5413

MINUTES OF MAY 10, 1968 Special Meeting of 10th Board of Representatives Stamford, Connecticut.

A Special Meeting of the 10th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Friday, May 10, 1968, pursuant to a "Call" from the President, John C. Fusaro, in the meeting room of the Board, 2nd floor, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Conn.

After a Caucus, the meeting was called to order by the President at 9.15 P.M.

ROLL CALL was taken by the Clerk. There were 36 present and 4 absent at the calling of the roll. However, Ralph Lockhart arrived shortly afterward, changing the roll to 37 present and 3 absent. The absent members were:

Edward P. Dombroski (D) 3rd District William D. Murphy (D) 6th District Paul D. Plotnick (D) 16th District

"CALL" OF MEETING:

THE PRESIDENT read the following "Call" of the meeting:

BOARD.OF REPRESENTATIVES Municipal Office Building 429 Atlantic Street Stamford, Connecticut

May 3, 1968

TO: All Members of 10th Board of Representatives

FROM: President John C. Fusaro

SUBJECT: "Call" of Special Meeting to consider and act upon the CAPITAL AND OPERATING BUDGETS of the City of Stamford, Connecticut for the fiscal year 1968-1969

I, JOHN C. FUSARO, President of the 10th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, pursuant to Section 202 of the Stamford Charter, hereby call a SPECIAL MEETING of said Board of Representatives, for

FRIDAY, MAY 10, 1968

At the Municipal Office Building,

429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut

at 8.00 P. M.

78

for the following purposes

To consider and act upon the CAPITAL & OPERATING BUDGETS for the fiscal year 1968-1969, as transmitted by the Board of Finance on Saturday, April 20, 1968.

John C. Fusaro, President 10th Board of Representatives

PAGES:

The following Page Boys were present: OHARLES HEINZER and MICHAEL HEINZER, sons of Charles J. Heinzer, III, 13th District Representative.

THE PRESIDENT read Section 708 and Section 708.1 of the Charter, as follows:

Section 708. CONTRACT LIMITATIONS.

No elective or appointive officer, no employee or person otherwise receiving a salary or compensation from municipal funds, and no partner, agent, servant or employee of either such officer or person shall: (1) Be interested directly or indirectly in any contract to which Stamford is a party, either as principal, surety or otherwise, or in any work to be performed for, or services rendered to or for, the municipality, or in any sale to or from the municipality or any agency thereof; (2) Purchase from or sell to the municipality or any agency thereof, except after public advertising or bid, any real or personal property. Any violations of the provisions of this section shall, at the option of the Commissioner of Finance, render forfeit and void the contract, work, or business, sale or transaction affected, and further shall constitute grounds for removal from office or employment.

Section 708.1 EXEMPTION OF CORPORATIONS.

A person shall not be deemed to be interested in any contract, purchase or sale made by a corporation with, from or to the municipality solely by reason of the fact that he is a stockholder or director of that corporation unless he, his partners and the members of his immediate family are together the beneficial owners of ten per cent or more of the stock of that corporation.

THE PRESIDENT called upon the Acting Chairman of the Fiscal Committee, Mr. John Boccuzzi, to proceed with the Capital Projects Budget as transmitted to the Board of Representatives from the Board of Finance.

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Fiscal Committee has been meeting for the past two weeks to discusse both the Capital and the Operating Budgets. He said he wished at this time to thank all the members of the Fiscal Committee for

their long hours of deliberation which often lasted into 1.30 in the morning.

THE PRESIDENT explained that the only vote required this evening will be a vote to adopt the Capital and Operating Budget of the City of Stamford and any amendment to the Budget, would, of course, have to be voted upon. He said if anyone wishes to make a change, a vote will be taken at that time and at the end of the evening, any item not voted on will be voted on in toto when the entire Budget is adopted and any amendment thereto will be encompassed in that resolution.

MR. HEINZER asked a question. He said a motion to second would then be out of order, would it not?

THE PRESIDENT said he would say so. However, he said, he certainly would not want anyone to feel the Budget is to be pushed through in any manner, shape or form and would like to give everyone present the opportunity to speak his mind at any point in in this Budget. He said if there is no objection, he would request the Fiscal Committee to proceed in that manner, is to go page by page, requesting from the floor any comment on any particular item appearing on which members wish to make comment upon.

MR. BROMLEY said he would like to know how the President will close discussion and what would be the right form of motion to close discussion on any particular item.

THE PRESIDENT said he would say at the end of the entire evening.

MR. BROMLEY said he meant on any particular item on any said page.

THE PRESIDENT said a motion to move on to the nextitem would close discussion, which would need a majority vote.

MR. RYBNICK said he would like clarification. He asked if it is so that anyone wishes to debate a question that they should hold this to the last.

THE PRESIDENT said no - that he would prefer to entertain any discussion as it arises.

MR. CONNORS asked if this is his correct understanding - that Mr. Boccuzzi is going to act on each individual page and then the President is going to ask if there is any discussion, and if there is none, then we will move right on and take a vote.

THE PRESIDENT said yes -- until we reach an item to be discussed.

MR. HEMINGWAY said in order to save time, he would assume that there is no returning after we have once passed a page.

THE PRESIDENT said "absolutely not" and that he would rule it out of order.

He said if a motion is made to reject any item, the Board would vote upon that particular item at that time, which would be an amendment.

MR. BROMLEY said he does not wish to belabor the item, but in order to close discussion on any given item, would require a majority vote.

THE PRESIDENT said that is presuming that there is no motion to reject an item or to reduce it.

CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET 1968-1969

Page 3 - Public Works Department - SANITARY SEWERS:

Extension of Sanitary Sewers
South of Parkway ------ \$1,500,000.00 APPROVED

Page 5 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - STORM DRAINS

City-Wide Storm Drains - \$25,000.00 APPROVED

Page 7 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - HIGHWAYS

- 1. City-Wide Resurfacing and Reconstruction ----- \$50,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. City-Wide Raise Manholes ----- \$10,000.00 APPROVED
- 3. Washington Avenue Extension -----

MR. KAPLAN asked for the Chair's ruling if a member has a matter that involves him personally, but he nevertheless votes against his personal interest and in the interest of his constituents. He explained in this particular instance a member of his family owns property on lower Washington Avenue which would be directly benefited if this highway were put through, yet the highway would also be a great detriment to this District which he represents — the 14th District. He said if he votes it is his intention to vote against this appropriation and therefore against his family's personal pecuniary interest. He requested & ruling on his position.

THE PRESIDENT said he understands his position as he has stated, but would call attention to the Board's special meeting of April 22nd. He said he feels the reasons given that evening weigh heavily upon this evening's vote—that he would not order him, but would ask him to please, in good conscience, to abstain from voting in this matter tonight.

MR. SCOFIELD MOVED to delete the entire appropriation of Item #3 above. Seconded by Mr. Russbach.

MR. MDRRIS called attention to a discussion of Urban Renewal a few years back,

when the Corporation Counsel at that time gave an opinion that if someone owns property in the downtown area of Stamford it would not necessarily stop him from voting, as he was representing a District.

THE PRESIDENT informed the speaker that the decision he refers to was rendered by the then Corporation Counsel, Isadore Mackler. He said he intentionally did not bring this up because he does not feel at this point that it has anything to do with Mr. Kaplan's position on this matter and for that reason intentionally referred back to a previous statement made by Mr. Kaplan to this Board and feels that this weighs more heavily on his vote tonight than what he just stated. He said if he lets this go on just what he stated this evening, and taking into consideration the decision of the Corporation Counsel at that time, he would have to say that he would be permitted to vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on Mr. Scofield's motion to DELETE in its entirety the appropriation for the Washington Avenue Extension.

MR. FARMEN spoke in favor of the appropriation.

MR. MORRIS said he would like to see this TOPICS report before voting on Washington Avenue.

MR. CHIRIMBES asked if the State is planning a North-South artery which might serve the same purpose and would like a yes or no answer.

THE PRESIDENT said the extent of his knowledge is that the State, under the TOPICS report plans to improve Long Ridge Road and hook into any type of extension constructed by the City.

MR. RUSSBACH went into a great deal of detail at this point on his engineering study done about a year ago on Washington Avenue, and pointed out many of the problems from an engineering point of view. He stressed the fact that he felt a public hearing should be held to allow the people in this area to give their opinions in public before action is taken.

THE PRESIDENT said Mr. Russbach's remarks are very informative and when this is brought up these matters will all be considered, but at the present time the Board is merely voting for an appropriation. He said there will certainly have to be a public hearing and all proposals will be heard at that time.

MR. HEINZER said in view of that, he feels it highly improper to appropriate money for something that has not yet been before a public hearing and for which the plans are not finalized.

THE PRESIDENT said the problem remains as to which comes first - the chicken or the egg, and if we do not have the money for the proposals, then we will never have the proposals.

MR. HEINZER disagreed.

MR. SCOFIELD said his basic statement is a matter of public record, and he requested that his letter of May 8th become a part of the record. He said his concern is a lack of concurrence with Chapter 64 of the Charter and that we are taking items out of order and not following the Charter provisions in proper sequence.

MR. SCOFIELD said he balieves the only resolution this Board has adopted on this matter has been No. 469 which showed the intent of the Board to improve and extend Washington Avenue, and was only concerned with the preliminary engineering work and an estimate of the total cost of the improvement. He called attention to a Charter interpretation prepared by Mr. Mackler (former Corporation Counsel) and said this Board can only appropriate money AFTER they have adopted a second resolution authorizing this project to go ahead and what we are now doing is out of order.

THE PRESIDENT said the Corporation Counsel, Paul Shapero, has forwarded a letter to him and that he will read only the pertinent part, unless there is a request that the entire letter be read:

"..... In effect, it is proper for the Board of Representatives to act upon the Budget item since such action is merely a general appropriation and is not a specific authorization for the letting of contract, or the receipt of bids on the project, but rather is the creation of a fund to pay for the project should it be approved in its final form under the provisions of Chapter 64 of the Charter".

THE PRESIDENT said he thinks this is a direct answer to Mr. Scofield's question. He said he has the history outlined here, but does not believe it necessary to read it at this time. He explained that Mr. Shapero sent this to him yesterday and requested that he read it this evening.

MR. SCOFIELD said he has another request— that there are two Representatives in the 10th District affected by this highway and would like to invite the other Representative to step down from the Chair and speak on this issue, if he would.

THE PRESIDENT said he spoke on this matter for nearly an hour and a half a year ago and will remain in the Chair tonight.

MR. RUSSBACH called attention to another thing he believes is germane and that is, when he performed his studies on this highway found the design criteria not available and asked how it is possible to design a road when you do not know the capacity you are designing it for.

MR. HEINZER MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded by Mr. Morris and CARRIED with several "no" votes.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on the major motion - Mr. Scofield's motion

to DELETE the entire appropriation for Item #3 on Page 7 for the Washington Avenue Extension.

MR. COPERINE requested a BOLL CALL VOTE. There being a sufficient number of members requesting a roll call vote, it was taken and LOST by a vote of 14 in favor, 20 opposed with 1 abstention. The vote follows:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR:

CAPORIZZO, William (R)
CHIRIMBES, Peter (R)
COPERINE, Frank (D)
GUROIAN, Armen (D)
HEINZER, Charles (R)
JOSS, James (D)
KUCZO, Paul (D)
LONGO, Carmine (D)
MILLER, Frederick (D)
MORRIS, Thomas (R)
PONT-BRIANT, Lois (R)
RUSSBACH, Daniel (R)
SCOFIELD, Edward (R)
TRUGLIA, Anthony (D)

<u>ABSTAINED</u>

KAPLAN, Howard (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

ALSWANGER, Herman (D) BOCCUZZI, John (D) BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D) BROMLEY, Robert (R) CALDER, Otto (D) CONNORS, George (D) DEUTSCH, Chester (D) DURSO, Robert (D) FARMEN, Lynn (R) GEORGOULIS, George (D) GRISAR, Richard (D) HEMINGWAY, Booth (R) HORNER, Watson (R) KELLY, Stephen (D) LOGLISCI, Joseph (D) PALMER, Jack (R) RICH. John (R) RUSSELL, George (R) RYBNICK, Gerald (D) WECHSLER, Robert (D)

MR. GRISAR MOVED that the Board accept the Fiscal Committee's recommendation to REDUCE the appropriation for the Washington Avenue Extension in the amount of \$1,000,000.00. SECONDED.

MR. RICH rose on a POINT OF ORDER. He said he thought under the ruling from the Chair, that unless there was an objection to the recommendation of the Fiscal Committee, no vote would be taken on any particular item.

THE PRESIDENT explained that because this is a CHANGE from the figures as transmitted to this Board by the Board of Finance, it will have to be voted upon separately and in order that there be no mistake he is ruling this way, but not because it is necessary from a parliamentary viewpoint, but merely for clarification.

Page 7 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - HIGHWAYS (Continued)

3. Washington Avenue Extension - \$1,000,000.00 APPROVED
TOTAL HIGHWAYS - \$1,060,000.00 APPROVED

Page 9 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - SIDEWALKS AND/OR CURBING

- 1. Curbing City-Wide \$10,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. Sidewalks City-Wide \$15,000.00 APPROVED
- 3. Board of Education \$5,000.00 APPROVED
- 4. Greenwich Avenue \$8,100.00 APPROVED

TOTAL_SIDEWALKS AND/OR CURBING - \$38,100.00 APPROVED

Page 11 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - NEW CONSTRUCTION

- 1. Sewage (Waste Water)
 Treatment Plant ----- \$4,250,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. Incinerator (Conventional) Unit ---- \$2,253,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL NEW CONSTRUCTION - \$6,503,000.00 APPROVED

Page 13 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - NEW EQUIPMENT

1. New Equipment - \$100,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL NEW EQUIPMENT - \$100,000.00 APPROVED

Page 15 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - BRIDGES

1. Mill Road - No action taken as this item was DENIED by the Board of Finance.

Page 17 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - FLOOD & EROSION CONTROL

- 1. Cove Island Beach Improvement City's Share - \$46,672.82 3% Interest - \$12,600.70 ------ \$3,527.00 APPROVED
- 2. Cummings Park Beach Improvement
 City's Share \$14,316.00
 3.25% Interest- 1,860.00 -----\$1.250.00 APPROVED
- 4. Cleaning of Rivers & Streams DENIED by Board of Finance
 TOTAL FLOOD & EROSION CONTROL \$13,277.00 APPROVED

Page 19 - PARK DEPARTMENT

- 1. Asphalting Curbing \$20,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. Dredging \$25,000.00 APPROVED
- 3. Floodlighting \$24,000.00 APPROVED
- 4. Horticultural \$10,000.00 APPROVED
- 5. Repairs & Replacements \$10,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL PARK DEPARTMENT - \$89,000.00 APPROVED

Page 21 - BOARD OF RECREATION

- 1. Court Games, Multiple Use Areas,
 Improvements ----- \$10,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. New Playgrounds \$5,000.00 APPROVED
- 3. Playground Shelter Houses
 Scalzi Park & Southfield Park \$12,000.00 APPROVED
- 4. New Playfields & Improvements \$10,000.00 APPROVED
- 5. Wading Pools, Cloonan Playground
 Courtland Park -----\$ 6,000.00 APPROVED
- 6. Playground Fencing \$5,000.00 APPROVED

 TOTAL BOARD OF RECREATION \$48,000.00 APPROVED

Page 23 - POLICE DEPARTMENT

- 1. Patrol Wagon \$6,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. Twenty-Five Handi Talkie \$17,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT - \$23,000.00 APPROVED

Page 25 - FIRE DEPARTMENT

- 1. Number 3 Station
 10 New Walkie Talkies \$6,800.00 APPROVED
- 2. Number 1 Station
 New Fire Station ----- DENIED by Board of Finance

TOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT - \$6,800.00 APPROVED

Page 27 - STAMFORD GOLF AUTHORITY

1. Land Acquisition - \$1,800,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL STAMFORD COLF AUTHORITY - \$1,800,000.00 APPROVED

Page 29 - FERGUSON LIBRARY

1. Bookmobile - \$17,595.00 APPROVED

TOTAL FERGUSON LIBRARY - \$17,595.00 APPROVED

Page 31 - BOARD OF EDUCATION

- 1. Toquam Elementary (Equipment) ----- \$200,000.00 APPROVED
- 2. Stamford High School
 Modernization & Addition ----- \$450,000.00 APPROVED
- 3. New High School -----\$2,000,000.00 APPROVED
- 4. Service Building ----- \$ 50,000.00 APPROVED
- 5. Elementary School ----- \$ 150,000.00 APPROVED
- 6. Land Acquisition -----\$ 300,000.00 APPROVED
- 7. Elementary School #2 -----No appropriation requested by Mayor
- 8. Elementary School #3 ---- * * *

TOTAL BOARD OF EDUCATION - \$3,150,000.00 APPROVED

Page 33 - URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

City Funds ----- \$500,000.00 APPROVED

TOTAL APPROVED FOR URBAN REDEVELOPMENT COMMISSION - \$500,000,00

TOTALS FOR 1968-1969 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET

PUBLIC WORKS:

1.	Sanitary Sewers	\$1,500,000,00
	Storm Drains	
	Highways	
	Sidewalks and/or Curbing	
5.	New construction	\$6,503,000,00
	New Equipment	

PUBLIC WORKS (CONTD.)

7. Bridges (DENIED by Board 8. Flood & Erosion Control -	of Finance)
TOTAL PUBLIC WORKS	\$9,239,377.00
PARK DEPARTMENT	***************************************
BOARD OF RECREATION	48,000.00
POLICE DEPARTMENT	23,000.00
FIRE DEPARTMENT	6,800.00
RECREATIONAL LAND COLF AUTHORITY	1,800,000.00
FERGUSON LIBRARY	17,595.00
BOARD OF EDUCATION	3,150,000.00
URBAN REDEVELOPMENT	500,000,00
TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS APPROVED	\$14,873,772.00
**************	****

OPERATING BUDGET -- 1968-1969

Note: The total amounts for each department will be given, and only in the case of items voted upon separately will any specific item be mentioned in that particular department's budget.

VF

Page 3 - REGISTRARS OF VOTERS:

TOTAL APPROVED FOR REGISTRARS OF VOTERS ----- \$46,410.00

BOARD OF SELECTMEN:

TOTAL APPROVED FOR BOARD OF SELECTMENT ------ \$ 1,200.00

BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Code 106.2201 New Equipment ------ DENIED

TOTAL APPROVED FOR BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES - \$20,899.16

Page 5 - MAYOR'S OFFICE:

Code 108,0101 Salaries, New Personnel:

Secretary @ \$5,375.00 DENIED
Mayor's Aide @ \$11,000.00 REDUCED TO \$10,000.00

MR. MORRIS MOVED that the Mayor's Aide be DELETED, at the salary of \$10,000.00. SECONDED.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI spoke against reducing this at all. He said this Board a few months ago appropriated the money for this Aide and it seems a little foolish before an Aide is even hired, that this Board should take it upon itself to delete it from the Budget. He said he does not think this is fair because the City has grown a great deal and to delete personnel from the Mayor after we appropriated the money not three months ago, to him does not make any sense.

MR. HEINZER said he takes a very strong objection to Mr. Boccuzzi's statement for the reason that at the time we appropriated the money, it was said by many who spoke in favor of the motion, that this would be a trial for that period of time and when the item came up in the Budget in May for the next fiscal year, that would be the time, after having tried it for a couple of months, to delete or not.

MR. RUSSBACH said he feels the leadership of the City should show the taxpayers an example, when the voters are expected to tighten their belts in order to pay more taxes - that the Administration should also do without a little bit.

MR. CONNORS said he feels the City is growing so fast and getting so big that it is difficult for a Mayor to handle the job by himself and an Aide is very much needed.

MR. DURSO said he has never seen a Mayor put in so much time as this one; that he is here from early morning to late in the evening, and with an Assistant, he will be able to get more done.

MR. KAPLAN MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. HEINZER asked for a ROLL CALL VOTE. There being sufficient members requesting it, one was taken as follows. LOST by a vote of 9 in favor, 26 opposed and one abstention:

THOSE_VOTING IN FAVOR:

BROMLEY, Robert (R) CAPORIZZO, William (R) FARMEN, Lynn (R)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

ALSWANGER, Herman (D) BOCCUZZI, John (D) BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D)

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

HEINZER, Charles (R)
MORRIS, Thomas (R)
PALMER, Jack (R)
RUSSBACH, Daniel (R)
RUSSELL, George (R)
SCOFIELD, Edward (R)

ABSTAINED:

PONT-BRIANT, Lois (R)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

CALDER, Otto (D) CHIRIMBES, Peter (R) CONNORS, George (D) COPERINE, Frank (D) DEUTSCH, Chester (D) DURSO, Robert (D) GEORGOULIS, George (D) GRISAR, Richard (D) GUROIAN, Armen (D) HEMINGWAY, Booth (R) HORNER, Watson (R) JOSS, James (D) KAPLAN, Howard (D) KELLY, Stephen (D) KUCZO, Paul (D) LOCKHART, Ralph (D) LOGLISCI, Joseph (D) LONGO, Carmine (D) MILLER, Frederick (D) RICH, John (R) RYBNICK, Gerald (D) TRUGLIA, Anthony (D) WECHSLER, Robert (D)

Code 108.0101, Salaries, TOTAL APPROVED ----- \$61.600.00

MR. MORRIS MOVED for a RECESS at this time (10.30 P.M.) which was granted.

The recess was declared over at 11.05 P.M.

MR. BOCCUZZI MOVED to reinstate the salary for Secretary @ \$5,375.00 under "New Personnel". Seconded by Mr. Alswanger.

MRS. PONT-BRIANT said the Committee felt that by giving the Mayor an Aide, plus the Administrative Assistant and another Secretary was enough, plus part-time help at the Mayor's discretion, and they would give a little and take a little and deleted the extra Secretary.

MR. RUSSELL said they want to make it clear that they are not stripping the Mayor's office of clerical assistant - he does have two secretaries now, one of which is an Administrative Assistant II and a Secretary, and they want to make it very clear they are not stripping the Mayor's office because he does have two secretaries at present.

MR. CONNORS reminded the members that we must remember the City is getting larger all the time which alone creates more work. He said at the time

he was Acting Mayor they were able to get along with two Secretaries, but maybe he needs three or even four by now because of the extra burden put on the Mayor with increased population. He said he is sure the Mayor would not have asked for another Secretary if one more wasn't needed.

VOTE taken on reinstating the cut made by the Fiscal Committee in deleting the "New Personnel" - Secretary in the amount of \$5,375.00. A voice vote not being clear, the President called for a division of the house. There were 11 votes in favor and 21 opposed, the others abstaining. LOST.

New Personnel - Director, Office of Training and Information - Narcotics:

MR. JOSS asked if the above position is a new one - caused by the government having given this up.

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the position of Director of Training and Narcotics. Seconded by Mr. Russbach.

After considerable debate, MR. DURSO MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

VOTE on deletion of the Director of Training and Narcotics. LOST.

Code 108.2201 - Total of \$1,395.00 APPROVED - Deleted were one Desk at \$205 and one Chair at \$45.

TOTAL APPROVED FOR MAYOR'S OFFICE ---- \$76,379.00

Page 5 - DEPARTMENT OF LAW:

Page 7 - TOWN AND CITY CLERK:

TOTAL APPROVED FOR TOWN & CITY CLERK ----- \$105,630.35

COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE:

TOTAL APPROVED FOR COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE - \$ 24,340.00

Page 9 -	BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS & RECORDS: GENERAL ACCOUNTING:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS & RECORDS - GENERAL ACCOUNTING \$ 70,983.75
	BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS & RECORDS: DATA PROCESSING SECTION
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS & RECORDS DATA PROCESSING SECTION\$137,663.73
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS & RECORDS \$208,647.48
	BUREAU OF PURCHASES:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR BUREAU OF PURCHASES \$ 43,658.56
Page 11-	STAMFORD MUSEUM:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR STAMFORD MUSEUM \$120,000.00
	FERGUSON LIBRARY:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR FERGUSON LIBRARY \$825,000.00
Page 13-	VETERANS! SERVICE:
	Above was DENIED by the Board of Finance.
	CENTRAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT:
	Code 126.0103 Overtime - REDUCED to \$1,000.00
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR CENTRAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
	PATRIOTIC OBSERVANCES COMMISSION:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR PATRIOTIC OBSEEVANCES COMMISSION
	VETERANS GRAVES COMMISSION:
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR VETERANS GRAVES COMMISSION \$ 3,000.00

Page 15 - GENERAL INSURANCE: TOTAL APPROVED ----- \$ 66,500.00

EMPLOYEES' MEDICAL & HOSPITAL:

TOTAL APPROVED ----- \$ 10,000,00

PLANNING BOARD

Code 134.1103, Maps & Prints REDUCED to \$750.00

TOTAL APPROVED FOR PLANNING BOARD \$ 78,934.57

SOUTHWESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY:

City's Contribution (\$4,800.00)

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the City's contribution of \$4,800.00 for the Southwestern Regional Planning Agency. Seconded by Mr. Russbach.

MR. WECHSLER urged the approval of the City's contribution. He said from a business standpoint alone, it gives the opportunity to finance many necessary programs for the City of Stamford and the return far outweighs the investment, and makes very good sense. He said having read the legislation involving this, although there may be fears, he sees no foundation for them.

MR. HEINZER spoke against the appropriation, and said there is nothing in any governmental regulation which says that we must join the SWRPA in order to obtain the grant that Mr. Wechsler refers to. He said the only thing that is involved is an additional 5% IF we apply through some agency, such as Tri-State, or the SWRPA and incidentally it is not necessary that we be a member in order to apply through it. Regarding the loss of local autonomy, he said Mr. Morris is going to read something later on that may change some people's minds about that also, and there is a very definite chance of losing our local autonomy through Regional Planning and in many areas of the State Regional Planning has suggested just that.

MR. MILLER said he also is opposed to paying these dues, even though there may not be anything in the Statutes at the present time which would cause us to immediately lose our local autonomy, he feels it is an entering wedge and is the first step and it is up to the people at the "Grass Roots" to stand up to this.

MR. RUSSBACH said he has read the housing technical report #6 put out by SWRPA and the connotation he gets is that basically SWRPA is in favor of easement of zoning within this regional area. He said he has fought to prevent this type of down-zoning and increase in density in many areas. He said he feels this definitely is an opening wedge and once we are in this, it will grow in power until they will be dictating and not just recommending as to how we should prepare our Zoning Codes and our Master Plans. Being a

representative of the small home owners groups, he said he feels this definitely is not in the best interests of the City.

MR. HEMINGWAY said he has long been in favor of Stamford's membership in the SWRPA and thinks the City and its citizens have more to gain by joining than by staying out.

MR. FARMEN spoke in favor of the appropriation and against the motion to delete.

MR. GRISAR reminded Mr. Russbach that we are a member of the SWRPA, but are not a dues paying member; that we are not joining, but paying dues to the SWRPA, as a member.

After considerable further debate, after which MR. GRISAR MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

MR. RUSSBACH MOVED for a ROLL CALL VOTE. There being a sufficient number of members requesting this, the following vote was taken.

THE PRESIDENT explained that a vote of "yes" deletes this appropriation and a vote of "no" means it stays as recommended by the Fiscal Committee.

THE VOTE was as follows: LOST by a vote of 22 opposed and 14 in favor:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR:

CAPORIZZO, William (R) CONNORS, George (D) COPERINE, Frank (D) DURSO, Robert (D) GUROIAN, Armen (D) HEINZER, Charles (R) JOSS, James (D) KUCZO, Paul (D) LONGO, Carmine (D) MILLER, Frederick (D) MORRIS, Thomas (R) PONT-BRIANT, Lois (R) RUSSBACH, Daniel (R) RYBNICK, Gerald (D)

-

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

ALSWANGER, Herman (D) BOCCUZZI, John (D) BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D) BROMLEY, Robert (R) CALDER, Otto (D) CHIRIMBES, Peter (R) DEUTSCH, Chester (D) FARMEN, Lynn (R) GEORGOULIS, George (D) GRISAR, Richard (D) HEMINGWAY, Booth (R) HORNER, Watson (R) KAPLAN, Howard (D) KELLY, Stephen (D) LOCKHART, Ralph (D) LOCLISCI, Joseph (D) PALMER, Jack (R) RICH, John (R) RUSSELL, George (R) SCOFIELD, Edward (R) TRUGLIA, Anthony (D) WECHSLER, Robert (D)

THE VOTE resulted in the approval of the following appropriations

Page 15 -	SOUTHWESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY:
	City's Contribution APPROVED \$ 4,800.00
	BOARD OF TAX REVIEW - TOTAL APPROVED 300.00
Page 17 -	ZONING BOARD:
	Code 138.0104 Overtime-Meetings REDUCED to \$ 500.00
	Code 138.1103 Maps & Prints - REDUCED to \$ 1,500.00
	TOTAL APPROVED FOR ZONING BOARD \$10,065.00
-	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS - TOTAL APPROVED \$11,475.00
Page 19 -	ASSESSOR'S OFFICE: - TOTAL APPROVED\$105,910.00
Page 21 -	TAX COLLECTOR:
	Code 146.2201, New Equipment - REDUCED to \$ 1,589.00
	Deleted from the above, 2 typewriters @ \$ 420.00
	TAX COLLECTOR - TOTAL APPROVED \$96,792.78
	RESERVE FOR TAX REFUNDS - TOTAL APPROVED \$ 5,000.00
Page 22	- STAMFORD FIRE DEPARTMENT PENSION PAYMENTS- \$142,591.05
Page 22A-	- STAMFORD POLICE DEPT. PENSION PAYMENTS \$263,193.48
Page 23	BOARD OF FINANCE:
	Code 150.0301, Stationery & Postage: REDUCED TO \$ 150.00
	BOARD OF FINANCE - TOTAL APPROVED \$ 16,865.00
	PENSIONS, INSURANCE, ETCTOTAL APPROVED-\$1,563,386.00
Page 25	- CIVIL SERVICE DEPARTMENT:
	Code 174.2201, New Equipment REDUCED to - \$ 80.00
J 1	CIVIL SERVICE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED- \$ 51,205.97

Page 25 -	CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT FUND - TOTA	L APPROVED	- \$	9,275.0	00
	PROBATE COURT - TOTAL APPROVED		\$	7,850.0	00
Page 27 -	SEWER COMMISSION - TOTAL APPROVED		\$	8,435.0	00
	PRINTING LEGISLATIVE BILLS: No appropriation	this year			
	HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION:				
	Code 192.0101, Salaries - REDUCED TO		\$	32,000.	00
	Deleted from the above was New Personnel, Cl	erk Typist	\$	5,000.	00
	Code 192.2201, New Equipment - REDUCED TO		\$	140.	00
	Deleted from the above was one typewriter @ \$80 was allowed for the purchase of a file, the \$110 requested.		i		
	HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION - TOTAL APPROVED		\$	38,255.	00
	CONSERVATION COMMISSION - TOTAL APPROVED		\$	360.	00
	TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT	* *	-\$3,7	40,119.	31
Page 29 -	DEBT SERVICE APPROVED		-\$4,8	79,039.	50
Page 35 -	BOARD OF EDUCATION:	20.5 A.		/ E	

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Fiscal Committee recommends a reduction of \$63,400.00 and the reason for this was that they check with the Board of Finance and found that they figured their appropriation on a per pupil basis and allowed \$750 per pupil and the Fiscal Committee reduced that figure to \$747 per pupil, amounting to a total reduction of \$63,400.00.

He said this would make the new total for the Board of Education \$15,786,600.00.

MR. KAPLAN MOVED that we add the sum of \$63,400.00 which would reinstate the cut made by the Fiscal Committee. Seconded by Mr. Farmen.

MR. KAPLAN suggested that the Board set no arbitrary figure as to what the pupil needs are or are not. He spoke against the reduction.

MR. BOCCUZZI informed Mr. Kaplan that the figure last year was \$648 per pupil and the Committee is giving them a very substantial raise to \$747, which he does not feel will affect the Budget of the Board of Education that much.

After considerable discussion, MR. LOCKHART MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

There being a request for a ROLL CALL VOTE, the President asked for a show of hands. There being a sufficient number, the Clerk called the roll.

THE PRESIDENT explained that a vote of "no" is in favor of the reduction and a vote of "yes" over rules the recommendation of the Fiscal Committee and therefore there will be no cut in the Board of Education budget.

There being no questions as to the President's explanation, the Clerk was instructed to call the roll. CARRIED by a vote of 20 yes, 13 no and one abstention, as follows: (The President not voting except in case of a tie vote).

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR:

ALSWANGER, Herman (D) BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D) CALDER, Otto (D) CHIRIMBES, Peter (R) COPERINE, Frank (D) DEUTSCH, Chester (D) FARMEN, Lynn)R) GRISAR, Richard (D) HEMINGWAY, Booth (R) JOSS, James (D) KAPLAN, Heward (D) KELLY, Stephen (D) LOCKHART, Ralph (D) LOCKHART, Ralph (D) MILLER, Frederick (D) PALMER, Jack (R) RICH, John (R) SCOFIELD, Edward (R) TRUGLIA, Anthony (D) WECHSLER, Robert (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

BOCCUZZI, John (D)
BROMLEY, Robert (R)
CAPORIZZO, William (R)
CONNORS, George (D)
DURSO, Robert (D)
GEORGOULIS, George (D)
GUROIAN, Armen (D)
HORNER, Watson (R)
MORRIS, Thomas (R)
PONT-BRIANT, Lois (R)
RUSSBACH, Daniel (R)
RUSSELL, George (R)
RYBNICK, Gerald (D)

ABSTAINED:

HEINZER, Charles (R)

Mr. Kuczo and Mr. Longo were excused earlier in the evening, leaving 35 now present.

Page 35 - TOTAL APPROVED FOR BOARD OF EDUCATION ---- \$15,850,000.00

THE PRESIDENT explained that the recommendation is overruled and there is no cut in the Board of Education budget.

MR. RUSSBACH rose on a POINT OF ORDER. He said in the light of Mr. Heinzer's abstention in the above vote for the reason that he is a school teacher and there is to an extent, a direct involvement, he would request a RULING OF THE CHAIR whether it is a permissible and not a certain conflict of interest for other members of this Board who are school teachers in the Stamford public school system to vote on this issue. He said, under the circumstances,

he feels they are voting on a matter in which they definitely have an interest as professional teachers and therefore questions their right to vote and is requesting a RULING FROM THE CHAIR.

THE PRESIDENT said he would not rule anybody ineligible to vote on the Board of Education budget because they are not voting on salaries, although they might indirectly be interested, it can be pointed out that all Board Members are indirectly interested in education and some may be more so than those who voted as school teachers but perhaps have no children.

MR. COPERINE said he would like a chance to answer Mr. Russbach - that being an educator, he will NOT vote on his salary because that would be a conflict of interest, but this vote he regards as a vote for the children of Stamford.

MR. HEINZER rose on a point of PERSONAL PRIVILEGE because some of the remarks may have been indirectly aimed at him. He said the teachers' salaries are definitely included in this budget and it has been common practice since he has been on the Board, and from an understanding that he had before he became a member of this Board, that anyone involved in the Board of Education, and has been advised by members of the School Board that he should abstain on these votes and it has been the practice from school teachers who have been on this Board to abstain when voting on the Board of Education budget.

MR. KAPLAN said this is one reason for the establishment of a Board of Ethics and he looks forward with anticipation to the appointment of the members of this Board of Ethics.

MR. TRUGLIA took exception to Mr. Heinzer's statement that in the past, school teachers have not voted - that he would like to state that from his past experience as a member of the Board and as a school teacher, that school teachers always did vote.

MR. COPERINE said that in going over the vote, he sees that five teachers did vote, and if you subtract that from the total of 20 it would still be a vote of 15 in favor and 13 opposed and would not make any difference.

Page 37 - WELFARE DEPARTMENT:

Code 410.2201, New Equipment - REDUCED TO ----- \$ 560.00

The reduction was explained that the amount approved for two legal files was at a price of \$80 each, being the price that the Fiscal Committee has determined as being the correct price, which results in a total approved for the file cabinets in the amount of \$160.00.

WELFARE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED -----\$337,309.44

Page 39 - HOSPITALS - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 101,000.00
SUNSET HOME - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 83,370.32
SMITH HOUSE:	
Code 440.0301, Stationery & Postage - REDUCED to	\$ 600.00
SMITH HOUSE - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 472,617.63
TOTAL WELFARE & OTHER INSTITUTIONS APPROVED	\$ 994,297.39
Page 41 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT:	
Code 510.0103 Overtime in amount of \$1,000 was DEN	IED.
HEALTH DEPARTMENT: - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 178,418.00
MRS. PONT-BRIANT explained that the Fiscal Committee gave th Director the people he required, so they felt they could eli overtime, because everyone on the Committee wanted to give h of getting along with his department.	minate the
CODE ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE:	
TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 42,659.36
Page 41 - DOG WARDEN - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 5,875.00
Page 43 - POLICE DEPARTMENT:	
Code 530.0101, Salaries - REDUCED TO	\$1,736,687.39

MR. BOCCUZZI said the reason for the above reduction is that the Fiscal Committee recommends the deletion of the five Patrolmen under "New Personnel" (page 42) at salary of \$6,250 each, being a total reduction of \$31,250. He said that there are vacancies in the force now - they had some last year and the year before, and have been unable to fill them, and as long as these vacancies exist, there is no reason to come in for "New Personnel" at this time, but if and when the vacancies are filled, and they need more personnel, he is sure the Board will be very sympathetic with them.

Code 530.0101, Salaries - New Personnel, 1 Electronic Technician at salary of \$9,400.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED for the deletion of the above new personnel. He said it is his understanding that the man being moved into this spot is not qualified by the FCC and the technician we now have is not qualified by the FCC to work on these transmitters and the qualifications have not been set right for this job.

MR. RUSSELL said these qualifications have not been written up as yet.

MR. RUSSBACH seconded Mr. Heinzer's motion to delete one Electronic Technician at a salary of \$9,400.00.

VOTE taken on Mr. Heinzer's motion. LOST.

Code 530.0101, Salaries - New Personnel, 1 Traffic Maintenance Man at Salary of \$5,425.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED for the deletion of one Traffic Maintenance Man at a salary of \$5,425.00. Seconded.

MR. MORRIS said these men are not policeman, but merely mark the roads and is a job that runs for about six months and these men basically come from the Department of Public Works and don't have anything to do in the winter months and he believes that these should be part-time jobs, or else get someone from the Public Works, but does not believe they should be paid a yearly salary for doing part-time work.

VOTE on Mr. Heinzer's motion. LOST

Page 45 - POLICE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED -----\$ 2,158,833.50

Page 47 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - No change recommended here.

Page 51 - HYDRANTS & WATER SUPPLY:

Code 550.1209, Hydrants - Rural - REDUCED to \$ 16,000.00

HYDRANTS & WATER SUPPLY - TOTAL APPROVED ----- \$ 288,923.13

CIVILIAN DEFENSE - TOTAL APPROVED -----\$ 31,497.00

Page 53 - VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS:

BELLTOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT ---- APPROVED -----\$ 31.431.99

NEW HOPE FIRE DEPARTMENT:

Code 572.111 New Ladder Truck @ \$65,000.00

MR. SCOFIELD MOVED to REDUCE the above request to \$32,500.00. Seconded.

MR. SCOFIELD said he believes that all of the volunteer fire departments in the past have purchased their own heavy rolling stock and this would be setting a precedent and he does not believe we should appropriate the whole amount.

MR. CHIRIMBES said, being from Glenbrook, there probably is a conflict of interest here. He said the first volunteer fire department to respond to any coverage in the City of Stamford is the New Hope Fire Company - they have been going to all of their fires and covered down at the Central Fire Station and their ambulance is used for practically all City calls and he feels that this Station will be the next one to belong to the City of Stamford at one time or another and for this reason is opposed to any reduction in their budget.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI said Mr. Russell is the member of the Fiscal Committee that made contact with the Glenbrook Fire Department (New Hope).

MR. RUSSELL explained why they said they needed this equipment. He said the ladder they now have is 20 years old and they feel it is not in good enough condition, nor is it high enough to reach the present new high rise building that has been put in Glenbrook and there is another one which is going to be built, which will be about as high. He said they also do fill in for the City Fire Department, so the need of the truck cannot be questioned, but he believes Mr. Scofield's motion is to reduce the amount that the City should contribute toward the purchase of this truck.

MR. HEINZER said it is his understanding that the appropriation for the City Fire Company comes out of A and B District taxes and that the C District taxes are not used for that. He said the new engine comes under the general budget and the reason given is that the New Hope Fire Company supports the City and therefore should have the ladder truck. He said it therefore should come out of taxes for A and B Districts and not out of the whole budget. He said for this reason he is in favor of Mr. Scofield's motion.

MR. RUSSBACH said it is his belief that all of the volunteer fire trucks cover a certain prescribed area and some of the other volunteer fire departments also probably need new equipment and for this reason it is setting a bad precedent, because then other fire companies will be doing the same thing and we will wind up appropriating another four or five hundred thousand dollars every year for the volunteer fire companies for their rolling stock. For this reason he said he favors the deletion of the requested appropriation.

MR. CHIRIMBES said he would like to call to the Board's attention that this particular department has to cover a great many industries and it is badly needed equipment.

VOTE taken on Mr. Scofield's motion to REDUCE the appropriation for the ladder truck for the New Hope Fire Department to \$32,500.00. LOST.

NEW HOPE FIRE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED\$135,455.00
LONG RIDGE FIRE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED\$ 51,200.00
Page 55- TURN-OF-RIVER FIRE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED\$ 75,625.00
SPRINGDALE FIRE DEPARTMENT - TOTAL APPROVED\$ 47,900.00
Code 578. Investigation of Fires APPROVED\$ 75.00
VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS - TOTAL APPROVED\$341,686.99
HARBOR MASTER (part-time help) TOTAL APPROVED\$ 300.00
TOTAL PROTECTION TO PERSONS & PROPERTY APPROVED\$4,583,684.92
Page 57 -PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATION:
0 1 (an area a 1 mm)(ann 1 Arr/ a/n ao

Code 602.0101, Salaries REDUCED to \$116,247.00

MR. BOCCUZZI explained that the Fiscal Committee DENIED the request under "New Personnel" for a Timekeeper @ salary of \$5,175.00.

Code 602.0401, Advertising & Printing - DENIED.

MR. HEINZER MOVED the request for the above, approved by the Board of Finance in the REDUCED amount of \$750.00 be DENIED entirely. He said he does not believe it should be necessary to advertise the Fall and Spring Clean-up and that a press release should suffice and that we are overburdened with this now and it has gotten out of hand to where people are clearing out their furniture and all kinds of rubble and rubbish. He said he also understands that we advertise in out of town newspapers. Seconded. CARRIED to DELETE this by a vote of 18 in favor and 14 opposed with one abstention.

Code 602.0802, Car Allowance - REDUCED to \$1,800.00

Code 602.1202, Maintenance of Equipment - REDUCED to \$2,500.00

Code 602.2101, Conventions, Dues and Conferences - REDUCED to \$500.00

Code 602.2201, New Equipment REDUCED to - \$3,630.00

The above reduction was explained that the following were denied or reduced: Desk for new personnel @ \$250 was DELETED; the file cabinet was REDUCED to \$80; and the four-deer sedan passenger car @ \$3,500 was DENIED.

Code 602.2201, New Equipment - 300 watt transistorized base station for Public Works Department @ \$3,100.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to delete the above request. Seconded and LOST.

PUBLIC WORKS ADMINISTRATION - TOTAL APPROVED -----\$138,995.70

WEIGHTS & MEASURES - TOTAL APPROVED ------ 8 8,034.00

Page 59 - BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS & MAINTENANCE - DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS:

Code 606.1804 Bridges ----- DENIED

BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS & MAINTENANCE,
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS - TOTAL APPROVED ------\$665,905.00

Code 606.0101, Salaries under "New Personnel" Supervisor of Highways & Maintenance
9 salary of - \$10,575.00.

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above as well as Code 606.0802 for car allowance for this man, being \$600. Seconded.

MR. MORRIS said this was a job that was previously in the Public Works Department, having been filled by Red Chase at that time. He said after Mr. Chase passed away, Mr. Canavan did not see the need for this job. Now, he said it looks as if we are just creating a job and have no specifications for it.

MR. TRUGLIA said he disagrees - that this job, basically is not being created, because it has been created for some time and the specifications have been very clear for many years. He said if we were not pressed for time, he is sure that the duties and specifications for this job can be easily obtained.

MR. RYBNICK said a new job description can be obtained at the Personnel Department.

MR. RUSSBACH said, knowing the specifications, he does not believe it will be possible to obtain a qualified man for this kind of money.

VOTE taken on Mr. Heinzer's motion to DELETE the appropriation of \$10,575.00 for the position of Supervisor of Highways & Maintenance, and for the car allowance in the amount of \$600 under Code 606.0802. LOST.

MR. HEINZER called for a division of the house. A standing vote was taken and LOST, there being 12 in favor of the motion, and 21 opposed.

Page 58 and 59 - Code 606.1802 - Window Air Conditioner 9 \$400.00

MR. RUSSBACH MOVED to delete the above. He said with the summer coming up and Air Conditioner installed and the roads in the condition they are, he feels that putting this in either the Town or City Garage might run the possibility of this becoming a "hangout". Seconded.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI said he believes this is needed and the speaker's remarks about this becoming a "hangout" for the workers is uncalled for. He said he does not think the Commissioner will allow his personnel to hang around.

VOTE taken on Mr. Russbach's motion to delete the window air conditioner. LOST by a vote of 13 in favor and 18 opposed.

Page 58 - Code 606.2201 - Car Radios - 4 Mobile Units @ \$683 each, being a total of \$2,732.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above. Seconded by Mr. Russbach.

MR. DURSO MOVED to amend Mr. Heinzer's motion to reduce this to two car radios @ \$683 each, being a total of \$1,366.00. The amendment was accepted by Mr. Heinzer and the Seconder.

VOTE taken on the motion. LOST by a vote of 16 in favor and 17 opposed.

MR. RYBNICK MOVED that we keep the four units.

THE PRESIDENT said this motion is not needed.

Page 59 - BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS, DIVISION OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

Code 607.0103, Overtime - REDUCED to -----\$ 5,000.00

BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS. DIVISION OF EQUIPMENT
MAINTENANCE ---- TOTAL APPROVED ---- \$97,856.00

Code 607.0101, New Personnel, 1 Equipment Mechanic salary of \$6,300.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above new personnel. Seconded.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI said this mechanic is needed and he is going to be put on nights. He said the rolling stock needs attention and the only time this can be done without tying up needed equipment is at night, unless you want to pay for road service.

MR. KELLY said another mechanic is definitely needed.

MR. DURSO MOVED THE QUESTION. Seconded and CARRIED.

VOTE taken on Mr. Heinzer's motion to delete the new personnel - 1 Equipment Mechanic @ salary of \$6,300.00. LOST.

MR. KAPLAN read the following at this time, in response to Mr. Heinzer's "umpteenth" motion this evening; saying he would like to present the following ballad at 1.30 A.M.:

*THE REPUBLICANS ALL ARE IN LEAGUE, TO ENGAGE IN A SUBTLE INTRIGUE,

THEY WILL ARGUE AND FIGHT TO ALL HOURS OF THE NIGHT, WHILE THE DEMOCRATS DROP FROM FATIGUE".

(Applause)

MR. RUSSBACH said he thinks we should have pity on the poor taxpayer, rather than just "ramming" it through just because the majority vote is there and that's the way it's going to be.

Page 61 -	CROSBY STREET PUMPING STATION:	
	TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 4,000.00

BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS, DIVISION OF STREET CLEANING

Code 614.0103, Overtime ---- DENIED

BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS, DIVISION OF
STREET CLEANING - TOTAL APPROVED ------ \$184,242.00

Code 614.0101, under "New Personnel" 1 Laborer @ salary of \$5,150.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the remaining Laborer under the above account. Seconded by Mr. Russbach and LOST.

Code 614.2201, under "New Equipment" 2 mobile radio units @ \$683 or total of \$1,366.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above. Seconded. LOST.

STREET LIGHTING - TOTAL APPROVED ---- \$390,000.00

BUREAU OF SANITATION:

MR. HEINZER MOVED for the deletion of the salary of 1 Laborer, as outlined above. Seconded by Mr. Caporizzo.

The speaker was informed his motion was out of order as there is no request in there for new personnel.

MR. HEINZER withdrew his motion, as did the seconder to his motion.

BUREAU OF SANITATION - INCINERATOR & SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT:

MR. HEINZER questioned the need for the above personnel, as the new Sewage Treatment Plant will not be ready for some time to come. HE MOVED for DELETION of the above. Seconded by Mr. Russbach.

MR. BOCCUZZI said this is a 24 hour operation and with the overtime account, which is \$40,000, maybe some new personnel down there would help us cut out some of the overtime. He said there is not enough personnel down there now to run three shifts.

VOTE taken on Mr. Heinzer's motion to delete a Sewage Plant Operator 1 at a salary of \$5,550.00. LOST.

Code 620, 2201, New Equipment

MR. HEINZER said the Fiscal Committee has deleted one lawn mower, and he MOVES to delete the other one at a cost of \$800.00. Seconded. LOST.

Page 65 - BUREAU OF SANITATION PUMPING STATIONS:

Code 622,0103 Overtime - REDUCED to ----- \$ 500,00

Code 622.2201 - 2 radios @ \$683 - total of \$1,366.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above for the same reasons as given before. Seconded by Mr. Morris. LOST.

BUREAU OF SANITATION - DIVISION OF GARBAGE COLLECTION

Code 624.0103, Overtime - REDUCED to ----- \$ 5,000.00

Code 624.0617, Fill for Land Reclamation - REDUCED to - \$ 50,000.00

Code 624.0101, Salaries under "New Personnel" - 1
Refuse Collection Foreman 2 Salary of \$6,325.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED for DELETION of the above position. Seconded by Mr. Russbach. LOST.

Code 624.0617, Fill for Land Reclamation in amount of \$50,000

MR. HEINZER MOVED for DELETION of the other \$50,000. Seconded. LOST.

Code 624.2201, 2 Radios @ \$683, or total of \$1,366.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED for DELETION of the above radios. Seconded. LOST

MR. MORRIS called for a division of the house. LOST by a vote of 12 in favor and 17 opposed.

Page 67 - BUREAU OF ENGINEERING:

MR. HEINZER MOVED that \$3,000 he DELETED from the above account. Seconded.

MR. GRISAR explained that the Fiscal Committee felt they should not reduce the overtime for the Engineering Department since they are undermanned and underpaid they thought overtime would have to be incurred and the \$6,000 was not unreasonable.

MRS. PONT-BRIANT said it was not so much undermanned as there are vacancies which have not been filled and until they have been filled, they thought they had to grant the overtime in order for the Department to operate.

MR. HEINZER WITHDREW HIS MOTION, as did his seconder.

Code 626.2201, New Equipment - 3 Mobile Radios @ \$683, being total of \$2,049.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the above request. Seconded by Mr. Russbach. LOST.

Page 69 - BUREAU OF ENGINEERING - DIVISION OF BUILDING INSPECTION: -

Code 628.0802, Car Allowance REDUCED to ---- \$ 6.480.00

Code 628.0101 under New Personnel, Deputy Building Inspector and 1 Heating & Air Conditioning Inspector @ \$7,200 each, being total of \$14,400.00

MR. HEINZER MOVED to DELETE the two above named inspectors. Seconded. LOST.

DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE:

Gode 629.0103, Overtime - REDUCED to ---- \$ 2,000.00

Page 69 -	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE: TOTAL APPROVED	
167	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE TOWN HALL TOTAL APPROVED \$ 15,795.00	
Page 71 -	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING - TOTAL APPROVED - 75,850.00	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE YARD #2 TOTAL APPROVED	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE CIRCUIT COURTHOUSE TOTAL APPROVED \$ 8,520.00	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE YARD #1 TOTAL APPROVED \$ 5,350.00	
Page 73 -	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE CIVIL DEFENSE BUILDING (LOCKWOOD AVENUE) TOTAL APPROVED	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE CIVIL DEFENSE - HAIG AVENUE - TOTAL APPROVED \$ 6,098.00	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE POLICE BUILDING TOTAL APPROVED \$ 14,213.00	
Page 75 -	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE HEALTH BUILDING	
	DIVISION OF LAND & BUILDING MAINTENANCE WELFARE BUILDING	
	FLOOD & EROSION:	
	Code 650.0301, Stationery & Postage REDUCED TO\$ 75.00	
T VIETN	Code 650.2201, New Equipment, REDUCED TO \$ 80.00	
	FLOOD & EROSION - TOTAL APPROVED	
	GAS, OIL & REPAIRS - TOTAL APPROVED\$ 110,000.00	
	LOUGHRAN AVENUE ROAD IMPROVEMENT No appropriation	1
WORKT ADD		
TOTAL MAL	OVED FOR DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS\$3,815,890.70	

1,12, 20, 2,00	
Page 77 - PARK DEPARTMENT TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 391,732.40
Page 79 - BOARD OF RECREATION TOTAL APPROVE	ED\$ 275,145.07
Page 81 - HUBBARD HEIGHTS:	
Code 730.0103, Overtime - REDUCED	to\$ 2,997.44
HUBBARD HEIGHTS - TOTAL APPROVED -	\$ 98,499.24
GOLF AUTHORITY - TOTAL APPROVED	\$ 9,800.00
TOTAL FOR PARKS & RECREATION APPROVED	\$ 775,176.71
OTHERS:	
Sundries	- \$1,000.00
TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET APPROVED	\$34,639,208.53
***************************************	***
TOTALS FOR 1968-1969 OPERATING BUDGET:	
GENERAL GOVERNMENT	\$3,740,119.31
DEBT SERVICE	\$4,879,039.50
WELFARE AND OTHER INSTITUTIONS	\$ 994,297.39
PROTECTION TO PERSONS AND PROPERTY	\$4,583,684.92
PUBLIC WORKS	\$3,815,890.70
PARKS & RECREATION	\$ 775,176.71
SUNDRIES	\$ 1,000.00
MAYOR'S BUDGET	\$18,789,208.53
BOARD OF EDUCATION	\$15,850,000.00
TOTAL OPERATING BUDGETS	\$34,639,208,53
***************************************	****

The following resolution was presented by Mr. Boccuzzi, who MOVED for its adoption, subject to the correction of any mistakes in computation. Seconded and CARRIED by unanimous votes

RESOLUTION NO. 561

ADOPTION OF THE OPERATING AND CAPITAL BUDGETS FROM JULY 1, 1968 TO JUNE 30, 1969

WHEREAS, the Board of Finance has transmitted to the Board of Representatives its recommended budget for the ensuing fiscal year, commencing July 1, 1968 and ending June 30, 1969, for final action thereon by the Board of Representatives;

BE IT RESOLVED BY STAMFORD, that the itemized estimate of receipts and expenditures for the ensuing year 1968-1969 in the Budgets as submitted by the Mayor and as acted upon by the Board of Representatives, in the amounts of:

\$14,873,772.00 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET

\$34,639,208.53 OPERATING BUDGET

BE AND IT IS HEREBY accepted, adopted and approved, and specific appropriations are hereby made for each of the several items in the amounts appearing in the columns of budgets under the heading of "Board of Representatives" recording the approval, or other action of this Board.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 2.35 A.M.

Velma Farrell

Administrative Assistant (Recording Secretary)

vf

APPROWED:

John C. Fusaro, President

10th Board of Representatives

NOTE: The above meeting was not broadcast over the local Radio Station WSTC.

æ