

# (1) Concerning Closing of CLOONAN SCHOOL in September 1964

MR. KUCZO reported that the Committee had requested a meeting with representatives of the Board of Education in order to discuss the closing of Clooman School, and that a letter was sent to the President of the Board of Education, requesting such a meeting. Subsequently, a letter was received by the Committee from the President of the Board of Education, stating that such a meeting could serve no useful purpose, since the School had already been closed.

MR. KUCZO said the Committee felt that in the future, when a request is made of the Board of Education to meet with members of this Board to discuss such a vital issue, that the request should be complied with. Also, he said it was felt by the Committee that such requests should be considered and recognized. He said some members of this Board feel that they would like to see Cloonan School remain open until the new School is built and that some of the area residents feel that a final solution would be to use it as an elementary school. He said the Committee still feels they would like to talk this over with members of the Board of Education and will so request in the near future.

### (2) SMITH HOUSE Beds (defective condition):

MR. KUCZO said the above matter was also discussed by the committee, but no final resolution could be made, as the Corporation Counsel's office has not yet submitted their report on this matter concerning the guarantees on these beds, or what action is expected to be taken regarding the defective beds.

MR. SELSBERG asked to be excused at 11:45 P.M. This resulted in 35 now being present.

# URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE:

MR. RICH said he had a report of a meeting held by his committee on June 23, 1964 but in deference to the lateness of the hour, would hold it in reserve.

# COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS:

(1) Acknowledgement from family of former Board member, VITO C. LONGO regarding Board's letter of sympathy on their bereavement.

THE PRESIDENT read the above communication at this time.

(2) PARKING AUTHORITY - Request for installation of 20 METERS ON NORTH SIDE OF NORTH STATE STREET, from Atlantic Street to South Street (Their letter dated 7/2/64)

The above letter was ordered held for the next meeting of the Steering Committee, for proper referral to committee.

(3) SALE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY - (List of property from Planning Board and recommendations for disposition of same, their meeting of 5/26/64, pursuant to request of Commissioner of Finance of 5/8/64, - Fursuant to provisions of Sec. 2-24 and Sec. 2-25 of Code of General Ordinances) (Copies previously mailed to all Board members on 6/4/64)

THE PRESIDENT called attention to the above matter. Referred to Steering Committee to be placed on agenda for next meeting.

MR. RUSSELL suggested that all members of this Board study this list very carefully so that when it comes up for action, they will be familiar with it.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

#### STAMFORD HOSPITAL GROUND BREAKING CEREMONY

THE PRESIDENT called attention to the fact that there was a ground breaking ceremony today at the Stamford Hospital, representing the culmination of a great deal of effort on the part of many people from all walks of life, labor, management, corporations, private individuals and taxpayers - all participating in this great fund raising program. He said this Board should congratulate all of those people who participated in this program; the 400 or more people who were actively soliciting funds throughout the city. He said he attended the dedication today, was privileged to look over the plans and it was very interesting to see what is contemplated, which will result in great benefit to all residents and of great public service in the community.

#### OLD BUSINESS:

RE: COLLECTIVE BARGAINING FOR MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, under terms of Fublic Act 495 (1963 Session) Letter from Connecticut Municipal Policy Committee, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO, New Haven, Conn. (dated 6/23/64) to Mr. Truglia, Minority Leader.

MR. TRUGLIA brought up the above letter which he had received, and asked that this be referred to the proper committee at the next meeting of the Steering Committee. REFERRED TO STEERING COMMITTEE for action.

Concerning increased benefits for pensioners now on pension under

CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES PENSION FLAN (See Minutes of 4/6/64, page 3964, 3965,

item #3)

MR. KETCHAM brought up the above matter and asked what has been done about it.

MR. PHILPOT said this was referred to the Personnel Committee, of which he is Chairman. He said further information has to be secured from the Administration and there also is the question of where the money is going to come from and quite a lot of information must be obtained before further action can be taken.

# Concerning PARKING FACILITIES at new Municipal Office Building

MR. LINDSTROM asked if this matter has been followed through.

THE PRESIDENT said he spoke to the Chief and he is inquiring about it.

# Minutes of July 6, 1964

MR. LINDSTROM said parking facilities should be provided nearby when members of various City Boards come to the new Municipal Office Building on business.

THE PRESIDENT said he would look into it further and try to get a report on this matter.

Concerning a fence on CITY PROPERTY, ALLYN PLACE (Dangerous and unhealthy conditions) Letter dated 6/5/64 to Mr. Truglia from Stephen J. Winnicki, 27 Allyn Place.

MR. TRUGLIA brought up the above matter. He said he would not read the letter. REFERRED TO STEERING COMMITTEE for referral to committee.

### ADJOURNMENT:

On motion, seconded and CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned.

vf

Velma Farrell
Administrative Assistant

(Recording Secretary

APPROVED

Ronald M. Schwartz, President, Board of Representatives

> Note: The above meeting was broadcast over Radio Station WSTC until 11:00 P.M.

VF