

MEETING OF 8TH BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES  
Minutes of July 12, 1965  
Stamford, Connecticut

4407

The regular monthly meeting of the 8th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, July 12, 1965 in the Board's meeting room, Municipal Office Building, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the President, Alan H. Ketcham, at 8:30 P.M.

INVOCATION: Given by Rev. Philip Saltis, Annunciation Greek Orthodox Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG: The President led the members in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 31 present and 9 absent at the calling of the roll. However, one member arrived shortly after and another member resigned and was replaced, thus changing the roll call to 33 present and 7 absent.

The absent members were: Chester Walajtys, Vincent Caporizzo, Patsy Arruzza, Dominick Vivona, Daniel Remling, William Murphy and Stanley Kulowiec.

RESIGNATION - PAUL D. RAND. (Republican) 1st District:

THE PRESIDENT read a letter of resignation from the above Representative from the 1st District.

ELECTION OF REPLACEMENT - Dr. Walter Norley (R) 135 Downs Avenue. 1st District

MR. LINDSTROM placed the name of Dr. Walter Norley before the Board as replacement for Paul Rand in the 1st District. Seconded by Mrs. Sutherland.

There being no further nominations, the President declared the nominations closed.

MR. TRUGLIA rose on a point of information. He asked if Dr. Norley was to represent the "old" 1st District or the "new" 1st District.

MR. LINDSTROM replied that there has been no change, as Dr. Norley lives in what could be described as both the old District and the new District, but he was being presented as Representative for the 1st District within the lines of the old District.

THE PRESIDENT asked Mr. Lindstrom if Dr. Norley lives in the 1st District as constituted by the Ordinance recently adopted by this Board, as well as the 1st District as constituted before the Ordinance was adopted.

MR. LINDSTROM said this is correct.

MR. KANE asked if he is led to believe, therefore, that if one of the members from another District where there is now some conflict, should resign, the individual who would take his place would come from the "old" District, or from the "new" District.

THE PRESIDENT said the Chair cannot rule on a hypothetical case.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on the election of Dr. Norley. CARRIED unanimously.

THE PRESIDENT thereupon administered the oath of office to Dr. Norley and he assumed his seat on the Board as Representative from the 1st District.

Minutes of July 12, 1965

MAYOR THOMAS C. MAYERS - MAYOR'S ANNUAL REPORT - 1964-1965 fiscal year

MAYOR THOMAS C. MAYERS presented his annual report for the 1964-1965 fiscal year to the Board of Representatives, as required by Sec. 303.1 of the Charter.

Copies of the Mayor's message were presented to all members of the Board at this time.

THE PRESIDENT called for the next order of business.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of May 12, 1965  
Meeting of June 7, 1965

The Minutes of the above meetings were accepted, there being no corrections.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

THE PRESIDENT read the following report of the Steering Committee at this time:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT  
Meeting held Monday, June 28, 1965

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held Monday, June 28, 1965 in the Board of Representatives' meeting rooms, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Alan H. Ketcham, at 8:30 P.M. The following members were present: Alan Ketcham, Chairman; Mrs. Lilliendahl, William Selsberg, Benjamin Nathanson, John Kane, William Hearing, Anthony Truglia, Paul Kuczo, George Connors, Stephen Kelly and Booth Hemingway.

The absent members were: George Russell, Romaine Philpot, Thomas Morris and Daniel Remling.

(1) Additional Appropriations:

Additional appropriations, requested by the Mayor, approved by the Board of Finance, were ORDERED on the agenda, under the FISCAL COMMITTEE, with all appropriations over \$2,000.00 (except pensions) referred to a secondary Committee.

- (2) Mayor's letter dated 6/3/65, concerning EASEMENT from City of Stamford in favor of DOSAN, INC. over a portion of WEST GLEN DRIVE, in order that they may connect into existing storm drain facilities on West Glen Drive  
(Approved by Board of Finance 6/10/65) - ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

- (3) Letter from Chairman of Flood & Erosion Control Board, dated 6/14/65, regarding proposed Ordinance concerning pollution, blocking, diverting, etc. of rivers, streams and brooks within jurisdiction of the Flood and Erosion Control Board - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE, but NOT ordered placed on the agenda.

- (4) Mayor's letter dated 6/25/65 concerning proposed Ordinance prohibiting waste of water by acts not essential to the public well-being in the state of an emergency - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and ORDERED ON THE AGENDA
  - (5) Sale or disposition of City-owned property (Deferred at 6/7/65 meeting under Planning & Zoning Committee) - Not ordered on Agenda
  - (6) Concerning resolution to accept HOME COURT as a public highway (Brought up by George Connors, 10th District Representative, at 6/7/65 Board meeting) REFERRED TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE and ORDERED ON AGENDA
  - (7) Letter dated 6/21/65 to President, from Raymond G Cushing, Chairman of 8TH CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION, suggesting a resolution be adopted by the Board, creating another Commission, re-submitting Charter amendments as originally considered
- The above letter was presented to the Committee on the basis that there would have to be unanimity of agreement between all parties concerned. Since there was no agreement, the matter was dropped.
- (8) Resolution concerning voting districts (Presented by Peter Martin, 14th District Representative, at 6/7/65 Board meeting and referred to Steering Committee) - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and ORDERED PLACED ON AGENDA
  - (9) Letter, dated 6/24/65 from Chairman of Housing Authority, requesting approval by Board of Representatives to construct 100 additional housing units for low income families to take care of families being displaced by Urban Renewal - REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE and EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE and ORDERED ON AGENDA
  - (10) Letter, dated 6/21/65 from Chairman of Park Commission re present status of identification cards - REFERRED TO PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE
  - (11) Letter, dated 6/22/65, from Mayor, forwarding request by Commissioner of Public Works for resolution under provisions of Chapter 64 of Charter, to proceed with design, widening and layouts for WASHINGTON AVENUE and SCOFIELDTOWN ROAD PROJECTS - REFERRED TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE
  - (12) Letter, dated 6/15/65 from Paul Kuczo, 10th District Representative, concerning need for TRAFFIC LIGHTS on SEASIDE AVENUE at various locations - REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE
  - (13) PETITION (undated - received 6/16/65) from residents of COLONIAL ROAD, requesting more water pressure between hours of 4 P.M. and 7 P.M. REFERRED TO HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE
  - (14) Letter, dated 6/21/65, from Paul Kuczo, 10th District Representative, concerning COVE DAM GATES - REFERRED TO PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
  - (15) Letter, dated 6/11/65, from Miss Helen Tobin, Acting Supt. of Schools, requesting STATE AID FOR EDUCATION be turned over to the Board of Education (No action taken on this by the Board of Finance on 6/10/65, since the matter was not officially before their Board) - Noted and filed, with copy sent to Education, Welfare & Government Committee.

- (16) Letter, dated 6/24/65, from John Kane, 11th District Representative, concerning an additional appropriation of \$345,000 in State Aid funds coming to Stamford, being turned over to the Board of Education or to the City's General Fund - requesting clarification - REFERRED TO EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE
- (17) Letter, dated 6/11/65, from Miss Helen Tobin, Acting Supt. of Schools, regarding proposal to establish another Standing Committee on the Board of Representatives to be called the "Committee on Education" (Addressed to Paul Kuczo, Chairman of Education, Welfare & Government Committee) - Noted and ordered filed, with copy sent to E. W. & G. Committee)
- (18) Miscellaneous carbon copies of letters for information - Noted and filed.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, on motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

ALAN H. KETCHAM, Chairman  
Steering Committee

vf

---

#### FISCAL COMMITTEE:

MR. HEMINGWAY, Chairman, presented his Committee report. He said the members of his committee met on Thursday, July 1st to consider and act upon all matters referred to his Committee. (Four Republicans and one Democrat)

- (1) \$3,166.76 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - Code 606.0609, Snow Removal and Flood Emergency (Mayor's letter of 5/28/65 (1964-1965 fiscal yr.))

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded.

MR. KUCZO said he would like to ask a question. He requested a breakdown.

MR. HEMINGWAY said all members of the Board are in receipt of the Mayor's letter of May 28th which gives a complete breakdown for the year on page 2. He asked Mr. Kuczo if he had received a copy of the letter in question.

MR. KUCZO said he had received a copy of the letter, but does not have it with him.

MR. HEMINGWAY asked him if he wished him to read all the figures in the breakdown.

MR. KUCZO said he was interested in the amount spent for SALT as applying to this particular appropriation.

MR. HEMINGWAY said the total for ROCK SALT on March 31st was \$72,153.41 and on May 28th the total for ROCK SALT was \$72,150.41 which makes a decrease of \$3.00.

Mr. Bitetto said as Acting Chairman for the Public Works Committee, he wished to report that his Committee concurs in the recommendation for approval of the request.

MR. KUCZO said he has noticed some publicity regarding the bidding on rock salt, and that all bidders bid the same price.



THE PRESIDENT said there could be no debate on this matter, as it is not before the Board.

VOTE taken on Mr. Hemingway's motion for approval of item #1. CARRIED with one "no" vote (Mr. Kuczo).

- (2) \$200.00 - BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - Code 106.0404, Official Notices (1964-1965 fiscal year) (Mayor's letter of 5/27/65)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded and CARRIED.

- (3) \$6,000.00 - PENSION - POLICE DEPARTMENT - Code 156.0000 (1964-1965 fiscal year)  
\$3,000.00 - CUSTODIAN PENSION FUND - Code 154.0000  
(Mayor's letter of 6/10/65 - requested by Controller)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above requests. Seconded. He said this was to bring the pension fund up in order to take care of two pensions that were approved causing a deficit because this came between the preparation of the 1964-1965 Budget and the beginning of the new fiscal year. CARRIED unanimously.

- (4) Increase in Mayor's Salary from \$17,000.00 to \$20,000.00 per year, effective December 1, 1965 (Approved by Board of Finance 6/10/65)

(Note: The Board of Representatives (7th Board) unanimously approved that the Mayor's annual salary be increased to \$20,000 at meeting held 7/1/63. An increase to \$17,000 was subsequently approved by the Board of Finance on 8/15/63 - See Minutes of 6/3/63, page 3690, item #11; Minutes of 7/1/63, pages 3725-26)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above. He said, as everyone knows, the 7th Board of Representatives approved that the Mayor's salary be increased to \$20,000 but it had never been approved by the Board of Finance until now.

MR. TRUGLIA said he would go on record as approving this increase, but would like to know why the other members of the Mayor's "Cabinet" were not included.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he could give no explanation.

VOTE taken on item #4 above. CARRIED unanimously.

- (5) \$10,500.00 - REGISTRARS OF VOTERS - Code 102.5106, Special Redistricting Expense  
(Mayor's letter of 6/24/65) (Approved by Board of Finance 7/8/65)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED FOR SUSPENSION OF THE RULES in order to bring the above matter on the floor. He said he has received a letter from the President, requesting that this be brought before the Board tonight. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said this motion requires a vote of two-thirds of the members present and voting. He said the motion is not amendable and is not debatable.

MR. CONNORS said he would like to ask a question. He said is the vote required two-thirds of the members present or two-thirds of the total membership.

THE PRESIDENT called attention to the Rules of Order of the Board - Rule No. 12, which states that "No business other than what appears on the agenda shall be transacted except by consent of two-thirds of the members present at a meeting of the Board of Representatives." He said it therefore would require a two-thirds vote, there being 33 members present, and 7 absent, with two-thirds of 33 which would require 22 votes for suspension of the rules.

MR. DURSO asked if Mr. Sullivan had been counted, as he arrived after the roll call was taken. The President assured him that all members have been accounted for.

THE PRESIDENT CALLED FOR A VOTE on suspension of the rules. There was a voice vote taken.

MR. KANE rose on a point of information. He asked if there should not be a count taken, rather than a voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT said if he is unable to determine the vote, he will be glad to ask for a show of hands.

MR. KANE objected and MOVED FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE. Four other members indicated they wished a roll call vote.

VOTE taken on Mr. Hemingway's motion for suspension of the rules, by the following roll call vote. The vote was 20 yes, 10 no, with 2 abstentions, the President not voting:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

BITETTO, Joseph (R)  
CAPORIZZO, William (R)  
GOINGS, Augusta (R)  
HEARING, William (R)  
HEMINGWAY, Booth (R)  
IACOVO, Edwin (R)  
KEGGI, J. John (R)  
LILLIENDAHL, Frances (R)  
LINDSTROM, Edwin (R)  
MARTIN, Peter (R)  
MORRIS, Thomas (R)  
NATHANSON, Benjamin (R)  
PHILPOT, Romaine (R)  
NORLEY, Walter (R)  
RICH, John (R)  
RUSSELL, George (R)  
SELSBERG, William (R)  
SUTHERLAND, Judith (R)  
TATANO, Andrew (R)  
ZEZIMA, Michael (R)

THOSE VOTING AGAINST

CONNORS, George (D)  
DOMBROSKI, Edward (D)  
ESPOSITO, Jennie (D)  
KANE, John (D)  
KELLY, Stephen (D)  
KUCZO, Paul (D)  
LONGO, Carmine (D)  
MORRIS, John (D)  
SULLIVAN, Gerald (D)  
TRUGLIA, Anthony (D)

ABSTENTIONS

DURSO, Robert (D)  
RYBNICK, Gerald (D)

THE PRESIDENT said there are 20 votes in the affirmative and 10 in the negative, with two-thirds having voted in the affirmative.

MR. TRUGLIA objected. He said the President had announced the vote required was 22.

MR. CONNORS said he demanded another vote.

MR. KANE rose on a point of information. He asked if he was to understand that the Chair has ruled that an abstention means not present and they are therefore not here in body, when the Clerk has recognized them as being present when the roll call was taken.

MR. TRUGLIA said 22 positive votes were needed to pass and there were only 20.

MR. SELSBERG asked if it was two-thirds of those present and voting.

THE PRESIDENT said he would like to check the question of interpretation.

MR. TRUGLIA objected. He said the President has already stated his position - 22 votes needed to pass.

THE PRESIDENT explained that he had said 22 on the basis that 33 were present, assuming that they would be voting.

MR. TRUGLIA said they all voted.

THE PRESIDENT said the question has been raised as to whether an abstention is a vote or is not a vote. He said he would do everything possible to rule fairly and properly on this question.

MR. TRUGLIA objected. He said 22 positive votes were needed and only 20 voted in favor of suspension.

THE PRESIDENT said it is a question of interpretation.

MR. MORRIS MOVED for a five minute recess at this time.

THE PRESIDENT asked the members to wait while he consulted Robert's Rules of Order. He said all he has done so far is to announce the vote and has made no decision whatsoever.

MR. RYBNICK said if he is declared "not present" he wishes to change his vote and vote "no".

MR. DURSO said he also wished to record his vote as a "no" vote.

THE PRESIDENT ruled the motion to suspend is LOST.

A five minute recess was called at 9:40 P.M.

The meeting was reconvened at 9:50 P.M.

(6) \$2,836.00 - PENSION - Miss Mary Louise Alexander, Librarian, Ferguson Library  
Code 164.0000 (Per Special Act No. 157, passed by General  
 Assembly, 1965 session, signed by Governor 7/7/65) (Pension  
 effective July 1, 1965 - fiscal year 1965-66) (Mayor's letter  
 of 7/8/65)

MR. HEMINGWAY said the above item had not been discussed by his Committee, but was introducing it himself. HE MOVED for SUSPENSION OF THE RULES in order to take up the above request. Seconded and CARRIED unanimously.

Minutes of July 12, 1965

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Kelly and Mr. Russell and CARRIED unanimously.

- (7) \$185,251.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION (As requested in their letter to the Board of Finance and Board of Representatives, dated 7/7/65 - Approved by Board of Finance at special meeting held 7/12/65)

(Note: Concerning additional State Aid to Education funds recently approved by the State Legislature, in amount of \$388,251.00)

MR. HEMINGWAY said again, speaking as a member of the Board and not as Chairman of the Fiscal Committee, would MOVE for SUSPENSION OF THE RULES to consider the above request. He said all members received a special delivery letter on Friday which gives the facts on the additional money coming from the State on State Aid to Education and Stamford's allotment is \$388,251.00. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said this requires a two-thirds vote and there can be no debate and it cannot be amended. CARRIED unanimously.

MR. HEMINGWAY explained that the Board of Finance met on July 8th and also tonight at 7 o'clock, and as a result of tonight's meeting, a letter has been sent to the President as follows:

July 12, 1965

Mr. Alan Ketcham  
President, Board of Representatives  
City of Stamford  
429 Atlantic Street  
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Ketcham:

At the regular meeting of the Board of Finance, held on July 8th, and adjourned to July 12th, a request was considered for the appropriation to the Board of Education of additional State Aid to Education funds recently approved by the State Legislature, amounting to \$388,251.00

IT WAS RESOLVED by unanimous vote of the five members present, to approve an additional appropriation in the amount of

-----\$185,251.00\*-----

\*

for the Board of Education.

Very truly yours,

(signed) John L. Cameron,  
Chairman, Board of Finance

\*The amount so appropriated is designed to meet the needs  
\*for personnel, insurance, textbooks and maintenance of buildings.

---



MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the sum of \$185,251.00 for the Board of Education; the amount so appropriated being designed to meet the needs for personnel, insurance, textbooks and maintenance of buildings. Seconded by several members.

MR. TRUGLIA requested information as to why the amount granted is not as high as they had hoped it would be.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he cannot give much information, other than what was received in the letter from the Board of Finance. He said if the members will read the letter they received from the Board of Education by special delivery mail, dated July 7th, they will note the totals for those categories are more than the amount approved by the Board of Finance, but these are the categories that they designated.

MR. KUCZO said his Committee - the Education, Welfare & Government Committee - met on this matter, at the request of Mr. Kane, who wrote a letter to the Steering Committee, dated June 24, 1965 in which he said:

"....It has been stated that the State of Connecticut will appropriate an additional \$345,000 to Stamford as an increased percentage of State Educational Aid to our City. I would appreciate having the Education and Government Committee of the Board of Representatives determine whether these funds will go to the Board of Education or to the city's general fund."

MR. KUCZO said his Committee met with the Board of Education on this, and took action on it.

MR. KANE rose on a point of information. He asked if this is the sum of money which is a part of the total amount that the State is going to earmark for the City of Stamford. He said he believes it is \$388,251.00. He asked if the balance remaining from the \$185,251.00 will revert back to the General Fund of the City of Stamford.

THE PRESIDENT informed Mr. Kane that all money received in State Aid goes into the "General Fund of the City.

MR. THOMAS MORRIS said he could not help but note the enthusiasm of the teachers over this matter of receiving an appropriation for the Board of Education, when the first matter brought up under suspension of the rules for Redistributing Expenses - a much smaller sum - to be used to run the government of the City, when everyone knows an election is coming up and it is needed, met with such a marked lack of enthusiasm. He said he has nothing against the school system receiving money, but he thought it was surprising to note the change in attitude when it was to go to running the City Government.

THE PRESIDENT asked the members to proceed with the business at hand and not get off on tangents.

MR. SULLIVAN spoke in favor of the appropriation.

MR. RYBNICK said it would seem that the Board of Finance went over this matter thoroughly and the appropriation would be enough to carry them along. He urged the Board act on this immediately.

MR. MARTIN spoke in favor of the appropriation.

MR. GOINGS MOVED THE QUESTION.

VOTE taken on Mr. Hemingway's motion to approve item #7. CARRIED unanimously.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE:

MR. SELSBERG, Chairman, presented his Committee report. He said a meeting was held July 7, 1965 at 8 P.M. in the Municipal Office Building. Present were: Mrs. Sutherland, Messrs. Keggi, Sullivan, Kane and Selsberg.

- (1) Proposed Ordinance concerning EASEMENT from City of Stamford in favor of DOSAN, INCORPORATED over a portion of WEST GLEN DRIVE, in order that they may connect into existing storm drain facilities on West Glen Drive (Mayor's letter of 6/3/65) (Approved by Board of Finance 6/10/65)

MR. SELSBERG said the Committee unanimously agreed that the proposed easement would benefit the Stamford residents in the area contiguous to Greenwich. He proposed the following Ordinance for publication and MOVED FOR PUBLICATION. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson and CARRIED unanimously:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CONCERNING GRANT OF EASEMENT OVER CITY-OWNED  
PROPERTY TO DOSAN, INCORPORATED

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding any provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 to 2-27, inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the granting by the City of Stamford to DOSAN, INCORPORATED, a legal corporation organized under the laws of the State of Connecticut and having a place of business in the Town of Greenwich, in the County of Fairfield, does for itself, its successors and assigns, give, grant, bargain, sell and confirm unto the said DOSAN, INCORPORATED and its successors and assigns, a perpetual right, privilege and easement to enter upon and to install, construct, operate, maintain and to repair and/or replace water service lines, storm water sewers and drain pipes, under, through and across the following described premises:

ALL that certain piece, parcel or tract of land located in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield, State of Connecticut, designated "Easement Area = 2687.93 Sq. Ft. 0.0617 Acres" as shown on a certain map entitled "Map Showing Easement Granted By The City of Stamford to DOSAN, INCORPORATED." certified "Substantially Correct" Robert L. Redniss, April 6, 1965, which map is to be filed simultaneously herewith.

and it does further give and grant in connection with the right, privilege and easement hereinbefore granted, the further right and privilege to said Grantee, its successors and assigns, to enter in and upon land owned by the undersigned adjacent to the premises hereinbefore described at any time for the purposes herein stated, provided always that after the completion of such installation and construction and after the completion of such operating, maintaining, repairing and/or replacing, the Grantee at its own expense shall restore the land, as nearly as possible, to its original condition; it being understood that the Grantee shall bear the full cost of any installation of such pipe or pipes within the easement granted hereunder and shall be fully responsible for all future maintenance of said pipe or pipes.

The Grantee, by the acceptance hereof, agrees to hold the City of Stamford harmless from any damages which may arise as a result of the operation and/or the maintenance of said pipe or pipes.

It is further understood that the easement granted herein shall be revocable upon Sixty (60) days notice, in writing, by the City of Stamford to the Grantee herein, its successors or assigns.

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City of Stamford and to execute and deliver all documents necessary to grant the easement aforesaid.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

\*\*\*\*\*

(2) Proposed Ordinance prohibiting waste of water by acts not essential to the public well-being in the state of an emergency (Mayor's letter of 6/25/65)

MR. SELSBERG said his Committee, after a brief opportunity to examine the proposed Ordinance, and being aware of the necessity of water supervision in the time of a water shortage, proposes the following Ordinance for publication only. He said the Committee will scrutinize the Ordinance more thoroughly during the month. He said the reason he would like to have the Ordinance published, is that if we don't, we will be into the month of August, meaning it would not be passed until September unless an emergency came about.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for publication of the following Ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson:

A PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROHIBITING WASTE OF WATER BY ACTS NOT ESSENTIAL TO THE PUBLIC WELL-BEING IN THE STATE OF AN EMERGENCY

BE AND IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

Sec. 1 It is hereby declared to be in the best interest of the public health and safety of the City of Stamford to regulate and restrict the use of water from the public water supply during emergencies caused by drought.

Sec. 2 A public emergency caused by drought is hereby defined to be a period of time when the Director of Health, in his judgement, determines that the water level at the reservoirs supplying water to the City are, or are in danger of reaching, low levels with relation to the needs of the City or when a drought threatens to result in insufficient water supply for the residents of the City of Stamford or for the use of fire or other protective agencies of the City.

Sec. 3 A public emergency caused by drought as defined in Sec. 2 hereunder, shall be declared by the Mayor of the City of Stamford, when, advised by the Director of Health that such circumstances and criteria set forth in Sec. 2 above warrant the determination of such an emergency in the interest of the public health and safety of the City of Stamford. The Mayor of the City of Stamford shall cause a public announcement of such determination of emergency to be made at least

twice over the local radio station prior to the time of such emergency becoming effective. Publication of this fact shall be made prominently in two successive publications in a newspaper published daily in the City of Stamford, after which publication, a public emergency caused by drought shall be in effect. Such public emergency caused by drought shall continue in effect until the Mayor of the City of Stamford shall determine that such an emergency shall no longer exist upon the advice of the Director of Health and shall make public announcement of the same by publishing an announcement, terminating the emergency, once in a newspaper, published daily in the City of Stamford.

Sec. 4 It shall be unlawful for any person within the boundaries of the City of Stamford at any time during the period of any public emergency caused by drought existing under the provisions of this Ordinance to commit the following acts; with the use of water from a public source:

- (a) The washing of automobiles, trucks, trailers, trailer-houses, railroad cars or any other type of mobile equipment.
- (b) The allowance of plumbing to remain out of repair, resulting in the escape of water.
- (c) The sprinkling, watering or irrigating of shrubbery, trees, lawns, grass, ground covers, plants, vines, gardens, vegetables, flowers or any other vegetation.
- (d) The serving of water in a restaurant without request by the customer.
- (e) The filling or draining of pools, ponds, rivers or lakes without permission.
- (f) The using of any water from fire hydrants without permission.
- (g) The washing of sidewalks, driveways, filling station aprons, porches or other outdoor surfaces.
- (h) The washing of the outside of dwellings; the washing of the inside and outside of office buildings.
- (i) The washing and cleaning of any business or industrial equipment and machinery.
- (j) The operation of any ornamental fountain or other structure making a similar use of water.
- (k) The doing of any act of commission or omission resulting in the waste of water.

Sec. 5 The Director of Health shall have the authority to permit a reasonable use of water in any case necessary to maintain adequate health, sanitation and safety standards.



Sec. 6 All business and industries using water shall be restricted to the amount of water used by the business or industry during the corresponding month of the year preceding the effective date of this Ordinance.

Sec. 7 The provisions of Sec. 6 shall not apply to those businesses and industries declared by Resolution of the Board of Representatives to be necessary for the public health, safety and welfare.

Sec. 8 Any person who violates any of the provisions of this Ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined an amount not exceeding One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) or be imprisoned for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days, or both.

Sec. 9 For the purposes of this Ordinance, the following terms, phrases, words, and their derivations shall have the meaning given herein. When not inconsistent with the context, words used in the present tense include the future, words in the plural number include the singular number, and words in the singular number include the plural number. The word "shall" is always mandatory and not merely directory.

(a) "City" is the City of Stamford.

(b) "Person" is any person, firm, partnership, association, corporation, company or organization of any kind.

(c) "Water" is water from the Stamford Water Company system.

Sec. 10 If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance, is for any reason, held invalid or unconstitutional, by any Court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent provision and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon the date of its enactment.

\*\*\*\*\*

MR. RYBNICK asked if it is not proper for each member to have a copy of the Ordinance before it is brought up.

THE PRESIDENT reminded Mr. Rybnick that the Board is not acting on the proposed Ordinance tonight - it is merely being presented for publication, with final adoption expected at the August Board meeting.

MR. RYBNICK said if changes are made in the Ordinance next month, then it will have to be delayed again.

MR. LINDSTROM said there seems to be some feeling about the necessity of this Ordinance at the present time. He said, as he understands it, this power is already held by the Board of Representatives, and this is merely a transfer of the power. He questioned the need for such great haste, where there is chance for error. He said it would seem best for the Legislative and Rules Committee to have more time to look the Ordinance over and then present it in the correct way.

THE PRESIDENT said all members will have copies of the Ordinance before the next meeting, to enable them to study it and will be in a better position at that time before final action is taken. He said that has always been the normal procedure.

MR. LINDSTROM asked if there were corrections, would it not then be necessary to re-publish it?

THE PRESIDENT said this is not necessary.

MR. CONNORS called attention to Sec. 4 (c) and asked if a garden is not considered to be essential. He said there are a lot of people who depend a great deal on their vegetable gardens for a great portion of their food, especially among some of the older residents. He also called attention to wasting of water by industrial firms, who could easily use water over and over again, rather than letting it run down the drain. He said he failed to see any mention in the Ordinance about that.

MR. SULLIVAN said he believes it should be mentioned at this time that the Committee was not unanimous in bringing this proposed Ordinance out for publication. He said when he attended the Committee meeting, no member, except the Chairman, had a copy of the proposed Ordinance. Since that time, however, copies were mailed to the members of the Committee. He said we are going from one crisis to another without giving deliberation to our acts. He objected to bringing an Ordinance out that has not been given full deliberation by this body. He said he does not think this Board should bring an Ordinance out for publication, unless it is in finalized form, and has been studied by the Committee and received the Committee's recommendation for approval. He asked that the Ordinance not be published at this time.

MR. KANE asked that copies of the proposed Ordinance be mailed to all Board members, and that a public hearing be held, so that persons who may be affected by the adoption of this Ordinance be given an opportunity to appear before the Committee so that they may express their views.

MR. SELSBERG said he would like to recommend that this be done, and because he is leaving on vacation the 23rd, would like to call a public hearing as soon as possible. He suggested Wednesday, July 21st as the date for the hearing.

THE PRESIDENT said to set the record straight, that this would be an open hearing, held by the Committee - not a public hearing of the Board - at which time those wishing to be heard by the Committee can appear at their meeting.

MR. TRUGLIA asked for clarification. He asked if this Ordinance is still being offered for publication, with final action to be taken at the August meeting.

MR. RYBNICK asked that action be deferred for 30 days, until after the open hearing can be held.

THE PRESIDENT explained that publication is merely to let the public know what is being considered for adoption, and in the meantime the open hearing can be held with final action not to be taken until the August Board meeting, so that if any changes in the proposed Ordinance are deemed advisable, they can be made before final adoption.

MR. SELSBERG said the reason for publication and the open hearing is to give the Committee an opportunity to evaluate the Ordinance thoroughly before it is adopted.

MR. MARTIN urged publication of the Ordinance, which is the usual procedure.

MR. ZEZIMA spoke in favor of publication.

MR. KUCZO said he is in favor of such an Ordinance, and as a matter of fact, as far back as April he sent a request to this Board, asking that something be done about water conservation.

The debate continued for some time.

MR. TRUGLIA asked for a recess at this time - 10:30 P.M.

The recess was declared over at 10:35 P.M. and the members resumed their seats.

THE PRESIDENT called for a VOTE on Mr. Selsberg's motion to approve the proposed Ordinance (item #2). CARRIED for publication with one "no" vote. (Mr. Sullivan)

(3) Resolution No. 466 amending CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT PLAN (Letter dated 4/28/65 from R. A. Philpot, 15th District Representative)

MR. SELSBERG said the Classified Employees' Retirement Plan in Section 748 (a) contains a word that may cause confusion in the future and as previously written the City could continue the employment of persons up to age 73. He said the January 1964 amendment (Resolution No. 454) provided for mandatory retirement at age 70. However, the current wording of Section 748 (a) is not clear as to its meaning, and the change in one word would clarify the meaning.

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Nathan-son.

THE PRESIDENT explained that this requires a two-thirds vote under the provisions of Section 754 of the Charter.

VOTE taken on the resolution and CARRIED unanimously:

RESOLUTION NO. 466

CONCERNING AMENDMENT TO CITY OF STAMFORD CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT PLAN (Authorized by Special Act No. 463, 1951 General Assembly; previously amended by Board of Representatives by Resolutions Nos. 140 and 141, 6/16/52; Resolution No. 186, 10/4/54; Resolution No. 288, 12/8/58; Resolution No. 293, 3/2/59; Resolution No. 361, 6/5/61; Resolution No. 435, 3/2/64 (corrected 11/9/64); and Resolution No. 454, 1/4/65)

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 754 of the Stamford Charter, Section 748 (a) entitled "Retirement" of the Classified Employees' Retirement Plan is amended to read as follows:

Minutes of July 12, 1965

"The Trustees shall retire, upon recommendation of the Personnel Commission, any member who shall have completed ten years or more of service and who shall have reached the age of seventy, such retirement to be effective at the end of the fiscal year of the City, within which the Trustees shall have taken such action."

\*\*\*\*\*

(Note: The underlined portion of above resolution is new, replacing the word "may". The rest of the paragraph remains the same.)

(4) Proposed Resolution concerning VOTING DISTRICTS (Presented by Peter Martin, 14th District Representative, at 6/7/65 Board meeting)

MR. SELSBERG said, with a few minor changes, the resolution is approved by the Committee in that IT IS IN PROPER FORM. He read the resolution, which he said they have approved FOR FORM PURPOSES ONLY as follows:

WHEREAS, it is recognized that discontinuity of electoral units is prejudicial to orderly representative government, and

WHEREAS, the City of Stamford and the State of Connecticut, through their respective legislative bodies, have acted to effectuate more democratic representation through electoral redistricting, and

WHEREAS, the people of Stamford, acting through their Board of Representatives, are best able to determine the most truly representative electoral units, and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly of the State of Connecticut has already recognized the wisdom of basing State legislative representational units in Stamford on locally determined electoral units, and

WHEREAS, the local units recognized in the State redistricting adopted in January of 1965, have now been replaced with more fairly apportioned units, and

WHEREAS, the State electoral units for Stamford adopted in January of 1965 no longer correspond to the local electoral units, and

WHEREAS, no difficulty would attend the revision of the State units at this time, since they do not become effective until the election of State offices in November of 1966, but great difficulties would arise were they not made to correspond to the new local electoral units, and

WHEREAS, the delegates to the 1965 State Constitutional Convention are empowered and expressly charged with adoption of a fair and reasonable State legislative districting plan, and



WHEREAS, the newly adopted local electoral units provide the basis for a State districting of Stamford, which would more nearly satisfy the "one man, one vote" principle than does the State districting of Stamford adopted in January of 1965, and

WHEREAS, this is a matter for great concern on the part of the people of Stamford and the State of Connecticut, and

WHEREAS, the newly adopted local districting was unanimously recommended by a bi-partisan Committee of capable, knowledgeable and responsible local citizens and is reasonable and fair,

NOW THEREFORE, BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by this Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, in regular session convened, that said Board of Representatives, acting for and in the best interests of the people of said City of Stamford, does hereby solemnly and urgently petition the delegates to the 1965 Connecticut Constitutional Convention to approve and adopt districts for the State House of Representatives and the State Senate within and contiguous to said City of Stamford, based on fair and reasonable groupings of the voting districts of said City of Stamford, as adopted by Ordinance No. 118 Supplemental by the Board of Representatives on the Seventh Day of June, Nineteen Hundred and Sixty-Five.

\*\*\*\*\*

THE PRESIDENT asked Mr. Salsberg if he now wishes to move for acceptance of the above resolution.

MR. SELSBERG said this is not his intent and believes the Agenda is not quite correct, in that it is not shown on the Agenda that this matter, which does concern government, was also referred to the Education, Welfare and Government Committee. He said, he believes that Mr. Kuczo, Chairman of that Committee, acknowledges this and his Committee would therefore have jurisdiction over bringing the resolution up for approval.

THE PRESIDENT said he recollects that there was some question as to jurisdiction and that Mr. Kuczo did accept jurisdiction of this particular item. He asked Mr. Kuczo if this is not correct.

MR. KUCZO said he is not sure, as he never received any information on it. He said he noticed that it did not appear on the Agenda - that it merely went to the Legislative and Rules Committee.

MR. SELSBERG said he was definitely sure that it also was referred to the Education, Welfare and Government Committee. He asked why Mr. Kuczo did not inquire of the Administrative Assistant or the President as to materials which he could use for discussion purposes which came within the purview of his Committee.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Kuczo could answer this if he wished, but that he does not think it is a proper question.

MR. KUCZO said he cannot answer the question because he has no information.

THE PRESIDENT explained that the resolution is before the Board, however the Legislative and Rules Committee has made no recommendation.

MR. SULLIVAN said he does not believe this is quite true - that he does not believe the resolution is before this Board, either with or without recommendation. He said the Legislative and Rules Committee merely looked at the resolution for its wording and made no recommendation whatsoever. He said therefore they are merely making a supplementary report to the Board, but it is up to another Committee to bring it on the floor for a vote.

THE PRESIDENT said, in that event, he should have called the attention of the President, the Clerk, or the Administrative Assistant, to an error in the Agenda. However, he said, the Committee report has been made, and even if there does appear an error in the Agenda, he believes it is properly before the Board for debate.

MR. SULLIVAN said that was not his understanding at the meeting of the Legislative and Rules Committee, and that is not what he heard from the Chairman of that Committee when he made his report. He said he wishes the Chairman of the L & R Committee would straighten this out.

THE PRESIDENT said the resolution is up for discussion without the recommendation of the Legislative and Rules Committee, and has been introduced on the floor as to the language of the resolution. He said the Board now will proceed, if they wish, to debate the substance.

MR. KANE said, as a member of the Committee they were only acting on the form of the resolution and not as to its substance - that Mrs. Sutherland was there also, and will bear him out as to this matter. He said there was some thought at that time that they were only dealing with the proper wording of the resolution and that it was in another Committee for recommendation and all they approved was the proper wording and nothing more, and that there was no discussion as to its merits.

MR. TRUGLIA said he believes that this was assigned to Mr. Kuczo's Committee by action taken by the Steering Committee and that it is officially within that Committee. He said he believes that in order for this to be removed from his Committee, there has to be a vote to take it out of Committee and then to take action.

THE PRESIDENT said he must admit he checked the Agenda and failed to note that this matter was not also listed as being referred to the Education, Welfare and Government Committee, as it should have been. He said everyone was present at the meeting of the Steering Committee and everyone distinctly understood that this was also referred to Mr. Kuczo's Committee. He said therefore, the Board should proceed with discussion, even though it was not listed on the agenda properly.

MR. TRUGLIA said he wants to clearly understand this. He asked if this matter does not still remain in the Education, Welfare and Government Committee and asked if he was correct in his assumption.

THE PRESIDENT said, not according to the Agenda.

MR. TRUGLIA asked the President if he does not admit that in the Steering Committee meeting this was definitely assigned to Mr. Kuczo's Committee, so therefore it must still be there.

The discussion continued for some time.

MR. MARTIN suggested that the Board act as a Committee of the Whole in order that the resolution can be adopted.

MR. CONNORS said he fails to see why the delay of another month should make any difference.

THE PRESIDENT suggested that Mr. Selsberg, being Chairman of the senior Committee involved, place the resolution before the Board for a vote.

MR. SELSBERG said he does not want to take away Mr. Kuczo's prerogatives and that it should definitely be referred to his Committee so that he can get up next month and present his report.

THE PRESIDENT said in that case, the Board will now pass on to the next order of business.

- (5) Resolution requested in letter of 6/24/65 from HOUSING AUTHORITY for approval to construct 100 ADDITIONAL HOUSING UNITS FOR LOW INCOME FAMILIES to take care of families being displaced by Urban Renewal

MR. SELSBERG read the following letter:

June 24, 1965

Mr. Alan Ketcham, President  
Board of Representatives  
City Hall, 429 Atlantic Street  
Stamford, Connecticut

Gentlemen:

On May 24, 1965 at the request of the Urban Renewal Commission, the Commissioners of the Housing Authority adopted a resolution declaring the need for one hundred additional low rental housing units for families of low income in the City of Stamford. It is the intention of this Authority to construct these units in scattered sites of as small multiples as possible (ten to fifteen families and up). The concurrence of this action in the construction of one hundred additional housing units for low income families is requested of the Board of Representatives.

For the information of your Board, we wish to advise you that the original plan for the estimated two hundred and forty low income families to be displaced by the Urban Renewal Program called for the absorbing of approximately sixty families per year for four years. The turnover in our low rent projects averages ninety families annually. Since there are currently over three hundred families waiting for housing in our low rent projects these additional units will greatly reduce the waiting period for these families.

Your favorable action at the next meeting of your Board is requested.

Very truly yours,

Rev. Stanley F. Hamsley, Chairman  
HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE  
CITY OF STAMFORD

MR. SELSBERG MOVED for adoption of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson:

RESOLUTION NO. 467

AUTHORIZATION FOR HOUSING AUTHORITY OF CITY OF  
STAMFORD TO CONSTRUCT 100 ADDITIONAL HOUSING  
UNITS FOR LOW INCOME FAMILIES

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the Housing Authority of the City of Stamford take all necessary and appropriate steps in order to construct 100 additional low rental housing units for low income families in the City of Stamford.

\*\*\*\*\*

MR. TRUGLIA said he would like to concur with this request, because there certainly is a need for it and it is imperative that we follow through on it. However, he said he does want to make one recommendation, which he hopes will be carried on through to the Housing Authority - that these 100 units not be located on the West Side if at all possible. He said he believes the West Side has reached the saturation point from many aspects and hopes that the Housing Authority will take this recommendation to heart.

MR. KUCZO said the Education, Welfare and Government Committee met on this item with Mr. Demms being present at their Committee meeting and explained it in great detail. He said his Committee recommends the adoption of the resolution.

VOTE taken on Resolution No. 467. CARRIED unanimously.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

In the absence of Mr. Remling, Committee Chairman, Mr. Bitetto presented the Committee report. He reported that a meeting was held on 7/8/65 at 7 P.M. in the office of the Commissioner of Public Works. Present were Representatives Tatano, Dombroski, Bitetto and Remling.

Items on the Agenda under Fiscal Committee referred to the Public Works Committee were approved.

(1) Problem Concerning Corner of STRAWBERRY HILL AVENUE and COLONIAL ROAD

MR. BITETTO reported that the above matter will be corrected very soon by the Public Works Department.

(2) Concerning request for a CENTRAL GARAGE

MR. BITETTO said this matter is being studied by the affected departments, such as the Police, Fire and Public Works Department.



(3) Concerning Status of the OLD CLOONAN SCHOOL PROPERTY

MR. BITETTO said a question on the status of the above matter was asked of Commissioner Mitchell, who replied that the property is awaiting disposition. Mr. Bitetto said it was brought to the attention of his Committee that the Board of Recreation is in the process of starting a survey to study the feasibility of a much needed recreational center in the area.

He said this property is now being used by children without any supervision or control, and that it is the hope of the Committee that the Board of Recreation will see fit to hasten this project and at the very least save these children and others from hanging around street corners and playing on city streets.

\*\*\*\*\*

MR. TRUGLIA said he is very much concerned with CLOONAN SCHOOL and whatever future it has left. He said he would like to suggest that within the Board we form a two or three man advisory Committee and include Mr. Hunt in as an outside professional man and by next month's meeting come back, after having discussed all the possible uses of Cloonan School, with something positive that could be followed up by the "City Fathers".

THE PRESIDENT said he will take this up with the Majority and Minority Leaders.

MR. KUCZO said, through the Chair, he would like to direct a question to Mr. Bitetto. He said he sent a letter in June 21st, asking for an investigation on the Cove Dam and would like to know what action was taken.

MR. BITETTO said Mr. Kuczo's letter was forwarded to the Commissioner of Public Works and he should receive a reply shortly.

MR. KUCZO said this is not satisfactory to him - that he asked for an answer.

THE PRESIDENT suggested that he wait until he hears from the Commissioner of Public Works.

MR. KELLY said some time ago he addressed a letter to the Mayor asking that something be done about CLOONAN SCHOOL - that they gave reasons why the school could be used, how it could be used and how it should be used. He said he believes their reasons were very, very good, and they also would like to know what they are going to do about this school, because it can be used for many purposes - for senior citizens, young people, etc. He said he agrees with Mr. Truglia's suggestion to include Mr. Hunt in this as he has been down there, inspected the building and has asked for money to survey the building as to possible uses.

MR. RYBNICK spoke in favor of having a Committee appointed to go into this matter in further detail so that recommendations can be made.

Concerning EXTENSION OF SIDEWALK ON LEEDS STREET TO WOODROW STREET (Letter from Mr. Frank Ieva to Paul Kuczo, 10th District Representative, dated 8/21/64 - Referred to Public Works Committee at Steering Committee meeting held 8/24/64 - See Minutes of Sept. 14, 1964, page 4099, item #11)

MR. KUCZO inquired about the above matter. He said he has heard nothing further and as far as he has observed, no work has been done.

MR. BITETTO said Mr. Kucso would have to ask the Chairman of the Public Works Committee, as he has no information on the matter.

MR. (Thomas) MORRIS said this matter was passed on <sup>to</sup> the Commissioner of Public Works and if he does not have an appropriation to do the work, it cannot be done.

MR. SELSBERG said Mr. Kucso has a wonderful memory when it comes to matters referred to other Committees, but he cannot remember when something was referred to his own.

MR. KUCZO rose on a point of personal privilege. He said he would like to know why Mr. Selsberg, being Chairman of the senior Committee in this matter, did not seek to inform him that this was also referred to the Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

THE PRESIDENT called for order and said these matters should not be aired on the floor of the Board but should be discussed in Committee meetings.

MR. KELLY said he would like to give Mr. Kucso some information regarding WOODROW STREET. He said this matter has been presented to the Commissioner of Public Works, in person.

#### HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE:

Concerning complaint (received 6/16/65) from residents of COLONIAL ROAD, requesting more WATER PRESSURE between the hours of 4 P.M. and 7 P.M.  
(See item #13 under Report of Steering Committee)

MR. HEARING, Chairman, said this matter has been turned over to the Stamford Water Company, who have replied under date of July 12, 1965 that "this complaint is currently under investigation, and we hope that a satisfactory solution can be worked out to relieve the condition".

#### PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE:

Concerning proposed resolution to accept HOME COURT as a public highway  
(Brought up by George Connors, 10th District Representative, at 6/7/65 Board meeting - See 6/7/65 Minutes, page 4405)

MR. RUSSELL said in accordance with Chapter 29, Sec. 29-50 of the Code of General Ordinances, he would MOVE for the publication of the following proposed Resolution, concerning acceptance of HOME COURT as a public highway. Seconded by Mr. Connors and CARRIED unanimously:

PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING ACCEPTANCE OF STREET OPEN TO VEHICULAR TRAFFIC PRIOR TO APRIL 16, 1950 (date of consolidation) WHICH HAS NEVER BEEN ACCEPTED AS A CITY STREET

BE IT RESOLVED AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, that said Board by its proposed and published resolution of July 12, 1965, accept the following named street and highway, which was open to vehicular traffic prior to April 16, 1950, as a public street and highway:

Minutes of July 12, 1965

4429

HOME COURT - For approximately 570 feet, from Main Street to Webb Avenue.

\*\*\*\*\*

PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE:

MR. KELLY, Chairman, said there were a couple of matters which he wished to mention at this time.

Concerning letter (dated 6/21/65) from Chairman of Park Commission, re PRESENT STATUS OF IDENTIFICATION CARDS (See Steering Committee report, item #10)

MR. KELLY said he took this up with the Steering Committee and will answer the letter.

Letter to Mr. Kelly, concerning SR. CITIZENS USE OF COVE ISLAND and KEEPING MARINAS OPEN INTO DECEMBER from Park Commission

MR. KELLY read a communication he had received from the Park Commission on the above two matters.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE:

Concerning Increased Benefits for Pensioners now on Pension under the CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES PENSION PLAN - (See Minutes of 10/5/64, page 4133)

MR. PHILPOT, Chairman, presented the following report on the above matter:

The Board will remember that none of the plans studied thus far in connection with our hopes of increasing the pension of the 68 City pensioners has proven satisfactory and that, as of the June meeting, the Personnel Committee had requested the Retirement Department to pursue another line of inquiry.

This line - with new raises equivalent to the successive increases in Social Security benefits which have taken place since our Retirement Plan came into being in 1952 - also proved ineffective, since it tended to discriminate against persons who had earned salaries in the higher brackets and had worked the greater number of years.

However, the Retirement Department has come up with a further suggestion which appears to cover all the individuals presently on the retirement rolls.

Briefly, it proposes the establishment of a formula which would use a percentage of annual salary, multiplied by the number of years during which the retired person worked for the City.

Thus, if a figure of  $1/4$  of  $1\%$  were used, a person who had earned a qualifying salary of \$4,355.00 and had worked for 33 years would receive an increase of approximately \$359.00 per year. Similarly, a person who is presently receiving only Social Security income, but who retired after 10 years of service with qualifying salary of \$3,015.00 would receive an increase of approximately \$75.00 per year.

Full figures for all concerned have not yet been worked out, but it is apparent that the potential in this approach is excellent. The final percentage can be altered to fit Stamford's capacity to pay and the Board's wish to provide the increased benefits; and it is the belief of your committee that this suggestion should be pursued on an Administrative level.

For this reason, the Personnel Committee strongly recommends that the President of the Board convey the sense of this memorandum to Mayor Mayers and request that he meet with this committee for full discussion of the matter.

Your committee would also like to thank Miss Stankard and Mr. McCutcheon for their assistance. A great deal of hard work and thought went into the figures and suggestions produced to this point and they deserve full credit for their efforts.

Respectfully submitted,

R. A. Philpot, Chairman  
Personnel Committee

MR. TRUGLIA said he wished to compliment Mr. Philpot on a very fine piece of work.

EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE:

MR. KUCZO, Chairman, presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held Thursday, July 8th and tonight. He said the following members were present: Mr. Goings, Mr. Philpot and Mr. Kuczo. Mrs. Sutherland was not present for the reason she was attending a meeting of the Legislative and Rules Committee. Mr. Walajtys was absent.

He said matters referred to his Committee in reference to appropriations were reported out earlier under the Fiscal Committee report.

Concerning request by Mr. Philpot, 15th District Representative, for a new Standing Committee to be called the "Education Committee"  
(See Minutes of 6/7/65, page 4387)

MR. KUCZO said the above matter was discussed and acted upon, and the Committee voted in the following manner - two members voted in favor and one voted against it. He said the Committee therefore recommends the establishment of this committee.

MR. CONNORS said he can't understand why we have to establish another Standing Committee when there already is one that covers education - namely, the Education, Welfare and Government Committee

THE PRESIDENT said it will require a change in the Board Rules in order to establish a new Standing Committee and would have to be done in the manner prescribed in the Rules of Order - with prior notice and by action of the Board by a two-thirds vote.

MR. PHILPOT said that under "New Business" he is bringing in a resolution to establish a new Standing Committee to be known as the "Education Committee", and it can be discussed at that time.



THE PRESIDENT said he assumes that Mr. Kuczo's committee has looked into the history of this matter, when there was an Education Committee of the Board, which was later abolished by the Board and combined with another Committee.

MR. KELLY said at the same time his Committee was known as the Parks, Welfare and Recreation Committee and at that time they turned the Welfare part over to what is now known as the Education, Welfare & Government Committee.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE:

MR. RICH, Chairman, reported progress is being made. (record noisy - impossible to hear him) He explained what is being done in some detail.

MR. SULLIVAN asked what type of rents are being charged.

MR. RICH answered him (voice not audible on record).

MR. CONNORS asked if they relocated roomers.

MR. RICH said they did - anyone who lives in the Quadrant has that privilege.

MR. DURSO asked when people who are being relocated, what's to prevent others from moving right in to the vacated premises.

MR. GOINGS said he could answer that question - that these places are padlocked as soon as vacant.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING "HOUSE COMMITTEE" - (Special Committee) - Edwin Lindstrom

MR. LINDSTROM said he had no report.

MR. TRUGLIA said he wants to know what happened to the Custodian.

THE PRESIDENT informed him there was no money to pay for a Custodian.

MR. TRUGLIA replied that according to the Mayor's annual report we are in good shape. He said he would like to know why the City cannot afford to have a Custodian here.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE (Special Committee) - George Connors

MR. CONNORS said that since there is no further business for his Committee, perhaps the President would want to discharge the Committee.

THE PRESIDENT thanked Mr. Connors for the work that he and his Committee did.

SPECIAL HOUSING COMMITTEE:

THE PRESIDENT said that after consultation with the Majority and Minority Leaders, he wished to appoint the following Committee:

Michael D. Zesima, Jr. (R), Chairman  
Andrew Tatano (R)  
William P. Caporizzo (R)  
Carmino V. Longo (D)  
John V. Kane, Jr. (D)

Concerning Liaison Board members with Sr. Citizens:

MR. TRUGLIA said he would like to name MR. STEPHEN E. KELLY (D) as liaison Board member with the Senior Citizens.

THE PRESIDENT said he would at this time also name MR. EDWIN D. IACOVO, SR. (R) as the other liaison Board member.

PETITIONS:

Complaint from property owners concerning HAZARDOUS CONDITION ON STILLWATER ROAD

MRS. SUTHERLAND presented the above petition. Referred to the Steering Committee for referral to committee at the next meeting.

RESOLUTIONS:

Proposed Resolution amending Rules of Order of the Board by creating another Standing Committee to be known as "Education Committee" and changing the Education, Welfare & Government Committee to "Welfare & Government Committee"

MR. PHILPOT presented the above proposed resolution. Referred to the Steering Committee for referral to Committee at the next meeting.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS:

Complaint concerning FLOODING CONDITION ON CORNER OF 225-232 GREENWICH AVE., from owner of "Frank's Delicatessen", 227 Greenwich Avenue (dated 6/22/65)

Above communication referred to the Steering Committee for referral to the proper Committee.

OLD BUSINESS:

Concerning Recognition of performance beyond the call of duty by members of the City's protective services

THE PRESIDENT said there have been suggestions by several members of this Board that some sort of recognition be given to outstanding service beyond the call of duty by members of the City's protective services and municipal employees. He asked for the members to give some thought to this in order that the Board may come up with a positive program whereby this Board can show some form of appreciation for people who have performed an outstanding service to the City.

Concerning editorial in Advocate "Stamford Men Know Stamford Best"

MR. TRUGLIA said he wished to call attention to a very fine editorial in tonight's edition of the Advocate on the above matter. He said it stressed the fact that we should look for local people to do local jobs, because they are more familiar with the City's problems.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting adjourned at 12 midnight.

vf

Velma Farrell  
Velma Farrell  
Administrative Assistant  
(Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

Alan H. Ketcham  
Alan H. Ketcham, President  
Board of Representatives

Note: Above meeting broadcast  
by Radio Station WSTC  
up to 11:00 P.M.

vf

