

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETINGOCTOBER 22, 197413TH BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVESSTAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A Special Meeting of the 13th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, was held Tuesday, October 22, 1974 pursuant to a "Call" issued by President Frederick E. Miller, Jr., under the provisions of Section 202 of the Stamford Charter.

The meeting was held in the meeting room of the Board of Representatives, second floor, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 8:45 p.m. by the President, Frederick E. Miller, Jr., after both political parties had met in caucus.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG: The President led the members in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

MOMENT OF SILENCE: A moment of silence was observed in honor of the memory of a former member of the Board, James Joss, who passed away recently.

CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE: A check of the voting machine was conducted and it appeared to be in good working order.

ROLL CALL: Roll Call was taken by the Clerk, Marilyn Laitman. At that time there were 36 members present and 4 absent. One member arrived later, shortly before the first vote was taken, making the total present 37 members. The absent members were:

Lynn Lowden (D), 1st District  
Billie Perkins (R), 18th District  
Richard Sainburg (R), 18th District

PAGE: Molly Flaherty, student at Cloonan Middle School and daughter of Administrative Assistant, Sally Flaherty.

CALL OF THE MEETING:

THE PRESIDENT read the "Call" of the meeting, as follows:

"I, FREDERICK E. MILLER, JR., President of the 13th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, pursuant to Section 202 of the Stamford Charter, hereby call a SPECIAL MEETING of said Board of Representatives at the following time and place:

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1974

Meeting Room of the Board of Representatives  
Second Floor, Municipal Office Building,  
429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut

AT 8:00 p.m.

For the purpose of considering Items #1 through #59 as enumerated on Pages 1 through 7 of the "Call" of the Meeting."

THE PRESIDENT said that although this meeting may seem like a budget meeting, all of the agenda items are additional appropriations. He said a report on each item will be given by Mrs. Laitman and noted it would be proper to make a motion to hold an item in Committee, return an item to Committee or take an item out of Committee. He said motions of this nature would require a majority of those present and voting. He said approval of any item on the agenda would require an affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members present as required by the Charter. He said if any member wishes a Roll Call vote the request must be made before the vote is taken and must be supported by one-fifth of the members present. He said otherwise there will be a voice vote and if any member wishes a division after a voice vote the division will be taken on the machine.

MRS. LAITMAN said the Fiscal Committee has carefully considered each of the requests before the Board. She said it was indicated at budget time that some of the funds that were cut would be requested again in the form of emergency appropriations and cited as an example the light, heat and power accounts where only a 10% increase was figured in. She said a look at the present rates for utilities would only confirm that that percentage is no longer feasible. She said materials and supplies have risen in cost at an alarming rate and this is reflected in the requests now pending. She said the Fiscal Committee asked basically three questions of each department, as follows:

1. How have you attempted to live within your reduced budgets, that is, what economies were instituted?
2. How will you operate if these funds are restored? and
3. How will you operate if these funds are denied?

She said she is personally convinced that it can no longer be "business as usual." She said economies must be implemented and noted that productivity is not just a word to be tossed about to impress but must be considered by each department head since it is he who must make the hard choices and crucial decisions concerning his department. She said the citizens of this City, like many across the country, are hard-pressed to meet their financial obligations no matter what their economic level is. She said that while money must be wisely spent one does not have to be an economist to realize that inflation takes its toll on municipal operations as it does on food budgets. She said she hoped this Board can consider these requests tonight with a minimum of philosophy and a maximum of efficiency.

MR. GUROIAN said he was happy to see so many co-workers packing the gallery tonight. He said he would like to assure them that he is speaking tonight not only as a City Representative but also as one from the ranks of the unemployed. He said what is being asked of this Board tonight is that a legislative mandate be revoked. He said the mandate was that all City departments live within their allotted budgets which were set in July by action of this Board. He said it is incredible that those City Representatives who vowed a few months ago to reject emergency appropriations are about to vote affirmatively tonight. He asked what ever happened to those exhortations about fiscal responsibility and belt-tightening which reverberated from both sides of the aisle. He said there is a recession raging and this country is experiencing an inflation it has not seen in the last

## Minutes of Special Meeting of October 22, 1974

quarter of a century. He said men and women are out of work and families are hardly able to keep the groceries and the services coming in. He said the very same Board that cut the budgets to alleviate this situation are about to undo that which drew a sigh of relief and thanks from thousands of taxpayers in this City. He said he voted for cuts and even initiated cuts during the budget meetings because he believed that the taxpayers had reached their limits in their ability to pay. He said the taxpayers of this City now have the specter of an eight mill increase staring them in the face. He said every no vote that he will cast tonight will be a gesture of protest over the fact that this body has seen fit to entertain this package before it and each no vote he casts will affirm his previous vote cast in July on the capital and operating budgets.

MR. EXNICIOS said that he is speaking tonight basically as the Republican Representative from the 1st District and not as the Minority Leader even though he can say that most of his colleagues do agree with what he is going to say. He said tonight this Board is going to be put to the test of integrity and courage. He said the vote tonight on this package of \$800,000 emergency appropriations put before this Board by the Mayor will be a test of the Board's common efforts to keep the economic house of Stamford in order. He said this can only be done if a majority of the members vote down this request in toto. He said the department heads have not reacted to the public demand made through this Board to hold the line and live within the allotted budgets. He said no one expects the City to operate without emergency appropriations but it is expected that restraint will be exercised to show that belt-tightening is being attempted and costs are being kept down and that only appropriations for true emergencies will be requested. He said if these appropriations are passed tonight next year will be impossible for every taxpayer in the City. He said there is already a \$5 million deficit which together with these appropriations and other emergency appropriations throughout the rest of the year will add up to a 15 or 20% tax increase in 1975, and as true Representatives of all the people this Board must have the guts to tell City Hall to go back to the drawing board and make do with what has been allocated. He said this Board has got to insist on increased efficiency and higher productivity now and not next year. He said he hoped the members of the Board are not confused by the rhetoric of department heads who have not done their jobs either because of outright defiance of this Board or because they lack the managerial skills required to do the jobs they are being paid for. He said the country is in the midst of yearly double-digit inflation and salaries are not keeping pace with the economy and it is incumbent on this Board to keep the tax rate down or at least stable. He said nothing has happened since the budgets were set to change the position then established. He urged the Board to reject the entire list of appropriations now pending before it. He said that some of the items on this list are probably needed and should be funded but not in the manner being requested. He urged the members not to be rushed into approving these items. He said he resents the "call" for a Special Meeting which implies urgency. He said this Board just passed an \$80 million budget which became effective July of this year and he rejects the contention that any true emergency could exist at this point. He said the Mayor forwarded this request for emergency appropriations to this Board just two months after the current budgets became effective which indicates the administration had no intention of living within the budgets given them. He said the members who vote for these 190's of 1,000's of dollars are succumbing to the pressure of the MEA, the civil service organizations and the Mayor's highly effective team of persuaders and arm-twisters. He said he hoped those members would be in the minority because in spite of threats and pressures and problems this Board must take a firm stand to protect the taxpayers' pocketbooks. He said he intends to vote no on all 59 items on the agenda for tonight and urged others to join him.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI said that measures have been instituted to reduce spending by the City departments and cited the fact that 46 jobs were eliminated before the current budgets became effective in July. He said he would like to speak to the items on tonight's agenda concerning salary accounts. He said some of the people who are connected with these salary accounts are faithful, long-time City workers who will have to be let go because of an overall 10% budget cut. He said the City is not selling a product but rather a service and some of this service is provided by the people who will be cut if these salary accounts are not restored. He said that even though the economic situation is bad the City still has to provide the same services to the taxpayers. He said that none of the requests for the salary accounts will increase them to a level higher than was originally approved by the Board of Finance and in fact all but one will be lower than originally approved. He said only one new position is being requested. He said he agreed at budget time that vacancies should be eliminated and that no raises should be given but he was against the arbitrary 10% cut across the board and he is still against it. He said the department heads can not make do with this 10% cut and cited the example of the Department of Health where two positions were cut and then subsequently restored by the Board because the required services could not be provided within the allotted budget. He said it must be noted that some departments have no more personnel now than they had five or six years ago and yet the need for services continues to increase. He said that at budget time he went along with cutting the overtime, part-time and seasonal accounts but he does not agree with cutting the permanent personnel of the City because that puts the departments in the position of not being able to provide required services. He also said that it must be remembered that there are many expenses that go with laying personnel off, such as unemployment insurance for which the City is responsible. He said if this Board wants to save the taxpayers money it can do so by holding the line on the contracts that are now being negotiated and not by laying off current employees.

MRS. LAITMAN said the Fiscal Committee met on October 15 and 16. Present on the 15th were Reps. Laitman, John Boccuzzi, Crosby, Dixon, Forman, Exnicios, Loughran, Rybnick and Zimblar. She noted that Mr. Exnicios left before voting and Mr. Rybnick participated in only part of the voting. Absent was Mr. Livingston. Present on the 16th were Reps. Laitman, John Boccuzzi, Crosby, Exnicios, Dixon, Forman, Loughran and Zimblar. She noted that Mr. Exnicios left before the voting. Absent were Mr. Livingston and Mr. Rybnick. She reported on the following items:

- (1) \$1,500.00 - DATA PROCESSING - Code 117.0301 - Stationery & Postage -  
 Required for parking tickets, tab cards, payroll and accounts payable checks and stock paper, all of which have increased 25 to 30% in cost - Reduced from \$4,000 by the Board of Finance - Mayor's letter of 9-3-74

MRS. LAITMAN said Mr. Biancardi attempted to live within his budget cut by buying in bulk, by not providing print-outs to departments that do not use them, by recycling all paper collected in his department and by eliminating specific forms and using more standard forms where possible. She said the Fiscal Committee voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of this item and SHE SO MOVED. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT noted that there were 37 members present and 25 affirmative votes would be required for approval of each item.

The VOTE was taken on the motion to approve Item #1 and the motion LOST by a machine vote of 22 yes and 15 no.

## Minutes of Special Meeting of Oct. 22, 1974

- (2) \$500.00 - ASSESSOR'S OFFICE - Code 144.0901 - Special Professional Services - Minimum of \$800 required for aerial map delineation work plus \$1,300 for professional advice and assistance from United Appraisal Company in the field of large commercial and complex properties - Reduced from \$2,100 by the Board of Finance - Mayor's letter of 9-3-74

MRS. LAITMAN said this item was completely cut at budget time and the \$500 approved by the Board of Finance would make possible a year's work on the aerial maps which are then sold and thereby produce revenue. She said the Fiscal Committee voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of this item and SHE SO MOVED. Seconded.

MR. GAMBINO requested a ROLL CALL VOTE and his request was supported by a sufficient number of members.

The following ROLL CALL VOTE was taken on the motion to approve Item #2 and the motion LOST by a vote of 20 yes and 17 no:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR:

BLOIS, Julius (D)  
 BOCCUZZI, John (D)  
 CONNORS, George (D)  
 COSTELLO, Robert (D)  
 DeROSE, Joseph (D)  
 DIXON, Handy (D)  
 FORMAN, Barbara (R)  
 GAMBINO, Philip (D)  
 LAITMAN, Marilyn (D)  
 LOUGHRAN, Michael (D)  
 MARTINO, Vincent (D)  
 MAYNOR, Frederick (D)  
 MILLER, Frederick (D)  
 PERILLO, Alfred (D)  
 ROSE, Matthew (D)  
 RYBNICK, Gerald (D)  
 SERRANI, Thom (D)  
 TRESSER, Michael (R)  
 TRUGLIA, Anthony (D)  
 WALSH, Peter (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D)  
 CIBULSKAS, Algird (D)  
 CROSBY, Robert (R)  
 DAVIDOFF, Norman (D)  
 EXNICIOS, Robert (R)  
 FLANAGAN, William (R)  
 GURIOIAN, Armen (D)  
 HOFFMAN, Leonard (R)  
 KELLY, James (D)  
 LIVINGSTON, Jeremiah (D)  
 McINERNEY, Barbara (R)  
 MORABITO, Joseph (D)  
 RAVALLESE, George (D)  
 ROSS, Salvan (D)  
 RUSSBACH, Daniel (R)  
 SANDOR, John (D)  
 ZIMBLER, Kurt (R)

- (3) \$400.00 - ASSESSOR'S OFFICE - Code 144.2101 - Conventions, Dues & Conferences - Required to keep department updated on procedures necessary to implement 203 State Statutes dealing with tax assessing, 68 of which have been adopted since 1967 - Reduced from \$1,528 by the Board of Finance - Mayor's letter of 9-3-74

MRS. LAITMAN said the Assessor's Office is governed by State statutes and considering the many new ones passed it is crucial that people in the department attend school and belong to associations which keep them abreast of the latest developments. She said an opinion from the Corporations Counsel's Office states "attendance is a virtual requirement of the Assessor's Office." She said this money would pay tuition and dues for one person and the Fiscal Committee voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of this item and SHE SO MOVED. Seconded.

The VOTE was taken on the motion to approve Item #3 and the motion LOST on a machine vote of 20 yes and 17 no.

- (4) \$4,000.00 - HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION - Code 192.0101 - Salaries - The 1974-1975 budget cut required the release of two staff members at a time when the Commission is providing an ever-expanding service to community and the Director has been given the added responsibility of being the City's Equal Employment Opportunity Officer - Reduced from \$15,000 by the Board of Finance - Mayor's letter of 9-3-74

MRS. LAITMAN said the Human Rights Commission attempted to live within its budget by releasing one field worker. She said the money being requested would fund the salary of the present secretary, who has been a City employee for 19 years and whose work load increased when the Field Investigator was released. She noted that in a lay-off economy, the caseload of this office tends to increase. She also said there has been an increase in this office in the area of women's rights and veterans' affairs and the Commission has broadened its educational function by holding seminars on housing laws. She said the Fiscal Committee voted 7 to 0 to recommend approval of this item and SHE SO MOVED. Seconded.

MRS. LAITMAN said the Fiscal Committee is of the opinion that it is unfair to overburden a department where personnel is laid off by requiring them to use money appropriated for salaries to pay the benefits, such as unused vacation time, that are due the person being laid off. She said in some cases this requirement would force the department to lay off even more people and the Committee agreed to support requests for emergency appropriations to avoid further personnel cuts. She said that figures given to her by the Controller indicate that it would cost the City \$3,267.85 to lay off the secretary in the Human Rights Commission, based on the accrued vacation time and 26 weeks of unemployment compensation.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI said a law is pending making it a requirement to pay 39 weeks of unemployment compensation which in this case would mean it would cost the City more than \$4,000 to save \$4,000 in this account.

MR. DAVIDOFF said the question before the Board is whether or not the Human Rights Commission needs the services of the secretary to provide necessary services and how much it would cost to lay off the secretary is not the issue. He said he is of the opinion that the Human Rights Commission has done an admirable job in the City of Stamford and at this time it would be neglectful on the part of the Board to reject this request.

MR. HOFFMAN said actions taken by this Board tonight will be reflected in the bill the taxpayers will have to foot in the future. He said projections made by the Planning Board up to 1980 indicate that the City will need up to 54.3% more money just to provide the same amount of services as it is providing today. He said this additional 54.3% will have to come from increased tax payments. He said that in reviewing these appropriations tonight the Board should take into consideration the savings that will be realized over the years if personnel is cut and not be only concerned with a one-time expense that must be paid now. He said if the City's obligations are not reduced now no one will be able to afford to live in Stamford in 1980 because of the high taxes.

## Minutes of Special Meeting of October 22, 1974

MRS. FORMAN spoke in favor of this appropriation and noted that the office of the Human Rights Commission may not be able to remain open full time if this position is eliminated. She said a disservice would be done to the community if this office had to be closed for part of the day. She also said that because of the confidential nature of much of the work of the Commission, it would not be appropriate to have the office staffed by part-time help.

MR. MAYNOR requested a ROLL CALL VOTE on this item and a sufficient number of members supported his request.

MR. SERRANI said he believes it is relevant to know what it will cost the City to lay off personnel in a specific department. He said in some cases it will cost more to release an employee than to keep him on the payroll and in addition to that the City will not have the benefit of the services provided by that employee.

MR. TRESSER spoke in favor of restoring these funds to the Human Rights Commission and said it would cost the City \$5,624 to lay this person off.

MR. ROSE said he was pleased to see so many people in the audience tonight and noted that there have been previous occasions when the number of spectators at Board meetings was restricted. He said the services of the Human Rights Commission are needed in this City and he can not understand how this Board could contemplate cutting this account.

In response to a question from MR. TRUGLIA, MR. TRESSER quoted a letter from the Personnel Commission from Mr. Barker citing as an example the costs of benefits and unemployment compensation for an employee earning \$200 per week who has accumulated 60 days of vacation. He said the cost to the City for such an employee would be \$5,624.

MR. LOUGHRAN urged the members to reconsider this request in light of the new input that the Fiscal Committee received from the Human Rights Commission in regard to the work load on the staff now that one position has been eliminated from the budget.

MR. FLANAGAN objected to the fact that this Board is being asked to vote on 59 items which were received only six days ago. He said he is certain there are some good items and some bad items in the package but because he has not had time to research these items he can not vote intelligently on each individual request. He said the Rules of the Board require that requests over \$2,000 be referred to a secondary committee and that to his knowledge no formal vote has been taken by any committee other than Fiscal on any of these items. He said based on a matter of principle in the way this package has been presented to the Board he will vote no on every item tonight.

THE PRESIDENT noted that in a sense the members did have more than six days notice in as much as the original request from the Mayor came quite some time ago. He said he will take full responsibility for calling the Special Meeting and said he did so because it's practically impossible to consider these items at a regular meeting. He said that it has been the consistent practice throughout the history of the Board not to refer fiscal items over \$2,000 to a secondary committee when such items are considered at a Special Meeting.

MR. FLANAGAN said he would question that ruling of the Chair as the Rules do not allow for any exceptions and do require all items over \$2,000 to be referred to a secondary committee.

THE PRESIDENT noted that the section of the Rules that Mr. Flanagan is referring to dates back only to December 1971. He said that since December 1, 1971 there have been a large number of Special Meetings and in no instance where there was a fiscal item involved was the matter formally given to a Committee other than the Fiscal Committee. He also pointed out that since December 1, 1971 when the Board has had the regular budget meetings there has never been any formal procedure by which the budget itself was referred to the Fiscal Committee. He said the Chair realizes there is a problem in this area and that the Rules do lack precision. He pointed out there has been no previous challenges to the same practice that has been followed for this meeting.

MR. FLANAGAN quoted Rule 10, Page 5, of the Rules of the 13th Board of Representatives which refers to additional appropriations, as follows:

10. When additional appropriations of over \$2,000 are requested, they shall be referred to the Fiscal Committee, as well as one other interested Committee. Fiscal items over \$2,000 will not properly be before the Board of Representatives unless reported out by the Fiscal Committee AND such other Committee to which it has been referred. A full report must be rendered at the meeting before action is taken by the Board of Representatives. Reference to a Committee other than Fiscal may be waived by a majority vote of the full Board.

MR. FLANAGAN said if the majority of the Board wants to vote to waive referral that would be proper but he feels the items should be considered according to the Rules of the Board.

THE PRESIDENT said he recognizes that there are ambiguities involved but it is the opinion of the Chair that the Board is properly here meeting and the agenda is proper.

MR. FLANAGAN APPEALED FROM THE RULING OF THE CHAIR.

MR. GAMBINO called for a five-minute recess, which request was agreeable to the Majority and Minority Leaders. (9:50 p.m.)

Following the recess, THE PRESIDENT called the meeting to order at 9:57p.m. and stated that before the recess there was an appeal from the ruling of the Chair that the Board is properly considering the agenda before it because the fiscal items over \$2,000 had not been referred to a secondary committee.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI requested a ROLL CALL VOTE on the appeal from the ruling of the Chair and a sufficient number of members supported his request.

THE PRESIDENT noted that a "yes" vote would be to uphold the ruling of the Chair and a "no" vote is in opposition to the President. He said the vote required is a majority of those present and voting.

The following ROLL CALL VOTE was taken on the appeal from the ruling of the Chair and the Chair was UPHELD by a VOTE of 23 yes, 10 no and 4 abstentions:



## Minutes of Special Meeting of October 22, 1974

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR OF THE RULING:

BLOIS, Julius (D)  
 BOCCUZZI, John (D)  
 BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D)  
 CIBULSKAS, Algird (D)  
 CONNORS, George (D)  
 COSTELLO, Robert (D)  
 DAVIDOFF, Norman (D)  
 DeROSE, Joseph (D)  
 DIXON, Handy (D)  
 GAMBINO, Philip (D)  
 KELLY, James (D)  
 LAITMAN, Marilyn (D)  
 LIVINGSTON, Jeremiah (D)  
 LOUGHRAN, Michael (D)  
 MARTINO, Vincent (D)  
 MAYNOR, Frederick (D)  
 MILLER, Frederick (D)  
 PERILLO, Alfred (D)  
 ROSE, Matthew (D)  
 RYBNICK, Gerald (D)  
 SERRANI, Thom (D)  
 TRUGLIA, Anthony (D)  
 WALSH, Peter (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION OF THE RULING:

CROSBY, Robert (R)  
 EXNICIOS, Robert (R)  
 FLANAGAN, William (R)  
 FORMAN, Barbara (R)  
 HOFFMAN, Leonard (R)  
 McINERNEY, Barbara (R)  
 ROSS, Salvan (D)  
 RUSSEBACH, Daniel (R)  
 TRESSER, Michael (R)  
 ZIMBLER, Kurt (R)

ABSTENTIONS:

GUROIAN, Armen (D)  
 MORABITO, Joseph (D)  
 RAVALLESE, George (D)  
 SANDOR, John (D)

THE PRESIDENT said the question now before the Board is the approval of \$4,000 for the salary account for the Human Rights Commission.

A MOTION was made to MOVE THE QUESTION which was seconded and CARRIED.

MR. GUROIAN rose on a POINT OF ORDER and said he questions the legality of this meeting because it has been the consistent practice of the Board to hold public hearings before voting on operating budgets and he said these appropriations are in his opinion part of the operating budget. He said the public should be afforded the opportunity to express their opinions on these items at a hearing.

THE PRESIDENT noted that these appropriation requests are emergency requests.

MR. SERRANI noted that the fact that three items have already been considered by this Board tonight indicates that the meeting has been recognized as a proper meeting.

The Following ROLL CALL VOTE was taken on the motion to approved Item #4 and the motion was CARRIED with 26 yes votes and 11 no votes:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR:

BLOIS, Julius (D)  
 BOCCUZZI, John (D)  
 BOCCUZZI, Theodore (D)  
 CIBULSKAS, Algird (D)  
 CONNORS, George (D)  
 COSTELLO, Robert (D)  
 CROSBY, Robert (R)  
 DAVIDOFF, Norman (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION:

EXNICIOS, Robert (R)  
 FLANAGAN, William (R)  
 GUROIAN, Armen (D)  
 HOFFMAN, Leonard (R)  
 KELLY, James (D)  
 MORABITO, Joseph (D)  
 RAVALLESE, George (D)  
 ROSS, Salvan (D)

## Minutes of Special Meeting of October 22, 1974

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR: (continued)

DeROSE, Joseph (D)  
 DIXON, Handy (D)  
 FORMAN, Barbara (R)  
 GAMBINO, Philip (D)  
 LAITMAN, Marilyn (D)  
 LIVINGSTON, Jeremiah (D)  
 LOUGHRAN, Michael (D)  
 MARTINO, Vincent (D)  
 MAYNOR, Frederick (D)  
 McINERNEY, Barbara (R)  
 MILLER, Frederick (D)  
 PERILLO, Alfred (D)  
 ROSE, Matthew (D)  
 RYBNICK, Gerald (D)  
 SERRANI, Thom (D)  
 TRESSER, Michael (R)  
 TRUGLIA, Anthony (D)  
 WALSH, Peter (D)

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION: (continued)

RUSSBACH, Daniel (R)  
 SANDOR, John (D)  
 ZIMBLER, Kurt (R)

MR. EXNICIOS requested a 5-minutes recess which was granted. (10:06 p.m.)

Following the recess, THE PRESIDENT called the meeting to order at 10:26 p.m.

MR. EXNICIOS MOVED FOR ADJOURNMENT of the meeting. Seconded.

MR. JOHN BOCCUZZI requested a 5-minutes recess which was granted. (10:27 p.m.)

Following the recess, THE PRESIDENT called the meeting to order at 10:55 p.m. and reminded the members that there was a motion on the floor to adjourn the meeting which is not debatable. He noted that if the meeting is adjourned all the items that were not considered tonight can be considered at a future date.

The VOTE was taken on the motion to adjourn and the motion CARRIED and the meeting was adjourned at 10:57 p.m.

*Sally Flaherty*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Sally Flaherty  
 Administrative Assistant and  
 (Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

*Frederick E. Miller, Jr.*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Frederick E. Miller, Jr., President  
 13th Board of Representatives

NOTE: Above meeting was broadcast  
 in its entirety over Radio  
 Station WSTC.

SF:dm