

MEETING OF THE 9TH BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES
Minutes of January 9, 1967
Stamford, Connecticut

4927

A regular monthly meeting of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, January 9, 1967, in the Board's meeting room, Municipal Office Building, (2nd floor) 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut,

The meeting was called to order by the President, George E. Russell, at 8:30 P.M.

INVOCATION:

The Invocation was given by Rev. Claude L. Peters, Stamford Baptist Church.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO FLAG: The President led the members in the pledge of allegiance to the flag.

PAGE FOR TRANSMITTING MESSAGES:

The President announced that Rippowam High School has sent ALAN WHINSTON to act as a Page, and introduced him to the members at this time.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 35 present and 5 absent at the calling of the roll. However, Mr. Murphy arrived at 9:25 P.M., changing the roll call to 36 present and 4 absent. The absent members were:

Edwin O. Lindstrom, Jr. (R) 1st District
William G. Hearing (R) 7th District
Alan F. Grant (R) 12th District
William P. Caporizzo (R) 15th District

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES - Meeting of December 5, 1966

The Minutes were accepted with the following corrections: Page 4897 being a duplication of the last page number of the Minutes of November 9, 1966.

MR. NATHANSON called attention to page 4909, 4th paragraph, where it states: "The volume of the recording is reduced at this point and cannot be heard."

MR. NATHANSON said since the only verbatim record we have of these meetings is contained in the recording, he wondered what was the reason for the failure of the recording and is that the reason why Mr. Thomsen (the installer of the public address and recording system) is here tonight.

THE PRESIDENT said it has been improperly stated in certain news media, but the President had no control over the recording that night. He said we were told that it was being handled by the Radio and he did not touch the volume control at all. He said what actually happened is not known and the President was not operating the controls that night at all.

MR. NATHANSON said he did not intend his remarks to sound like an accusation.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Thomsen is here tonight to try to find out another means whereby the President or the Clerk does not have to keep adjusting the volume controls. He explained that some members get too close to the "Mike" and others get too far away from the "Mike" which means that someone has to keep adjusting the volume up and down and if this is forgotten, then it becomes either too loud or too low to be heard. He said that is the reason Mr. Thomsen is here - to try and find a solution to the problem, and that he hopes to incorporate automatic volume control, which will then eliminate the problem.

MR. KUCZO said he would like to comment on the "skippy" size of the Minutes of the last meeting. He wanted to know if anything can be done about getting the Minutes "more specific".

THE PRESIDENT informed Mr. Kuczo that the minutes of the December meeting were approximately 29 to 30 pages long. He said the volume is getting much too heavy, and if they were to be written verbatim over a four to five hour meeting, you would have a stack of Minutes that would be entirely too impractical for any use. He said the precedent was set long before this Board came into office and for many past years, for the Recording Secretary to incorporate what was DONE into the Minutes and not what was SAID, as this is the proper Parliamentary practice.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

The Steering Committee report was presented and entered in the Minutes as follows:

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

Meeting held Monday, December 19, 1966

A meeting of the Steering Committee of the Board of Representatives was held on Monday, December 19, 1966, in the Board of Representatives' meeting rooms, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, George Russell, at 8:30 P.M. All members were present, with the exception of Mr. Lindstrom. Also present was Jonathan Bennett, Chairman of the Special Committee on Public Housing and General Relocation.

The following matters were discussed and acted upon:

- (1) Mayor's letter (dated 12/19/66) submitting 17 appointments to various City Boards and Commissions

REFERRED TO APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - ORDERED ON AGENDA

- (2) Additional appropriations (7) approved by Board of Finance at their December 8, 1966 meeting, and one deferred by the Board of Representatives on 12/5/66, were ORDERED ON THE AGENDA, under FISCAL COMMITTEE

Items in excess of \$2,000 except pensions, were referred to a secondary Committee, in accordance with Rule #10 on page 5 of Rules of Order.

- (3) Final adoption of Ordinance CONCERNING EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND I-SIS CHEMICALS, INC., to effect the widening of VIADUCT ROAD - (Approved for publication 12/5/66; published 12/15/66) - (Mayor's letter of 10/20/66 with enclosures and map)

626

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

- (4) PROPOSED ORDINANCE (under terms of Public Act #46C, 1965 Session) TO CREATE A STAMFORD GOLF AUTHORITY - (Requested in letter of 11/6/66 to Board of Representatives, from Frank J. Daley, Chairman of Board of Directors, Hubbard Heights Golf Club Committee to secure a 2nd Golf Course)
(Held in Committee at the 12/5/66 Board meeting)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE -
Also referred to the PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE

- (5) TRANSFER, BY QUIT CLAIM DEED, TO STATE OF CONNECTICUT, of 11 acres of City-owned land on Scofieldtown Road adjacent to UConn and Bartlett Arboretum, in exchange for commitment by the State to construct a public road between High Ridge Road and Scofieldtown Road - (Mayor's letter of 12/8/66)

ORDERED ON AGENDA under LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE

- (6) MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION REQUEST FOR AMENDMENTS TO CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES PENSION PLAN - (Deferred 8/1/66; again on 9/6/66 and held in Committee on 10/3/66 for "further information from Actuary and Commissioner of Finance".

The above matter was ORDERED LEFT OFF THE AGENDA for the reason that the employees are now covered by "Collective Bargaining" (Public Act No. 159, 1965 Session of General Assembly.

- (7) Letter (dated 12/16/66) from Louis J. Casale, Jr., enclosing proposed resolution asking the State Legislature to enact a special bonding Act to buy bank mortgages

Above letter REFERRED TO EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Not on Agenda.

- (8) Proposed resolution concerning method of naming streets by Planning Board
(Presented by Thomas Morris, Majority Leader)

Above resolution REFERRED TO LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE - Not on agenda.

- (9) Letter (dated 12/6/66) from Stamford Fire Fighters, Local 786 - REQUESTING THE APPOINTMENT OF A NEW FIRE CHIEF BECOME A CIVIL SERVICE APPOINTMENT AND HANDLED BY PROMOTING FROM THE RANKS.

Above noted and filed - No action required at this time.

- (10) Letter (dated 11/28/66) received 12/2/66) from Springdale Fire Company- REQUESTING SITE AND APPROPRIATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF A FIRE-FIGHTING TRAINING CENTER

Inasmuch as this is not within the Board's jurisdiction, the above matter was REFERRED TO THE MAYOR AND THE PLANNING BOARD for possible future action.

- (11) Letter (dated 11/30/66) from Joseph Fishman, 32 Willow Street, OBJECTING TO CONDEMNATION OF HIS PROPERTY BY THE URC IN ORDER TO INCREASE HOUSING UNITS

Above noted and filed - No action required at this time.

(12) Letter (dated 12/11/66) from Howard Browning, 15 Overbrook Drive, REQUESTING INVESTIGATION OF PARKING AUTHORITY RE GLENBROOK PARKING FOR COMMUTERS

The Administrative Assistant was instructed to answer the above letter and request that if there are any facts to present to the Board of Representatives, to please substantiate the charges.

(13) Letter (dated 12/12/66) from Walter Wächter, Planning & Zoning Director, REQUESTING APPROVAL OF NAME OF "JONATHAN DRIVE" FOR STREET PROPOSED FOR ACCEPTANCE IN A SUBDIVISION

REFERRED TO PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE - Not on agenda

(14) Letter (dated 12/8/66) from Paul Kuczo, 8th District Representative, CONCERNING ZEZIMA APPEAL acted upon by Board of Representatives on 11/9/66

REFERRED TO CORPORATION COUNSEL for opinion.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, on motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting adjourned at 9:50 P.M.

George E. Russell, Chairman
Steering Committee

vf

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE:

MR. NATHANSON, chairman, presented his Committee report. He said a meeting was held on December 27, 1966 at 7:30 P.M. in the Municipal Office Building, with the following members present: Mr. Bitetto, Mr. Buchanan, T. Boccuzzi and Chairman Nathanson. Also present were Representatives Morris, Fusaro, Karl, Chirimbis and representing the Personnel Committee of the Board, Mr. Rybnick.

He said the following Mayor's appointments were interviewed and acted upon.

The Tellers distributed the ballots among the Board members. The vote on each appointment is recorded below:

HUBBARD HEIGHTS GOLF COMMISSION:

- (1) WILLIAM CARLUCCI (D)
84 Rachele Avenue
(Replacing John Ballo)

VOTE: 23 yes
12 no

Term Ending:

Dec. 1, 1971
(5 yr. term)

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION:

- (2) REV. CYRIL PETERS (R)
150 Fairfield Avenue
(Replacing Catherine Williams)

VOTE: 34 yes
1 no

Dec. 1, 1969
(3 yr. term)

- (3) GEORGE U. ROBOTTI (D)
102 Fourth Street
(Replacing Joseph Mehan)

VOTE: 30 yes
5 no

Dec. 1, 1969
(3 yr. term)

PARKING AUTHORITY:Term Ending:

(4) JOHN L. DE FOREST (R)
1333 Rockrimmon Road
(Replacing Alfred Sessa)

VOTE: 33 yes
2 no

Jan. 1, 1970
(3 yr. term)

SEWER COMMISSION:

(5) SANDY GUINTA, JR. (D)
60 Woodbury Avenue
(Re-appointment)

Vote: 30 yes
5 no

Dec. 1, 1971
(5 yr. term)

BOARD OF TAX REVIEW:

(6) SALVATORE P. DELAVENTURA (D)
235 Vine Road
(Replacing William Ogle)

VOTE: 30 yes
5 no

Dec. 1, 1971
(5 yr. term)

BOARD OF TAXATION:

(7) MRS. BETTY COOKNEY (R)
266 High Ridge Road
(Replacing Leo Falk)

VOTE: 25 yes
9 no
1 abstention

Dec. 1, 1971
(5 yr. term)

(8) GEORGE RAVALESE (D)
61 Lincoln Avenue
(Replacing Frank Kurowski)

VOTE: 29 yes
6 no

Dec. 1, 1968
(5 yr. term)

(9) DOMINIC LO RUSSO (R)
49 Dorset Lane
(Replacing Raymond Kellogg)

VOTE: 30 yes
5 no

Dec. 1, 1970
(5 yr. term)

ZONING BOARD:

(10) DOMINICK FLORENZA (R)
25 Gaymoor Circle
(Re-appointment)

VOTE: 21 yes
14 no

Dec. 1, 1971
(5 yr. term)

ZONING BOARD ALTERNATES: (Terms are staggered)

(11) DR. COSMO POCOGRANO (D)
Greenleaf Drive
(Replacing Stuart Brown)

VOTE: 24 yes
11 no

Dec. 1, 1970
(4 yr. term)

MR. NATHANSON said he would like to make a statement in regard to the Appointments Committee way of acting on appointments. He said the Committee feels it is not the function of the Committee to determine whether or not someone else other than the appointee offered by the Mayor in submitting his appointment, is better qualified for the appointment - that they believe their function is merely to determine the fitness of the person named by the Mayor to fill the appointment. He said he would like this understood.

FIRE CHIEF REPLACEMENT: (Vacancy created by resignation of Chief Richardson because of retirement on pension)

MR. NATHANSON MOVED for suspension of the rules in order to take up the above appointment at this time, which is not on the agenda. Seconded by Mr. Fusaro, Mr. Kelly and several other members and CARRIED.

(12) FIRE CHIEF CHARLES R. McREDMOND - (Tenure as provided by Sec. 401.1 of Charter)

VOTE: 35 yes
1 no

MR. MURPHY arrived just before the vote was taken on the appointment for the Fire Chief, changing the roll call to 36 present and 4 absent.

VOTING MACHINE:

MR. NATHANSON spoke briefly in regard to the need for the purchase of a Voting Machine, and appropriation for which was included in the 1966-1967 Operating Budget. He urged that this matter be expedited as it will save considerable time now spent in handing out and picking up ballots given to each individual Board member. He said the Board could very possibly wind up with 20 or more appointments to be voted on at one meeting. He asked if there is any reason for the delay in going ahead with the purchase of the Voting Machine, which has already been approved by this Board.

THE PRESIDENT explained the reason for the delay. He said there is supposed to be another demonstration and unfortunately because of bad timing, there has never been another demonstration for all the members to see the possible machine that was being considered. He said he has spoken again to Mr. Thomsen and that is one of the reasons he is here tonight.

FISCAL COMMITTEE:

MR. HEMINGWAY presented the Committee report. He said the Fiscal Committee met on Thursday, January 4, 1967 with representatives from the Public Works Department, Board of Education and the Superintendent of Communications.

- (1) \$500,000.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Resolution amending 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget. for CONSTRUCTION OF BOARD OF EDUCATION OFFICE FACILITIES (Present building needed for Urban Renewal) (Mayor's letter of 8/5/66) - DEFERRED 9/6/66; again on 10/3/66 and TABLED on 11/9/66 with 2 "No" votes; held in Committee 12/5/66

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for permission to bring the above item up last. Seconded and CARRIED.

- (2) \$2,700.00- Resolution No. 507 amending 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget for Replacement of SIGNAL LIGHT AT OLD NORTH STAMFORD ROAD AND BEDFORD STREET, and appropriation therefor - (Mayor's letter of 11/23/66) (Previously denied 11/9/66 - Approved for the 3rd time on 12/8/66 by Board of Finance, accompanied by a statement)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson and Mr. Bennett. Mr. Kaplan, Chairman of Health & Protection Committee, said his Committee also approves this appropriation. CARRIED:

RESOLUTION NO. 507AMENDING 1966-1967 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY
ADDING ITEM TO BE KNOWN AS "SIGNAL LIGHT, BEDFORD
STREET OLD NORTH STAMFORD ROAD" AND APPROPRIATION
IN AMOUNT OF \$2,700.00 THEREFOR

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, to amend the 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget to include an item to be known as "SIGNAL LIGHT, BEDFORD STREET/OLD NORTH STAMFORD ROAD" in accordance with the provisions of Section 611.5 of the Stamford Charter by adding thereto the additional sum of \$2,700.00 for said Project.

- (3) \$14,772.55 - REGISTRARS OF VOTERS - Code 102.5105, Primaries (Mayor's letter of 11/21/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Rich.

MR. MURPHY said the Education, Welfare & Government Committee, to whom this was also referred, concur in approval. CARRIED.

- (4) \$3,975.00 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, Code 606.0101, Bureau of Highways & Maintenance, Division of Highways, Salaries (To cover salary for position of Supervisor of Highways and Maintenance for a four month period, to end of 1966-1967 fiscal year) (Mayor's letter of 11/21/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY said the above matter is being held in Committee. He said the Fiscal Committee is not basically opposed to the need for the position; however, it feels that the educational background, as well as job experience, should be more specifically defined and the requirements generally upgraded to require a much higher level of competence. He said this should be done as soon as possible by the Personnel Commission, the Personnel Committee of this Board and the Public Works Commissioner.

- (5) \$30,000.00 - DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, Code 624.0617, Bureau of Sanitation, Division of Garbage Collection, Fill for Land Reclamation - (A requirement of the State of Connecticut) (Mayor's letter of 11/30/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Bitetto, who said the Public Works Committee also concur on this item. CARRIED.

- (6) \$30,000.00- DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, Code 634.1801, Division of Land and Buildings, Maintenance of Buildings (First Circuit Court House) (Mayor's letter of 12/2/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Fusaro.

MR. BITETTO said the Public Works Committee also concurs on this item. CARRIED.

- (7) \$225,000.00 - Resolution No. 508 amending 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget, BOARD OF EDUCATION, to add an item to be known as "URBAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL" and appropriation of \$225,000.00 therefor -
(Mayor's letter of 12/2/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the following resolution. Seconded by Mr. Murphy, who reported that the Education, Welfare & Government Committee also concurs in approving this request.

MR. KUCZO MOVED TO TABLE until we get further information. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson.

THE PRESIDENT said a motion to table is not debatable and called for a vote on TABLING. LOST.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on the original motion as offered by Mr. Hemingway for approval of Resolution No. 508. CARRIED, with one "No" vote (Mr. Kuczo):

RESOLUTION NO. 508

AMENDING 1966-1967 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING
ITEM TO BE KNOWN AS "URBAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL" AND
APPROPRIATION IN AMOUNT OF \$225,000.00 THEREFOR

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, to amend the 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget to include an item to be known as "URBAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL" in accordance with the provisions of Section 611.5 of the Stamford Charter by adding thereto the additional sum of \$225,000.00 for said Project

- (8) \$4,191.75 - PENSION for Fire Chief Thomas F. Richardson, effective 1/2/67, based on annual pension of \$8,383.33, or 2/3rds of annual salary of \$12,575.00 - (Mayor's letter of 12/5/66)

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of the above request. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson, Mr. Fusaro, Mr. Kelly, Mr. Arrussa, Mr. Connors and others. CARRIED.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he now wishes to return to item #1 on the Agenda, as follows:

- (1) \$500,000.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Resolution amending 1966-1967 Capital Projects Budget, for CONSTRUCTION OF BOARD OF EDUCATION OFFICE FACILITIES

(Note: See item #1 on page 4932.)

VF

MR. HEMINGWAY MOVED for approval of a resolution on the above matter, which he presented at this time. Seconded by Lynn Farman.

MR. HEMINGWAY explained that this matter has been before the Board since the September meeting and held in Committee for two meetings and then brought out with a recommendation for approval, was referred to Committee again by the full Board, and held in Committee for another month and is now being brought out again, after more research by the Board of Education and more questions by this Committee of

those people and also of the Urban Renewal people. He said everybody on this Board has received a copy of Dr. Porter's letter of January 4, 1967 addressed to Mr. Hemingway, which reviews, from their point of view, the questions which were referred to them as a result of this Board's referring this back to Committee. He said there is no question that the Board of Education has got to move and cannot continue to occupy their present site and will probably be lucky if they can stay there the rest of this year, but the original timetable for them to get out was September 1966, which will be difficult. He explained that the Urban Renewal people are getting ready to let out bids for the widening and improving of Broad Street, from River Street to Grove Street and also are making engineering plans for blasting from Grove Street up to Main Street on Clark's Hill. He said the URC does not wish to get into a controversy with another arm of City government, and are trying to work things out with a minimum cost to the taxpayers and also to the maximum development and progress of the URC plans. He reported that the financial part, as stated by the Board of Education, the State will pay one-third of the cost, IF it is built on school property. He said the third appraisal of the Board of Education building has not yet been received, in spite of the fact that it has been out for three or four months - the first two appraisals came in at an average figure of about \$290,000.00 and of course, it is not known what the third one will be.

MR. HEMINGWAY reported further that the third appraisal will probably be somewhere around \$250,000.00 and perhaps below \$325,000.00 which means that the City is out of pocket on this appropriation of \$500,000.00 as far as NEW money is concerned, of something between \$50,000.00 and \$20,000.00 IF the figure stays at \$500,000.00. He said if by any chance the bids come in, after the building is designed, at \$600,000.00 naturally the State Aid increases and of the extra \$100,000.00 the State would pay about \$33,000.00 so the City would be out the additional \$66,000.00. He said they have also been in touch with the Commissioner of Public Works and the City Engineer on the sewage at Turn-of-River and, in the opinion of the Committee, it boils down to the fact that this is the best solution and the Committee can see nothing to be gained by waiting.

He said if the Board of Education is forced to move before the new building is built, there will be substantial costs in moving expenses and rental and then, later, more substantial costs in moving out again and any renovation of an existing building, such as Rice, or Cloonan will cost more than they are asking for and secondly, they will not receive any State Aid.

MR. HEMINGWAY said after reviewing this whole matter for something like four months, the Committee cannot see a better way out than to approve this appropriation.

MR. MURPHY said he wishes to ask a question. He asked if his Committee - the Education, Welfare & Government Committee (to whom this was also referred) wishes to retain this in Committee - does it cut off debate?

THE PRESIDENT replied in the negative.

MR. MURPHY said his Committee wishes to keep this matter in Committee and is not reporting it out of Committee now.

MR. FUSARO MOVED FOR A RECESS at 9:55 P.M. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson and CARRIED.

MR. FUSARO stated that they wish to discuss two items in Caucus and then after the recess, would like to go immediately to the report of the Special Committee on Public Housing & General Relocation in deference to the waiting audience.

MR. MORRIS said he wished it understood that AFTER discussion is had on the matter now before the Board, that the Board then go on to the report of the Steering Committee.

THE PRESIDENT said it would take a vote to do this.

The meeting reconvened at 10:18 P.M.

THE PRESIDENT said the Board will now return to the business at hand when the recess was called, namely, item #1 on the Agenda.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he has finished his Committee report as to why the Committee feels this item should be approved.

THE PRESIDENT called for debate on Mr. Hemingway's motion for approval of item #1.

MR. CHIRIMBES spoke in opposition to the \$500,000.00 requested for a new Board of Education building. He cited as his reasons that the Board of Education has not spelled out precisely where this building would be located. He said he would like to see a feasibility report as outlined below:

- (1) The Junior High School Building in the south end of the City.
- (2) The Rice School Building.
- (3) The building site at the rear of the Stamford High School (seeing that the elementary school is not to be built in this area).
- (4) The Postoffice Building - the new building and the old building.

He said the first is ideal, as the City owns the property and we could possibly salvage the new addition, or start from the beginning. All of the facilities are available and would set a good image for that area. He said it will become one of the future areas of redevelopment, so why not start now and what are we saving this property for? He said this is the Board of Representatives' problem; the City of Stamford's problem and the Board of Education's problem. He said we will be able to reach the area either by bus, auto or walking and all registration could be handled by this building in this area.

He said he is against using any school property that we have received grants for and by that he means schools and addition to schools.

He said the question of a new Post Office also casts a new light, as above the Post Office could be used for many municipal uses.

MR. CHIRIMBES MOVED TO TABLE item #1. Seconded by Mr. Nathanson. CARRIED with several negative votes.

THE PRESIDENT called for a show of hands to make sure of the count. TABLED FOR INDEFINITE TIME by a vote of 24 in favor and 7 opposed.

MR. MORRIS MOVED to go on to the next order of business, as suggested before the recess, which is the report of the Public Housing & General Relocation Special Committee, and also the Corporation Counsel's opinion in answer to letter (dated 12/8/66) from Representative Paul Kuczo--(NOTE: See item #14 under Steering Committee Report) Seconded and CARRIED.

PUBLIC HOUSING & GENERAL RELOCATION COMMITTEE - (Special Committee)

MR. BENNETT, Chairman reported that his Committee has met on numerous occasions since the last monthly meeting. He said they met with the URC in connection with the extension of the renewal boundaries and with representatives of the Faith Tabernacle Church and the New Hope Corporation with respect to a request for cooperative housing in the City of Stamford.

His report follows:

"With respect to the extension of the URC boundaries for low cost housing on Grove and River Streets, this Committee is requiring additional information and facts from the URC before acting on these alternative proposals.

"This Committee has also received communications from the NAACP, the Stamford Fair Housing Committee and the Human Rights Commission.

"Based on these communications, public hearings will be held in the very near future, to help us to fully explore all aspects of the housing problem.

"In response to the request by the New Hope Corporation for cooperative housing, the following resolution is approved for presentation to the full Board of Representatives:

MR. BENNETT MOVED for approval of the resolution, as follows:

RESOLUTION NO. 509

CONCERNING NEED FOR ADDITIONAL HOUSING AND CONSTRUCTION OF UP TO 200 UNITS OF 221D3 HOUSING, TO BE SPONSORED BY THE "NEW HOPE CORPORATION" WITHIN PRESENT RENEWAL AREA IN SOUTHEAST QUADRANT, OF APPROXIMATELY THREE ACRES

WHEREAS, the Public Housing & General Relocation Committee of the Board of Representatives has been convinced of the need for additional housing, both inside and outside the urban redevelopment area, and

WHEREAS, the Committee feels that the Urban Redevelopment Commission and all local, federal and state agencies should cooperate in every way possible with the efforts to sponsor cooperative housing, with up to 200 units within the present renewal area,

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, that the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford hereby urge the Urban Redevelopment Commission of the City of Stamford to designate a site up to three acres within the present renewal area for the construction of up to 200 units of 221D3 housing, to be sponsored by the "New Hope Corporation", a Connecticut Corporation, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the site be at such location as to be conducive to good, safe living that will not be in conflict with the development of any planned prime commercial area, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that such site be conveyed to such sponsors at a fair market value as soon as circumstances will allow, contemplating such problems as acquisition, demolition and financing, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford urge every municipal, state and federal agency to cooperate to the fullest, so that such proposed cooperative housing project may become a reality without undue delay.

PUBLIC HOUSING & GENERAL RELOCATION
COMMITTEE:

Jonathan Bennett, Chairman
William E. Buchanan
Peter Chirimbes
George Connors
John Fusaro
Carmine Longo
Thomas Morris

MR. FUSARO rose to a point of order on the number of housing units. (Volume reduced on recording at this point - can't be heard)

MR. BENNETT said regarding the resolution itself, we are seeking 200 units of 221D3 housing to be sponsored by the New Hope Corporation, so it is therefore assumed in the resolution that this is in addition to the 350 units already in the Plan.

MR. FUSARO said he stands corrected.

MR. BENNETT spoke in favor of the resolution and said he felt it should be scrutinized very carefully as it will have an impact upon the downtown Urban Redevelopment area and should also be scrutinized by the URC itself.

He said because of the urgent need of housing in Stamford he intends to present a motion, under "New Business" concerning the creation of an administrative office in the City of Stamford known as the "Housing and Relocation Administrator" to coordinate ALL activities concerning planning of Stamford's future housing needs.

MR. MORRIS MOVED for adoption of the resolution. Seconded by Mr. Chirimbes and Mr. Kelly.

MR. FARMEN said it has been the custom of this Board that various matters are routed between the various Committees involved - an example being the Fiscal Committee report this evening - and on items involving a few thousand dollars. He said we are now discussing a housing program which will change the Urban Renewal Program radically - something away up in the millions of dollars.

MR. FARMEN MOVED this be committed to the Urban Renewal Committee. Seconded by Mr. Rich. Mr. Farmen pointed out that the motion to commit takes precedence.

MR. MORRIS said that most special committees which are set up by this Board do not report to other Committees - for example - the Water Conservation special committee which never went to another committee.

MR. RICH spoke in favor of Mr. Farman's motion. He said he feels very strongly that this is unlike any other special committee action that this Board has considered in his memory and has a very significant impact on the City from many aspects on which the Board has set up a "Watch Dog" Committee (URC) to see that the Plan is carried out according to the wishes of the Board. He said he feels the URC Committee should have a full opportunity to consider this matter before going ahead.

MR. MORRIS said he thinks the Committee did study this from all aspects and members of the Urban Renewal Committee sat in with them in their deliberations, as did the Urban Redevelopment Commission. He said the resolution is not forcing them to do anything, but merely asking them to consider this cooperative housing. He said if they can't consider this, this is something that they will know best. He said the Committee feels these people should be given an opportunity to work with the URC and see what can be done for them. He pointed out that there are going to be constructed in the downtown area 300 moderate rental apartments as well as 1,200 luxury apartments. He said he does not think that the luxury apartments are needed in the City of Stamford - because they don't have that many people looking for luxury apartments at this time. He said the need for this cooperative housing, on the other hand, is very evident and it will at least be a start after four long years of waiting for low cost housing.

MR. HEMINGWAY asked to know, before a vote is taken, if "cooperative housing" means there will be no cost to the City.

MR. BENNETT said, initially the program would come under the 22LD3 Project, which is the same type as the one being considered by the Arch-diocese of Bridgeport, and at some point it would be conveyed to the New Hope Corporation, which is a non-profit organization. He said it is not subsidized or low-cost housing, but privately-owned cooperative housing, wherein each individual tenant is sharing in the ownership of the building and paying his fair share. He said there are certain requirements which they must meet in order to meet the Federal housing (FHA) commitment for a mortgage, which he understands is under way. He said there is something known as "Seed Money" which they must come up with first so that they are able to obtain a certain percentage of their financing and once this has been obtained, at that point they will make their mortgage commitment, assuming that the sites were approved by the Federal Agency at which point the ownership would then be in the cooperative housing group.

MR. ROGERS spoke in favor of the resolution.

MR. LOCKHART urged adoption of the resolution.

MR. CHIRIMBES said this may change the whole concept of the URC but it is being changed all over the country and furthermore the President just came out with a statement that he would like to see housing in these urban renewal areas. He said the URC has made changes, by allowing luxury apartments to be located there, which are not needed because there are so many being built now all over the City, so that the requirements for luxury apartments are decreasing.

MR. NATHANSON ROSE ON A POINT OF ORDER. He said we are supposed to be discussing a motion to refer to Committee and not the pros and cons of the main motion.

MR. FUSARO said it seems to him that the Urban Redevelopment Committee could meet and sit down with the Commission and study this directive. He spoke against referring this to the URC Committee; as it would only delay the pressing need we have for low cost housing. HE MOVED THE QUESTION.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on the motion to commit to the URC Committee. LOST.

THE PRESIDENT said the vote will now be on the main motion - to approve the resolution presented by Mr. Bennett.

MR. NATHANSON said he would like to ask a question of Mr. Bennett before the vote is taken. He said he would like to ask why, specifically the "New Hope Corporation" was pointed out, because he is concerned about how we would go about turning down some other corporation that might come in and ask for a similar thing and asked if this question came up and was it considered.

MR. BENNETT said it happened that the "New Hope Corporation" was the one that came before the Committee and presented this proposal. He said at the last monthly meeting he indicated in his report that if there were other similar groups of any sort who had any ideas to relieve the pressing need for housing in Stamford that they, too should come forward. He said, exclusive of the "New Hope Corporation" they have had no communications from any other group expressing such an interest and that is the reason the resolution is restricted just to that Corporation. He said among other groups that have communicated with the Committee, one happens to be the Senior Citizens group.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he is still concerned about this "Seed Money" and wants to know just how long this problem is supposed to be considered by the URC and how long are they to wait for the "New Hope Corporation" to come up with the money - and is it an indefinite "blank check"?

MR. BENNETT said the resolution is merely urging the URC to find land and once this is accomplished, whether they can or can't find it - money is not so much the main problem, but land is the problem.

THE PRESIDENT warned the members they are getting into a lengthy discussion.

MR. FARMEN said there is one question he would like to have an answer on and that is, why does the "New Hope" group insist upon going into the Urban Renewal area and absolutely refuses to consider any other land that might be available?

THE PRESIDENT said he could get that answer from the Committee and he would rather not get into another discussion at this time.

MR. ROGERS MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

A request was made for a ROLL CALL VOTE. One-fifth of those present having signified they wished a roll call vote, the Clerk took the vote. The resolution was CARRIED by a vote of 31 in favor and 4 opposed, as follows: (The President not voting)

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

ARRUZZA, Patsy (D)
 BENNETT, Jonathan (R)
 BITETTO, Joseph (R)
 638 BOCCUZZI, John (D)
 BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D)

THOSE VOTING AGAINST

FARMEN, Lynn (R)
 HEMINGWAY, Booth (R)
 KARL, Frederick (R)
 RICH, John (R)

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

BROMLEY, Robert (R)
BUCHANAN, William (R)
CAPORIZZO, Vincent (D)
CASALE, Louis (R)
CHIRIMBES, Peter (R)
CLARKE, Hilda (R)
CONNORS, George (D)
DOMBROSKI, Edward (D)
DURSO, Robert (D)
FUSARO, John (D)
HEINZER, Charles (R)
IACOVO, Edwin (R)
KAPLAN, Howard (D)
KELLY, Stephen (D)
KUCZO, Paul (D)
LE BEAU, Alton (R)
LILLIENDAHL, Frances (R)
LOCKHART, Ralph (D)
LONGO, Carmine (D)
MITCHELL, Charles (D)
MORRIS, Thomas (R)
MOSCA, Dominick (R)
MURPHY, William (D)
NATHANSON, Benjamin (R)
ROGERS, Randolph (R)
RYENICK, Gerald (D)

Concerning CORPORATION COUNSEL'S OPINION - Letter (dated 12/8/66) from Paul Kuczo, 8th District Representative - RE ZEZIMA APPEAL acted upon by Board of Representatives on 11/9/66 - (See page 4930, item #14, under Steering Committee Report)

THE PRESIDENT read the Corporation Counsel's opinion on the above matter at this time:

Department of Law

January 9, 1967

Mr. George Russell, President
Board of Representatives
Municipal Office Building
Stamford, Connecticut

RE: ZEZIMA APPEAL - LETTER FROM PAUL KUCZO,
8TH DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE

Dear Mr. Russell:

At the request of the Steering Committee of the Board of Representatives, we have considered and reviewed the letter from Representative Paul Kuczo, Jr. to the Steering Committee relative to the referral to the Board of Representatives of the decision of the Zoning Board on the above matter.

Representative Kuczo's inquiries are based upon the premise set forth in the last sentence of his letter that if it be determined by this office that the Board of Representatives acted improperly, that this matter can be processed again by the Board of Representatives. However, Section 552.2 of the Charter of the City of Stamford provides that the Board of Representatives shall approve or reject any such proposed amendment to the Zoning map at or before its second regularly scheduled meeting following such referral. Said Section further provides that failure of the Board either to approve or reject said amendment within the above time limit shall be deemed as approval of the Zoning Board's decision. Inasmuch as more than two regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Representatives have been held following the referral of this matter to the Board, the Board is without jurisdiction or power to take any further action relative to this appeal.

The action of the Board of Representatives in this matter has been appealed to the Court of Common Pleas for a judicial review of the legal significance and effect of the proceedings of the Board of Representatives.

Sincerely,

(Signed) W. Patrick Ryan,
Corporation Counsel

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE:

MR. RICH, Chairman, said his Committee met on January 3, 1967 at 8:15 P.M. in the Board of Representatives' room and present were Messrs. Farnen, Bromfey, Kaplan and Rich. Also present: the Mayor, Commissioner of Finance and a number of citizens interested in the establishment of a Golf Authority - their point of view being presented, primarily by Mr. Frank Daley.

- (1) Final adoption of Ordinance CONCERNING EXCHANGE OF PROPERTIES BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND I-SIS CHEMICALS, INC., to effect the widening of VIADUCT ROAD -
(Approved for publication 12/5/66; published 12/15/66) - (Mayor's letter of 10/20/66 with enclosures and map)

MR. RICH MOVED for final approval of the following Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED:

ORDINANCE NO. 134 SUPPLEMENTAL

CONCERNING CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY FROM CITY OF STAMFORD
TO I-SIS CHEMICALS, INC. TO EFFECT THE WIDENING OF VIADUCT ROAD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding any provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 to 2-27, inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the conveyance from the City of Stamford to I-Sis Chemicals, Inc. of the following described property:

ALL THOSE CERTAIN pieces, parcels or tracts of land situated in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut shown and delineated as Plot "C"; Plot "C-2"; Plot "C-4"; and Plot "C-5"; on a certain map entitled "City of Stamford Map Showing New Map of Street Line on Viaduct Road, from Larkin Street Northerly", which map is on file in the office of the Town and City Clerk of said Stamford. Said Plot "C" contains 100.74 square feet; said Plot "C-2" contains 110.6 square feet; said Plot "C-4" contains 26.7 square feet; and said Plot "C-5" contains 73.9 square feet,

is hereby authorized.

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City of Stamford and to execute and deliver all documents necessary to transfer title to such above described property to grant the easement aforesaid.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

- (2) PROPOSED ORDINANCE (under terms of Public Act #460, 1965 Session) - TO CREATE A STAMFORD GOLF AUTHORITY - (Requested in letter of 11/6/66 to Board of Representatives, from Frank J. Daley, Chairman of Board of Directors, Hubbard Heights Golf Club, Committee to secure a Second Golf Course) (Held in Committee at the 12/5/66 Board meeting)

MR. RICH said the Committee held a lengthy session, which was open to the public, and part of which was an Executive Session, and have not, as yet, reached full agreement on a report to the Board, so this is being held in Committee.

He said the proponents of the Golf Authority have agreed to appear again before the Committee to answer some specific questions.

- (3) TRANSFER, BY QUIT CLAIM DEED, TO STATE OF CONNECTICUT, of 11 acres of City-owned land on Scofieldtown Road adjacent to UConn and Bartlett Arboretum, in exchange for Commitment by the State to construct a public road between High Ridge Road and Scofieldtown Road - (Mayor's letter of 12/8/66)

MR. RICH said there are two things which the Board should do to effectuate this. First, to approve a contract, which can be done by a simple motion, and second, approval for publication of an Ordinance, which will officially allow the City to transfer the property, as far as the Charter requirements are concerned.

AGREEMENT Between City of Stamford and University of Connecticut, Concerning Transfer, by Quit Claim Deed to State of Connecticut of 11 Acres of City-owned land on Scofieldtown Road Adjacent to UConn and Bartlett Arboretum, in exchange for Commitment by the State to construct a public road between High Ridge Road and Scofieldtown Road - (Mayor's letter of 12/8/66)

MR. RICH outlined the contract, saying this is the vehicle by which the City will make the agreement with the State for this transfer of property. He explained that the first paragraph provides that the City will, for the sum of One Dollar and other valuable consideration, give to the State, property which is named in a lengthy, legal and technical sort of way. Also, he said it covers a total of eleven acres.

He said the second paragraph says that the land abuts the University of Connecticut and will be used for University purposes and for a road. He said this is a sort of "Quid Pro Quo" (fair exchange) - that the City is getting a public highway, which is badly needed both now and in the future and what the State of Connecticut does with the land is spelled out in the agreement - to be used for the University of Connecticut and the road. He said there is also a paragraph that says the City cemetery, which is a part of this parcel, will be retained by the State, fenced off, and shall be reserved for the use, as it now is, as a cemetery. He said he has been advised by Mr. Hemingway that it is a sort of "Potter's Field".

MR. RICH further explained that another paragraph says that the State will construct the road without any expense to the City and it is his understanding that this is in the neighborhood of some \$250,000. One reason for approving this now is that this is before the State Legislature - there is provision in the State Budget for this road, and if it is approved tonight, members of the State Legislature, who are also members of this Board, and others, can approve this when it comes before them in Hartford.

He said there is a clause which says that for some reason if the property is never developed for a road in two years, the property will revert back to Stamford, which means that if the Legislature does not pass the appropriation, the City will not be giving land away and not getting something in return.

He said there is also a clause which also states that no property can be given away which belongs to the Arboretum, without the approval of the Mayor of the City of Stamford. He said this road will also take the burden off Scofieldtown Road, down by the Museum.

MR. RICH MOVED for approval of the Contract as outlined above, a copy of which is attached to the Mayor's letter of December 8, 1966 and in the Board's files. His motion was seconded by Mr. Rogers, Mr. Mosca, Mr. Fusaro and others and CARRIED.

MR. RICH MOVED for publication of an Ordinance to put all of the above into proper legal form for the City. He said this Ordinance says very simply that the City gives to the State of Connecticut property designated in the description of the property, for the use of building a road. Seconded. He also MOVED to waive the reading of the Ordinance. Seconded and CARRIED.

VOTE taken on approval for publication of the following proposed Ordinance. CARRIED:

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CONCERNING CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY FROM CITY OF STAMFORD TO STATE OF CONNECTICUT TO PERMIT CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC ROAD BETWEEN HIGH RIDGE ROAD AND SCOFIELDTOWN ROAD

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT:

In conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter and notwithstanding any provisions of Chapter 2, Sections 2-24 to 2-27, inclusive, of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the conveyance from the City of Stamford to the State of Connecticut of the following described property:

ALL THOSE CERTAIN pieces, parcels or tracts of land located in the City of Stamford, County of Fairfield and State of Connecticut and designated as "Parcels 'B' 2.551 ac. 'C' 0.418 ac. 'D' 0.214 ac. 'E' 0.295 ac. and the land running from West to East designated as 'proposed road' extending from Scofieldtown Road to a terminus at Parcel 'B' and the F. A. Bartlett property line, conveyed to the State of Connecticut" on a certain map entitled "City of Stamford Map of a Portion of Sunset Home Property Located on Scofieldtown Road Conveyed to the State of Connecticut for the Purpose of Erecting Thereon The Stamford Branch of the University of Connecticut, November 1958, Scale 1" = 100', Charles W. White, City Engineer" which map is on file in the Office of the Town and City Clerk in Stamford,

is hereby authorized.

The Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized and empowered to act for the City of Stamford and to execute and deliver all documents necessary to transfer title to such above described property to effect the conveyance aforesaid.

This Ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

MR. BITETTO, Chairman, presented his committee report. He said a meeting was held on Wednesday, December 14, 1966 at 7:45 P.M. in the office of the Commissioner of Public Works. Present were: Joseph Bitetto, William Buchanan, Louis Casale, Edward Dombroski, Stephen Kelly and Commissioner George Ferrara. Absent were Carmine Longo and Dominick Mosca.

(1) Money for Straightening out COVE HILL

MR. BITETTO said the Commissioner stated that none of the money is available at this time, but he is working on a way to accomplish the straightening out of this hill, and that he does not need any money at this time and the reason he is not asking for it is that he has a prior appropriation on hand for the department to institute the early stages of this project.

(2) Concerning COVE DAM

MR. BITETTO said he and Mr. Kelly as a sub-committee, investigated this matter, along with the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner. He said they noticed that water does flow over the dam and appears to be working very satisfactorily. He said the gates are "Fixed Gates" - not swivel gates. He said the Engineer's report has been supplied to the Commissioner who forwarded this to the Chairman's attention. He read the following letter at this time, pertaining to this matter:

JOHN J. KASSNER ASSOCIATES
Tizian Associates
110 Prospect Street
Stamford, Connecticut

6-13

250 Broadway
New York, N. Y., 10007

December 20, 1966

Hon. George Ferrara, Commissioner
City of Stamford
Department of Public Works
429 Atlantic Street
Stamford, Conn. 06901

Honorable Sir:

RE: COVE DAM GATES

With reference to your recent request for a letter setting forth our opinion as to the necessity of opening the recently installed gates in Cove Pond Dam to eliminate silting and to maintain a clean condition in Cove Pond, we have examined available records of the topography and construction and wish to advise as follows:

1. The gates in their closed position in the Dam do not create the silting problem, nor will opening them eliminate silting.

Fine materials washing down into the Pond have settled out primarily due to the configuration of this body of water. The high velocities reached at the Dam during the short duration of tide change are not realized in the broad upper reaches of the Pond where most of the silting materials are introduced. In other words, open or closed, the gates have very little, if any, effect on the natural process of material settling out when carried into a large body of water such as Cove Pond.

The Dam is constructed with the gate sluiceway at Elevation -2.5 or about 1.15 feet above mean low water. This means that even with the gates open, the water in Cove Pond will never go below 1.15 feet above mean low water, thereby leaving large, deep and quiet waters for material to deposit. There is no possibility, in our opinion, that the opening of the gates would create enough movement in the body of water so as to carry out to sea any appreciable amount of alluvial material. The only way that the silted material can be eliminated would be by excavation or dredging.

2. Positive results obtained by recent testing by the Stamford Health Department has demonstrated that the gates need not be opened to maintain the purity of the waters in Cove Pond.

A large volume of water is exchanged between Cove Pond and Cove Harbor by waters passing over the top of the full length of the Dam at high tide. Because of this, and the fact that new sewers have been constructed in the Noroton River watershed area, collecting almost all the sewage that used to flow into the Pond, pollution of its waters has been drastically reduced.

3. It should be noted that if the gates were opened, the level of the Pond would eventually fall to an elevation which would intermittently expose the mud flats, thereby creating a nuisance by reason of foul odors, breeding of mosquitoes and inadequate depths of water for boating purposes.

Based upon the above, it is our opinion that there is no necessity for opening the gates in Cove Pond Dam at this time.

Very truly yours,

JOHN J. KASSNER ASSOCIATES
TIZIAN ASSOCIATES

JJK:rrl

(Signed) John J. Kassner

MR. BITETTO said he also has letters from the Health Department, as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

November 9, 1966

Mr. Joseph Bitetto, Chairman
Public Works Committee
Board of Representatives
Stamford, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Bitetto:

As per your request, Mr. Andrew M. Thorpe, R. S., our Public Health Engineer, inspected Holly Pond and the Noroton River for pollution and bacterial quality of the water. His report follows:

On November 3, 1966, Mr. Thorpe made an inspection of the entire Noroton River on the Stamford side and Holly Pond and he found no evidence of pollution from any of the outlets along the river at the time of inspection.

Mr. Thorpe collected a specimen of water at 3:15 p.m. at the outlet of Holly Pond past the dam off the sand bar on the Stamford side. The result of the bacteriological examination showed that the number of coliform bacilli was 270 per ml. of water. According to the recommendations of the American Public Health Association, the water is placed in Class B and may be considered satisfactory for swimming purposes at the time specimen was collected. The specimen was taken on November 3 at high tide.

On November 4, 1966, a specimen of water was collected by Mr. Thorpe at 8 a.m. in Holly Pond off the float at Weed Avenue. The tide was low. The ducks and swans were not in the water at the time the water sample was collected; they were on the bank on the Darien side. The result of the bacteriological examination showed that the number of coliform bacilli was 120 per 100 ml. of water. This is considered satisfactory for swimming purposes at the time the specimen was collected.

Mr. Thorpe reports that no conclusion can be made at this time with only two tests made. Temperature, rains, and colder water could have a good effect on the water.

The State Health Department conducted shoreline tests in this area, and the report given to this department on June 9, 1966 shows the following results: Float test No. 132, made inside the dam, had 40 coliform bacilli per 100 ml. of water. Float tests Nos. 131 and 133, made outside the dam near the sand bar outlet, shows the number of coliform bacilli organisms to be 18 and 55, respectively. The average coliform content for these three samples puts the water in the A and B classifications.

Sincerely,

(Signed) James J. Costanzo, M. D.
DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

JJC/JR

MR. BITETTO said he has another letter from the Health Department, as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Mr. Joseph Bitetto, Chairman
Public Works Committee
Board of Representatives
Stamford, Connecticut

December 2, 1966

Dear Mr. Bitetto:

RE: POLLUTION STUDY, HOLLY POND

For further information to your Committee, Mr. Andrew M. Thorpe, R. S., our Public Health Engineer, requested the State Health Department on November 7, 1966 to collect water samples for bacterial quality in the vicinity of Holly Pond to follow up samples collected by the Stamford Health Department on November 3, 1966.

On November 11, 1966, the State Health Department collected samples of water outside of dam in Holly Pond near the sandbar outlet. The results of the bacteriological examination showed that the number of coliform bacilli was 50 per 100 ml. of water in both samples. According to the recommendations of the American Public Health Association, the water is placed in Class A and may be considered satisfactory for swimming purposes at the time the specimens were collected.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) James J. Costanzo, M. D.
DIRECTOR OF HEALTH

AMT/jr

MR. BITETTO said at the time he and Representative Kelly made their examination, they noted that the water appeared clear and was not polluted, and also that people were boating in the area, fishing and catching fish, also there were people water skiing from boats in the vicinity.

MR. BITETTO said the Health Department has made many efforts to solve the health problem that may have existed prior to this investigation. He said, as the reports have verified, Holly Pond is in very satisfactory condition at this time.

He said the Public Works Committee wishes to report to the Board of Representatives that in the future no complaints will be investigated unless the complaints are substantiated by proven facts.

(3) Interim Report on Old Cloonan Jr. High School property

MR. BITETTO said re letter of 12/2/66 to Commissioner Lyons from H.U.D., from Mr. Charles L. Horan, Regional Director of Urban Renewal, a meeting was held in the Mayor's office on December 2, 1966, in reference to what coverage could be obtained through Federal funds. He said the Mayor and all interested parties, through the Commissioner of Finance, have applied to the Housing Urban Development that by putting up the land and buildings of the old Cloonan School property, they are asking the H.U.D. office as to whether or not this would be feasible that we could use our portion of the amount of money needed by using the buildings and land for our share of the cost.

He said we are making further efforts through Congressman Irwin to find out what the status is in Washington, D.C. as per this request, and further information will be had at a later date.

(4) Concerning proposed Ordinance amending Sewer Code, Article II by adding Sections 57, 58 and 59 thereto CONCERNING ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS IN CASES WHERE IT WILL OVERTAX AVAILABLE SEWER FACILITIES - (Deferred 8/1/66 by Legislative & Rules Committee - See page 4701 of Minutes; published 9/14/66; open hearing held 9/20/66; deferred 10/3/66 by Public Works Committee, again 11/9/66 and 12/5/66)

MR. BITETTO said the open hearing was followed by a sub-committee meeting, at which time they decided to pursue the actual ramifications further, due to a possible legal conflict. He said a call was issued to all Boards - such as the Planning Board, the Sewer Commission, Public Works Department, the Engineering Department and various people who would be working with this matter. He said a meeting was held October 11, 1966 with the result that it was questioned whether or not the proposed Ordinance would accomplish the desired result. A suggestion was made that there should be closer cooperation between the Planning Board, Zoning Board of Appeals and the Public Works Department prior to any proposed changes in zoning in several areas in order to facilitate long-range planning to enable orderly up-dating of sewer lines prior to their actual need. Final conclusion: That nothing is to be gained by adopting this proposed Ordinance and it would be better to deal with each problem as it arises and to pursue the long-range planning approach for any future sewer developments. He said the current proposal is to hold this proposed Ordinance until hearing further from the Planning Board; Zoning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals for their concurrence in this solution, or any alternatives.

Mr. Bitetto said the Committee meeting adjourned at 9:15 P.M.

MR. KUCZO said it has been a long time since he has heard a report in regard to the Cove Dam. He said he has never heard so much "double talk" or evasion of the questions that were originally asked.

MR. NATHANSON rose on a point of order. He asked what was on the floor for discussion. Several people spoke at the same time and none could be heard.

THE PRESIDENT asked Mr. Kuczo to explain what items he is referring to.

MR. KUCZO said he wished to discuss two items - No. 1 the pollution of the Cove Dam, and No. 2 the condition of the gates. He said he thinks that he has the right to discuss these, since he and Mr. Connors have been asking for quite some time to have these matters brought out of Committee.

MR. NATHANSON asked the President if this is before the Board for discussion.

THE PRESIDENT said it was, as Mr. Kuczo is speaking on Mr. Bitetto's report.

MR. KUCZO said he feels that Mr. Bitetto got his information from someone that had his head in the sand when they were investigating this particular aspect. He said on August 17, 1966 a letter was sent to the Steering Committee of this Board (See Minutes of Sept. 6, 1966, page 4822, item #10) requesting action on pollution, and they wait until November 9, 1966 to investigate the complaint. He said at that time there was some pollution in this area, from factories or whatever was doing the polluting of the Dam.

MR. BITETTO said he does not believe Mr. Kuczo was paying very strict attention to his report, because one of the letters he read from the Director of Health states that the State Department of Health has on record that they conducted a shoreline test in this area and the report was given to the Health Department on JUNE 9, 1966 which is prior to the August 17, 1966 date cited by Mr. Kuczo.

MR. KUCZO continued with his remarks and said that when they reported this back, the Dam was polluted and why wasn't the examination made at the time it was reported and not until several months later.

MR. KUCZO said he also wishes to speak on the COVE POND GATES. He said they were promised that these gates would be lifted and the Corporation Counsel at the time promised that there would not be any solid structure all the way across, and now we are learning that these gates cannot be lifted.

MR. NATHANSON rose on a point of personal privilege. He said this Dam was installed during a Democratic Administration.

MR. KUCZO said it was the Republicans that blundered, because they had a gate installed that swung and this one which was subsequently installed is solid and can't be moved.

MR. MORRIS said the first gate swung so far that it ended up somewhere down the river:

MR. KUCZO said it is incumbent upon the present administration to have this gate fixed up the way it was supposed to be fixed originally because the taxpayers are the ones who are paying for it.

THE PRESIDENT questioned Mr. Kuczo as to what part of Mr. Bitetto's report he is questioning, as he thought it was a very comprehensive one.

MR. KUCZO said he asked his questions "years ago" and they still have not been answered. He said nothing has been done over the years, and now they are coming up with the statement that nothing can be done.

MR. BITETTO said all Mr. Kuczo does is ask a lot of questions, without furnishing any substantiation to his charges. He said his Committee voted unanimously that in the future they would not handle any of his complaints because the Committee feels he has no substantiated proven facts to back up his accusations.

MR. FUSARO said he wished to ask a question. He wanted to know of any Committee that refuses to answer the questions of a Representative on this Board, whether he be Democrat or Republican. He said he wants to have what Mr. Bitetto said, that his Committee has voted to never answer any more questions of Mr. Kuczo. He said he would like to have this cleared up.

MR. BITETTO said he can answer this - that his Committee reports to the full Board of Representatives that in the future no complaints will be investigated unless such complaints are substantiated by FACTS.

MR. KUCZO said every complaint is substantiated by facts and Mr. Bitetto must have gone down there with a blindfold on if he couldn't see the cracks in the Dam.

MR. RYBNICK said he wishes to substantiate Mr. Kuczo's remarks regarding Cove Pond and Cove Dam and the pollution. He said no one is more familiar with this than he is and the discoloration of the shoreline through many months of the summer time - and the oil slick that appeared over the pond last Sunday and which continually comes over the Pond all through the summer time is an example. And, in reference to where they tested the water - he does not know how they can test the water on the sand, which is located outside of the Cove Pond and how they can make a test of the water into the Cove Pond.

As to the gate - that there is no silt. He said prior to the installation of the stationary gates, the flow of water that came in from Long Island Sound at a very high pressure. He said today, alongside of the gate on the inside of the Cove Pond, the gate is 8 or 10 feet high - the silt is piled up from about twelve inches from the top and anyone who stands on the gate can see this silt piled up against the gate.

MR. KUCZO said he has one more question - if the Health Department feels this area is not polluted - then why don't they lift the clamming ban?

MR. MURPHY said he has a question and that is - can these gates be raised?

MR. BITETTO said a member of his Committee, Mr. Kelly, can answer that question.

MR. RYBNICK said they can be raised by using a derrick.

MR. CONNORS said he has correspondence that goes back to 1964 sent in by the Cove Improvement Committee signed by Mrs. Barry and she had a definite promise from the Public Works Commissioner that he was going to lift those gates whenever they thought they needed it. He said Mr. Rybnick has stated that the silt is nearly up to the top of the gates. He said they were under the impression that they were going to appropriate \$1,500 every year to lift those gates, take them out, clean the barnacles off them, etc. He said if this hasn't been done up until now, they have been very lax. He said once before they tried to tell us they couldn't find any "pollution" so he took them by the hand, took them up there and showed them where the pollution was coming from - right up the Noroton River. He said that is where it is being dumped - from those factories in Springdale and even a poor duck died because he couldn't even live in that water. He said when the Health Department at that time said they couldn't find any pollution, he took them up there and showed them. He asked why these tests are not made where the pollution exists - at the other end of the pond?

MR. BITETTO referred back to his report again where Mr. Thorpe reports that he made an inspection of the entire Noroton River on the Stamford side and Holly Pond and found no evidence of pollution at any of the outlets along the river at the time of his inspection - on November 3, 1966.

MR. BITETTO said he recalls what the Commissioner told them about cleaning the gates - and that is if he saw there was too much silt forming against the gates causing too much of a pressure problem, that they would, immediately, take action to raise the gates and do whatever was necessary and also repair the levee itself, along with the retainer in between.

MR. DURSO said this is his second term on the Board and he has heard so much about this "Cove Dam" problem and this Board has been spending on an average of five or six meetings a year and donated from a half to one hour of the Board's time discussing it. He said it seems to him that the four Representatives from the area involved know this problem inside and out. He said if someone pulled a "Boner" regardless of when it was done or who did it, why can't it be corrected? He suggested the appointment of a Special Committee, headed by Mr. Kuczo, to get to the bottom of this and stop spending so many hours just talking about it.

MR. FUSARO said he wishes to see this put in the form of a motion.

MR. DURSO MOVED that a Special Cove Dam Committee be appointed by the President, to report back in sixty days to get to the bottom of this, once and for all, and preferably chaired by Mr. Kuczo. Seconded by Mr. Fusaro, Mr. Lockhart, Mr. Rybnick and others. CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT said he would try to name the Committee before the meeting ends.

NOTE: SPECIAL COVE DAM COMMITTEE (Named by President Jan. 13, 1967)

(To report in 60 days)

Paul J. Kuczo, Jr. (D) Chairman
 Gerald J. Rybnick (D)
 Stephen E. Kelly (D)
 William P. Caporizzo (R)
 Charles J. Heinzer, III (R)
 Edwin D. Iacovo, Sr. (R)
 Randolph Rogers (R)

CONCERNING COVE HILL STRAIGHTENING

MR. RYBNICK asked to speak on the above matter, also mentioned by Mr. Bitetto in his report. He said about a year ago he was in the office of the Mayor, who said he would see to it that this matter is included in the 1967-1968 Budget and now the Commissioner has just said to the Public Works Committee, he doesn't need any money. He said certainly he doesn't need any money if nothing is going to be done to improve this bad curve so it isn't so dangerous. He said and now we have Clairol, who is most willing to cooperate and to straighten out this long sought improvement and now we find we have an Administration who does not seem to care. He said Clairol is planning to open up this Spring and the conditions and traffic along Cove Road will certainly be most heavy and if this is delayed any longer, it will have an adverse effect on the people on the East Side.

MR. BITETTO said when the Commissioner gave them information on this matter he did state that he was in contact with the Clairol people and he felt and they agreed with him, that they would like to complete their project before they do any talking about revising of the Cove Hill and they had various reasons for it, one of them being that they have committed themselves to the fact that they intend to use the Cove Hill area as little as possible, and, as a matter of fact, are going to have their employees use the East Main Street area, rather than use the Cove Hill area. At that time, when they have completed their project, they will be glad to sit down with the Commissioner and make final plans for Cove Hill and also, the City will then be in a better position to do this in the proper way.

MR. CONNORS said he doesn't know who's giving him the "Double-Talk" but he doesn't believe that stuff. He said he was up there two weeks ago and they agreed to build a sidewalk all the way from the edge of their property, if the City ever cuts the corner - and they are going to install a traffic light at their own expense.

He said as far as putting all the traffic out into the Post Road, would be ridiculous, and people who live on the South Side are not going out into the Post Road, because they are going to come down through the Cove. He said he thinks the City is being very lax about this and he feels the people on the East Side are the forgotten people of the City. He said he doesn't feel they are going to do anything this year, or any other year, because this has been going on, now for 33 years over on the East Side, and it's about time they started doing something about it.

MR. KAPLAN said he agrees with everything Mr. Connors has said about Cove Hill. He reminded the members that his Committee - the Health & Protection Committee - issued a report about six months ago when they recommended that the very first item of traffic improvement for the City of Stamford should be the widening and straightening of Cove Hill. He said apparently no one has listened to their recommendations as far as the Public Works Department is concerned.

CONCERNING OLD CLOONAN SCHOOL PROPERTY

MR. MITCHELL said he would like to ask a question and that is, who is responsible for maintaining this property at the present time?

MR. BITETTO said the Public Works Department of the City.

MR. MITCHELL said he would like to inform the Committee that this place is becoming a "dumping ground" for automobiles, old refrigerators, and things which are very dangerous for children playing in that area. He said if there is anything that can be done to clean this place up and maintain it in a proper way, they would appreciate it very much.

MR. BITETTO said this was brought up by Mr. Dombroski, and the Commissioner told them that as fast as he clears it up, they come back with more things to dump there. He said they are hoping to get this in action so that the proper people will be available to supervise the area.

PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE:

(1) Concerning road acceptance - WEST HAVILAND LANE (See description below)

MR. MOSCA MOVED for acceptance of the above named street as a City street. He said all maps are on file in the Town and City Clerk's office and the street has been certified for acceptance by the City Engineer in his letter of Oct. 26, 1966, as required by Ordinance No. 92 Supplemental: Seconded and CARRIED:

WEST HAVILAND LANE - Extending westerly and southwesterly 1,525 feet from Haviland Road to a temporary turnaround. Map No. 8221.

(2) Concerning proposed resolution to accept BIRCH STREET and TURNER ROAD as public highways

MR. MOSCA said in accordance with Chapter 29, Sec. 29-50 of the Code of General Ordinances, he MOVED for publication of the following proposed Resolution for acceptance of the above roads as public highways. Seconded and CARRIED:

PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING ACCEPTANCE OF STREETS OPEN TO VEHICULAR TRAFFIC PRIOR TO APRIL 16, 1950 (date of consolidation) WHICH HAVE NEVER BEEN ACCEPTED AS CITY STREETS

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, that said Board by its proposed and published resolution of January 9, 1967 accepts the following named streets and highways, which were open to vehicular traffic prior to April 16, 1950, as public streets and highways:

BIRCH STREET - Extending from Weed Avenue westerly to Waterbury Avenue. Length, approximately 291 feet. As shown on Map No. 3841 on file in the Town and City Clerk's Office.

TURNER ROAD - Extending from Sherwood Road westerly to Dann Drive. Length, approximately 378 feet. As shown on Map No. 4135 on file in the Town and City Clerk's Office.

THE PRESIDENT said the above roads will be brought up for final adoption of the above resolution at the February Board meeting, after having been first advertised, which will give the owners a chance to object if they so desire, to their acceptance by notifying the office of the Board of Representatives. If no objection is received to the acceptance of these roads, they will then be brought up at the next Board meeting for final approval of the resolution and acceptance of the roads, which will then become city streets. He explained that these roads MUST, however, meet the minimum specifications for acceptance, which are spelled out in Ordinance No. 79 Supplemental.

(3) Concerning EAST CROSS ROAD

MR. MOSCA said the above road is being held in Committee.

(4) CAPRICE DRIVE and JONATHAN DRIVE

MR. MOSCA said he will report back later on these two roads. He said he is working with the Planning Board on names for roads, so that when the developer goes ahead and later on comes in with his roads for acceptance, that there will be no conflict in regard to their names. He said if there seems to be some similarity in the names or a reason why the names are not acceptable, he would like to know it now, so he can stop a lot of trouble later on.

THE PRESIDENT asked Mr. Mosca if he is moving for acceptance of the above roads.

MR. MOSCA said he is merely referring to the names.

THE PRESIDENT said he believes this is rather unusual for the Board to pass on names before the roads come up for acceptance.

MR. MOSCA said he thinks it should be done this way from now on and it is a good practice and the full Board should comment on this - otherwise it would not be effective at all at the time the road comes up for acceptance.

MR. RICH objected to this procedure and said he does not believe it is a matter that the full Board should consider at ALL and this is a matter for the Planning Board.

PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE:

The Chairman being absent, MR. KELLY presented a petition, and MOVED for SUSPENSION OF THE RULES to bring it before the Board. Seconded and CARRIED.

PETITION NO. 319 - Request from Stamford Area Senior Citizens Alliance, to hold a "TAG DAY" in Stamford on Thursday, January 26, 1967 for the benefit of their organization

MR. KELLY explained that the usual method of tag day collection is to be employed, using girls with containers for funds and the principal streets of the City will be used and permission of the Police Department will be sought, as well as observance of City Ordinances (Ordinance No. 77 Supplemental). HE MOVED for approval of the above petition. Seconded and CARRIED.

EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE:

MR. MURPHY said a resolution has been referred to his Committee, introduced by Louis Casale, 1st District Representative, and requested Mr. Casale to read his resolution at this time.

Resolution No. 510 - Requesting State Legislature to Enact a Special Bonding Act to Buy Bank Mortgages

MR. CASALE read the following resolution and MOVED for its approval. Seconded.

RESOLUTION NO. 510

REQUEST BY STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES, ASKING THE CONNECTICUT STATE LEGISLATURE TO ENACT A SPECIAL BONDING ACT TO BUY BANK MORTGAGES

WHEREAS, there exists in the City of Stamford and the State of Connecticut a need for home mortgage money, and

WHEREAS, this Board is aware of the need for more home mortgage money, and

WHEREAS, this Board is aware of the fact that local home building tradesworkers and workers in fields related to home building will suffer hardships unless more home mortgage money is forthcoming, and

WHEREAS, this Board feels that the unavailability of money for home mortgages is creating a hardship upon many home building firms in Stamford, and

WHEREAS, people with homes for sale could be threatened with the possibility of losing the equity they have built up in their homes because available buyers cannot find adequate home mortgages, and

WHEREAS, it is the policy of this Board to look after the general welfare of all the citizens of the City of Stamford,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford that this Board:

Send a letter to the Governor of the State of Connecticut and Stamford's members to the State Legislature, along with a copy of this resolution, requesting them to present to the next session of the Legislature, a Bill to allow the State of Connecticut to issue a special bond, to allow the State to purchase home mortgages from banks in Connecticut, so as to allow the banks of Connecticut to have more money available for home mortgages.

MR. HEINZER said he thinks it is dangerous to do this off-handed this way, because the reason money is tight is because of the Federal Reserve System and because the Federal government has put some controls on to avert inflation and we are just flying in the face of what is trying to be done to keep down further inflation. He said to ask for our State to, in effect, countermand what the Federal government is trying to do, is wrong.

MR. FUSARO said the President of the United States released Four Billion Dollars into Federal Mortgage funds and he is sure it does not reflect the policy of the Federal Government at this point, since this money has been released and they are trying to feed the money into the mortgage market.

MR. CONNORS said he does not believe this should go to the Governor, but should be sent to Hartford - to the Senate and the General Assembly and if they don't think it's worthwhile, you can rest assured, it will never come out.

MR. CASALE said the resolution DOES read that it go to the Governor AND to the State Legislature.

THE PRESIDENT called for a VOTE on the resolution. CARRIED.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING "HOUSE COMMITTEE"

Concerning controls on volume in Public Address System, and Voting Machine

In the absence of the Chairman of the above Committee, the PRESIDENT stated that Mr. Thomsen has been very kind to come here this evening and try to help out with the problem. He said as far as the Voting Machine is concerned, he has promised to try and have a machine here for demonstration at the February Board meeting. He asked him to advise the members.

MR. THOMSEN asked the Board members to think of one thing (record dead at this point) whether they want one button to vote both "yes" and "no" or two separate buttons.

THE PRESIDENT said the Committee will take this into consideration and make the decision.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST COMMITTEE (Special Committee)

Re: Final Report - Pertaining to Sec. 798 of Charter.

MR. FUSARO said as a member of this Committee he would like to ask Mr. Bromley to ask this Board to defer his report until next month, with the Board's permission.

MR. BROMLEY said he would be happy to yield to Mr. Fusaro's request and SO MOVED. Seconded by Mr. Fusaro, Mr. Connors, and others and CARRIED to defer this report to the February Board meeting.

RESOLUTIONS:

Proposed Resolution - CONCERNING THE REPLACEMENT OF THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD

MR. BENNETT introduced a proposed resolution on the above matter and MOVED for its adoption. Seconded.

Several members objected to bringing this up without it first going to the Steering Committee.

THE PRESIDENT said it is up to the Board how they want to handle it.

MR. RYBNICK said this concerns a fiscal matter and must be referred to the Steering Committee. He MOVED that it be referred to the Steering Committee. Seconded and CARRIED.

OLD BUSINESS:

Concerning Cars parked on both sides of streets

(Note: See Ordinance No. 97 and No. 132 pertaining to restriction of parking vehicles on public streets during periods of snow emergencies.)

MR. CONNORS brought up the question of parking of cars during periods of snow removal and said over a year ago he had brought up this matter to help alleviate the problems of operators of snow plows. He said the remedy suggested was to require the owners of automobiles to park on alternate sides of the street on alternate days and nights, which would be regulated by the Commissioner of Public Works.

NEW BUSINESS:

PETITION from Residents of VIRGIL STREET (81 residents) protesting against alternate side of the street parking

MR. DURSO presented the above petition at this time, which was referred to the Steering Committee.

MR. BITETTO said this question was presented to the Corporation Counsel by the Public Works Commissioner, who was informed that an Ordinance is not needed and it is entirely up to him to notify the Police Department of what action is to be taken and they are working out a program. He said his Committee will see that this petition is presented to the Commissioner for attention.

4958.

Minutes of January 9, 1967

MR. KAPLAN said this matter is solely within the jurisdiction of his Committee - the Health & Protection Committee.

MR. FUSARO said he thinks that proper notification should be given to the proper authority in regard to these tickets that were given to these people who were not aware of the new traffic regulations.

"New" Rice School vs Urban Elementary School

MR. CHIRIMBES said he wants to go back to "Old Business" concerning the RICE SCHOOL REPLACEMENT. He said he wants the record to show that Miss Helen Tobin in a letter written some time ago referred to the "NEW RICE SCHOOL".

MR. HEMINGWAY said he hopes the Board will learn from experience that the Board of Education is trying to avoid the problem they went through awhile back. He said the so-called "New Rice School" is NO LONGER called by that name and is called the "URBAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL" and is located in back of Dolan School and the words "NEW RICE SCHOOL" are dead.

Concerning insignia to be placed on City-owned Cars (OLD BUSINESS)

MR. KUCZO said he also wants to return to "Old Business" and wants to know what happened to the above matter which was referred some time ago to Mr. Rich's Committee (Legislative & Rules Committee).

MR. RICH said the cars in question have been marked with the appropriate insignia.

MR. KUCZO said he hasn't seen them marked yet.

MR. RICH told him to look at the Mayor's car.

MR. KUCZO said it is not marked.

THE PRESIDENT asked the members not to debate this issue which can easily be settled by taking a look at the cars in question.

THE PRESIDENT asked if there is any more "NEW BUSINESS".

Concerning Suggestion to Create an Administrative Office, to be designated "HOUSING AND RELOCATION ADMINISTRATOR"

MR. BENNETT introduced a proposed resolution on the above matter and MOVED for approval. Seconded. No vote taken.

MR. BITETTO MOVED this be referred to the Steering Committee. Seconded and CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, on motion, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting adjourned at 12:40 A.M.

vf

APPROVED:

656

George E. Russell
George E. Russell, President
9th Board of Representatives

Velma Farrell

Velma Farrell
Administrative Assistant
(Recording Secretary)

Note: The above meeting was broadcast over
Radio Station WSTC until 11:00 P.M.
VF