

Mr. Miller: ... The meeting will come to order. Would you please take your seats immediately. The meeting will come to order. The invocation will be delivered by the Rev. Michael Delea of St. John's Catholic Church.

(invocation)

(pledge of allegiance)

Mr. Miller: Mr. Sherer.

Mr. Sherer: Thank you, Mr. President. At this time, I would like to ask the Board to rise for a moment of silence in honor of First Lieutenant Christopher Morrell, a resident of Stamford who died Friday evening in a crash of a B 52 plane.

Mr. Miller: We're glad to have you back with us, Jerry. I think there should be no problem now with the microphones. Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: Thank you, Mr. President. As chairman of the Fiscal Committee, I would just like to welcome Mr. Rybnick back, who is our committee's distinguished vice-chairman and who is someone that all of the members of our committee have missed very much, and I'm very glad to see him tonight.

Mr. Miller: We're glad to have him back. Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: From the Republican side, and I'm sure I speak for all our Republican members, we are very very happy to have Jerry back with us. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: In the absence of the clerk, the chair appoints Mildred Ritchie to be temporary clerk this evening. The temporary clerk will call the roll.

(Mrs. Ritchie calls the roll).

Mrs. Ritchie: 37 present, Mr. President. 3 absent. And 2 resignations. I'm sorry; 35 present, 3 absent and 2 resignations.

Mr. Miller: Well, we'd say there are 35 members present, which is a quorum, and 5 absent. I do have two letters of resignation. We have 5 absent, including those two whose resignations will be considered this evening. There is a quorum present and I would ask ~~the~~ now that all of the members would please check the voting machine by voting "up" for yes. Would you all please vote "down" for no.

Thank you. I have a letter of resignation dated March 8, 1977, addressed to the President of the Board. "It is only after a great deal of prayer and thought that I have had to come to the following decision. I am now

working full time and also going to school evenings as well as mornings. I have come to find it more and more impossible to attend meetings Monday-Thursday, which are my school nights. And so I find I must regretfully tender my resignation from the 14th Board of Representatives.

I have had the privilege of serving with some of the finest people I have ever met. I will surely miss each and every one of you. I feel the 6th District needs and deserves representatives which can give a great deal more time than I can now give them.

In closing, I would like to thank all of the 39 Representatives I have had the privilege to serve and work with. I would also like to thank Mrs. McAvoy for all her cooperation and concern she has shown. To all of you, keep up the good work, sincerely, Linda D. Clark."

I would have to say that Linda did an excellent job representing her constituents in the 6th District and I would also she did a very fine job as clerk of this Board, assisting the President, helping out in the office, and I'm sure we're all sorry to see her resign. And it would be in order now to have a motion to accept with regret her resignation.
Mr. Costello.

Mr. Costello: ~~Mr. President,~~ I will miss Mrs. Clark. She was one of the hardest-working members of this Board. And she's now attending college at night. And I'd like to take this time to personally wish her the best of luck in the future. Having worked with Mrs. Clark these past two terms, I can say she really gave her all for the people, and I will really miss her myself. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: I would like to echo Mr. Costello's words. As a Republican I found Mrs. Clark to be very cooperative and competent and always ready to help regardless of which side of the aisle you sat on. ~~Thank you very much to her.~~

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I thought Linda as a clerk was a very very thorough person. I wish her ~~very~~ well in whatever she undertakes and I think the Board will certainly miss her. We need more good workers like Linda. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Zimble.~~

Mr. Zimble: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I too would like to echo the sentiments

of those who say they'll miss Linda. We worked closely together on a number of issues. I always considered her a good friend. My favorite recollection, probably, of Linda will be the one night I heard her quoted on the 11:00 news as saying something I didn't particularly agree with, and I woke her up out of a sound sleep to tell her that I disagreed with her. And despite it, we remained friends. And that'll be the one thing I guess that I'll remember more about Linda. Good luck.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Livingston.

Mr. Livingston: Thank you, Mr. Pres. -- Mr. Pres., Mr. Costello said it so well. And I won't echo what he said, but one thing I will add. Linda did a darn good job on this Board of Representatives. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Wider.

Mr. Wider: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Mrs. Clark was terrific as president of Franklin School PTA but when she had to resign to take up the position as Clerk of this Board. She did a fine job there, and I have to kind of echo Mr. Costello's statement when he said that she did a fine job here, and in the District, because I visit her district quite often, and I feel that she will be missed. I will miss working with her and I do wish her all the success in the world as she moves through college. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Flanagan.

Mr. Flanagan: Thank you. We'll all miss Linda Clark. She was really a good representative for her District. The only times I believe I ever had any disagreements were over things that were happening in the urban re-development area and she certainly cared for her people and did an excellent job as clerk and we all miss her and Good Luck.

Mr. Miller: Is there a motion to accept the resignation? So moved and seconded. The question is on accepting with regret Mrs. Clark's resignation as Representative from the 6th District. ~~All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed; no. [The motion is carried unanimously.]~~ The resignation is accepted. It would now be in order for the chair to receive nominations to fill the vacancy in the 6th District. ~~Mr. Costello.~~

Subject Taver
Mr. Costello: I would like to place the name of John Schlesliweg in nomination for the vacancy in the 6th District. Mr. Schlesliweg is 29 years old and resides at 117 Maple Ave. with his wife, Joanne. Mr. Schlesliweg attended Stamford public schools, graduating from J.M. Wright Technical School and is presently in pursuit of his B.S. degree in Marketing at the University of Bridgeport.

For the past 8 years, John has been employed by the Southern New England Telephone Co. and presently holds the title of Service Consultant.] In the field of community services, [John is presently second year advisor for Stamford Junior Achievement, active member of the Stamford Jaycees, ~~active member of~~ the Young Democrats, and volunteer worker for the Stamford Aid for Retarded Children.

Mr. Schleswig is also vice-president of Local 201, Conn. Union of Telephone Workers and chairman of Stamford Employees Credit Union, Credit Committee. And I move for his nomination. Thank you, Mr. President.

Mr. Miller: Moved and Seconded. The name of John Schleswiger has been placed in nomination for the office of Representative from the 6th District. Are there any other nominations? If there's no objection, nominations will be considered closed. A motion that the temporary clerk cast one ballot for Mr. Schleswig would be in order.

Mr. Blois: I so move, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: Moved by Mr. Blois. Seconded by Dr. Lowden. The question is on a motion to have the temporary clerk cast one ballot for John Schleswig for the office of Representative from the 6th District. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] The temporary clerk will cast one ballot for John Schleswig. Mr. Schleswig has been elected a Representative from the 6th District.

Will Mr. Schleswig please come forward?

Mr. Miller: For this evening, Mr. Schleswig will occupy the seat formerly held by Mr. Glucksman, and I now have another letter of resignation dated March 27, 1977, addressed to the Pres. of the Board. "It is with sincere regret that I must tender my resignation as Representative from the 11th District. My wife and I have moved and therefore I must relinquish my position. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to the voters of the 11th District for the confidence they have reposed in me.

I have always tried to represent their best interests and I'm thankful for the opportunity they have afforded me. Serving on this Board has been an experience I shall never forget. It has been enlightening and educational. I will truly miss all of you. Sincerely, L. Morris Glucksman." I think Mr. Glucksman was an excellent representative from his district, the 11th District, and I think he did a fine job as Chairman of a committee.

We're sorry to see him leave. A motion to accept his resignation with

regret would now be in order. Mrs. Coldstein.

Mrs. Coldstein: I so move. ~~Does that need a second Mr. Pres?~~ It is really with deep regret that I, for one, vote for Mr. Glucksman's resignation. He really served on this Board with distinction and integrity and it was a pleasure to serve with him. We'll miss him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Costello.

Mr. Costello: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I will just say I will miss Mr. Glucksman. [I worked closely with Morris on the urban renewal committee, and I found it a great pleasure to be associated with such a fine gentleman.] Thank you.

Mr. ~~Hoffman~~ Miller: Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Mr. Glucksman and I often found ourselves on the opposite side of an issue. However, we got along very well. [I think Morris was indeed a fine young gentleman, I think that he did serve our district well. And I wish him good luck in the future. Thank you, Mr. Pres.]

Mr. Miller: Mr. Flanagan.

Mr. Flanagan: Thank you. Mr. Glucksman, as Chairman of the Urban Re-development Committee of the Board, put in long hours. It's a thankless job. Not many people on the Board realize that as Chairman of that committee, one has to attend most all of the Urban Re-development Commission meetings, which are two or three a month.

And Mo did it and I appreciate the job he did and I think everybody on the Board does. Good Luck to him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Sherer.

Mr. Sherer: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I had the opportunity to work with Mo very closely on Legislative and Rules Committee. [He added a lot of expertise to our committee.] took on some very hard assignments and came through very well. And [he will be sorely missed on L & R and also on the full Board.]

Mr. Miller: Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: This Board was blessed with many young, good people, and Morris Glucksman was one on them. I hope he doesn't stay off the scene of politics for quite a while. I hope the Democratic party finds a spot for

him again, whether he returns to this Board or some other position, in city government. I hate to see Morris leave. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: ~~Thank you Mr. Pres.~~ Morris Glucksman and I got involved in local politics at the same time. We both served in the Young Democrats together. We both ran for public office, the first time together in one election to this Board, and we both have served these last year and a half together, working for the betterment of the City of Stamford.

And I think that ~~Mr. Morris~~ Morris has done a fine job, and I'm personally going to miss him very much, on the Board of Representatives. ~~He worked not only for the - in the interests of the people that elected him, but he worked in the interests of the community at large and he was a stalwart member of the Democratic Party and he's going to be hard to replace and I hope that he continues to be active in the community and in the party. Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: Thank you. I was fortunate enough to have Morris Glucksman a member of the Legislative and Rules Committee. He did a fine job on that. ~~We thank him for the benefit of his thoughts and we wish him well.~~

Mr. ~~James~~ Miller: Mr. Loomis:

Mr. Loomis: I'd just like to second the remarks of all the preceding speakers. Mo was a hard-working, honest fellow and a good guy to work with.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Livingston.

Mr. Livingston: I would like to second what Mr. Signore said and that is that this Board is blessed with a number of young, youthful Democrats and Mr. Glucksman was one of them, and God bless Mr. Glucksman. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: I think we can proceed to a vote. The question is on accepting with regret the resignation of L. Morris Glucksman of the 11th District. ~~All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously.~~ Mr. Blum, did you want to say something? Thank you. We can now proceed to nominations for this office. Nominations are in order for the filling of the vacancy in the 11th District. Mr. Blum.

Mr. Blum: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I'd like to place in nomination a candidate for the 11th District Representative. He's attended local schools here in Stamford, educated at the American Institute of Banking and

University of Bridgeport, and the University of Conn., majoring in, Economics, Political Science. ~~Graduated the Life Underwriters Training Council, formerly employed by the State National Bank of Conn.~~ Now a sales ~~representative~~ and registered representative for the Metropolitan Life and Casualty Insurance Co. He is now a sales manager for two years with this same company.

In his community activities; he was a member of the Stamford Human Rights Commission and ~~Chairman of~~ that commission for two years. Past president of the Southwestern Conn. Life Underwriters Assoc, President of the 11th District Neighborhood Assoc. President of the Stamford Catholic High School Alumni Assoc. [He was honored in 1975 as the Outstanding Young Man of the Year, by the Stamford Jaycees.

He was on the Selection Panel. On this Selection Panel were Chief Consella, Bruno Giordano, Joseph Fay. He was the drive chairman for the Stamford Jaycees' Muscular Distrophy Walkathon. [He is now serving on the Board of Directors of the Stamford Lions Club. [He has served on the Democratic City Committee from the 11th S District.

He ran in 1973 in his district and received one of the highest votes in that district, although losing at the time. In 1975 again, tied for the second seat and ran and lost later. I would like to place in nomination ~~with~~ the name John R. Zalinski. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Seconded by many, the name of John R. Zalinski has been placed in nomination for the office of Representative from the 11th district. Are there any other nominations? Mr. ~~Walsh~~.

Mr. Walsh: Mr. Pres., I'd like to place in nomination the name of a man I've known for a long time. [He served the Democratic Party and the City of Stamford for the past 23 years.] He is past publicity ~~Chairman~~ of the Democratic City Committee, past chairman of Stamford Health Commission, member of the ~~Shalom Synagogue~~, and a graduate of Ohio State University, O.D. (?) and Virginia Polytechnic Institute. He has also held local, state, and national offices as a Jewish War Veteran.

He resides at 25 Irving ~~with~~ St. with his wife, the former Ethyl Epstein, and three children, Nancy, Joan and Charles. That is Dr. Harry ~~Barriks~~. *Barry*

Mr. Miller: Seconded by Mr. Morgan. The name of Harry Barriks has been placed in nomination for the office of Representative from the 11th District. Are there any other nominations?

voice: I move they be closed.

Mr. Miller: If there's no objection, the Chair declares nominations closed. The rules require that this election be held by roll call vote. The

temporary clerk will call the roll. We have two candidates; John R. & Zalinski and Harry Barriks. The temporary clerk will now proceed with the call of the roll.

(roll call)

Side 2

Mr. Miller: With 36 members of the Board present on the floor of the Board, there are 19 votes for John R. Zalinski and 16 votes for Dr. Harry Barriks, 1 abstention. Mr. Zalinski has been elected the new Representative from the 11th District. Will Mr. Zalinsky please come forward?

You, John Zalinski, having been chosen a member of the Board of Representatives from the 11th District of Stamford do solemnly swear that you will faithfully discharge the duties of said office according to law, so help you God.

Mr. Zalinsky: I-do.

Mr. Miller: For the time being, Mr. Zalinsky will occupy the seat normally held by Mr. Baxter, for ~~this evening's meeting~~. There are now 37 members of the Board present. We will now proceed to the election of a clerk of the 14th Board of Representatives to succeed Mrs. Clark in her capacity as Clerk. Nominations are in order for the office of Clerk of the Board of Representatives. ~~Mr. Morgan.~~

Mr. Morgan: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.,~~ Linda Clark will be hard to replace as Clerk. She was hard-working, conscientious, a person who was willing to give the time to do a fine job and all of us on the Board benefitted from her being with us. But ~~now we must replace her and so~~ *benefit* I would like to place in nomination the name of Sandra Goldstein, who is chairman of the Personnel Committee and is someone who works hard in that capacity, ~~who~~ works hard ~~for her~~ for her constituents and will work hard for this Board as its Clerk and so it's with pleasure that I put her name forward. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: The name of Sandra Goldstein has been placed in nomination for the office of clerk. Are there any other nominations? Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: At this time, it gives me great pleasure to place in nomination the name of William Flanagan. Mr. Flanagan has been a member of this Board for approximately 5 years. He served as Assistant Minority leader in the past. He's been a member of many very important committees of this Board. He is a very prominent, successful businessman in this ~~Stamford~~ town, and I find it to be a pleasure at this time to place his

his name in nomination. Thank you.

voice: I second the nomination.

Mr. Miller: The name of William Flanagan has been placed in nomination for the office of clerk. Are there any other nominations? If there is no objection, the chair declares nominations closed. We will now proceed to - ~~Mr. Rybnick~~.

Mr. Rybnick: Millie Perillo.

Mr. Miller: You wish to place in nomination the name of Mrs. Perillo for the office of Clerk? The name of Mildred Perillo is placed in nomination for the office of Clerk of the Board. Are there any other nominations? If not, the chair declares nominations closed. According to the rules, the vote must be taken by roll call. The temporary clerk will call the roll. There are 37 members ~~px~~ present to participate in this vote. May we have order please.

(roll call)

Mr. Miller: With 37 members of the Board present and participating in this vote, there are 19 votes for Goldstein, 9 for Perillo, 9 for Flanagan. Sandra Goldstein has been elected Clerk of the Board. Will Mrs. Goldstein please come forward?

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Morgan~~.

Mr. Morgan: ~~Mr. Pres.~~, at this time, I'd like to move for a Suspension of the Rules so that we could consider -

Mr. Miller: Well, Mr. Morgan, there is some other business to attend to first.

Mr. Morgan: Will you recognize me at the appropriate time?

Mr. Miller: I'm going to make some appointments at this time, which would come first. ~~Mr. Blois~~.

Mr. Blois: Before you go into your appointments, I'd like to extend our congratulations to Mildred Ritchie for the fine job she did sitting in as temporary clerk. ~~We thank you.~~

voice (Mrs. Goldstein?): I would like to go along with that, too, Mr. President.

Mr. Miller: There are several vacancies among the various committees and the Chair is not going to fill all of them at the present time. ~~Some of them might even be filled later, this evening.~~ But at the present time the Chair wishes to make the following appointments.

The Chair would note that the new clerk, Mrs. Goldstein, is a member of the Steering Committee at the present time, so there is no need to appoint her to that committee. There is, however, another vacancy on the Steering Committee and the Chair appoints Dr. Lynn Lowden to that vacancy ~~on the Steering Committee.~~

The Chair also wishes to appoint Robert Costello to another vacancy on the Steering Committee. ~~The Chair also appoints Robert Costello Chairman of the Urban Re-development Committee and the Chair appoints at this time John Schlessing as a member of the Fiscal Committee.~~ We will now move on to the regular business of the meeting and the Chair would simply like to personally thank Mildred Ritchie, who on several occasions served as temporary clerk of the Board, and the Chair would like to congratulate Sandra Goldstein on her election as Clerk.

The Chair would note at this time that there are no minutes to be accepted. There are no minutes available to be accepted this evening. The chair would recognize Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I'd like to move for a suspension of the rules in order to consider Item No. 7 on the Legislative & Rules Committee agenda, which is the proposed ordinance supplemental for tax exemption and reimbursement of taxes paid for property acquired by the Bi-cultural Day School.

7
Mr. Miller: There is a motion to suspend the rules to immediately consider Item No. 7 under Legislative and Rules. Is there a second to that motion? Seconded by Mr. Sherer. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried, unanimously. We will now proceed immediately to page 4 of the agenda for Item No. 7 under Legislative & Rules. Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: ~~Thank you,~~ Mr. Pres. ☒ This is for final adoption, as Mr. Morgan indicated, of a proposed ordinance for tax exemption and reimbursement of taxes paid for property acquired by the Bi-cultural Day School, Inc., a Connecticut non-profit educational institution at 1499 Hope St. ☒ At the last full meeting of this Board, the Board approved publication of that ordinance. It was, in fact, published. A public hearing was then advertised and a public hearing held by the Legislative & Rules Committee on March 29 in this room. At that time, we heard from some 21 individuals

who spoke on this, all but two of whom spoke in opposition to it. The Committee then met again on March 30 at which time the Committee voted on this ordinance and the Committee voted 6 to 0 for final adoption of this ordinance and I would so move.

Mr. Miller: Second to that motion?

voice: Second, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Miller: Moved and seconded, by Mr. Wider. We're open to discussion, Mrs. Santy.

Mrs. Santy: Mr. Chairman, I will vote no to this tax abatement because by constituents have mandated that I do so and I agree with them. I hope that ~~Minor's~~ recommendation for tax exemption is not construed as support of the school, because my constituents will appear before the Z.B.A. in opposition to the special ~~exemption~~. They are strongly organized to preserve their residential neighborhoods and will take whatever legal steps are necessary. Last Tuesday evening, a public hearing was held and I wish every member of this Board could have been there. Especially the members who gave them the opportunity to be heard. There were 91 taxpayers present. 22 voiced opposition to the tax exemption. 2 spoke in favor.

It is important that we encourage participation of the taxpayer. I feel strongly that we will have to begin listening to the people who pay the bills, instead of political expediency. Again I repeat; please consider this carefully and if you weren't here, I would like Mr. Fox at this time through the chair - Could he bring us up to date on what happened at last Tuesday's meeting? Or is that his full report?

Mr. Miller: Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: If Mrs. Santy - [I like to keep those reports as short as possible, in light of the number of items on the agenda. The only thing that I would add to that report that I made was that we did have a very good turnout and, as Mrs. Santy indicated, I too was very impressed by the number of people that come to express an opinion. We so frequently hear about the apathy of the ordinary citizen. [We saw strong evidence of the fact that with respect to this issue, the people in that particular district are not apathetic.

The only thing that I would take issue on with Mrs. Santy was her suggestion - I think it was just a suggestion - that the vote of this committee was the result of political expediency. I can report to this Board that we gave a great deal of thought and consideration to this ordinance before we voted on it. We all, as I think she can verify, listened very attentively

to the remarks and comments and gave the greatest respect possible to the people who were before us. Other than that, I think my report is sufficient.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: Mr. Pres., I would like it recorded that I am abstaining and leaving the floor on this particular issue because of possible conflict of interest.

Mr. Miller: The record will indicate that Mr. Signore is leaving the floor and not participating in any way in this matter. Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman: Thank you, Mr. Pres. [I supported Mrs. Santy on this particular item in the last meeting, because I did feel that the people who live in this particular area did have a right to a public hearing and I always feel that since we are the elected representatives of the people, we are the closest ones to them. And very often I feel that we are sort of the court of last resort for these people who have a problem.]

I then found out later that this was a - something to do with a Jewish organization, I didn't know what it was all about other than that I felt that the people did have a right to be heard that lived in that particular area, ~~that had some particular gripe about it.~~ In any event, this evening I did receive a call from Mr. Barry Escot, who in turn informed me ~~that~~ that there was something like several dozen people who lived in my district who do support this particular matter.

I have no qualms whatsoever about giving a tax abatement to a religious organization and I think as Mr. Fox and Mr. Escot alluded to the fact that by holding up this tax abatement, we are really not accomplishing anything, and it is really up to these people to seek relief, if indeed they desire that or need that, from another board. And so I would therefore ~~this evening this particular issue.~~ Thank you, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Zimble.

Mr. Zimble: Thank you, Mr. Pres. In the past week, there have been several statements made in the media regarding my particular conduct on this case. I would like to state for the record once and for all that I intend to stay in this room. I intend to participate in this discussion to whatever extent I deem feasible and I certainly intend to vote on this particular issue.

The bone of contention appears to be an off-the-cuff remark that I made in German at the last meeting. German happens to be my mother tongue. I was born in Austria. I came to this country at a very early age with my family to escape bigotry and prejudice. Now that I am in the United States and now that I am an American citizen, I will never again be disenfranchised

because of my religion. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I think Mr. Hoffman said something there that made sense. The people in his district support it. Well, again, they don't live there and I really believe that if I voted for this, that I would be more or less acquiescing insomuch as saying that I agree with the zoning change, and I do not. I think that it's an invasion upon a residential area by an institution and I don't think it's fair, and personally I don't think any organization should get any tax abatement status until something like this goes before the Zoning Board and the people have an opportunity to speak before there and if they want to knock it down, I think the people in the district have the right to do that,

because they have to live with it. The people that belong to these organizations look at it and say, "It's a nice place to visit" but they don't want to live there. But the people that do live there and pay taxes have to live there.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Sherer.

Mr. Sherer: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ Recent statements made by Mrs. Santy and her supporters in open legislative hearings, as well as in the press, have attempted to impugn my legislative integrity. At this time, I shall briefly address myself to that issue.

Never before in my term as a member of this Board or as an observer to any other board, have I ever seen or heard such a fallacious argument offered in an effort to subvert a voice of the opposition of his vote. It is on the record of this Board's last meeting that I voted in favor of waiver of publication ~~of~~ of this ordinance. I recall 20 other members having spoken in favor of or voting for waiver.

Should they be disqualified from this vote because they have publicly stated their position? That is what Mrs. Santy is asking. Or perhaps she is asking that all those on her prevailing side should abstain since, after all, they have opined on the merits of the issue by their vote.

A recent decision of our own Supreme Court has clearly addressed itself to the issue of pre-vote comment. It has distinguished between the legislative function and the quasi-judicial function. In the former, it is the nature of the beast and in the latter, it is error. This is a legislative board.

An ordinance is a legislative function. Since the allegations have been made relative to conflict of interest, let me look at that issue from various perspectives. Firstly, the most typical conflict is when a legislator has a pecuniary or monetary interest. I have no monetary interest in the outcome of this vote, and will derive no gain or loss as a result thereof. I am not employed by the Bi-cultural Day School, have no

children in attendance there, and serve no positions as a member of the Board of Directors or as one of its officers. As an attorney, I am not involved in any aspect of the transaction. The State Legislature, in its recently enacted strict code of ethics, which has been incorporated into the general statutes of this state, defines conflict of interest in Section 1-68 as when a legislator will gain financially or minimize his losses personally as a result of a particular vote.

And it continues that it is not a conflict of interest when a legislator is merely a part of a profession, association or group, and that gain or loss is to no greater extent than any other member of that profession, association or group.

Secondly, as representatives, we are entitled to debate, opinion and inquiry. As a member of the Legislative & Rules Committee, I am asked to make informed recommendations to the full Board. It came to my attention in conversation with a person who signed the petition against zoning changes in question that he had no idea or other indication from the proponents of the petition that it would be used on the issue of abatement, but rather only for the question of zoning.

That prompted me to inquire of another person who I knew personally who answered the same question in the negative. When he told me to ask his wife and she responded in the same manner, my inquiry was complete. I have been told and have come to understand the conception that once we are elected to the Board of Representatives, we represent the entire city.

We are diverse individuals who may in fact represent interests throughout the community. I recall a number of months ago, when Mrs. Santy worked very closely with people in my district in opposition to an issue which was unique to the 10th district, she became quite emotional in her opposition as she cited her religious beliefs and read from the Bible in support of her cause.

Did I cry foul? Did I demand that she abstain from voting because she could not be objective? Absolutely not. Were complaints made when she has introduced items in her own Health and Protection Committee and then lobbied on their behalf? No. Finally, the only other conflict that possibly Mrs. Santy and her supporters would have us believe is that I am of the Jewish faith. My fellow Board members, is any one of us to be disenfranchised because of our religious beliefs? What is next? - that we abstain because of our color or ethnic background? What Mrs. Santy may want to say is that I am in conflict against her interests, but doesn't that describe the democratic process? As an attorney, I am more than cognizant of conflict of interest and answer that question every day as a full time officer of the court. Not merely as a part-time representative. The integrity of each and every one of us is at issue

tonight. Each one of us must govern our actions by what we feel is right and how our conscience (end of Side 2)

Side 3

... non-profit institutions. We give these to everyone every month. And so what we have before us is really a very simple matter, granting a tax exemption for this not-for profit corporation, the Bi-cultural Day School, Inc. I realize that there are some controversies associated with this matter, but they're really not the concern of the Board of Representatives. The Board of Representatives is not the Zoning Board of Appeals, and the concerns that are properly expressed by some of the people who live in the 18th District, it seems to me, would more suited to a discussion before the Zoning Board of Appeals than before this Board tonight.

We're looking at one particular aspect of it - of this problem, and it's a very simple one and so I would vote in favor of the tax exemption and I would urge the people in the neighborhood to make their case to the Zoning Board of Appeals where it properly belongs.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: I think the additional points I wanted to make have been covered with one exception. I just wanted to bring to the attention of the members of this Board a proposed Bill No. 6277, presently before the State House of Representatives entitled "An act concerning service charges on tax exempt organizations." This was made available to me and brought to my attention. Basically what it says is that municipalities would be enabled, at their option, to levy certain service charges on tax exempt institutions.

Some members of the Board might wish to express their opinion on this bill to their respective representatives and I can make available a copy of that if they so desire.

~~Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.~~

Mrs. Goldstein: Thank you, Mr. Pres. It's very strange to speak from up here. I don't feel the insulation I felt over at the corner. Over 25 tax abatements have come before the Board, during our term - during my term as Representative. They have all gone through this Board without public hearing, rather quickly, rather easily. This is the first tax abatement with a problem.

Therefore, I went to the public hearing to see just exactly what complaints the people in the district had in relation to this particular tax abatement. The two overriding complaints appear to be 1) those people who, for whatever their reasons, did not want any institution built on that site. The other overriding complaint or comment at that hearing was that there should be no tax abatement for religious institutions and we may as well start with this particular one because they are a drain on the City.

Let's take the two issues one at a time. The first issue which is, "Let's not have an institution on this particular site " may have merit but there is a proper place to bring that forth and that is at the Zoning Board of Appeals, not before our Board.

Let's take the second issue now, and that's "We've had enough of tax abatements". There was a great deal of concern voiced by people that night, real honest concern, about the fact that tax abatements for non-profit and religious institutions are draining the City. Draining the tax coffers, and that it's time to end this.

Well, ~~that~~ that may be the case. It may indeed be the time to end this, with the new increase that is looming before us. But, if that is the case, let's make a uniform law for all and let's get it started through the proper channels. Perhaps the time for tax abatements has come. But let's do it right. Let's not make an example of Bi-cultural Day School.

Let's do it through a resolution or through a charter change. ^{the end of} Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Santy.

Mrs. Santy: Again Mr. Sherer has become very emotional. I would just refer to a letter left on the desk by the president and the secretary of the Sherwood Forest Association. I think all his questions will be answered there. They are asking for a definition of conflict of interest and I think he tried to explain them but there's proper channels for that also.

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Wider.~~

Mr. Wider: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I'm indeed concerned here that there were racial overtones in this ordinance and at a public hearing. I think that we have fought long and hard to do away with those racial overtones. It kind of bothers me when I sit and I see a number of abatements dead within the last two months that I've been on this Board and we come up with a school and we question it, really, because of some other interest. I would like to ask that from now on on this Board, as long as I have the privilege to sit here, that we will forget about race and think about our responsibility to the citizens of this community, regardless of what their race are, and do our best to protect them, and to protect their interest.

And this is one ordinance that I would like to hear a roll call vote on tonight, because there are some interests that I'm really concerned with here. Thank you so much, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: There's been a roll call vote. Would those members desiring a roll call vote raise their hands? The chair sees a sufficient number. There will be a roll call vote. Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Mrs. Goldstein made a point I'd just

like to elaborate on a little bit, that there was 25 tax abatements that went through this Board. Probably was. She got the facts, but I don't think she could, in one instance out of those 25, find where that many people were that involved in such an issue. ~~And I think this is what Mrs. Santy and myself are basing our position on. And we are here to reflect the opinion of the people in our districts.~~

And I think she is, and I know I am, and as far as racial overtones, for myself and I can even speak for Mrs. Santy, I've known her long enough, there are none,

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Loomis.

Mr. Loomis: Move the question.

Mr. Miller: Moved and seconded. The question is on moving the question. All those in favor say aye. ~~(aye)~~ All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. We will proceed to a vote. The vote will be taken by roll call. The question is on Item No. 7 on page 4 under Legislative & Rules; final adoption of a proposed ordinance supplemental concerning reimbursement of taxes paid for property acquired by Bi-cultural Day School, Inc. The clerk will call the roll. A Yes vote is for the final adoption of the ordinance. A No vote opposed.

voice: Mr. President, please.

Mr. Miller: ...Necessary for final adoption, 21 votes. ~~Mr. Livingston.~~

Mr. Livingston: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ Mr. Pres., would you please repeat what you just said in benefit for some of our members who just came into the room. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: We're dealing with the final adoption of a proposed ordinance supplemental concerning reimbursement of taxes paid for property acquired by Bi-cultural Day School, Inc. We're dealing with final adoption of an ordinance. Final adoption requires 21 votes. We're going to vote by roll call. A Yes vote is for the ordinance, a No vote opposed. The clerk will call the roll.

(roll call vote)

Mr. Miller: It being necessary to have 21 votes for final adoption, the motion is carried. There are 29 Yes votes, 6 No votes, one abstention. We'll now return to the regular agenda. Committee reports. Steering Committee. Mr. Blois.

Mr. Blois: ~~Mr. Pres.,~~ I move that we waiver the Steering Committee report.

Mr. Miller: Is there a second to that motion?

voice: ~~Second the motion.~~

Mr. Miller: Moved and Seconded. The question is on waiver of the Steering Committee report. ~~All those in favor say aye (aye).~~ All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Appointments Committee, Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: -

Mr. Miller: Miss Nizolek.

Miss Nizolek: I'd like to request a Suspension of the Rules to consider Charter Revision Committee report.

Mr. Miller: ~~Yes.~~ And I think this would be a good place for the Chair to note that it is absolutely essential that we hear this evening a report from the Charter Revision Committee, a report from the Sewer Committee and also a report from Mr. Fox of Legislative & Rules concerning a proposed ordinance which does not appear on the agenda but which does involve an emergency. I'm going to now recognize Miss Nizolek for the purpose of suspending the Rules. We'll get to Appointments this evening without a doubt, Mrs. Cosentini, but I just want to point out to this group that there is Charter Revision, Sewer Committee and Legislative & Rules which must be done this evening if it's to be done at all. But I can guarantee that we'll do all the appointments tonight also. ~~Miss Nizolek, you are~~ moving Suspension of the rules.

Miss Nizolek: Yes.

Mr. Miller: ~~Is there a second to that motion?~~ [Moved and seconded.] The question is on suspension of the rules to consider the report of the Charter Revision Committee. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Miss Nizolek.

Miss Nizolek: I thank you very much for that exception. I know that we only have a 7-page agenda, but knowing this Board, we'll be here till 2:00 anyway and I don't want to hold up the people who are waiting for appointments and I apologize, ~~Audrey, and I'll be as brief as possible.~~ Well, ~~it has finally arrived:~~ The proposed amendments to the Charter of the City of Stamford was in the hands of our administrative assistant April 1, at 4:20 p.m. and I believe all of you received a copy ~~on your desk~~, so you do have that in addition to the summary of recommendations and also, I believe, a covering letter from Mr. Mackler to Mr. Miller.

And, I believe, somewhere in our packet of mail you had received steps and time schedules. Well, it's a bit complicated to read it, but in essence what it is is that we must have a public hearing 30 days after the submission of the report to the Board of Representatives, which would make it May 1.

The Charter Revision Committee met last Thursday and we decided to present a public hearing, at least the first public hearing, on Thursday, April 21 in the Board of Reps room here at probably 8:00 p.m. ~~Right, April 21,~~ Thursday. Now, according to the time schedule, again, we then have 15

days to work on it, ~~OK~~, after the public hearing, for a submission back to the Charter Revision Commission. But in caucus tonight on both sides, the Republican and Democratic caucus, members of the committee on both sides had brought up the issue of having a Special Meeting of the Board of Representatives so that recommendations and suggestions could be brought up to the various members of the Board.

And we'd like to tap a date of Thursday, April 28 for that particular special meeting. ~~Isn't the 28th on a Thursday?~~ Right, that will be one week after our first, and hopefully only public hearing. I believe we can have more than one public hearing, but with the response we've been getting, during the Commission's public hearings, I think one would be sufficient, unless anybody would have objection to that. ~~We can have 10 if we like.~~

So those are the prime dates; April 21 for the public hearing and the special one-night meeting of the Board of Representatives of Thursday, April 28. Does that conflict with anything that I -- ? OK. I believe that would be it as far as the time and the schedule goes. We can't elaborate any further now. We'd just like to get these two steps taken care of now.

Mr. Miller: Thank you very much for the report, Miss Nizolek. Mrs. Cosentini.

Mrs. Cosentini: ~~The meeting --~~ I'd like to address this through the Chair to Chris - The meeting on the 28th, is this for us to make our suggestions back to the Charter Revision Commission? Do we vote on anything at this time or ~~do we~~ - do we vote on issues to send back to them for consideration? Is that how this operates?

Miss Nizolek: Yes. ~~The Board will vote.~~ The Board will vote and we will submit that back to the Commission.

Mrs. Cosentini: But this is not to be misunderstood to be the vote, the final vote on what goes on the ballot?

Miss Nizolek: Oh, no. Absolutely not.

Mr. Miller: But it is the Chair's expectation that at that meeting on the 28th, the Charter Revision Committee will act as a Reporting Committee and will make recommendations concerning the Charter. ~~That's the chair's expectation.~~ And this meeting is a very important meeting and the Charter Revision Committee has an important role because the Board will be depending on the Charter Revision Committee, between this evening and the 28th of April, to come up with recommendations to this full Board concerning the entire package presented to us by the Charter Revision Commission. An important part of the input hopefully will come from the public hearing, but the Committee itself of course has an important

function, and there will have to be meetings of the Charter Revision Committee. And I do want to make it clear that this full special Board meeting is a very important meeting at which very significant action will be taken. ~~Miss Nizolek.~~

Miss Nizolek: Not only should we hear the recommendations and suggestions from the Representatives as to how they personally feel but it might be a good idea to reap some of the recommendations and suggestions from the people within their district and have those asked at the same time, because I know sometimes it's difficult for people to get to public hearings and this might be a good input through the Representative to us and to the Committee and to the Commission. ~~Thank you.~~

~~Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.~~

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.

Mrs. Goldstein: Miss Nizolek, what happens after the 28th? By the 28th, you will have your committee, based on the input at the public hearing, will work on recommendations to submit back to the Charter Revision Commission?

Miss Nizolek: Right. Then, the the Board of Reps has 15 days after the final hearing to recommend changes. OK? The Commission has 30 days in which to accept or reject proposed changes, if any. So we have to wait for them to come back to us.

Mrs. Goldstein: So we have 15 days from April 23 to submit our recommendations to the Commission?

Miss Nizolek: Right. That brings us to May 6 ~~is it~~? Yes.

Mrs. Goldstein: I see. Thank you, Miss Nizolek.

Mr. Miller: It is the chair's expectation that really at that April 28 meeting, this Board will have its final say on what we have from the Charter Revision Commission in that we will be recommending to the Commission possible changes in the report we now have. Theoretically, it's possible that this Board, on the 28 of April, would say "We like everything that the Charter Revision Commission has done. We don't want you to make any changes." That's not likely to happen. So it is the likely thing that we'll have to give a report to the Charter Revision Commission telling the Commission the changes that we would like to have made. Mr. Loomis.

Mr. Loomis: Yes. I'd just like to expand on Miss Nizolek's report. We have the right only to accept or reject. We cannot modify anything. That is to say if they, as part of their final report, say we have a two-year term for the Mayor, we could not, say, modify that to make it a four-year term. Or if they have a 40-member Board and leave it like that, we

(Put in)

can't cut it down to 20 or increase it. So, ~~all we can do is accept or reject.~~ We cannot modify, change, or make really any changes other than acceptance or rejection. The only other point I'd like to make is, Miss Nizolek made some allusion to, oh maybe the futility of having a Board meeting on this subject, given the responsiveness of the Commission to recommendations made at a public hearing.

I think it might be a little different because I think they're keenly aware of the fact that we have the ability to turn down the entire report or accept it and they perhaps might be more sensitive to the kinds of things that we as a body have to say on that Commission's report. So the meeting on the 28th could be an important one.

Mr. Miller: Miss Nizolek, do you have anything else? Thank you. I think there are some other comments. ~~Mr. Blum.~~

~~Miss Nizolek~~

Mr. Blum: Through you, I would like to ask Miss Nizolek and also Mr. Loomis, does this mean that in reading each one of the sections and if we feel we cannot add words that we feel or delete? Are you saying that we must either accept or reject?

Mr. Loomis: Mr. Blum, you're absolutely correct. The time where we can go in and make a change is in this 15 day period after the meeting of - the special meeting of the Board. It's to go in and say, "Look, we do want changes here. The Board feels that there revisions called for." Now, they can accept or reject our recommendations and if they flatly reject them, we have no recourse. We cannot modify. But we could reject the report or we could accept it.

Once again, I'm essentially saying what you stated to be correct.

Mr. Loomis
Mr. Blum: I don't see why we're going to have a public hearing and there will be citizens here, trying to get more input to us, so ~~as~~ when we come here on the 28th, our constituents will be asking us either to add to or delete. ~~We have to hold the public hearing, it's required by law.~~ And if there is an overwhelming point of view that is expressed in public hearing and also by your constituents, it may well be that that information transmitted to the Commission might result in a change in the report if we feel that way. It may happen, but it has to happen in that 15-day period.

Mr. Miller: Miss Nizolek, [I think we should keep in mind that the Charter Revision Committee will hold the public hearing.] Between tonight and the 28th of April, the Charter Revision Committee has to study the document given to us by the Charter Revision Commission, and formulate recommendations on behalf of that 5-member Special Committee to the entire Board, and the recommendations of the Charter Revision Committee will come on April 28th much as we get a report from the Fiscal Committee or any other committee of this Board. During that period, the Charter Revision Committee must hold

~~and will hold a public hearing, at which the citizens of Stamford will have an opportunity to express views on the proposed changes. April 28th is an essential part of this process and a most important meeting, because we cannot take onto ourselves the powers that the Charter Revision Commission has.~~

~~We can't modify. We cannot initiate.~~ [We can recommend changes, and that is the important part of the April 28 meeting. This is the time when we do have a very important chance to participate because we can recommend changes.]

Later on, when we get what I might call the second report, from the Charter Revision Commission, and we again have a Special Meeting of the entire Board on Charter revision, then we're in an accept or reject situation. ~~Is there anything further on Charter revision?~~ Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: Through you, Mr. President, to Miss Nizolek ~~I guess~~, let me just see if I understand the process. If, for example, the Board of Representatives were to recommend that the tenure for the police chief and the fire chief be some fixed term rather than retirement at age 65, as the Commission has recommended, and did so by a vote of 40 to 0 in favor of, say, a ten-year term, the Charter Revision Commission would not be obliged to accept this Board's recommendation. Is that - ?

Mr. Miller: The Charter Revision Commission is not obliged to accept anything we recommend as a result of that April 28 meeting.

voice: Mr. Miller, then this ~~is~~ a waste of time meeting on this Charter -

Mr. Miller: It's not a waste of time, really. I'd have to say it's an essential part of the process as it has been set up by state law.

voice: But they will not be guided or accept any of the recommendations we make and act on it?

Mr. Miller: [I would presume they would be guided in some respects and I would assume that in other respects they wouldn't follow our guidance. But that's part of the process and I think we have to keep in mind that a Charter Revision Commission has a great deal of power and they are the Charter revisors, not this Board.] Mrs. Goldstein.

Mrs. Goldstein: Through the Chair to Miss Nizolek: Why are we giving ourselves five days from the time of the public hearing to the time when we're going to meet as a whole Board to accept the Committee's recommendations? - we have 15 days.

Miss Nizolek: Were there five days?

Mrs. Goldstein: Well, you said April 23 is going to be our public hearing ,

April 28 the Board will get together - I'm sorry, April 21. OK. So it's off by two days, but the principle is the same. So, rather than giving - we have 15 days, do we not, from the public hearing to the time we meet together, ~~and~~ -

Miss Nizolek: Right.

Mrs. Goldstein: Well why can't we take advantage of that full two weeks for all the -

Miss Nizolek: I think there was a question there of the conflict of the Budget sessions, and that we would be pretty cramped. Is that -

Mrs. Goldstein: I think those seem to be the two freest days.

Mr. Miller: I think, Mrs. Goldstein, the Committee has to start immediately working on this because they're going to have to go through the entire document we have received from the Commission. A lot of the material involves rather technical changes, ~~many matters~~ (end of Side 3 of tape)

Side 4

Mr. Miller: ... between their public hearing and the April 28 meeting of the full Board to consider those key issues and I think it's already quite obvious what they will be. Mr. Loomis.

Mr. Loomis: Yes. I'd also add that this is a function that we're proceeding under which is governed by state statutes and the state statute was written deliberately to move the process on very rapidly after the Commission gives us its report. So we only have 15 days after the final hearing to act ~~and so~~ it's really not a function of us wanting to consider hastily these recommendations.

We're under the gun here. And I might add also that we are not presenting report on the 28th. We're having a meeting to get the input and the guidance of the full Board.

Mr. Miller: Well, that's not my understanding, Mr. Loomis. And I don't think that's the way it can operate with all due respect. The Committee is formed for a purpose and final action is going to have to be taken at that April 28 meeting, in terms of making recommendations to the Charter Revision Commission.

Now if the Charter Revision Committee wishes to hold a meeting, a public hearing for members of the Board, that's fine. But there's going to have to be a special meeting of the Board at which voting is done, on

these recommendations.

Mr. Loomis: I guess we're saying the same things in a different way. In other words, once the Board votes upon the various recommendations we're presenting, after the conclusion of that meeting we have a final report. And that's essentially what you're saying.

Mr. Miller: But we're not going to have any room in which to maneuver as a Committee after that April 28 meeting, because after April 28, the full Board will have spoken. And I want to make this clear because it's going to be a situation where a committee is making recommendations to the full Board, and it's up to the Board to either go along with the Committee or not go along with the Committee and judging according to the way it has worked in the past, the Committee is going to recommend in most instances that we go along with the report of the Commission.

Unless the Committee has some fundamental problem with the whole Charter Revision process. But I would think that in most cases the Committee will go along with the Commission, but there will be significant areas of disagreement on this Board, and that will have to be thrashed out April 28.

Mr. Loomis: Well, I wouldn't want to pre-judge what the Committee will do, but I essentially agree with your interpretation of the process.

Mr. Miller: Can we move on? Is there anything else, Miss Nizolek? I'd like to thank Miss Nizolek and the Committee at this time. Thank you very much. I would now like to revert back to the Appointments Committee and I'm going to get through the Appointments Committee report before we take any other motions to suspend the rules. ~~Mr. Dixon.~~

(1) Mr. Dixon: Thank you, Mr. Pres. [The Appointments Committee met March 31 at 8:00 p.m. in the Public Works conference room.] Those present and attending that meeting were; Robert Costello, George Ravallesse, Peter Walsh, Leo Carlucci, Audrey Cosentini, Donald Sherer, Sal Signore, Vere Wiesley and Handy Dixon.

First on the agenda, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. Harry Seeling of 65 Prospect St. who is seeking confirmation of his appointment to the Commission on Aging. Mr. Seeling is a Republican and has been a resident of this city for the past 46 years. He is president of two corporations, namely a professional collection agency and a credit reporting business. Mr. Seeling attended high school in New Haven, Conn. and New York Preparatory School. He also attended New York University and majored in accounting, business administration and public relations science. Mr. Seeling has had many years of experience working with people, especially senior citizens and has made tremendous contributions in solving their many problems.

He feels that the city has been good to him over many years and now as a

senior citizen himself, he wants to contribute back to the city and to those whom he feels he is better able to serve, the senior citizens of Stamford. The Appointments Committee is certain of Mr. Seeling's extreme qualifications and feels that he will be a great asset to the Commission on Aging. [We therefore approved his appointment by unanimous vote of those present and voting and I would now so move.]

Mr. Miller: [Moved and seconded.] Discussion. Mr. Costello.

Mr. Costello: Thank you, Mr. Pres. [I have known Mr. Seeling for a number of years and I believe he will make an excellent addition to the Commission on Aging.] With Mr. Seeling's background, he would be a credit to any commission he elected to serve on. But [I am happy he chose to serve on the Commission on Aging because I believe it is one of our most important in the city and these people surely can use all the help they can get.] Thank-you?

Mr. Miller: We'll proceed to a vote. The question is on confirmation of Harry Seeling as a member of the Commission on Aging. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously with 37 members of the Board present.] Mr. DIXON.

Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the ~~name~~ name of Mr. Louis J. Cassell, Jr. of 155 Frederick St. seeking confirmation of his appointment to the Environmental Protection Board. Mr. Cassell is a Republican and has been a resident of Stamford all his life. He is a builder and also a real estate broker.

In addition to his high school education and his attendance at J.M. Wright Technical School where he took courses in blueprint reading and construction estimating, Mr. Cassell also attended the Univ. of Conn. and completed courses in real estate, basic principles of property insurance and real estate principles and practices.

Mr. Cassell has served on the Board of Representatives and was active on several of its committees. He was chairman of the Flood and Erosions Control Board and is presently chairman of the Environmental Protection Board. He has served six years in the Conn. National Guard and is presently engaged in many activities through the city of Stamford.

Mr. Cassell recognizes the importance of the Environmental Protection Board and feels that he has made a contribution to that Board and he therefore is desirous of serving another term. [The Committee feels that the city stands to gain by his expertise and has approved his appointment by unanimous vote of those present and voting and I so move.]

Mr. Miller: [Moved and seconded.] Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza: Thank-you, Mr. Chairman. I couldn't think of anybody but Mr.

Cassell for this Board. I couldn't think of this Board without Mr. Cassell. I don't think we could find a more dedicated and qualified person as Mr. Cassell ~~for this Board~~. I support him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Under Mr. Cassell's direction and I'm sure guidance, the Environmental Protection Board has made great strides. I know on the last Board, he came up with a great big package which we approved of. I believe that Mr. Cassell is a very honest individual. I believe that he is a super kind of a person on this particular Board and it's too bad that we don't have more people like him to serve this city. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Ritchie.

Mrs. Ritchie: Being on the Environmental Protection Committee of the Board of Reps, I've watched Lou in action at many a meeting and he really knows his business. He's out to watch for the City of Stamford for each taxpayer's piece of property and all I can say is, he's Mr. Environmental Protection himself. I heartily endorse ~~him~~ him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Zimble.

Mr. Zimble: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Those of us who are veterans of the ²~~Tadpole~~ Brook floods have had occasion to work with the Environmental Protection Board in general ~~and~~ and with Lou Cassell in particular for a number of years. I think everything that's been said about Lou Cassell here tonight I could echo and add ditto marks after ditto marks to it, and I really think this entire Board, the Environmental Protection Board, of all the good citizen boards and commissions we have in this City, that is probably one of the best. They're all dedicated, sincere, hard-working and good people on there, and Lou Cassell as the chairman, it just goes double for him. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Ravallese.

Mr. Ravallese: I also second Mr. Cassell. I know him for about 25 years and he's about the hardest-working man I ever seen on any Board and he's such a good man that I always think of him as a Democrat, and he gives 105% on anything he enters into. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Hawe.

Mrs. Hawe: Thank you. I'm sure that anyone who has come into contact with the workings of the EPB is aware of the energy and the time that Lou Cassell devotes to this post and I'm happy to second his nomination. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Zelinski.

Mr. Zelinski: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I would also like to second the nomination. I am well-acquainted with Mr. Cassell's hard work and he'll do justice to be reappointed.] Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.

Mrs. Goldstein: Thank you, Mr. Pres. It has been said ~~by~~ very well by so many people but I would just like to confirm Mr. ~~Cassa~~ Zimble's comments about veterans of Toilsome Brook know only too well the value of Lou Cassell and that Board and it's a pleasure to second him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Rybnick.

Mr. Rybnick: [I'd also like to second Lou Cassell's nomination. I'd like to concur with everything they said about Lou here and rolled it all in one. He's every bit of it.]

Mr. Miller: Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: One of Mayor Clapp's finest appointments.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Wider.

Mr. Wider: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Since I second the motion of Mr. Dixon, I'd like to ~~move~~ for the question.

Mr. Miller: We're voting on moving the question. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Do we have to take a vote after all those speeches? I guess we do. The question is on confirmation of Louis as member of the Environmental Protection Board. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those ~~yes~~ opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: The next ~~manjx~~ name on the agenda, Mr. Pres., is that of Mr. Robert Delucca. Mr. Delucca resides at 27 Long Hill Drive and has been a resident of Stamford for 46 years. He is a Republican and is seeking confirmation of his appointment to the Welfare Commission. Mr. Delucca is employed in the ~~fxie~~ field of accounting and is supervisor for ?

Corporation. Although his first choice of service was the Board of Recreation, Mr. Delucca feels that his knowledge and experience in accounting will enable him to run the invaluable service to the Welfare Commission. He graduated from high school in 1948 and continued his education at the University of Bridgeport where he received a B.S., major in Accounting and certificates of achievement after attending various seminars on business management.

Mr. Delucca is presently the secretary of ? Credit Union and is affiliated with the Babe Ruth Baseball League. [Although he

does not claim to be the best qualified person for this position, Mr. Delucca demonstrated many skills, honesty and confidence with the assurance - which assures the Appointments Committee that he will be an asset to the Welfare Commission and the City of Stamford. With this assurance, the Committee voted his approval by a vote of 7 Yes, one abstention, with two members being absent and I so move.]

Mr. Miller: Moved and seconded.] The question is on - Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: Mr. Delucca was one of the nicest men we ever interviewed. All of his answers were above board and quite frankly, some of them, if he had any political know-how, he would have never made. And, his honesty was above reproach and I think we should support him 100%.]Thank you.

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Blois.

Mr. Blois: Mr. Chairman, I would be remiss if I didn't make a remark. Mr. Delucca comes from the 14th District. I know the gentleman and I think he'll do a fine job on this Commission and I whole-heartedly support him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Wider.

Mr. Wider: Mr. Chairman, I certainly appreciate all the good remarks that are being made, but I again move for question.

Mr. Miller: Is there a second to that? Moved and seconded. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried.] The question is on confirmation of Robert Delucca as a member of the Welfare Commission. All those in favor say aye (aye). All ~~those~~ those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: The next name on the agenda is that of Mr. Theodore Lewis for the approval of ~~his~~ his appointment to the Planning Board. Mr. Lewis is a Republican, married and resides with his family at 51 Hollow Oak Lane and he has been a resident of Stamford for 19 years. Mr. Lewis is in the life and health insurance business which he entered in 1958. He is a life member of the Million Dollar Round Table, holder of 12 national quality awards. He is past president of the Southern Life Underwriters Assoc., past president of the Estate Planning Council of Lower Fairfield County and is certified by the State of Conn. as an insurance consultant.

Mr. Lewis attended and graduated from the New York City public schools and received a B.A. degree from New York University in Economics and Psychology and he is also the recipient of the Chartered Life Underwriter designation from the American College of Life Underwriters and in Bryn Mawr, Penna. Mr. Lewis is a past member of the Planning Board, having served one -

a one-year unexpired term in 1975 and 76. He is very active in community services, which includes the Newfield School PTA, the Boy Scouts of America, Stamford Board of Education, , and the Board of Trustees of Temple Sinai.

Mr. Lewis appears to be a very serious and conscientious person with a strong desire to be of service to this City. [In studying his background and stated qualifications, the Appointments Committee is confident that he is willing and quite capable of doing the kind of job that will be in the best interest of Stamford.

[We therefore approved his appointment by unanimous vote and I so move.]

Mr. Miller: [Moved and seconded.] The question is on - Mr. Zimbler.

Mr. Zimbler: Thank you, Mr. Pres. It gives me great pleasure to second the nomination of my 16th District constituent Ted Lewis. Mr. Lewis has previously served this community well on the Planning Board and having known him for a number of years, I'm certain that he will continue in the same exemplary manner that he has in the past.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.

Mrs. Goldstein: [It is a pleasure to have ~~men~~ men like Mr. Lewis who are willing to give their time and energies to serve the City] and I'm very proud to second him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Zelinski.

Mr. Zelinski: I'm also well acquainted with Mr. Lewis and I would also like to second his nomination. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: The question is on ~~Theodore Lewis~~ confirmation of Theodore Lewis as a member of the Planning Board. All those in favor say aye (aye) All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Dixon.

(5)
Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. Thomas Peer^{3. P.D.}, who is seeking approval of his appointment to the Board of Recreation. Mr. Peer is a Republican. He resides at 18 Wendell Place and has been a resident of Stamford all his life. Mr. Peer is a product of the Stamford school system, having graduated from the Stamford High School in 1938. He also attended the Leonardo DaVinci Art School in New York City and is now self-employed as an appliance dealer. Mr. Peer has been a long-time contributor to this city. He has served four previous years on the Board of Recreation, most of which time he was its chairman. He initiated the purchase of the Dorothy property, started the renovation of

Sterling Farms barns, creating a theater and youth center. Mr. Peer is past president of the National Little League, helped form the Northrop Little League, coached and helped in the Babe Ruth program, past president of School and Junior High PTA's, a charter member and present president of the Glenbrook Community Center.

Mr. Peer sums it up by saying he has worked with the youth and various programs in Stamford most of his adult life. Having presented these credentials to the Appointments Committee, we feel that Mr. Peer is indeed highly qualified to serve on the Board of Recreation and therefore have approved his appointment by unanimous vote of those present and voting and I now move for his confirmation.

Mr. Miller: Moved and seconded. Mr. Ravallese.

Mr. Ravallese: The city and the youth of this City are very fortunate to have a man like Mr. Peer on the Board of Recreation and I know he will do a very good job. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Wiesley.

Mr. Wiesley: It'll be a pleasure to see Tom Pia, Mr. Board of Recreation, back in official action again.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Santy.

Mrs. Santy: I'm happy to second the nomination of Mr. Pia. He's been a member of this Board before and I'm happy to see him back there. Thanks, Tommy, for being willing to help us again.

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Blois.~~

Mr. Blois: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ Although we're losing a good Democrat in Carl Martino, he was a very diligent worker, we are also gaining another diligent worker, Tom Pia. I've known him for quite a number of years and I know he'd done a terrific job previously on the Board of Rec and I know he'll continue to do so. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Zimble.

Mr. Zimble: I'd just like to add my name to those of many who second the nomination of Tom Pia, a good man who is going to be even better.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Ritchie.

Mrs. Ritchie: I'd like to welcome Tom Pia back to the Board of Rec. He did a terrific job last time and I'm sure he's going to do it again.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Walsh.

Mr. Walsh: Mr. Pres., anyone who knows Mr. Pia knows that he'll become an active and diligent member of the Board of Recreation just like he is over at the Glenbrook Community Center. And like the rest of the people from Glenbrook, he's top notch.

Mr. Miller: Mr. DeRose.

Mr. DeRose: I too would like to add my name to the long list of supporters of Mr. Pia. I've known Mr. Pia for several years now, and I've always found him to be a very capable and concerned citizen of this great city of ours. I know that he has put in many, many hours of devotion toward our elderly citizenry and the youth at the Glenbrook Community Center, and the number of hours that he put in go unprecedented and I whole-heartedly support him. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Cosentini.

Mrs. Cosentini: Yes. We have many fine appointments tonight, but I just had to say that I wanted to second Mr. Thomas Pia for the Board. I know he's done an excellent job in the past and I'm just delighted that he'll be back there working again.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Livingston.

Mr. Livingston: Well, I wouldn't dare try to rush things, but I move the question.

Mr. Miller: Is there a second to that motion? All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] The question is on confirmation of Thomas Pia as a member of the Board of Recreation. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. Mr. Dixon.

(6)
Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. who resides with his family at 12 Green St. in this city. Mr. is also a Republican and is seeking confirmation of his appointment as an alternate to the Planning Board. He has been a resident of Stamford for the past 50 years. Mr. received his education in the Stamford public schools and attended Hampton Institute in 1938 and 39. He entered the U.S. Army in 1942 and after serving 3 years in the European theater of operation, he was honorable discharged in 1945. Mr. is an employee at Pitney Bowes Inc. and is presently serving in the capacity of foreman, and is directly in charge of some 35 employees.

Having been the recipient of much training and experience over many years at Pitney Bowes, Mr. has conducted seminars and has been the instructor of many courses of training for business and job opportunities for the disadvantaged from all races and ethnic groups, resulting therefore in gainful employment for many.

Mr. is directly involved in many civic, social and religious

affairs in Stamford, much of which is of direct benefit to the citizenry. He is deeply concerned about the future of this city and is desirous of serving on the Planning Board where he feels he can make a valuable contribution to the needs of the people. [The Appointments Committee conducted a lengthy interview with Mr. _____ and is now convinced of his sincerity and qualification and we therefore recommend his approval by unanimous vote of those present and voting and I so move.]
(end of Side 4 of tape)

Side 5

Mr. Blum:

voice: ... person I've known all my life. I graduated school with him and I know his interest is in this city and I'm sure he'll plan for the people to bring more industry to this town. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: ~~Mrs. Ritchie.~~

Mrs. Ritchie: I'd like to welcome Mr. _____ to the functioning of Stamford's government and as a constituent from the 10th, I whole-heartedly support him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Sherer.

Mr. Sherer: Thank you. I think Pete _____ is one of the most sensible candidates we've had ever met on our Appointments Committee for the Planning Board in particular. He showed a lot of common sense and a lot of thought and that's the type of planning personnel we're looking for. I think Stamford needs it and his thoughts were far-reaching and I think he has the experience to go with those ideas and I welcome his presence on the Board.]

Mr. Miller: Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: I had the pleasure of interviewing Mr. _____ and I found him to be a very down-to-earth man with common sense and a down-to-earth that's unmatched anywhere and I whole-heartedly support him for this position. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Wider.~~

Mr. Wider: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Mr. _____ has to be one - the family had to be one of the first neighbors I had in the city of Stamford, in 1948 when I came out of the service and I've watched Mr. _____ develop from a young man into a middle-aged man now and he has been sincere in his church work and he was a sincere member of the Board of Directors of the South End Community Center when I was its chairman and he has added a great deal to the increased opportunities for various people throughout this city, irregardless to what they was.

When he was training officer for Pitney Bowes he was wholeheartedly in favor of trying to take care of our young people and see that they were prepared to get good jobs. [I think that this man has an awful lot to offer and that one day he would commit

to serving on some of our boards and I certainly do endorse him very much. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Livingston.

Mr. Livingston: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Unlike Mr. Wider, I can't truly say that I was able or fortunate enough to watch Mr. development. He has me by a few years but however, I have learned through observing Mr. over the years, he is a person of inspiration and I consider the kind of a person that will give this City a step forward and not a step backwards. Mr. is the kind of a man that I myself have looked up to as an inspiration. Thank you, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: We'll proceed to a vote. The question is on confirmation of Theophilus as an alternate member of the Planning Board. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. Stam, seeking this Board's approval of his appointment as an alternate to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Mr. Stam has been a resident of Stamford for 30 years. He is a Republican and presently resides at 33 Slice Drive. Mr. Pres., while the Appointments Committee fully recognizes the quality of education and the general qualifications and background of Mr. Stam to serve on any number of boards and commissions in this city, it is the feeling of the committee that more general knowledge of the duties and responsibilities of one serving on this all-important Zoning Board of Appeals is required.

It is our hope that Mr. Stam will further his study of the duties and requirements of members serving on the Zoning Board of Appeals and continue to make his expert service available to the people of Stamford. The Appointments Committee has denied approval of the appointment by a vote of 4 No, 3 Yes, one abstention, and 2 members being absent, and I so move.

Mr. Miller: Well, it's clear that the report of the committee is negative. I think to facilitate the vote as we usually do, if there is a member who wishes to make an affirmative motion, I would accept that, and then in the voting, a Yes vote would be for confirmation and a No vote against. Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: I'm a member of the Appointments Committee and I voted Yes for Mr. Stam.

Mr. Miller: Well, if you want to make a motion on his behalf, I'll take that, Mr. Signore.

Mr. Signore: I will make a motion on his behalf but I would like to say something on his behalf. Mr. Stam came to that committee most un-political and sat and answered the questions as best he knew, being above board and

and also say

honest. ~~He~~ might have been a little naive, the way the workings of politics are, but he was sincere, honest, ~~above-board~~ and he did answer the questions that were put to him and I believe that if he had the opportunity to come back for another interview, he would be accepted without any problems. I'm just a little upset that he was not given a positive confirmation and I urge the Board, although it seems the committee did vote against him, it was just unfortunate that it happened and I would urge the Board of Representatives to approve Mr. Stam this evening. I put his name in nomination. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Is there a second to that motion? ~~[Moved and seconded by Mr. Ravallese.]~~ So bear in mind, ladies and gentlemen, that it is the recommendation of Mr. Dixon's committee that this gentleman not be confirmed as an alternate member of the Zoning Board of Appeals. We do have a motion on the floor for confirmation. When we vote, a Yes vote is for confirmation, a No vote opposed. There is a request for a roll call vote. Would those members desiring a roll call please raise their hands? The chair sees a sufficient number. ~~[The vote will be taken by roll call.~~ There are other members who wish to speak. ~~Mrs. Santy.~~

Mrs. Santy: ~~Mr. Chairman,~~ Mr. Stam is a man of the highest integrity, respected by all his friends, neighbors and business associates. As he stated, his experience in research and engineering requires investigation to acquire facts and then requires the ability to make decisions based on these facts.

I feel this is a requisite for the Zoning Board of Appeals. He is not politically ambitious, just a concerned taxpayer winning and eager to serve his City. I urge all of you to confirm his nomination this evening.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Sherer.

Mr. Sherer: Yes, ~~Mr. Pres.~~ I voted against Mr. Stam in committee and I feel that I agree with Mrs. Santy. He's a concerned taxpayer, dedicated to see our community improve. I think he's dedicated to see that our community is more fiscally responsible and because of the way he answered his questions, I think he would best serve Stamford in a capacity of tax revue or some other fiscal area, but not on the Zoning Board of Appeals and that's why I voted against him.

~~Mr. Miller: Mr. Loboza.~~

Mr. Loboza: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I think Mr. Stam is more than qualified for this position and I support him.

Mr. Miller: ~~Mr. Ravallese.~~ ~~[Moved and seconded.]~~ All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. The vote will be taken by roll call. It is the recommendation of the

Appointments Committee given by Mr. Dixon that the full Board not confirm Orvil Stam. ~~We are taking the vote by roll call. A Yes vote is for confirmation, a No vote opposed to confirmation.~~ Necessary for confirmation, a majority of those present and voting. The clerk will call the roll.

(roll call)

Mr. Miller: Mr. Stam has not been confirmed. There are 19 No votes, 18 Yes votes. Mr. Dixon.

(8) Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. Robert Crosby who is seeking confirmation of his appointment to the Stamford Golf Authority. Mr. Crosby is known to most of us for his excellent service on the 12th and 13th Boards of Representatives.

He is a Republican residing with his family at 124 Danell Drive and has been a resident of this city for 24 years. Mr. Crosby is employed by Springmills, Inc., a textile firm and is working in the capacity of vice-president in the department of sales. Mr. Crosby is a graduate of the public schools in Mount Hermon, Mass., attended Princeton University in 1945 and 46 and received a B.A. degree from Wesleyan University in 1949.

He is a veteran of World War No. 2, with 3 years of service in the European theater of operations. Golf being one of Mr. Crosby's favorite sports, he has gained much knowledge of the duty and responsibility of running a golf course. It is for this reason plus his desire to serve the city that he feels that he can be a valuable asset to the Golf Authority and the people of Stamford.

[The Appointments Committee recognizes the eminent qualification of Mr. Crosby and therefore recommends his confirmation by unanimous vote, and I so ~~move~~.]

Mr. Miller: Is there a second? [Seconded] by Mrs. Consentini. Mr. Zimble.

Mr. Zimble: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ With a great deal of pleasure, I'd like to second the motion, the nomination of my former partner, ~~my~~ constituent and my former campaign manager and just an all-around good buddy. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Goldstein.

Mrs. Goldstein: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ I too would like to second the motion of Mr. Zimble's former friend and co-representative and will say that he proceeded beyond the Board. He served the district outstandingly. He was dedicated to his job as district representative and I know he will be dedicated to his job on the Golfing Authority, ~~and he is just a pleasure to second.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Walsh.

Mr. Walsh: ~~Mr. Pres.~~, After serving on several committees with Mr. Crosby over the years, I know that he'll definitely be a great asset to the Golf Authority.

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman: Thank you, Mr. Pres. It's a great pleasure for me to second his nomination. Bob was a very articulate gentleman, a hard-working member of the Board of Representatives. I know he always spoke about golf and I'm sure he'll be in his element here on the Golf Authority. I wish him well and I would urge all the Board members to vote for his nomination. ~~Thank you.~~

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Livingston.

Mr. Livingston: Thank you, Mr. Pres. ~~Mr. Pres.~~, there is no doubt in my mind that the Republican party scored tonight with the nomination of Mr. Crosby. This is a grand thing for the Golf Authority and I whole-heartedly support it.

~~Mr. Miller:~~ Mr. Wider.

Mr. Wider: Thank you, Mr. Pres. I hope that we'll be able now with Mr. Crosby, since I discussed it with him, as getting through the Golf Authority some supervised recreation at Sterling Farm, and I feel that certainly he has made a contribution as we all know. I think enough has been said and I move for question. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: You can't move that after you've spoken, Mr. Wider, so I won't take that. Mr. Flanagan.

Mr. Flanagan: I'll make it brief. I had the privilege and pleasure of serving on this Board with Bob Crosby. He's a dedicated, intelligent, articulate man and he's the kind of person that keeps this City operating. I hope he gets unanimous approval.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Rybnick.

Mr. Rybnick: I'd also like to second the motion, nomination of Mr. Crosby. Knowing the work that he's done . . . and A. great fiscal member, and I know he'll do quite a job where he's being sent to now. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Dr. Lowden.

Dr. Lowden: Thank you. I've had the pleasure of serving with Bob too, on the 13th Board and on important committees, but this won't influence my vote. I've also - I know that Bob and I are probably the only ones that ever served on this Board that went to Mt. Hermon School up in Mass. and

that's another thing that will not influence my vote. The only thing that will is that Bob promises, and I'm sure he will, to teach me how to hit a proper two-iron. If he can do that, then I'll vote for him. Thank you very much.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Costello.

Mr. Costello: Thank you, Mr. Pres. [I'll make it very short, and say that with Mr. Crosby's background on this Board, it should be a unanimous vote.] Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Blois.

Mr. Blois: Thank you, Mr. Pres. [I think Mr. Crosby is 100% gentleman and I think he'll do a fine job and I whole-heartedly support him.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Ravallesse.

Mr. Ravallesse: -

Mr. Miller: Is that moved? Is there a second? [Moved and seconded.] All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. [Miss Nizolek and Mr. Livingston have left the meeting. There are now 35 members present.] The question is on confirmation of Robert A. Crosby to the Golf Authority. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: Next, Mr. Pres., is the name of Mr. Francis Furgeson, seeking this Board's approval of his appointment to the E. Gainer Brennan Golf Commission. Mr. Furgeson is a Republican residing at 301 Sundance Rd. and has been a resident of Stamford for 25 years.

He is a graduate of Lewiston High School and attended Academy and Bliss School of Finance, and is presently employed as plant manager at Hart & Co. in New York. Mr. Furgeson has been a member of Hubbard Heights Golf Club for 23 years and served as vice-president of Sterling Farms Golf Club during its formation. He is also a member of the Italian Center and has served as vice-president of that center's Men's Club for 2 years.

Mr. Furgeson is confident that his business experience dealing with employees and company budgets, his love for golfing and his previous association with the golfing community enables him to be an asset to the City of Stamford and the E. Gainer Brennan Golf Commission. He feels that as a golfer, Stamford has served him well for 25 years and now he wants to make a return of service to the city.

[In judging his sincerity, competence and qualifications, the Appointments

Committee has endorsed Mr. Furgeson's appointment by unanimous vote, and I so move.]

Mr. Miller: [Seconded.] Mr. Blum.

Mr. Blum: [I would like to second the motion on the appointment of Mr. Furgeson. I heard him the night of the Appointments Committee. [I hear he's got a lot of innovative ideas to make some changes. Maybe we will get some winter sports at the Hubbard Heights Golf Course supervised] and I would like to see a man like that on a commission who has some great ideas about city property where we're not getting this type of service. Thank you.]

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Santy.

Mrs. Santy: [I agree with Mr. Blum. We are indeed fortunate to have a man like Mr. Furgeson willing to serve in this capacity.] Thank you.

Mr. Miller: We'll proceed to a vote. [There are 35 members of the Board present. The question is on confirmation of Francis Furgeson as a member of the E. Gainer Brennan Golf Course Board. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Dixon.]

Mr. Dixon: Mr. President, that ends my report, but I would like to, if I may, say or take this opportunity to thank the members of the Appointments Committee for their long hours of labor and especially for getting out to meetings and their participation in each interview.

I would like commend the members of the committee ~~for their~~ on this. [I have had no problem with the members of the Appointments Committee and I think each and every individual on that committee is very definitely an asset to this Board.] Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Thank you, Mr. Dixon. It is now almost quarter to 12. ~~The Chair would suggest that the leadership~~ - The Chair would suggest that it is getting close to midnight and the Chair would strongly suggest that the leadership determine the date to which this Board should adjourn. And the Chair would suggest that first the Chair intends to take motions to suspend the rules from the Chairman of the Sewer Committee and the Chairman of the Legislative & Rules Committee, but the Chair would suggest that the leadership discuss a date. The Chair would note that we have already set next Monday for a meeting of the leadership and the House Committee on the question of modifying the voting machine, and the Chair would suggest that the meeting be adjourned to some other evening this week. Dr. Lowden.

Dr. Lowden: May we call a short recess for those purposes, please.

Mr. Miller: The Chair will allow a five minute recess, yes.

(five minute recess)

(end of Side 5)

Side 6

voice: It's a holiday week, Mr. Miller, and we all have to go to work. I think it's a disgrace that the Board members, when they got what they wanted out of this Board meeting, they leave. We all have to go out and work.

Mr. Signore voice: I agree with Mrs. Perillo. Exactly right. They get what they want and they go. *she's*

Mr. Miller: ~~The meeting is again called to order. We'll proceed. The Chair will call upon Mr. Loboza -~~ [The Chair will recognize Mr. Loboza for the purpose of making a motion to suspend the Rules.]

Mr. Loboza: I gave the letters to you, Mr. Miller. I didn't get them back, if -

Mr. Miller: Necessary to suspend the Rules, to consider these matters out of the regular order. And it is absolutely necessary that we consider some of these matters tonight so I think they should be given priority. If you would make a motion to suspend the Rules to consider these items immediately, Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza: Thank you, Mr. Miller. I think first would Item No. 8 on page 3 under Fiscal Committee.

Mr. Miller: No, I think we're concerned with the Sewer Committee, aren't we, Mr. Loboza?

Mr. Loboza: This is part of it, I think, Mr. Miller. Well, it all has to come under the same thing, I would believe.

Mr. Miller: I believe - Let's just make one motion to suspend the rules to consider what appears to be Item No. 1 under Sewer Committee and Item No. 8 under Fiscal. Is that correct? And is there a second to that motion? ~~Moved and seconded.~~ All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] And I'll give the floor first to Mr. Loboza. Mr. Morgan. Mr. Loboza. And I believe we're concerned now actually with voting on four separate resolutions.

Mr. Loboza: Thank you, Mr. Miller. I have a letter here to Fred Miller, Pres., Stamford Board of Representatives, from Stamford Sewer Commission, reference, Step 1, grant application, preparation of facility plan. This

resolution is independent of the one required for a federal grant which was forwarded to your Board by Commissioner of Finance J. Dwight Hadley, on Feb. 24, 1977.

"It would be appreciated if the resolution were considered during your Board meeting. If the grant application is approved, the combined federal and state participation will be 90% of the cost of preparing the facility plan." Signed, George Connors, Administrative Officer.

Be it resolved that the mayor hereby authorizes to execute and file application and agreements on behalf of City of Stamford, with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the state grants pursuant to the provisions of Section 25-54R of the general statutes of Conn. as amended and to execute on behalf of the City of Stamford all the applications, instruments and documents and accept payments and do all other things that may be necessary for state grants for facility plan preparation.

I think this would be resolution first to be voted on.

Mr. Miller: You're making that motion, Mr. Loboza?

Mr. Loboza: Yes, I am.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Dixon.

Mr. Dixon: (can't hear)

Mr. Miller: We did, yes.

voice: Mr. Miller, would we have to Suspend the Rules on another committee? I don't think there's any amount of money in this.

Mr. Miller: No, we suspended the Rules for both committees, the Sewer Committee and the Fiscal Committee. This matter No. 1 under Sewer was given also to Legislative & Rules but I don't believe there is a report from the L&R Committee, but the Chair would rule that it's not necessary to suspend the Rules because we're not dealing with an appropriation.

So we have a motion on that first resolution. Seconded by Mr. Wider. Discussion. We'll vote then on the resolution just presented by Mr. Loboza. All those in favor say aye-aye. All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Again, Fred Miller, President, Stamford Board of Representatives from Stamford Sewer Commission.

Reference; step 3, Grant for construction of Lateral Sewer Project known as Section 14-6, Section 15-2 and Section 16-1. In a letter dated Feb. 10, 1977, the Stamford State Dept. of Environmental Protection notified the

City it was revising its policy in regard to providing Federal funds for the construction of lateral sewers. As a result, it may be possible to obtain federal funding for the following projects under design to complete and not advertise Section 14-6, Section 15-2 and Section 16-1.

All of the requirements of the Federal Environmental Protection Agency is the option by the Board of Representatives of a specific resolution authorizing the submission of a grant application. Enclosed is a draft resolution for consideration by your Board.

The program provides federal funding of 75% of the eligible construction costs of each project. If there are any questions, please contact me," Signed, George Conners, Administrative Officer. "

Whereas, the City of Stamford, Conn., the applicant, after thorough consideration of the nature of its water pollution problems, hereby determines that the construction of certain works required for treatment of municipal sewage generally described as Section 14-6, Section 15-2, and Section 16-1 is desirable and in the public interest and to that end, it is necessary to apply for federal assistance,

and whereas pursuant to 33 USC 1281, the administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is authorized to make grants to any state and municipality or inter-municipality or inter-state agency for the construction of publicly owned treatment works as is necessary to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated sludge, sewage or other waste into the waters of the U.S.

and, whereas the applicant has examined and duly considered the provisions of Title 2 of the Act 33 USC 1281 through 1292, - I can't read part of this here, it's blotched out - grants construction of treatment works and deems it to be in the public interest to file a grant application under 33 USC 1281 and to authorize other actions in connection therewith.

Now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, the governing body of said applicant, as follows;

1) the mayor is hereby authorized on behalf of the applicant to file an application with the EPA grant regulation 40 CFR ~~Maxxx~~ Parts 30 and 35, for a grant to be made by the administrator of the EPA for 75% of the eligible cost of construction of the publicly owned treatment works.

2) that if such grant is made, the applicant agrees to pay pursuant to 33 USC 1284 the non-federal costs of such works.

3) that the said mayor is hereby authorized to furnish such information and to take such other actions as may be necessary to enable any applicant to qualify for the grant.

4) that the said mayor is hereby designated as the authorized representative of the applicant for the purpose of furnishing the administrator such information data and documents pertaining to the application for the grant as may be required, and otherwise to act as the authorized representative of the applicant in connection with this application.

5) that certified copies of this resolution be included in part of the application to be submitted to the administrator for the grant.

6) that if such grant be made, the applicant agrees to make provisions satisfactorily through the administrator for assuring proper and efficient ~~maintenance~~ operation and maintenance of the treatment works at the completion of construction thereof.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: ~~Thank you, Mr. Pres.~~ That last resolution that Mr. Loboza read is the item that Fiscal Committee has considered. It's No. 8 on our agenda.

Mr. Miller: We're voting on four separate resolutions. Correct?

Mr. Morgan: But only one of them is before my committee, so I'm only prepared to discuss one.

Mr. Miller: And you just read one, Mr. Loboza, which is marked - you have them marked 1,2,3 -

Mr. Loboza: Right. No. 1 I gave to Mr. Morgan. I don't think it's the same as No. 3. I think it might have been a different date. I'm not sure but they're put together a little different. They're kind of hard to read because of the xeroxing on it.

Mr. Morgan: My copy is clear.

Mr. Loboza: Well, mine isn't.

Morgan
voice: Mr. Loboza just read Item No. 8 on the Fiscal Committee agenda.

Mr. Loboza
voice: Is that 3 pages?

Mr. Morgan
voice: Mine is 3.

Mr. Miller: Will you two gentlemen please check right now and see if we have a problem here.

(pause)

Mr. Miller
voice: Mr. Miller, they appear to be the same resolution with different

cover memorandum. Mr. Loboza's is from George Connors and mine is Dwight Hadley but they are the same items.

Mr. Miller: I think we're supposed to be voting on three resolutions.

~~Mr. Miller:~~
voice: There are a total of four. There are 3 state application grants that are under the Sewer Committee and there is one grant which is a combination of federal and state funds which the Fiscal Committee has, so there's a total, I believe, of 4 items.

Mr. Miller: If we approve this one and then we still have two more, we're still OK, right?

~~Mr. Miller:~~
voice: I don't think so, unless Mr. — I have one more after this and I think Mr. Morgan — If Mr. Morgan would read his after this and we just approve it, we'd be safe, because —

Mr. Miller: You have a total of 4, and they're all different. So, we have this one on the floor and we'll vote on this one. There's a second to Mr. Loboza's motion? All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] Mr. Loboza, and then Mr. Morgan. Mr. Loboza.

Mr. Loboza; Thank you, Mr. Miller. To Fred Miller, Pres., Stamford Board of Representatives from Stamford Sewer Commission. Reference; step 3, grant for construction of lateral sewer project known as Section 14-6, Section 15-2 and Section 16-1. "Enclosed please find a suggested draft resolution which is required to obtain a state grant for the subject projects.

This resolution is independent of one required for a federal grant and should be acted upon separately. If there are any questions, please contact me." George Connors, Sewer Commission.

just give me a chance, please -

#1089
Be it resolved that the mayor hereby ~~resolves~~ authorizes to execute and file applications and agreements on behalf of the City of Stamford, with the Commissioner of Environmental Protection for the state grants pursuant to provisions of Section 25-54R of the General Statutes of the State of Conn, as amended, and to execute on behalf of the City of Stamford all the applications, instruments, documents and accepted payments and do all other things that may be necessary for state grants for Section 14-6, & 15-2 and 16-1. That's it.

Mr. Miller: We have a motion made by Mr. Loboza. Is there a second?
[Moved and seconded.] All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried.] Mr. Morgan.

#8
Mr. Morgan: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Item No. 8 on the Fiscal Committee agenda is a resolution requested by the Sewer Commission for approval to file a Step 1 grant application for funds to be used for the construction of sewer interceptors.

These funds may be reimbursed by the federal government to the extent of 75% and from the state government to the extent of 15%. Board of Finance approval is not required for these kinds of resolutions. They're simply the legislative body of the municipality giving the Mayor the authority to file for these funds which will be brought into the city and reduce the expenses that normally would have to be bonded.

[The Fiscal Committee voted 5 to 0 in favor of this resolution, copies of which everyone should have, and its quite lengthy and very similar to what Mr. Loboza has already read.]

Mr. Miller: You'd better read it, Mr. Morgan, to get it on the records, so we make sure we have 4 separate resolutions.

~~Mr. Loboza:~~ All right. Whereas the City of Stamford, Conn., the applicant, after thorough consideration of the nature of its water pollution problem, hereby determines that the construction of certain works required for the treatment of municipal sewage, generally described as "sewerage facility plan preparation" the project is desirable in the public interest and to that end, it is necessary to apply for federal assistance, and

whereas, pursuant to 33 US Code 1281 G1, the administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the EPA, is authorized to make grants to any state, municipality or inter-municipal or inter-state agency for the construction of publicly owned treatment works as is necessary to prevent the discharge of untreated or inadequately treated sewerage or other waste into any waters of the U.S.

and whereas the applicant has examined and duly considered the provisions of Title 2 of the act 33 US Code 1281 through 1292, which relates to grants for construction of treatment works and deems it to be in the public interest to file a grant application under 33 US Code 1281 G1 and to authorize other actions in connection therewith,

now therefore be it resolved by the Board of Representatives of the City of ~~Stamford~~ Stamford, the governing body of said applicant, as follows;

- 1) that the mayor is hereby authorized on behalf of the applicant to file an application in the form prescribed by the administrator and in conformity with US Code 1281 through 1292 and EPA's grant regulations 40 CFR Parts 30 and 35 for a grant to be made by the administrator of EPA for 75% of the eligible cost of construction of the publicly owned treatment works.
- 2) that if such grant be made, the applicant agrees to pay, pursuant to

33 US Code 1284 A4, the non-federal costs of such works.

3) that the said mayor is hereby authorized to furnish such information and to make other actions as may be necessary to enable the applicant to qualify for the grant.

4) that the said mayor is hereby ~~authorized~~ designated as the authorized representative of the applicant for the purposes of furnishing to the administrator such information, data and documents pertaining to the application for a grant as may be required, and otherwise to act as the authorized representative of the applicant in connection with this application.

5) that certified copies of this resolution be included as part of the application to be submitted to the administrator for a grant, and

6) that if such grant be made, the applicant agrees to make provisions satisfactory to the administrator for assuring proper and efficient operation and maintenance of the treatments works after completion of the completion of the construction thereof.

That completes the resolution. It is not a request, nor are we approving ~~anything~~ any funds. We're simply authorizing the mayor to apply for federal funds that can be used to reimburse the City for some sewage construction costs.

Mr. Miller: You're making a motion, Mr. Morgan? Seconded by Mr. Perillo. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. ~~Then~~ At this time the chair would ask the clerk to call the roll to determine how many members are present.

(roll call)

(end of Side 6)

Side 7

Mr. Miller: The chair would observe that there are 26 members -

Zelinski
Voice: You missed two of the new members.

Mrs. Goldstein: Oh, my apologies to you both. The sheet had both names crossed off. Mr. Zelinski.

Mr. Zelinski: Here. I wouldn't miss a minute of it.

Mrs. Goldstein: Mr. Schietchweg. (here) OK. That makes 28.

Mr. Miller: 28 members present. Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: Thank you, Mr. Pres. At this time I would move for Suspension of the rules in order that we might take up an ordinance dealing with the sale of two separate parcels of land presently owned by the City of Stamford.

Mr. Miller: This matter is not on the agenda. We have motion to Suspend the rules. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is carried unanimously. Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: This is ordinance entitled "Relating to the sale of City-owned properties to John F. O'Connell." Property located on the north side of Broad St., the east side of Grove St. and the second tract on the north side of Broad St. extension on the east side of Ridge Place.

Bellegard
The Legislative and Rules Committee met with Mr. O'Connell and with Mr. Challenger, who is the architect for what will be a home for the elderly on this site on Mar. 30. As I said, the sale relates to two separate tracts of property. I refer you to the mayor's letter of Mar. 3, 1977.

It is not on the agenda and you do not have in front of you the ordinance which we just received this afternoon from the corporation counsel's office.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Fox, because the membership of the Board did not receive copies of the ordinance at least 8 hours ~~before~~ prior to the meeting, the Chair will have to ask you to read the ordinance in its entirety, now at this time, Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: The ordinance reads as follows;

Be it ordained by the City of Stamford that in conformity with Section 488 of the Stamford Charter, and notwithstanding provision of Chapter 6, Section 6-33 to 6-37 inclusive of the Code of General Ordinances of the City of Stamford, the sale to John F. O'Connell of the following properties:

tract 1) north side of Broad St., east side of Grove St. Beginning at a point on the new eastly line of Grove St., said point being formed by the intersection of the southerly line of a 19' right of way shown and delineated on a certain map entitled, "A map of building sites for sale in Stamford, Conn." located on the property of Belden B. Brown, and recorded in the office of the City and Town Clerk and numbered 176 on the said new eastly line of Grove St.

The ordinance then goes on and it gives a ^{verbal} ~~meets~~ and bounds description of the property in question. The subject parcel lies in block #180 of the Stamford Land Records and contains 1,094 square feet.

tract 2) is on the north side of Broad St. extension, east side of Ridge Place. Beginning at the intersection of the easterly line of Ridge Place

and the northerly line of Broad St. as they now exist, hence in a northerly direction along the said easterly line of Ridge Place. It then goes on to give the meets and bounds description. For a more particular description, reference is hereby made and had to a map on file in the City and Town Clerk's office entitled, "Map showing city-owned property at Broad St., Grove St. and Ridge Place, Stamford, Conn." and certified by William D. Sabia, City Engineer.

The subject parcel lies in block #180 of the Stamford Land Records and contains 11,820 sq. ft. This is to be sold for the sum of not less than \$20,000. The sale is hereby authorized, approval of the mayor, the Planning Board, and the Board of Finance having been previously granted.

The Mayor is hereby authorized to execute all documents necessary to transfer title to said property. This ordinance shall take effect from the date of its enactment.

Mr. Miller: Proceed, Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: The Legislative & Rules Committee, as I said before, met with Mr. O'Connell, who will be the owner of the property and Mr. Gallagher, the architect of what will be a home for - a low and middle income home for elderly housing.

They have received funding from the federal government but one of the conditions that has to be met in connection with this funding for the construction of the home for the elderly on this site is that the property be owned by Mr. O'Connell by May 1 of this year, and that is why we have to take it up this evening.

The Committee unanimously approved No. 1, the waiver of publication. I, at this time, in accordance with the recommendation of the Committee, would move for waiver of publication.

Mr. Miller: [We have a motion from Mr. Fox to waive publication of this ordinance. Is there a second to that motion? [Moved and seconded.] The question is on waiver of publication for this proposed ordinance read by Mr. Fox. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. The motion is -] Well, we don't have unanimity. [We'll have to take a division using the machine.

~~Mr. Fox:~~ I said no, Mr. Chairman, because I really don't think -

Mr. Miller: Well, that means that we'll have to take a division.

~~Mr. Fox:~~ voice: Well, I just ~~wanted~~ wanted to explain why.

Mr. Miller: Well, it's too late to explain why. We'll take a division

and let the record indicate, let the record note that for the purposes of this vote, Mr. Schlechtwig will be voting in the place that had been occupied by Mr. Glucksman. Mr. Zelinski is voting in the seat normally occupied by Mr. Baxter. And Mrs. Goldstein is voting in the place which had been held by Mrs. Clark. So we'll take a division on the question of waiver of publication.

And the Chair will clear the machine and the vote is on waiver of publication. [Necessary for waiver of publication, 27 votes. A Yes vote is for waiver of publication. ~~A No vote is~~ A No ~~xxx~~ vote opposed. Is there anyone who has not voted? [There should be 28 members participating in this vote.] Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: Mr. Pres., a point of information. This is a multi-million-dollar senior citizen which must be acted on by May 1.

Mr. Miller: Well, we finished the debate.

Mr. Morgan: and if this motion fails, to ~~waiver~~ publication, then the whole process fails and we don't have this 100 units of - so, if there are fewer than 27 votes on that board, we lose the whole thing.

Mr. Miller: That's correct.

Mr. Signore
voice: I was one of the No's. Now, can I have a further explanation on this, Mr. -

Mr. Miller: We're in the midst of a vote. The debate is over. What is your point, Mr. Signore?

Mr. Signore: I wanted a further clarification on, what was it for, Mr. Morgan? So I can decide whether I want to change my vote.

Mr. Morgan: There are two gentlemen who plan on building, as a private concern supported by federal funds, 100 units of senior citizen housing on Grove St.

Mr. Signore: I recall my vote, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Miller: You're changing your vote? Let Mr. Signore be recorded as a Yes vote and Mrs. ~~Signore~~ recorded as a Yes vote. We'll take the count on the machine. The machine reads 26 Yes votes, but with Mrs. Santy, and Mr. Signore changing their votes, ~~that would be~~ that would be 28 Yes votes. 27 needed. The motion is carried. Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: Let me just add that in the ~~Democratic~~ Democratic caucus, we had some rather discussion on this ordinance and I assume

there had been similar ~~discussion~~ discussion in the Republican caucus room. The Committee, at its meeting of March 30, voted 6 to 0 for final adoption of this ordinance, in accordance with the vote of that committee, I would move for final adoption of this ordinance.

Mr. Miller: [Moved and seconded.] Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: The Fiscal Committee was the Secondary committee on this matter, Mr. Pres., and since this is a project that would be built in the 12th District, my district, it's something I've followed for some time. The sale of this City-owned property is only one portion of the total parcel that the facility will be constructed on.

There will be 100 units of senior citizens housing which is something sorely needed in Stamford. It will be a profit-making venture, so that means that the owners of this will pay taxes. There will not be a tax abatement here.

And - ~~but~~, the rents that the senior citizens will have to pay will be subsidized by the Section 8 program that HUD runs in support of this. Now clearly we need more housing of this sort in Stamford and there was only one question of any consequence that was raised by our committee, and that is the value of the property that is being sold here,

and at this point I would like to defer to Mr. Hays, a member of our committee who has got some expertise in this matter, for his comments.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Hayes.

Mr. Hayes: Thank you, Mr. Pres. The request came to us to approve the sale at, I believe, \$20,000, and it struck myself and some of my colleagues as a rather low price for Broad St. frontage even though there's a big rock outcropping on the property. After considerable investigation by myself and talking to people who I deemed to be experts and professionals on Stamford real estate,

I take great exception to the appraisal which was used to arrive at this value of the sales price, and I want to go on record with the City, and I ~~also~~ hope the officials in the City will arrive at a new price and I want to be sure the ordinance gives them the latitude to do that, because it appears to me that

through their naiveness or for some other reason, we're giving away taxpayers' money. That land is worth perhaps four to five times the amount we originally - or the city originally was talking about selling it for. Now, I say, certainly you can adjust that value down ~~to~~ for various reasons

but I could go into lengthy dialogue, but I won't bore you with it here, but I sure hope some provision will be made to be sure that we get value

for what we sell. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan: Thank you, Mr. Pres. You know, appraisal is not a science. It's more than an art. And you can value a piece of property through a number of different methods and come up with a different value each time you do it, but I think Mr. Hayes' concern is well taken and its appreciated by all the members of the Fiscal Committee.

But what we're talking about here is a multi-million-dollar senior citizens' facility and we have discussed it with the proposed owners and their feeling is that they're willing to pay a fair price. They've asked the City to put a value on it, on these two parcels of property and whatever that value is, they'll pay it.

A few thousand dollars more I don't think is going to stop the project from going forward and with that in mind and based upon our conversations with Nancy Mitchell in the Community Development Office, I ~~noticed~~ noticed that the ordinance was drafted in such a way ~~that~~ to allow for a subsequent adjustment in the price they will have to pay for the property because the last words do not specify \$20,000 as the price for the parcels.

It specifies that it will be a price not less than ^{\$}20,000 which gives the City some opportunity to reappraise this property and perhaps arrive at a more equitable value and I think that it should be the ~~Sense of the Board~~ sense of the Board that we urge the administration, to ~~perhaps~~ have a second appraisal done or to go through some other process to further determine the value of this property and get a fair price for what they're selling here. ~~Thank you.~~

Mr. Miller: Mr. Blum.

Mr. Blum: I think the senior citizens are long awaiting some sort of housing and the fact that they have a 300-family waiting list of senior citizens waiting to get into rooms. We have a shortage of rooms in the moderate income type of housing and this type of housing is long-awaited.

We need it very badly and I hope that we all vote ^{for} this senior citizen housing. Thank you.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Fox.

Mr. Fox: Mr. Morgan has covered the point I wanted to make.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Loomis.

Mr. Loomis: Yes. I just want to make two points. First of all, the Planning Board unanimously and also John Smith and the staff unanimously

endorsed this project and they noted that it would fit in very well with the overall concept of a living City downtown ~~with~~, adding housing to the construction that is now being planned and underway. Secondly, the effort was initiated to construct this housing in October- Oct. 26 to be exact. The Mayor did not really take action on this initiation until Jan. 27.

The Board of Finance didn't take action to his action until Mar. 10 and consequently, the appraisal was done by Mar. 24, so that's why we're dealing with this now in a rather tight time frame. So, I think everybody has done and checked off their work - the Planning Board, the Finance Board, the Mayor, the appraisal, and it's now up to us to approve this project ~~and~~ which I think we should do.

Mr. Miller: Mr. Flanagan.

Mr. Flanagan: Thank you, Mr. Pres. Any real estate developer that has tried to put the numbers together for any form of market housing in downtown Stamford comes up with the same conclusion, that a subsidy is needed. So what we have here is something that, if it's getting any subsidy at all from the city, it's only in the price initially paid for the land and the City will have an ongoing revenue from the housing project itself, which is contrary to the other projects that are in town.

I think that this is the first example of Section 8 housing in Stamford. I'm encouraged that we have any kind of housing in the downtown area that is not a burden on the City but on the contrary, is an asset to the City and I hope we support this and pass it tonight.

Mr. Miller: Mrs. Perillo.

Mrs. Perillo: Move the question, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: A second to that? Moved and seconded. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.]
Mr. Hayes.

Mr. Hayes: Mr. Pres., I would like to move to suspend the ^{the} rules for the purpose of a motion that would authorize the Board of Recreation to apply for a \$2400 grant.

Mr. Miller: We didn't vote yet on that -

Mr. Perillo: Oh, excuse me.

Mr. Miller: We have to vote on the motion, which is Mr. Fox's motion on behalf of this matter to finally adopt the ordinance for which we already waived publication. All those in favor say aye (aye). All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried unanimously.] 28 members present and voting for that ordinance.

2351



voice: Now, Mr. Pres., may I -

Mr. Miller: The chair is interested in what the leadership wants to do. It is now 25 minutes to 1:00.

Mr. Nays
voice: There's a deadline of April 15 for fining this, Mr. Pres.

Mr. Miller: The Chair is aware of that. If we adjourn to some other date this week, we'd be able to take care of all of these matters. The Chair would point out that there are a couple of other matters which might require 27 votes on the agenda.

Mr. Blois: Mr. Chairman. Mr. Pres. May I have the floor please? At this time I'd like to adjourn to Wednesday night at 8:00 p.m.

Mr. Miller: Is there a second to that motion? [The motion made and seconded to adjourn this meeting to 8:00 p.m. Wednesday evening.] All those in favor say aye (aye) All those opposed, no. [The motion is carried.] The meeting is adjourned. We'll take a division using the machine. We'll clear the machine first. [The motion is to adjourn the meeting to Wed. evening at 8:00 p.m. Up for Yes. Down for No. Is there anyone who has not voted? [The motion is carried by a vote of 18 Yes, 9 No.] We're adjourned to 8:00 p.m. Wed. evening. *April 6th*

E.P. June 2

111 3.5

6

6

6



MINUTES OF ADJOURNED MEETINGAPRIL 6, 197714th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVESSTAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

An adjourned meeting of the 14th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, was held on Wednesday, April 6, 1977 in the Legislative Chambers of the Board, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Municipal Office Building, Stamford. This was adjourned from the regular meeting held Monday, April 4, 1977.

The meeting was called to order by the PRESIDENT, FREDERICK E. MILLER, JR., at 8:20 P.M.

ROLL CALL: Roll Call was taken by the CLERK, SANDRA GOLDSTEIN. There were 23 members present and 17 absent; however, 2 members came in a few minutes later, making the attendance 25 present and 15 absent.

The absent members were:

Handy Dixon	Barbara McInerney
Leonard Hoffman	Jeremiah Livingston
Ralph Loomis	George Baxter
Adam Osuch	Christine Nizolek
Thomas D'Agostino	Donald Sherer
Gerald Rybnick	Leo Carlucci
Audrey Cosentini	George Connors
	Peter Walsh

CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE: The PRESIDENT called for a check of the voting machine and it was found to be in good working order.

MR. MILLER: We have a QUORUM and the CHAIR wishes to take care of some business concerning committee appointments.

It was already announced that ROBERT COSTELLO and LYNN LOWDEN were appointed members of the STEERING COMMITTEE.

JOHN SCHLECHTIWEG II was appointed a member of the FISCAL COMMITTEE and URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE.

LATHON WIDER is also appointed to the FISCAL COMMITTEE.

JOHN ZELINSKI is appointed to the LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE, the SEWER COMMITTEE, and the HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

It was already announced that ROBERT COSTELLO will be the new Chairman of the URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE.

We will now proceed to the report of the FISCAL COMMITTEE, Mr. Morgan, please.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE - Michael G. Morgan

- (1) \$4,000.00 - COMMUNICATIONS - CAPITAL PROJECT - Amendment to the Capital Projects Budget for 1976/77 by adding thereto a project to be known as "REPLACEMENT OF TRAFFIC LIGHT SYSTEM AT RYLE SCHOOL AND INTERSECTION OF GREENWICH AVENUE AND SELLECK STREET" in the sum of FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$4,000.00) Board of Finance on 2/14/77 approved \$4,000.00 reducing it from the requested \$18,000.00. Held in Committee 3/14/77.

MR. MORGAN: The Fiscal Committee met on Thursday, March 31st at 8:00 p.m. Those present were Sandra Goldstein, George Hay s, Ralph Loomis, Mildred Ritchie, and Michael Morgan. Absent were Audrey Cosentini, Gerald Rybnick who was excused and Jeremiah Livin gston.

This was Held in Committee last month pending - looking into the matter of whether or not there had been any insurance reimbursament. A truck had hit this facility some time ago and some of the members, as you may recall, questioned whether or not we had received reimbursement from the insurance comp-any. I have looked into that and I've found that while the City is pursuing the matter and believes that it will be reimbursed, at this time it has not yet received a check. So I think that all that can be done is being done, and accordingly the committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: Is there a report on behalf of the Planning and Zoning Committee? In that case, since we're dealing with an item over \$2,000, in order to vote on item #1 we will have to Suspend the Rules.

MR. MORGAN: I would move to Suspend the Rules.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. BLOIS: I'd like to ask a question through the Chair. I noticed this was reduced from a request of \$18,000 down to \$4,000. Mr. Morgan, do you know if this would be enough to replace the traffic system at Ryle School?

MR. MORGAN: That's a question that was asked Mr. Oefinger when he appeared before us on March 31st. What he told us is this. The \$4,000 that we've got before us is sufficient to put in a facility that would meet the needs of the intersection. It's essentially an attempt to scrounge parts that may already exist in the City and that aren't being used and it would install them at this intersection. The original request of \$18,000 was to install a brand new, complete system which was reduced by the Board of Finance since that Board felt that using existing parts would be a satisfactory solution.

MR. BLOIS: For \$4,000, what does he propose to replace there then?

MR. MORGAN: He gave us quite a bit of material. I'd be happy to give Mr. Blois a copy of what was given to us. They're going to put a stop light there that will enable the children to cross without being endangered, but I don't know the names of each particular piece of equipment, but perhaps Mr. Hay s, who is familiar with this might be able to respond.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOUNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. HAYS: I'd be responsive, but I cannot call the pieces of equipment by names. The \$4,000 is to go for the applicable labor and parts that the City does not have in its stores. It has parts it can use. It has portions of the traffic control mechanism in storage or in a warehouse. Some portions of this installation will have to be bought and that's the portion what the \$4,000 goes for. And as Mr. Morgan says, this is really an interim emergency installation that we can get by with for the \$4,000 until a much more comprehensive program comes up under Topics which will be completely federally funded, I believe, in the amount of almost \$30,000.

MR. WIDER: I just have a question to ask through you to Mr. Morgan. Are we billing the company for \$4,000 or are we billing them for the total \$18,000 for the replacement of our light on that corner?

MR. MORGAN: It's my understanding that we're billing the company for the value of the equipment that was damaged which is neither \$4,000 nor \$18,000. It's some other number. We're not dealing with replacement, we're dealing with what was damaged, which is more than \$4,000, is my understanding.

MR. MILLER: I think we can proceed to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, having been duly MOVED and SECONDED.

RESOLUTION NO. 1086

AMENDING THE CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET FOR 1976/1977 BY
ADDING THERETO A PROJECT IN THE AMOUNT OF FOUR THOUSAND
DOLLARS TO BE ENTITLED "REPLACEMENT OF TRAFFIC LIGHT
SYSTEM AT RYLE SCHOOL AND INTERSECTION OF GREENWICH AVENUE
AND SELLECK STREET" TO BE FINANCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF BONDS.

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford in accordance with the City Charter:

1. To adopt an amendment to the 1976/1977 Capital Projects Budget by adding a project in the amount of FOUR THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$4,000.00) to be known as "Replacement of Traffic Light System at Ryle School and Intersection of Greenwich Avenue and Selleck Street".
2. To authorizd the financing of said project by the issuance of bonds.
3. That this Resolution shall take effect upon enactment.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (2) \$24,599.00 - POLICE DEPARTMENT - COMMUNICATIONS - Code 410.1206 TRAFFIC LIGHT MAINTENANCE - Mayor Clapes' letter 2/4/77. Approved by Board of Finance 3/10/77. Additional Appropriation.

MR. MORGAN: This is 100% reimburseable under Title II of the Public Works Capital Development and Investment Act for traffic light maintenance and repairs. Our committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: Health and Protection, is there a report Mr. Blum? MOVED and SECONDED. The question is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. HAYES: Point of Information. The item on the agenda has no reference to its reimbursability and I'm not sure how the action of the Board is communicated to the appropriate department, but can that be noted in the approval?

MR. MORGAN: The letter from the Mayor says that it's reimbursable and so I would think on that basis there's an understanding that that's what we're approving.

- (3) \$14,018.25 - POLICE DEPARTMENT - Code 410.0123 COLLEGE TUITION REIMBURSEMENT Board of Finance approved 3/10/77. Additional Appropriation.

MR. MORGAN: This item was not included in the 1976/77 operating budget even though it is a contractual obligation. Our committee approved by a vote of 5-0 and I would so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Personnel did not vote on this item.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. FLANAGAN: Through you, I wonder if Mr. Morgan could tell us the names of the courses that the policemen took because under this contract, they can be reimbursed for any college level course successfully completed whether or not it is related to police activities. I am very concerned about whether or not this provision of their contract is being abused, and if so, we should be cognizant of it so that it can be repealed. Do you know what the courses were?

MR. MORGAN: I do not know each and every course. We asked that question to Acting Chief Considine and he assured us that in his capacity as Acting Chief, he had reviewed each item and that they were all eligible under the contract. He did provide us with a list of names and amounts owed to the various police officers and they do total this.

MR. FLANAGAN: I would think that it would be wise for some committee of this Board to keep track of it because under the terms of the contract, any college level course is fully reimbursable regardless, it could be anything at all.

MINUTES OF ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FLANAGAN (continuing) So it's true that Chief Considine could say that under the terms of the contract they're eligible, but that's not really my question. My question is, are the courses or were the courses in anyway related to police duties?

MR. MORGAN: I misunderstood you then, because that's what he told us. He said they were police-related. They were job-related courses in connection with either studying for an associate or a bachelor's degree at one of several colleges in the area.

MR. HAYS: Through you I would like to be responsive to Mr. Flanagan and supplement Mr. Morgan's comments. Acting Chief Considine did tell me that the courses for which we're appropriating money tonight contribute to the degree under criminal justice, and they all do. He said such courses as English may not sound appropriate but since they're necessary toward this degree, that they all do contribute to the degree of Criminal Justice.

MR. WIDER: Through you, I'd like to get a little information from Mr. Morgan. I see we are paying on the contract for tuition. I wonder if there's any additional pay for patrolmen who have degrees? Do they get paid for the degree that they accomplish under this tuition?

MR. MORGAN: I believe with a degree, you're eligible for a higher job classification, but you are not necessarily simply because you have a degree as opposed to somebody else. I'm not sure I understand Mr. Wider's question, though.

MR. MILLER: Can you rephrase the question, Mr. Wider?

MR. WIDER: What I am concerned about is the fact that when we pay these tuitions and they go for degrees, then when they come back with the degrees, are they getting paid for those degrees? Do they still continue to get patrolmen's pay?

MR. MORGAN: Yes, they continue to get a patrolman's pay.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: In response to Mr. Wider, what occurs is that you become eligible for higher levels within the hierarchy of the Police Dept. so that it helps you for any exam for different police titles, so to speak. Also, in relation to Mr. Flanagan, you're quite right about the college courses sometimes being non-job related and Personnel addressed itself to this in our suggestions to the labor negotiator. And hopefully this will be readdressed in future contracts.

MR. FLANAGAN: The reason I point this out - I voted against the police contract when this first appeared, I guess about four or five years ago, and I think the police probably remember that, but that was specifically for that reason because it was very loosely stated in the contract and I just want the other members of the Board, that were on the Board at that time to remember it, that we had this provision in the contract which is more than generous. And if you can have a college level pottery course, it would have to be reimbursed upon successful completion, under the terms of the contract. I hope that this is not being abused.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: It doesn't appear to be the case, the Acting Chief seemed very concerned about the courses.

MRS. PERILLO: I'd like to assure Mr. Flanagan that it is not being abused. They are taking very good courses. I spoke to many of these gentlemen. The other night we received the Mayor's budget book and each one working for the City is listed, the job title and the pay salary. And if they have a college course, they do get a little more money. A patrolman gets a patrolman's pay but he doesn't get a pay like a captain or a sergeant. It may be \$100 or \$200 more, because he has these degrees. But it is not being abused and they are very well deserved.

MR. LOBOZZA: I was going to say that their pay is adjusted, but I happen to know a lot of people on the force and I happen to be aware of some of the courses they're taking and there seems to be quite a few people in the Stamford Police Dept. really knuckling down and getting a real good education and I think that in this instance it is definitely money well spent.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. We will now proceed to a vote on the main motion and the Chair would note that Mr. DeRose and Mr. Ravallese are now present. We now have 25 members of the Board present. The motion is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(4) \$6,940.00 - PLANNING BOARD - Additional Appropriation to cover costs of position of TRAFFIC DIRECTOR for balance of fiscal year 1976/77, quarter:

Code 104.2102 Dues and Subscriptions	\$ 100.00
104.0101 Salaries	6,510.00
104.0301 Stationery and Postage	100.00
104.0501 Telephone & Telegraph	230.00
	<u>\$ 6,940.00</u>

Approved by Board of Finance 3/10/77.

MR. MORGAN: Our committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Personnel did not vote on this.

MR. MILLER: This matter has been MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. BLOIS: I think I've asked this question once before and if anybody has any knowledge, I would like to have it brought forth. What is the reason why we keep approving this on a quarterly basis? I am not fully cognizant of what's going on here and I'd like somebody to really explain to me in detail why we vote on this every three months. Isn't it a permanent position, or do we need a traffic director or don't we?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MORGAN: I can't explain this to you in detail, I can only point out that it's an item that's been held by the Board of Finance for whatever reason may be reasonable to them, although the Mayor requested the full amount, they have seen fit to approve it in quarters, and that's why we've had to take it in this fashion.

MR. LOBOZZA: I think if a lot of us think back from when this man was hired quite a while ago, personally I know him on a professional basis, because I've been to his office many times and he's been very good to me as far as giving me information and traffic studies. I've watched this man and I'd say I visit his office about once every two weeks to find out what's going on. He's being harassed, to put it bluntly. The man has put it on the line and has come out and has kind of told the City what's going on in certain departments. I think certain people are trying to take advantage of the man now and trying to knock him down. I don't think it's fair. I will support any man that comes out and speaks what's on his mind and to me he substantiated a lot of things, we've had some changes in this town because of his speaking out. I think we will see a better Traffic Bureau in this town if the man is given a full head of steam and let go out and do things. All you have to do is ride around this City and see how these lights are synchronized. It's a rotten mess. The man has not had full control over this, and he should have had it a long time ago. The man is a professional, we need him. I think it's time we stopped harassing the man and give him his salary.

MR. MILLER: We'll have to take a DIVISION using the machine. The MOTION is CARRIED. 22 YES; 2 NO (Alfred and Mildred Perillo) 1 ABSTENTION (Mr. DeRose)

HEALTH AND PROTECTION CONCURS.

- (5) \$3,739.05 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - Additional Appropriation - Code 450.1701
AUTOMOBILE MAINTENANCE to cover repair of damages to an ambulance. Mayor Clapes' letter 3/2/77. Board of Finance approved 3/10/77.

MR. MORGAN: Our committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. ZELINSKY: Was there any insurance coverage on that?

MR. MORGAN: The Fire Department or more properly the Law Department, is attempting to obtain some insurance reimbursement but Chief Vittti could not assure us with any certainty that there would be any amounts forthcoming. Meanwhile, of course, they've got to get the ambulance repaired.

MR. WIDER: I think I remember on a previous agenda where we voted on money for insurance and as a matter of fact, an increase in insurance for all City vehicles, so I'm wondering why there's a question as to whether this ambulance was covered or not?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MORGAN: Well, to respond to two things. One is, I don't recall us increasing the City's insurance coverage on automobiles and secondly, I'm not altogether familiar with the details of this accident, but I think there is some question about whose fault it is and whether or not there was any insurance to cover it. But in any case, the fact remains that the ambulance needs to be repaired and if there should be some money forthcoming at a future point, it would go into the general fund and not directly back to the Fire Dept., so I think the Fire Department's concern is to get some prompt action.

MR. RAVALLESE: I'd still like to know if we carry insurance on our transportation. Otherwise we will have the biggest lawsuit coming. You'd kill somebody. Can we get an answer on it?

MR. MORGAN: I'll defer to Mrs. Goldstein.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Is there someone who said we were not insured? One cannot operate a vehicle without being insured. So certainly the City is insured.

MR. RAVALLESE: Well, why aren't we covered?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: It's a matter of collecting the insurance money, that's all.

MR. ZELINSKY: I can't see the confusion, either there is insurance or there isn't, and being the amount is just for repairs and not for the loss of a death or injury, I would think that it would be reimbursable.

MR. MILLER: We can proceed to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (6) \$ 3,900.00 - ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD - Additional appropriation requested as follows: Mayor Clapes' letter 3/4/77; Board of Finance approved 3/10/77.

Code 110.0101 Salaries (100% Reimbursable)	\$ 2,500.00
110.0501 Telephone and Telegraph	400.00
110.0301 Stationery and Postage	<u>1,000.00</u>
	\$ 3,900.00

MR. MORGAN: Our committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

DR. LOWDEN: Environmental Protection Committee - we have no report on this, we did not vote.

MR. MILLER: Then in order to consider it, there would have to be a motion.

MR. MORGAN: I Move to SUSPEND THE RULES.

MR. MILLER: MOVED AND SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM: I'd like to ask Mr. Morgan how come the stationery and postage account is so high - \$1,000.

MR. MORGAN: The Environmental Protection Board must send by City ordinance to each affected property owner in every matter that they consider, a registered letter and each one of those letters costs a minimum of 90¢, and so their postage expense is by ordinance very high.

MR. MILLER: The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(7) \$742.05 - BOARD OF RECREATION - Code 650.0103 - OVERTIME - Additional Appropriation requested. Mayor Clapes' letter 3/1/77. Board of Finance approved 3/10/77.

MR. MORGAN: Our committee voted 5-0 in favor and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. MORGAN: Item #8 is a matter that we dealt with at our Monday meeting.

(9) REQUEST FROM COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM DIRECTOR, NANCY L. MITCHELL for consideration of the third year plan which requires approval by May 3, 1977. The program itself will be forwarded in a few days for the consideration of the Board's committees who will be acting on this matter.

MR. MORGAN: It's the intention of the Fiscal Committee to have a special meeting of our committee on Thursday, April 14th. I think that all of you should have a notice on your desk. Our meeting will be at 8:00 p.m., it will be open to all the members of the Board. We've invited Nancy Mitchell and her staff and other members of the administration to appear and answer our questions about the various items included in the plan and all the members of the Board are more than welcome to ask any questions that they might have about this at that time. We'll be considering the plan then at our regular May meeting.

MR. MILLER: The Chair at this time will read the notice which is going out to the members and I think the general public should be aware of what we're doing. "The Fiscal Committee will meet on Thursday, April 14, 1977 in the main room of the Board of Representatives to hold an open committee meeting at which time Mrs. Nancy Mitchell, director of the Community Development Program will make a presentation on the third year \$2,034,000 allocation. She will bring with her any person she wishes to assist in this presentation and after member of the Fiscal Committee have received the information they request, any other Board members may present their questions and comments. Persons in the gallery will not be permitted to submit any questions or comments, but of course are welcome to come and listen." That is the notice going out on behalf of Mr. Morgan. And the Chair would suggest that the Chairman of the Housing and General Relocation Committee and the Urban Renewal Committee coordinate their efforts with those of Mr. Morgan, because we will be calling on those committees for reports on this matter at the May meeting.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER (continuing) I would think that all of the members of those two Secondary Committees would be present at that April 14th meeting.

MR. MORGAN: I'd just like to make one other comment about this. As the members of the Board I'm sure recall, last year at this time when we were considering this item, there were a number of questions raised from the floor from specific members about what particular line items really meant. And it was my feeling, as Chairman of the Fiscal Committee and also the feeling of the other members of our committee, that the best way to deal with that, to elicit the most information that we could, was to have this kind of a meeting open to all the members of the Board to come and ask those questions directly and so I would urge you all to attend.

MR. SIGNORE: Is this the same item that we had last year in which we had that big problem where it was a last minute, gun-to-our-heads type thing? This year they're allowing themselves sufficient time, I hope.

MR. MORGAN: Yes. They don't need approval from us until May. They submitted the budget six weeks early.

MR. MILLER: But understand that this approval is required by May 3rd. And our meeting is May 2nd.

MR. BLUM: Last year when we passed the Community Development Plan, we attached a sort of a rider to it that we were to get a quarterly report from the Community Development. How many of these reports did we receive, Mr. Morgan?

MR. MORGAN: At most we received one, I believe. We should have received four and we did not, although they were requested.

- (10) REQUEST FROM PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT FOR RESOLUTION REGARDING STATE FUNDS ALLOCATED AS TOWN AID FOR HIGHWAY FINANCING for the fiscal year 1977/78, in accordance with Chapter 240, Part IIa, of the General Statutes, as revised. This is same as last year, authorizing the Mayor to request withdrawal of funds available to the City. This is in lieu of the State doing the repairs of designated streets.

MR. MORGAN: This is something we have done in years past, and what we are being asked to do in this case is to authorize as the municipal legislative body, the Mayor to apply for any state funds that might be available. At a later date, we will be approving the acceptance of a specific amount of money coming from the State of Connecticut to Stamford, but at this time, we're simply authorizing the Mayor to apply for any funds which might be available. Our committee voted 5-0 in favor, and I would so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Due to lack of quorum, Public Works has no report on this.

MR. MILLER: It is not an appropriation item, so it's not necessary to have a report by a second committee. MOVED and SECONDED.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I was not present when this was discussed at Fiscal, I was up at L & R. Have we found out how much money we received during this fiscal year from this particular state method of paying?

MR. MORGAN: Last year we received roughly \$250,000. We will not know until this June how much we'll be getting for the next fiscal year.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Were we also able to obtain, you know, a list of where these monies went? - the \$250,000.

MR. MORGAN: I know where some of it went.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Where did some of it go?

MR. MORGAN: They re-paved Hope Street, if you recall.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Ha, Ha, Ha.

MRS. HAWE: I'd like to ask Mr. Morgan whether we have gotten any report. It's similar to Mrs. Goldstein's question, on what the funds were used for last year. At our June 14, 1976 meeting, and I'm reading from the minutes now, we passed a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution and if I can read the last part of it, it says:

"The Stamford Department of Public works shall make a report to the Board of Representatives on an itemized statement of the funds actually received and the Stamford Dept. of Public Works shall furnish an itemized detailed report of expenditures, listing vendors, names of streets, nature of work performed, and three, the above requested information shall be provided in ample for the the Board of Representatives to study the data submitted before the request for funds for the fiscal year 1977/78 shall be considered and application approved therefore."

And since this is a resolution to approve the application, I was wondering whether Mr. Morgan had received such a report yet.

MR. MORGAN: I have not. It was my original understanding that this information were to be provided to us probably in June, when we had a specific dollar figure for the following fiscal, or the coming fiscal year. But I think that there is some time factor involved. The City of Stamford needs to get in line for the federal funds and if we don't submit our name properly, we may not get anything. I'm sure that Mrs. Hawe knows, and various members of the Board know, that there were a number of streets throughout Stamford that were paved during this past fiscal year and that's what these funds were used for. I don't think it would be a terrible difficult thing to get a list from the Public Works Dept. itemizing which streets actually were paved using these funds, but I have not received such a list at this time.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. HAWE: If I understand Mr. Morgan right, he says that in June we will approve the actual resolution when we get the dollar amount. Well, last June when we approved the resolution for this year, the resolution itself has no mention of the funds in it. We knew at that time the funds would be \$265,000 but the resolution itself, Resolution No. 1054 doesn't mention any funds at all. I'd like to MOVE, if I could, that this be HELD in COMMITTEE until this report comes down from the Public Works Department.

MR. MILLER: It is the Chair's understanding that the Board of Representatives office recently reminded Mr. Rotondo and Mr. Logisci about this report that is due, and they were recently given another copy of that resolution. Mrs. Hawe, you wish to move this back into committee?

MRS. HAWE: That's right.

MR. MILLER: SECONDED by Mr. Sandor. The question is now putting Item #10 back in committee. So we'll confine our debate to that question.

MR. BLUM: The question is, if we return this back to committee, will we have enough, or will the Public Works Dept. have enough time to send a grant into the federal government for this money? How much time have we got allotted here between now and June for the Public Works Commissioner to apply for a grant? This might be put in jeopardy and there are a lot of roads that have to be fixed.

MR. LOBOZZA: Well, I was - number one, I wanted to ask the same thing they did. Are we going to jeopardize this money? I'd like an answer to that.

MR. MORGAN: I don't know. I think there's a good chance that we may very well be jeopardizing this money by not acting. Now the State of Connecticut is not a bottomless pit and there's only so much money to be handed out and if Stamford doesn't get its name in with all the other municipalities, it's very possible that these funds would go to some other cities instead of this one. So I think it's a very real danger that we could lose the money.

MR. LOBOZZA: It was my understanding that if we didn't pass this resolution, the state would allocate X amount of dollars anyway and the state would assign the contractor to do the paving like they did in previous years. This resolution, when it was brought before us last year, was a resolution so that we could do it, get the bid through the City. That's number one. Number two - I would like to see this go back into committee for the reason that during our investigation of the Public Works Dept., I did find that funds used out of this account for paving in the George St. project.

MRS. HAWE: I question the fact that it might jeopardize the funds since the item on the agenda is a request from the Public Works Dept. for a resolution regarding state funds. It's the same as last year, authorizing the Mayor to request withdrawal of funds and on June 14th last year, which is - June is two months from now - the resolution we passed was exactly that. If we did it in June last year I don't see the rush for doing it in April now.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977FISCAL COMMITTEE (Continued)

MR. HAYS: I think we must be good managers and we should perhaps know more about what we're talking, but some facts here are that to approve this proposal as requested by Mr. Morgan, last year allowed us to get almost 20% more asphalt at the same price, as opposed to the state procedure that would be applicable if we did not use this method. I think the issue here is, do we lose out if we delay it a month? I personally think that's too risky because if we are unhappy with the report from the Public Works Dept. we still want the state funds. Let's not foreclose our chance to get the extra pavement, God knows what this Winter did to our streets, we're so far behind already on paving, I think we've regressed this year. I think this extra 20% is very meaningful to us.

MR. MORGAN: The sooner you put your name in, the higher up you are on the list and the better chance you are of getting more money. Something Mr. Hays touched on and that is, the streets this year are sorely in need of repair. Now, listening to Mr. Lobozza and Mrs. Hawe, if what they are inferring, that there was some inappropriate use of the funds from the previous year, I think that that question certainly deserves to be investigated fully. If this money was not used where it was supposed to be used and was instead used for something like the George St. project, I think we should have a thorough investigation of that kind of incident, if in fact, that's what occurred. But I think we will have ample time to do that since the Mayor is going to have to come back to this Board in several months with a dollar amount and ask us to approve a specific amount to be used for specific projects. So we'll have time to look into that matter before we take this up again. So I think we can go ahead and approve this tonight, but I think that also any of the concerns that have been raised by some of the other members of this Board, I'd like to get some more information on and I think that this committee and the Public Works Committee should look into it.

MR. BLOIS: I would like to remind some of the Board members that the purpose and the reason why the City of Stamford is applying for this grant is specifically this; we won't lose the money, but if we don't apply for it, the state will pave the roads that they wish to pave. And the reason why we apply is because we can do the roads that are necessary in the City of Stamford. That is a fact. Now, I don't think we should hold back in applying for this grant. I think it's very important to the City to get this money and for you people to go to Public Works and ask for streets to be paved. This is the reason why we're getting it and I think the Commissioner did it last year, asked for a list of streets that should be paved, I think we should give him input again.

MR. FLANAGAN: The question was brought up about whether or not paving was done on George Street or for that matter on any street; you could raise the same question. When a storm drain or a sewer project is done, traditionally the final paving has been done with the state funds. It saves the City money and whether or not one project is more sensitive than another, that's the way it's been done in the past. If a street is brought up to standards at the request of the people, the one advantage they have when it's done through this Board is that the final asphaltting is done from the state funds. It saves the citizens of Stamford some money.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FLANAGAN (continuing) Mr. Hays referred to a 20% saving. I think the number is something like \$15 per ton for asphalt purchased by the state vs. \$12 for the same asphalt purchased by the City, which raises a question that we can't address ourselves to here but there definitely was a saving last year with the City doing the purchasing versus the state, and anything that would jeopardize that saving is going to directly affect the cost of repairing the streets that we have to face up to this year after the serious winter we've had. I hope that we will pass this this evening, and not delay it.

MRS. PERILLO: I am against this going back into committee. I think there's another way we can get Public Works to do what we want, that is to deny them an appropriations that they could come in for until they give us a report that we are asking for.

MR. ZELINSKI: If I may, through you, to Mr. Morgan, on these particular funds, does this also include the state roads or are the state roads in Stamford maintained and the funds allocated by the state?

MR. MORGAN: This is just for City roads.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I believe that the reason for the Mayor asking for this resolution earlier is because there has been a change in certain requirements for this revenue-sharing plan this year, and that is that we have to have two public hearings where citizens can participate in. And according to the Mayor's letter one of these hearings occurred on Thursday evening, March 24th, and another hearing has to occur before our body - before our budget is passed. The Mayor suggests that this joint public hearing - that our joint public hearing with the Board of Finance be used to fulfill this particular requirement and then he must present this resolution to us seven days prior to the formal presentation of the budget to the Board of Representatives. So I suppose that's why it is being asked for now as opposed to being asked for in June, but I do share your concern, Mrs. Hawe, with the lack of accountability, so to speak, of the Public Works Dept. in providing us with information as to where the funds have gone, and I know we will get that information now.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The question is on the motion made by Mrs. Hawe to return item #10 under Fiscal to committee, that would really mean that we wouldn't be acting on it, if we do at all, until the May meeting.

MRS. HAWE: Since we are going to have a chance to vote on this again, I'll withdraw my motion at this time. No, since we're going to have a chance to, as Mrs. Goldstein explained, that they have to have a public hearing and it'll come up in June. Is that correct, Mr. Morgan, definitely?

MR. MORGAN: It'll come up - they'll have to come back. I can't say with certainty that it'll be by June. It may be July, but they have to come with a specific dollar figure that this Board must approve.

MRS. HAWE: Which we will definitely have to vote on?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MORGAN: That's right.

MRS. HAWES: OK.

MR. MILLER: So you're withdrawing the motion? Does the seconder object to that? Alright, it's withdrawn.

MR. HAYS: I MOVE the question on the original motion.

MR. MILLER: The motion is CARRIED. We'll proceed to a vote on the main motion. The motion is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. MORGAN: That completes the Fiscal Committee's report.

RESOLUTION NO. 1088A

REGARDING STATE FUNDS ALLOCATED AS TOWN AID FOR HIGHWAY FINANCING

BE IT RESOLVED by the 14th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford that the Mayor is authorized to request the withdrawal of funds to the City of Stamford from under the supervision of the Commissioner of Transportation, for the fiscal year 1977-1978, in accordance with Chapter 240, Part IIa of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, as revised.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE - John Wayne Fox

- (1) PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO SUSPEND ORDINANCE NO. 343 RE SEPARATION OF NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES FROM PUTRESCIBLE GARBAGE, ETC. (TO A LATER EFFECTIVE DATE) submitted by City Reps. McInerney and Zimble 2/14/77. Held in Committee 3/14/77

MR. FOX: Item #1 is being HELD by our committee.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works took no action on this.

MR. ZIMBLER: I'd just like to briefly explain the reason why Mrs. McInerney and I chose to hold this. When it originally came up, the Public Works Commissioner and Mr. Black came before the committee and asked that the pick-up program be given a 90-day trial. Now, the 90-day trial that the Commissioner asked for will be expiring as of the end of April. So since this is the April meeting and since the 90 days will be up at the end of this month, we figured we may just as well go and give him his 90 days, so we do intend to bring it up at the next meeting.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

- (2) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL ESTABLISHING FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION OF THE RIPPOWAM RIVER BETWEEN TRAVIS AVENUE AND CEDAR HEIGHTS ROAD - Approved for Publication 3/14/77. Public Hearing to be held 3/29/77.

MR. FOX: This ordinance, as the two that follow, were published by virtue of the vote of this body at its March meeting. We had a public hearing on these ordinances on March 29th. The committee voted 5-0 for final ADOPTION of this ordinance, and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: Planning and Zoning Committee and Environmental Protection, the two secondary committees have no reports. Is there a second to Mr. Fox's motion? Seconded. Is there any discussion?

MR. SIGNORE: We did sit with the L&R Committee when Mr. Casale discussed this item with us, and if that's sufficient to cover this particular item, we agree.

MR. DeRose: Just as a point of information -

MR. MILLER: Mrs. Goldstein, please call the roll. 25 members present on the floor. We'll now proceed to a vote on Mr. Fox's motion.

MR. BLUM: On a very important legislative rule as this, which pertains to zoning and planning, I can't understand why the Planning and Zoning Committee of which I'm a part of never meets on this type of legislation. It's very important to Planning & Zoning when it come to encroachments and easements.

MR. DeRose: Going along in the same vein as the matter just brought up by Mr. Blum, I'm rather curious. This evening we had three or four items come up in which a Secondary Committee was to make a report and thus far, they have not. If we have more than one committee that's supposed to be tending to these matters, I'm just wondering why we're not getting those reports. And especially with the Environmental Protection Committee it has come up more than once, and I'm curious.

MR. MILLER: We'll proceed to a vote.

MR. DeROSE: Is someone going to answer that question?

MR. MILLER: Would the Leadership please ask that the people who have left return to the floor so we'll know that we have 25 people present.

MR. DeROSE: I had asked for an answer and I was wondering if someone could answer that question for me, please.

MR. MILLER: Well, the Chair can say that the committee Chairmen have an obligation to call committee meetings on the matters given to their committees.

DR. LOWDEN: I'll answer Mr. DeRose, but not publicly.

MRS. PERILLO: No secrets, no secrets.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LOBOZZA: Are we going to have any discussion on this item #1?

MR. MILLER: We're open to discussion right now. Item #2 actually, Mr. Loboza.

MR. HAYS: I want to make a further comment on Mr. DeRose's comment, and that is that it's obvious from personal experience that so many committees meet on one night that a committee member of several committees can only be in one place at one time. I wonder, as a matter of policy, committee Chairmen couldn't be required to call these meetings further in advance of the meeting time and they be calendarized in the office. And perhaps our new Clerk, in whom I have utmost confidence, could set up a scheduling system in the office to control this.

MR. MILLER: Yes. Well, of course scheduling isn't really the problem, if a committee Chairman has never called the meeting in the first place. Your point is well taken, Mr. Hays. I would like to proceed to a debate, if there is any on the merits of item #2.

MR. FLANAGAN: On several occasions we've approved flood encroachment lines. I would hope that the Representatives - where the flood encroachment lines are being established, are familiar with the water course involved. I don't think many of us can intelligently comment upon these things. I know that in my district whenever this came up, I did make a point to at least observe the area so that I could vote on it with some degree of confidence that it was not a developer setting flood encroachment lines for a development when it should not have been done. So I would just comment on that.

MRS. SANTY: Many of my constituents are involved in this, and they are completely satisfied. We have discussed it with Mr. Casala and he's explained it in detail and they are completely satisfied, and I am aware of it, Mr. Flanagan. I will vote in favor of it.

MRS. RITCHIE: As a member of the Environmental Protection Committee, I've attended as many Environmental Protection Committee meetings upstairs as I possibly could which would not conflict with my other meetings down here. Everything is in order for these flood encroachment lines and it's very urgent that we do pass them, the adoption of these. I urge everyone to vote for it.

MR. BLOIS: I, too, would like to add, to that part of this, from Travis Avenue on is in the 14th District and we're well aware and we look forward to this Board approving this ordinance tonight.

MR. WIDER: I MOVE the question.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. We don't have a quorum on the floor, so maybe we should all go home for the evening. Proceed to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, there being 24 members of the Board present.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL

ESTABLISHMENT OF FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION OF THE
RIPPOWAM RIVER BETWEEN TRAVIS AVENUE AND CEDAR HEIGHTS ROAD.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT in accordance with Section 7-147 of the GENERAL STATUTES OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT Flood Encroachment Lines are hereby created and established along both sides of a portion of the Rippowam River located approximately between Travis Avenue and Cedar Heights Road, Stamford, Connecticut, which flood encroachment lines are more particularly shown and delineated on a certain map entitled, "City of Stamford, Connecticut, Rippowam River Flood Encroachment Lines", prepared by Stearns & Wheeler, Civil and Sanitary Engineers, Inc., in connection with its Job. No. 5103 showing encroachment lines between Station 151 + 80 to Station 302 + 70, which map is dated July 31, 1974, Revised June 3, 1975, further revised and updated for approval on August 18, 1975, and is to be filed in the office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

The persons known to be affected by said flood encroachment lines are:

Emmanuel G. and Katherine A. Wallof
Robert F. and Evelyn Stanton
Samuel J. and Ann D. Marasciulo
Richard C. and Hope Johnson
Anna Galassi
Thomas and Antionette Telesco
Formerly of Dega Associates, Inc.,
Now of Parties Unknown
Josephine M. Genise
Anthony Pelli
Salvatore Romeo
Eleanor J. Burt
Vito Ottaviano
Samuel and Frieda Kravits
Olin Corporation
Bruce and Evelyn Kahan
Norman A. Fieber and Alvan Lampke
George Kaufman and Rosa Kaufman
George Bongiorno

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

Karl Hettling
Antoinette Boccuzzi
Paul C. and Janet M. Ferrara
Emma G. Ashcroft
Annette E. Viesto
James E. and Alice Raymond
Rose E. Pavia
Alfonse Vacca
State of Connecticut
Donald P. Weiss
Albert A. and Mary A. Annuziata
Freda Behrens
City of Stamford
Sheila M. Martin
Joseph V. and Josephine Palumbo
Peter J. and Joyce S. Ragusa
Dorothy C. DeCrescenzo
Edwin R. Perna
City of Stamford
Joseph and Elizabeth H. Richichi
James Palumbo and Dolores Napolitano
Louis F. and Elaine E. Ferretti
James J. Candito and Ann Y. Candito
Alvah W. and Denise H. Swaim
Anthony and Esther Rascona
Laurence L. and Rose J. Lionetti
Ronald and Yuen-Pai L. Young
Antoinette Malizia
Dean L. and Viola Karraker
Lilly W. Dobbs
Charles J. and Antoinette C. Atkinson
Carmello Verrico
Patricia Ann Smyth
Richard F. and Mary Ann North
Ernest N. and Ruth B. McLellan
John R. and Patricia A. Long
Raymond and Lynne Engelman
Austin F. and Marigrace Sabato
Robert L. W. and Geraldine Foshay, Jr.
James W. and Deborah Parker
Elaine A. Kahalettris
Thomas J. and Claire L. Conklin

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

Gerard F. and Annette M. O'Hagan
Estate of Carol B. Wortmann
Clifford N. and Karen S. Angers
Isabel Little and Floss Rolofson
Lee Gray
Rigino and Aureau C. Elgarico
Hilltop Acres, Inc.
Leonard S. and Jill S. Wilds
Daniel R. O'Meara
Fred and Frances Pugliese
Kathryn B. Sage
Angelo L. and Millie S. Marzullo
Lea F. Nickerson
Bilasario and Gertrude M. DiTaranto
Thomas J. MacDonald, Trustee
Thomas and Maria K. Bell, Jr.
John F. and Gail M. Lenahan
Alexander and Carole Furlan
Herman J. A. and Carolyn Koch
Mary L. Ward
Tripoli and Marie Antoinette Conetta
Michael L. and Lillian Calowitch
Anne B. Porcelli
Alfred and Theresa McCoy
Robert and Elaine Knox, Jr.
William and Irene Hagan
Henry and Lenore R. Friedman
Herbert M. and Christina E. Schoen
John J. and Joan L. Romano
Edward J. and Gertrude Z. Wojciechowski
Alfred and Rose Abate
Hoyt Lockwood
Walter E. and Karilyn R. Brown
John M. and Rachel Torturro
Donald E. and Theresa C. Wentworth
Malcolm C. and Virginia G. Henry
Harry J. and Effie Massos Walsh
Jonathan T. Lanman
Helen Szollosy
Ann S. Voska
Jacob S. Ravenhorst

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

Mary Stepchiw
 Jacoba H. Ravenhorst
 Katherine Stepchiw
 Alton M. and Marie Gray
 Robert E. and Margaret Weiss
 David Pomfret
 Fred W. and Helen Grace Allen
 Alfred Saturno
 Rita M. Funkhouser
 Donald H. and Winifred R. Cashman
 Thomas F. and Annette E. Burke
 Lillian C. Ian
 John J. and Dorothy T. Farrell
 Francis J. and Helen D. Mueller
 Louis J. and Janet Cairo
 Donald R. Corbo
 Anthony Pelli
 James N. Harvey
 Alma Elaine Reding
 Thomas R. and Jane Oliver
 Theresa Byck
 Catherine Palmer and Mary Maslinski
 Jean Chang
 Mildred R. DeVitalis
 Victor K. Kian, III
 Robert H. and Jean L. Savage
 Giles N. Montgomery
 Emily K. Layton
 Hans E. and Bodil Thomsen
 Gerald and Marie Petrizzi
 Edward S. and Janina Ridgway

Notice of the passage of this Ordinance containing the names of the persons known to be affected by the same shall be recorded in the office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

THIS ORDINANCE SHALL TAKE EFFECT UPON ITS ADOPTION.

EFFECTIVE DATE May 2, 1977

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

- (3) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL ESTABLISHING FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION OF THE RIPPOWAM RIVER BETWEEN CEDAR HEIGHTS ROAD AND PERNA LANE. Approved for Publication 3/14/77. Public Hearing to be held 3/29/77.

MR. FOX: The committee voted 5-0 for final adoption of this ordinance and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. No report from Planning and Zoning Committee or Environmental Protection Committee. Is there any discussion? We'll proceed to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, there being 24 members present.

ORDINANCE NO. 353 SUPPLEMENTAL

ESTABLISHMENT OF FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION OF THE RIPPOWAM RIVER NORTH OF PERNA LANE TO INTERLAKEN ROAD AND ALONG CONNECTING TRIBUTARY TO BENDEL'S POND.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT in accordance with Section 7-147 of the GENERAL STATUTES OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT flood Encroachment Lines are hereby created and established along both sides of a portion of the Rippowam River located approximately between Perna Lane and Interlaken Road and along connecting tributary to Bendel's Pond, which flood encroachment lines are more particularly shown and delineated on a certain map entitled, "City of Stamford, Connecticut, Environmental Protection Board, Rippowam River Flood Encroachment Lines, North of Perna Lane," prepared by Stearns & Wheeler, Civil and Sanitary Engineers, Inc., in connection with its Job. No. 5105, which map is dated January 13, 1977, and is to be filed in the office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

The parcels affected by said flood encroachment lines are shown on the aforesaid map and designated by numerals circumscribed by a circle, and the owners of said parcels and persons known to be affected by said flood encroachment lines together with the block in which said parcels are located on the Stamford Block Map are hereinafter set opposite said designated parcels and are as follows:

<u>LOT NO.</u>	<u>OWNERS OF PROPERTY AND PERSONS AFFECTED</u>	<u>BLOCK NO.</u>
40	Betsy B. Kaufman and Margery B. Schwartz	383
42	Elsa M. Baer	383
44	Lee Pepin	383
46	Joseph V. and Elizabeth L. Carena, Jr.	383
99	Lawrence and Sue Cholden	383
101	Roy Zaro	383
103	Warren S. and Louis M. Palmer	383
105	Howard B. and Marilyn S. Cohen	383
107	Henry O. and Aurelia F. Simmons	383
109	Ralph and Elizabeth Geter	383
111	Marcia C. Klein	383
113	Aldo Lachman	383
115	Henry E. Larson	383
117	Anthony Zorzos	383
119	Anthony Zorzos	383

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)ORDINANCE NO. 353 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

121	Albert M. Baer	383
123	Helen Compolattaro	383
125	Peter Gale, et al **	383
127	Peter Gale, et al **	383
129	City of Stamford	386
131	Peter Gale, et al **	383
133	The Stamford Museum Nature Center, Inc.	386
135	Harry L. McKeon	383
137	Estate of Joseph F. and Mary L. McKeon	383
139	Mervil Carter	383
141	Leslie P. and Evelyn Fisher	383
143	Edna W. Burow	383
145	Anita Ann Popp	383
147	Jose and Maida Kostelec	383
149	Rose M. C. Carlucci	383

Note: ** Peter Gale and Edward Gale are custodians for
Barbara Gale and Paul Guilden under the Conn.
U/G/M/Act.

Notice of the passage of this Ordinance containing the names of the persons known to be affected by the same shall be recorded in the office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

This Ordinance shall take effect upon its adoption.

EFFECTIVE DATE May 2, 1977.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

- (4) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL ESTABLISHING FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION OF THE RIPPOWAM RIVER NORTH OF PERNA LANE TO INTERLAKEN ROAD AND ALONG CONNECTING TRIBUTARY TO BENDEL'S POND.
Approved for Publication 3/14/77. Public Hearing to be held 3/29/77.

MR. FOX: The Committee voted 5-0 for final adoption of this ordinance and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. There is no report from Environmental Protection Committee or Planning and Zoning - the two secondary committees. SECONDED by Mr. Blois. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, there being 24 members present.

ORDINANCE NO. 354 SUPPLEMENTAL

ESTABLISHMENT OF FLOOD ENCROACHMENT LINES ALONG A PORTION
OF THE RIPPOWAM RIVER BETWEEN CEDAR HEIGHTS ROAD AND PERNA LANE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF STAMFORD THAT in accordance with Section 7-147 of the GENERAL STATUTES OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT Flood Encroachment Lines are hereby created and established along both sides of a portion of the Rippowam River located approximately between Cedar Heights Road and Perna Lane, Stamford, Connecticut, which flood encroachment lines are more particularly shown and delineated on a certain map entitled, "City of Stamford, Connecticut Rippowam River Flood Encroachment Lines", prepared by Stearns & Wheeler, Civil and Sanitary Engineers, Inc., in connection with its Job. No. 5114 showing encroachment lines between Station 302 + 70 to Station 397 + 85, which map is dated July 15, 1975 and revised and updated for approval on August 18, 1975, and is to be filed in the office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

The persons known to be affected by said flood encroachment lines are:

Edward S. and Janina Ridgway
Rita Funkhouser
Gerald and Marie Petrizzi
Aileen Bott
James Gerald and Lynn Hannon, Jr.
George Bott
Donald E. and Susan N. Freeman
Gertrude D. Peterson
The Hartford Electric Light Company
State of Connecticut
Frederick and Theresa S. Pittaro
Emil and Lucille Master
David S. Burt
Theodore C. Roehner
Milton and Norma Mann
Joseph D. Warren, Jr.
Florence K. Hall
Joseph and Lillian Meltzer
Marvin D. and Lois Link
Charles R. and Priscilla Holman
Burton S. and Joyce E. Gordon
Jack and Charlette Alexander

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)ORDINANCE NO. 354 supplemental (Continued)

Estate of Alfred M. and Edith Le Blang
Lall and Bernice Charles
Estelle Evans
Rafi and Mildred Mottahedeh
Denes T. and Olga Szokolay
State of Connecticut
Three Lakes Park, Inc.
James Stramaglia, Jr.
John T. and Dorothy Ostheimer
Albert M. Baer
Betsy B. Kaufman
Margery B. Schwartz
Stamford Water Company
William H. McDermott
Michael P. and Carol Hodges
Roger A. and Nancy G. Proulx
Albert and Arlene J. Rocca
Stanley and Carmela Czupkowski
Otto Haugland
John C. and Virginia L. Jankovich
Alex Brandt, Jr.
Luca Cappiello
Mansfield C. Neal, Jr.
Carmen and Harriet F. Testa
Richard J. and Josephine F. Kilbride
John McC. and Priscilla Mitchell
Cristobal R. and Shirley Buddeen
Robert E. and Lorene M. Agnes
Pauline M. and William Hirzel
Mary B. Didion
David E. and Betty S. Coffman
John C. and Martha R. Shrader
John E. and Marjorie Milks

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

Gerard F. and Annette M. O'Hagan
Estate of Carol B. Wortmann
Clifford N. and Karen S. Angers
Isabel Little and Floss Rolofson
Lee Gray
Rigino and Aureau C. Elgarico
Hilltop Acres, Inc.
Leonard S. and Jill S. Wilds
Daniel R. O'Meara
Fred and Frances Pugliese
Kathryn B. Sage
Angelo L. and Millie S. Marzullo
Lea F. Nickerson
Bilasario and Gertrude M. DiTaranto
Thomas J. MacDonald, Trustee
Thomas and Maria K. Bell, Jr.
John F. and Gail M. Lenahan
Alexander and Carole Furlan
Herman J. A. and Carolyn Koch
Mary L. Ward
Tripoli and Marie Antoinette Conetta
Michael L. and Lillian Calowitch
Anne B. Porcelli
Alfred and Theresa McCoy
Robert and Elaine Knox, Jr.
William and Irene Hagan
Henry and Lenore R. Friedman
Herbert M. and Christina E. Schoen
John J. and Joan L. Romano
Edward J. and Gertrude Z. Wojciechowski
Alfred and Rose Abate
Hoyt Lockwood
Walter E. and Karilyn R. Brown
John M. and Rechel Torturro
Donald E. and Theresa C. Wentworth
Malcolm C. and Virginia G. Henry
Harry J. and Effie Massos Walsh
Jonathan T. Lanman
Helen Szollosy
Ann S. Voska
Jacob S. Ravenhorst

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)ORDINANCE NO. 352 SUPPLEMENTAL (Continued)

Mary Stepchiw
 Jacoba H. Ravenhorst
 Katherine Stepchiw
 Alton M. and Marie Gray
 Robert E. and Margaret Weiss
 David Pomfret
 Fred W. and Helen Grace Allen
 Alfred Saturno
 Rita M. Funkhouser
 Donald H. and Winifred R. Cashman
 Thomas F. and Annette E. Burke
 Lillian C. Ian
 John J. and Dorothy T. Farrell
 Francis J. and Helen D. Mueller
 Louis J. and Janet Cairo
 Donald R. Corbo
 Anthony Pelli
 James N. Harvey
 Alma Elaine Reding
 Thomas R. and Jane Oliver
 Theresa Byck
 Catherine Palmer and Mary Maslinski
 Jean Chang
 Mildred R. DeVitalis
 Victor K. Kian, III
 Robert H. and Jean L. Savage
 Giles N. Montgomery
 Emily K. Layton
 Hans E. and Bodil Thomsen
 Gerald and Marie Petrizzi
 Edward S. and Janina Ridgway

Notice of the passage of this Ordinance containing the names of the
 persons known to be affected by the same shall be recorded in the
 office of the Town and City Clerk of Stamford.

THIS ORDINANCE SHALL TAKE EFFECT UPON ITS ADOPTION.

EFFECTIVE DATE May 2, 1977

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: Let me just add that with respect to those last three ordinances, I think one of the reasons we had so little debate on it this evening was because of the fine job that Mr. Casale and Mr. Fish and other members of his Board did in discussing it with our committee and I'd like to thank him for that.

- (5) PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO COMMEMORATE THE BIRTHDAY OF REV. DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., AS A CITY HOLIDAY EVERY JANUARY 15th. Mayor Clapes' letter 1/14/77. Held in Steering 1/24/77. Held in Committee 3/14/77 for further study.
HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. FOX: This item was on our March agenda and also on the Legislative & Rules Committee agenda for March 30th. We had hoped - we had been requested to obtain information as to exactly what an additional holiday would cost the City. Due to a number of other meetings being held on that same evening, the Finance Commissioner had been requested to be present, but again, because of the many meetings, he was not able to be there. Consequently, we were not able to take any action on it. I will do my best to see that it is on the agenda for May and try to move it at that time. For the time being, it's being HELD.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Personnel Committee concurs.

- (6) LETTER OF 2/8/77 FROM MAYOR CLAPES RE LAWSUIT "WILLIAM M. IVLER, ET AL VS CITY OF STAMFORD DOCKET NO. 025263 8, SUPERIOR COURT AT STAMFORD" regarding the tax districts. Report made 3/14/77.
HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. FOX: This was not something on which the committee could really take a vote. There was a letter from the Mayor requesting that the Board take whatever action it deemed proper in connection with the law suit initiated by Mr. Ivler. The Steering Committee directed me, as Chairman of that committee, to write to the corporation counsel's office and to request that he meet with us to discuss just what action he felt should be taken. I have done that and Mr. Wise has responded and indicated that he would be happy to meet with us to discuss it. I have yet to set a definite date for that meeting, but I will.

MR. MILLER: I would like to state to the members that the Leadership of the Board, that is, the president, in this case, and the administrative assistant, taking advice and direction from the Law Department on this matter. I would also like the members to know that this has become a rather time-consuming matter, and a large number of our records and the administrative assistant were transported back and forth to the Superior Court one day recently, and it did become quite time-consuming.

MR. MORGAN: Fiscal Committee no report at this time.

MR. FOX: Item No. 7 has been disposed of Mr. President.

- (8) PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 332 PROVIDING FOR A TAX ABATEMENT FOR "PILGRIM TOWERS" LOCATED AT WASHINGTON COURT, OWNED BY PILGRIM TOWERS, INC. Held in Committee 3/14/77.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: Unfortunately, Mr. President, I do not believe we have sufficient members here tonight to Waive Publication. This happened at our March meeting. I think that will have to be HELD.

MR. MILLER: Might I inquire, Mr. Fox, is there any reason why this cannot wait until the May meeting?

MR. FOX: Not to my knowledge.

MR. MILLER: I think we should leave it until May then.

- (9) FOR FINAL ADOPTION -PROPOSED FAIR EMPLOYMENT ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF STAMFORD CONCERNING LABOR STANDARDS AND CONTRACTORS' RESPONSIBILITIES FOR PUBLIC OR PUBLICLY-AIDED CONSTRUCTION. Published 11/22/76. Held in Committee 12/6/76, Held in Steering 12/13/76 and 1/24/77. Held in Committee 3/14/77.
HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. FOX: We had a public hearing on this. This is on the agenda this evening for final adoption. We met with Mr. Cunningham and Mr. Diamond, the attorney for the Fair Labor Council and Bob Wise, the Corporation Counsel. Mr. Wise has submitted to us a rather lengthy opinion. The corporation counsel's office feels that there are some serious problems with this ordinance. The committee voted 4-2 to HOLD this item in committee to give us the opportunity to review that opinion which we have just recently received. Consequently, that item is being HELD.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: For those same reasons, Mr. President, it will be discussed at the next Personnel Committee meeting.

MR. MORGAN: This proposed ordinance was something that I originally introduced at the request of the Stamford Labor Council, and it seemed to me that it was a well-drafted, reasonably proposed ordinance which appeared to be in accord with the State of Connecticut statutes. We first started talking about this five or six months ago, and it has been delayed and held for one reason or another and I can't help but wonder what is behind the corporation counsel's move at this time, raising some of the arguments that he has. I think this is something that either should be disposed of in one fashion or another. It shouldn't just be nit-picked and held and fooled around with for such a long period of time, and I'm merely expressing my frustration at some of the phantom arguments that corporation counsel seems to be raising in his objections.

MR. BLUM: I, too, voice my dismay as to why this resolution is being held. I know one of the reasons. It's because, well we might as well mention her name - Nancy Mitchell had a problem in the Community Development Plan when some of the labor unions questioned why they weren't using local help on projects where federal monies were being used. Not even trees, planting of trees, did they use one local laborer, and this was federal monies. Now, this ordinance comes about. They have the Davis-Bacon in the federal level. They have a similar state ordinance and now we are trying to put this through in the City and there's nothing. It's practically all the same, and when the Human Rights Commission had held it up at that time, why wasn't Mr. Wise, at that time, when it was being held up by the Human Rights Commission, why didn't he at that time state his objections to this ordinance?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: Let me try to respond to a number of the questions that have been raised. I will answer this in terms of the Legislative & Rules Committee. I am not speaking on behalf of the corporation counsel or for Community Development. We have heard a number of representations and a number of comments from many people in connection with this ordinance. The people proposing it have indicated to me that the corporation counsel's office has had the ordinance since sometime last November. The corporation counsel's office indicates it has not had any requests for a formal opinion on it. As long as that, it was just prior to our last Board meeting that being in March, that I was made aware of the fact by letter that the corporation counsel's office felt there were some serious problems with the ordinance. I have before me, and I will now present to Mr. Blum the official opinion of the corporation counsel which runs some seven pages and has attached to that a number of documents. I think it's too lengthy for me to give a glib, quick answer to.

MR. MILLER: Might I interrupt and suggest that since we have a written opinion, that copies of it be made and distributed to the membership of the Board. And if you want to summarize, go ahead Mr. Fox, I would suggest -

MR. FOX: I would prefer not to try to summarize a seven page opinion.

MR. MILLER: The Chair would have to observe that we did permit Mr. Morgan and Mr. Blum to speak, but we are not debating the merits of the item this evening. And I would like to move on. If in the future this matter is HELD in committee, and there is a desire to take it out of committee, that type of motion would be appropriate. The Chair would observe that there are not 27 members present, it is not possible to finally adopt this ordinance this evening anyway.

- (10) REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF BUILDING PERMIT FEE from Mrs. Joan Jobson, Executive Admin. Fort Stamford Committee, for construction of new Heritage Center building.

MR. FOX: I wrote to Mrs. Jobson and asked her to attend our meeting on March 30th. I have not heard from her. She was not there at the meeting, consequently we could not take any action on it. That's being HELD.

- (11) REQUEST FOR ORDINANCE REQUIRING THAT ALL EASEMENTS GRANTED TO THE CITY BE NEGOTIATED AND DRAWN UP BY THE LAW DEPARTMENT in a clear, concise and specific manner. Letter of 2/9/77 from City Rep. Marie J. Hawe, Dist. 1 (R).
APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION.

MR. FOX: We voted 5-0 to publish this ordinance, and I would so MOVE.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. HAYS: Through you to Mr. Fox; you mentioned that your committee has discussed this. Did they discuss this with the Law Department itself?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: We did not discuss it with the Law Department or a representative of the Law Department.

MR. HAYS: I just question^{ed} the action that your ordinance conceives is putting a responsibility on a department without discussing it with that department.

MR. FOX: We're not doing that. We're moving to publish the ordinance, we will then have a public hearing on it. Certainly we'll be in contact with the Law Department prior to any vote on final adoption.

MR. MILLER: The motion for publication of this proposed ordinance. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY to approve publication. 24 members being present. Mr. Flanagan having left the meeting for the evening.

MR. FOX: The only other item dealt with is the sale of City-owned property on Broad Street, which we disposed of. That would conclude my report.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

MR. MILLER: Planning and Zoning Committee, Mr. Baxter is not present. Is there a report on behalf of the committee?

MR. SIGNORE: There is no report. But at this time, I would request a five-minute recess to give the gentlemen who wish to smoke an opportunity to have a smoke.

MR. MILLER: They may leave to smoke if they wish, Mr. Signore.

MR. SIGNORE: We're having a problem keeping a quorum on the floor.

MR. MILLER: We had a special problem with the ordinances and I wish to make it clear to the membership that ordinances have more dignity really than any other item that we consider on this Board. As far as the law is concerned, the President and the Clerk do have to certify these ordinances. We do have to mention the number of members present when the vote was taken. If we could proceed with the rest of the meeting -

MR. SIGNORE: I'm not arguing with you on that point about the importance of the ordinances, but I just thought -

MR. MILLER: Well, I'm saying, Mr. Signore, that I made a point of getting people in here because there is a special problem with records on ordinances.

MR. SIGNORE: But the other part of the agenda is not as important, you're telling me.

MR. MILLER: It is not as important in a certain sense. There are certain certifications that have to be signed by the president and the Clerk; in the case of ordinances, and we're not signing that 25 people are present unless there are 25 people present.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE - George Baxter

- (1) LETTER OF 11/10/76 FROM COURTLAND TERRACE ASSN., INC. re moratorium on condominiums and multiple dwelling units because of their demands upon the City service. Also questioning legality of condominiums under existing Stamford Zoning regulations. Held in Committee 11/22/76. No reports 1/17/77, 2/9/77, or 3/14/77.
- (2) LETTER OF 11/1/76 FROM COURTLAND TERRACE ASSN., INC. supporting American-Italian Assn. against X-rated movie house locating on West Park Place. Requests legislation. Held in Committee 11/22/76. No report 1/17.2/9, or 3/14/77.

NO REPORTS ON ABOVE.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - Sandra Goldstein

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Personnel met on Tuesday, March 29th. Present were Mr. Wiesley, Mrs. Ritchie, Dr. Lowden, Mr. Blum, Mrs. Santy and myself. We were scheduled to meet with Mr. Barrett, our labor negotiator, to give us an update on the status of the contract negotiations. Unfortunately, because he was in court all day on City business, he was not able to make our meeting and so we are going to re-schedule this. In addition, our committee met two nights later on March 31st, and I would like to say that it is not only not unusual for our committee to meet two nights a week, two nights a month, before the regular Board meeting, but very often three times a month. And the reason that our committee had no report on two Fiscal items was because on the night of March 31st, we were the third committee on two items, Fiscal was meeting, L&R was meeting, Public Works was meeting, Appointments was meeting and Protection was meeting. It is very interesting that the members of Personnel are very active members of this Board and are on several committees. So we had a third of our membership at each one of the meetings, and therefore no quorum for any of them. So on those two items which were assigned to another committee, another Secondary Committee, we felt it would be fair for us not to vote on them.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE - Alfred Perillo

- (1) LETTER DATED MARCH 5, 1977 from Mrs. Joseph J. Bouchard of 123 Crestview Ave. enclosing petitions with 116 signatures regarding problems Springdale has been having with the Recycling Center on the Haig Ave.; that it is a dump, for which it is not zoned, etc.

MR. PERILLO: On March 31st, Public Works met with Mrs. Bouchard and some forty residents from that area. Present were Mr. Perillo, Mr. Loboza, and Mr. Zimble. Not having a quorum, therefore this is not a committee report but rather a public hearing report. Mrs. Bouchard has raised some issues pertaining to the Haig Ave. recycling operations, since what was once a town yard, now has been converted to a town dump. Live garbage, bulk junk, has been added to the operations. Some forty area residents attended the hearing and all spoke of the problems that now exist at the recycling operation.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. PERILLO (continuing) Litter, envelopes with addresses from Darien and Scofield town area were presented as evidence of just who was abusing the recycling operation. There, too, we have what is known as prime time, from midnight to 2:00 a.m. is when refrigerators, tires and what not is being dumped. Photos were shown of this. Unanimously they have asked that this operation cease at once. If not being out of order at this time, Mr. President, I yield the floor to Mr. Loboza who is the representative of that district, to further comment on this issue.

MR. LOBOZZA: After our public hearing, which I think was on Thursday, the following Monday I went and met with Commissioner Rotondo, and after a brief discussion he assured me that the recycling plant would be closed. And to show his good faith, he went out and made a press release to the radio and he subsequently sent me a letter which, in fact, I don't want to go through it and read it - "April 15, 1977, the Hanover Street Recycling Center will be closed." Haig Avenue the one down on Hanover Street will remain open though. So, I'd like to offer this as part of the record anyway, that the plant is closed from now on. And I also would like to thank the members of the Public Works Committee that did come to hear the people of Springdale.

MR. ZIMBLER: I was very happy to hear what Mr. Loboza just told us. This eyesore has been getting worse now for the past several years, and this is a situation where the complaint was coming mostly from people in the 17th District, but complaining about a situation that actually was happening in the 16th District, which is right on the border. I'm glad this is happening now and I would just hope that the police are made aware of the situation as it exists, that there be no dumping over there. Because, what I'm afraid of is that even though the recycling part of the town yard is being closed, the yard is still going to be there and I think people are going to continue to dump illegally. I think it incumbent upon us, possibly the Public Works Committee, to inform the Police Dept. that they should keep up surveillance of the area to try and apprehend illegal dumpers who, I'm afraid are going to continue as before.

MR. MILLER: I think we can proceed then.

MR. COSTELLO: On Public Works, not on the same subject.

MR. MILLER: Well, let's go to No. 2 then, if we're finished with No. 1. Let's move on to No. 2.

(2) PETITION FROM CITY REP. DAVID I. BLUM RE CURBING at 30 Glenbrook Road.

MR. PERILLO: I've contacted Mr. Roloff, our Assistant City Engineer, on this issue and I've got a report before me of his findings, the estimated cost to replace concrete curbs and sidewalks as necessary on Glenbrook Road between Arlington Road and Main Street.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. PERILLO (continuing) The curb on the west side would be set back to permit parking on that side. And it goes on to describe each and every section of the area from Main Street to Arlington Road. On the west side of that street it would cost some \$30,000 bucks to put in the curbing and the walks. On the east side of that same project it would cost another \$15,000 bucks. If you could come up with \$45,000, Mr. Blum, you can get your curb in.

MR. BLUM: Well, certainly I don't have that money in my pocket right now, but maybe the City can find \$45,000 for people who are walking on unsafe sidewalks. I received this petition from these people in the condominium where there's this curbing, if you were to go there, you'll find there's no curbing whatsoever. No curbing whatsoever. From this point on 30 Glenbrook Road all the way to Arlington Road, you'll find sidewalks that are just hanging, just hanging, tripping hazards. I think that I would like Mr. Perillo to pursue this, I'm sure that we need some money in this town, not only for Glenbrook Road, but we need it for other streets in this City that should have been curbed, sidewalks repaired, that are probably in just as bad condition as what you'll find on Glenbrook Road, a major thoroughfare to Springdale and Glenbrook.

MR. MORGAN: This happens to be in my district as well. I don't live across the street from it, as Mr. Blum does, but I drive by this every day and it's something that's clearly dangerous. There are cars parking on what should be a sidewalk. Because there is no curb, it's dangerous to walk there, and if you walk down Glenbrook Road there is a sidewalk with a curb until you reach the property that the Crosswinds is located on, and then it disappears and then you walk a little farther and it starts up again. It just seems to be an anomaly. Now, every month we see the Public Works Dept. applying for federal funds or state funds to be used for special projects around the City, and earlier this evening we just talked about getting some State of Connecticut highway financing in June. I wonder if perhaps because this is such an expensive project, rather than have to handle this as an additional appropriation, it might be altogether appropriate for Commissioner Rotondo to include something like this here, and in any other case that might exist that's clearly dangerous in any other part of town. In some of these state or federally funded programs that the City participates in and perhaps the Public Works Committee might inquire further about the availability of these kinds of funds for this kind of project.

MR. PERILLO: I'll take it up with the Commissioner. I don't know how far I'll get but I'll take it up.

MR. LOBOZZA: I feel sorry for Mr. Blum, the problems he has in his district. I had the same in mine. Matter of fact, I had one constituent break her hand on a bad section of sidewalk. I checked into the account. I don't think there's any money left to date. They have a special account for curbings and sidewalks. And I think if we want to do something about this, there's only one way we can do it because I don't think there's any federal funds available for this, is that we're going to have to go to the Public Works Dept., we're going to have to go to the Board of Finance, and we're going to have to take it on a City-wide basis if we want to repair these curbs and sidewalks.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ZIMBLER: Through you, as a suggestion to Mr. Morgan and Mr. Blum, I had a similar situation about three years ago on Buckingham Drive and the way we resolved it over there determining that the close proximity in this particular case of Westhill High School which necessitated walking school children from the neighborhood walking to school. We made the appeal to the Board of Education, which has a sizable amount of money in its sidewalks and curbing account and we got the sidewalk on Buckingham Drive that way, and if my geography serves me correctly, I think that part of Glenbrook Road is close enough to Stamford High School that perhaps the same sort of request could be made over there.

MR. WIDER: I'm indeed concerned as to how long this condition has existed. I'd like to direct that question to Mr. Blum. And why do we have no report on the jobs that were done during last year? I understand that there is conditions which demand considered efforts in getting them done, and I called that any time the sidewalk endangers the life of our children on or returning from school, deserves considered efforts, not only on the part of the representative in the district but the man we have hired as the Public Works Commissioner. We can't fool around with human lives looking on dollar signs. I would hope that these things would discontinue in the City of Stamford and we would look more at what we need, more than what our priorities are set for what we want to assure politically. And I'm afraid that this has happened in some other districts and I would hope that we would demand reports from these people on some of the things that they are doing. I would request that these reports be in before resolutions come in or with resolutions where we are asked to approve the Mayor requested money from the State of Connecticut to do roads with. I would hope that we would have the report in ahead of time.

MR. RAVALLESE: I'll make mine short. Lawn Avenue has been trying to get curbing now I guess since the town was founded. So I think, I figure the next 50, 75 years we might be able to get some. On any other pet project, we seem to find thing for it but when it comes to where the kids are involved, they couldn't care less.

MR. BLUM: I'd like to answer Mr. Wider. That existence on Glenbrook Road, I can remember as long as I've lived there and that's over ten years, over ten years now we've been asking. I've complained about it and now that I have the opportunity with these people from not only 30 Glenbrook Road, there are people at 60, right in front of - it is a bad existence right in front of Dr. Sterdman, I believe is his name. He's complained of it. They're all complaining of it on that west side especially of that - between will I'll say between Main Street to Arlington Road is a very poor condition. There was once a sidewalk but it hangs there. Parts you don't even see a sidewalk, you don't even see a curb. We've had a car called the Meals-on-Wheels come to deliver a meal to a senior citizen in one of the apartments there and into the curbing where it's muddy, went in to deliver the food, came back, and tried to get her car out of there. They had to get a tow truck to pull her out. That's how bad it is on Glenbrook Road near Main Street.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. COSTELLO: At last month's meeting, I mentioned the fact that at certain times the smell from the sewage treatment plant was becoming unbearable for the residents of the Cove area. Two weeks ago, Rep. Schlechtweg and myself visited the sewage treatment plant to see our \$90,000 filter drum and to talk to Mrs. Semon. One of Mrs. Semon's main explanations for the smell coming from the plant was the shortage of help. Mrs. Semon also stated that she takes rides around the neighborhood to check upon the complaints of smells but she must take another person with her, oh boy, because she has become somewhat immune to the smells. Just to continue, I'm not trying to be, you know, make these jokes, but - Since the smell now affects three districts and hundreds of taxpayers, I would really hope something could be accomplished before the hot Summer months. Really, and I wasn't trying to be - it came out that way.

MR. SCHLECHTWEG: It's strange, you know, I've heard many excuses for the foul odor in that area. It ranged from too much rain, not the proper equipment. Now the excuse seems to be that there's not enough people. It seems to me that this excuse is just ongoing and ongoing and some stand has to be made on this particular subject. The smell is terrible, really, and I don't plan to be putting up with that during the Summertime. It seems that Mrs. Semon now is using some sort of wedge to get what she wants through us by telling us she doesn't have enough people and the proper equipment. I think something has to be done and I think it might be a good idea if the people from all three districts got together and did something about the problem. Something has to be done.

MR. SIGNORE: This was an item that our Mr. Loboza did quite a bit of work on and took quite a bit of abuse when he followed it up. I'm glad that he's getting support now from other members of the Board. I don't mean he received abuse from this Board, I think from the administration, and I'm not glad that the odor is still there, but I'm glad that Mr. Loboza is receiving support from other representatives and I think something should be done about it immediately.

MR. COSTELLO: I can't quote the figures on what Mrs. Semon said, I don't have them with me, but she said we might be fined thousands of dollars if we don't have proper amount of help there, by the state, I think she said.

MR. LOBOZZA: Now, I see we're discussing it's going to cost more money to solve in the sewage treatment plant. I think we've come a long way from Hurricane Belle which I guess took the blame in the beginning for the problems down there and we just allocated, I think, \$90,000 last month for a dryer, it's a rotor filter drum was the name of it. It's an old-type wringer. And still we have the problem of the odors down there. We have still in the Public Works Committee an ongoing inquiry into the problem which we still don't have the answers, and on this filter drum thing, we were told in committee that this item was going to be federally reimbursed and it seems that that information was just like the rest of the information that we got from the people down at the sewage treatment plant and the Commissioner. We still haven't received verification of these facts. Matter of fact, we haven't received verification of any facts down there, what the problems really are. But the stink is still there. Now, I think maybe there would be some way that we, as a Board, can ask the State of Connecticut to come down and give us a report and tell us why we have the problems that we have.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LOBOZZA (continuing) I found in the inquiry that we had, I think we met three nights on it, that there's a lot of technical information involved in this that I don't have the expertise in that field and no one on the committee and I dare say, no one on this Board really has the expertise to say whether we're being told the truth or not. But the fact does remain, we do have problems down there. The costs are mounting up astronomically. We have to do something about this problem, not only for the stench, but for the amount of money it's costing us.

MR. MORGAN: I was just going to say that I recall that only a month ago Mrs. Semon and Commissioner Rotondo appeared before the Fiscal Committee and said this problem will be solved if you only appropriate the money for the drum filter and we did. The committee voted unanimously and as I recall the Board voted unanimously to give them the equipment that they need to solve this problem. Now, if Mrs. Semon is saying that the problem is that she needs more people at this job, I would suggest that she ask Commissioner Rotondo to hire back some of the people that he has seen fit to dismiss and put them to work at the sewage treatment plant.

MR. SCHLECHTWEG: Just as a point of information, that drum filter has been in use for probably better than a month.

MRS. HAWE: I'd like to ask Mr. Costello something. Did you get any explanation at all as to why this filter drum did not solve the problem that it was supposed to solve?

MR. COSTELLO: They're still working the bugs out of it. It's not a problem that can be solved immediately and she said that they're going to do the best they can, but one of their main problems, like she stated, was that they have a lack of help and she seems to think that with more people, the problem will be solved sooner. Just another interesting point, Don Russell said on his radio program that he happened to be riding down by there and he said the smell was horrible and he had that on his radio program.

MRS. RITCHIE: I still say we're going to need covers for those aerators. You drive down South through any little town, you get the same stench year after year when you drive to Florida, and the only way you're going to solve it is by putting covers on them.

MR. ZIMBLER: Really, this last comment we got from Mr. Costello as to getting the bugs out of this, I just find that completely ludicrous because, in the Public Works Committee, when these people came down and made the presentation here, they were representatives from the company that manufactured this drum filter and supposedly this has been in operation for several months before it was ever really offered to us for sale. They installed it and they had company representatives, people who are trained in the operation of servicing of this thing, and it was supposed to be completely on the line and ready to roll. This is why we appropriated the money. I don't know what to think at this point, but doesn't anybody down there know what they're doing?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SIGNORE: If I remember correctly, this treatment plant has not been turned over to the City by the contractor. Is that right? Can someone answer that? Has it been turned over to the City officially, or is it still the responsibility of the contractor?

MR. MILLER: This is in Mr. Perillo's committee. He's not present. I don't know who can answer that question. I believe we'll call on Mr. Wider and then Mr. Loboza.

MR. WIDER: Mr. Signore, we received from the Commissioner the other night that it hadn't been turned over, last month when we met with him. I'm a little upset here of course. I get that blowing over our area quite often and I get a lot of calls asking what it is. I really can't tell them, but I did tell them that we were told there was a special condition that caused this and would be cleared up within a week. I happened to go down to Mr. Brown's Friday night and I can assure you that it hadn't been cleared up, with the \$90,000 that we appropriated to purchase this drum. I am afraid that somewhere along the line we're going to have to do something and I have no idea what we are going to do. I wonder if we couldn't have some professional to come in here other than the people who manufactured that pump, and see that it's working or it isn't working, one of the two.

MR. LOBOZZA: As far as the City's work down there, the City is responsible for the operation and the maintenance of that plant at this time. And I think, a further note on this, that I had Dr. Gofstein send a crew down there to check the effluent coming out of the plant. And right now it seems that the effluent isn't bad at all. They're hauling the sludge away. But I think this problem is going to deteriorate even further down there, because during the Winter months, according to Dr. Gofstein with the waste down there, and that's something that's only done during the Summer months, because of the stench. Now, if they're chlorinating it in the Wintertime and it still stinks, God only knows what's going to happen in the Summertime down there.

MRS. PERILLO: I, too, feel we are pouring an awful lot of money at the taxpayer's expense with experimenting down there. Mrs. Semon says the biggest problem is the building is facing the wrong way. Well, I don't think there's any way they could turn this building around. And the stench isn't any better with the drum filter and they told us it would be, and they want to come in for another drum filter. I will vote against it, because they're just experimenting with taxpayer's dollars.

MR. PERILLO: In answer to Mr. Signore, no, we did not accept the whole sewage treatment plant as yet, but it's an original design of the centrifugal operation. This pressure drum operation is a modification which is still reimbursable of 90% from the federal government. This, is again experimental, they say it will work. I don't see it working yet.

MR. MILLER: I think we can proceed to the next report.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE - David I. Blum

- (1) PETITION TO MAKE BERTMOR DRIVE A DEAD END STREET - Held in Committee 2/9/77 and 3/14/77.

MR. BLUM: The Health and Protection Committee met on March 24th and 31st. Present on March 24th were Tom D'Agostino, Kurt Zimbler and myself. On the 31st, Tom D'Agostino, William Flanagan, John Sandor, Kurt Zimbler and myself. We had quite a lengthy agenda and on the first item regarding the closing of Bertmor Drive, we received a letter from Bill Sabia in which he gave us the following status report: 1) Commissioner of Public Work Vincent J. Rotondo requested the Mayor to ask for an appropriation in the amount of \$11,300 for the storm drain and road improvements in Bertmor Drive. 2) Fire Chief Thomas Russell of the Springdale Fire Dept. was not happy with the cul-del-sac to be constructed. However, when Mr. Sabia explained to him that the hydrant will be moved so that is just behind the curve to be constructed. It will be accessible to the fire engine, which is an improvement over the present condition. And if anyone in the 18th District would like to comment on this -

MRS. SANTY: This is in my district and Mr. Signore's and we're following it very closely. It is incumbent upon the City to proceed with this according to the 1954 City maps. This road was accepted from the contractor with a dead end and it was never done. Consequently, I spoke to Commissioner Rotondo and he forwarded the money allotment to the Board of Finance and that's where it is now. I spoke to the secretary of Commissioner Hadley and he's okaying it right now, and it should proceed as soon as possible. I want to thank Mr. Blum and his committee because these people are very anxious. A lot of vandalism goes on there. What they do is, these cars are tearing through this area and it goes right through St. Cecilia's School. They're shooting at the windows, driving on the lawns, whether there are children in the school yard or not, it doesn't matter to them. So we hope this will solve their problem.

- (2) LETTER of 1/8/77 FROM JACK DAZZO, 728 HOPE ST., requesting supervision of children sledding at Sterling Farms. His son was severely injured on 12/31/76. Held in Committee 2/9/77 and 3/14/77.

MR. BLUM: The committee voted unanimously to send a letter to the Golf Authority with a copy to the Stamford Board of Recreation to come up with a plan to have supervised winter sports including skiing and tobogganing as well as sleigh riding and ice skating. The City bought the Sterling Farms complex for recreation for recreation of the entire City and its citizens should be able to use it all year around, not only for golfing, but also for Winter sports.

- (3) LETTER OF 1/14/77 SIGNED BY CHIEFS OF THE FIVE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS OF STAMFORD regarding the 911 emergency number, etc. Held in Committee 2/9/77 for further investigation. Report made 3/14/77 but more work to be done on this matter.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM: The committee listened to the presentation by the Stamford New England Telephone Company consultant with respect to the advantages of 911 number over the present emergency service now in operation. The points raised were: faster response time, easier to remember, a coordination of problems within services, all the emergency numbers will remain. Mutual aid among out-of-state fire departments still remain a problem within the Volunteer Fire Departments, and the cost to the City to install this system will be \$2,000. Present at this hearing were representatives of the Big Five Volunteer Fire Departments, Dwight Hadley, Chief Vitti, Acting Chief J. Considine and Supt. of Communication Hawley Oefinger. Many questions still remain and I find that much so in our Stamford Advocate in the last few days. Your Centrex III now removes administrative calls from the switchboard and only emergency calls will be handled at the various service organizations. How many jobs will eventually be lost? Aside from the installation cost, what will the service charges cost the City? No answer to that, by the way. I asked that of Mr. Hadley, no answer. Will the Board of Finance and the Board of Representatives have a vote on this type of service, and does Stamford need this type of service at a time of austerity? The committee voted 2 against, 1 abstention and 2 for improving this system. I would like to talk about this on things that I've seen of late in our newspaper and it's very worthy of talking of this tonight. I'm sure we all read in Saturday's paper "The Centrex System seen costing the City more." And we all heard it was only going to cost \$2,000 to install. That's what we heard also from the consultant from the Telephone Co. We also heard there's a problem between the services. We're getting all kinds of headlines these days. "Power shift plan irks Fire Board." Today "Fiscal Board angered by Mayor's action on communications set-up." All related to this 911.

MR. LOBOZZA: I think there's a lot of things that should be considered before even thinking about approving anything like this. Personally, I feel that there should be a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution passed and I'd be willing to put it before Steering Committee at our next meeting, that this be thoroughly investigated not only by the Board of Representatives, but we should get some professionals in here to get some advice on this system. I've done some research on it, talked to a few people and attended a couple of Mr. Blum's meetings, and Stamford is faced with a very unique problem with its Volunteer Fire Departments, but I feel that this 911 number would hinder it very much. I would like to see this Board go into this a little deeper and get some costs because what we're discussing here is not the loss of jobs by people, but we could be creating another department that would cost the City hundreds of thousands of dollars and I think it would merit some more investigation.

- (4) REQUEST FROM RECREATION SUPT. GIORDANO THAT VANDALISM PROBLEM BE LOOKED INTO AND HANDLED IN SOME MANNER, SINCE IT IS A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM. Report made and Held in Committee 2/9/77.

MR. BLUM: Mr. Giordano has presented the committee with a resolution on vandalism which I'd like to present this evening. The committee voted to present this resolution to the Board this evening.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER: We have copies of that, but I think it's a brief resolution, isn't it Mr. Blum?

MR. BLUM: Well, if you'll let me read it -

MR. MILLER: Would you please read it?

MR. BLUM: A resolution in regard to vandalism.

SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Representative of the City of Stamford, WHEREAS vandalism in Stamford has reached a heretofore unprecedented height, thereby greatly inflating operating and capital budgets to unusual high levels, not only in the areas of replacement and repairs but also in the loss of man hours and eventually service to the community.

AND WHEREAS vandalism continues unabated in Stamford despite strong efforts by the City agencies to engage the cooperation of the courts and the Judiciary and the State Legislative bodies,

AND WHEREAS the offenders are often times apprehended, given a mild and token reprimand, then sent out to wreak further destruction on public and private property,

AND WHEREAS continuing to allow this permissive, destructive attitude toward the public and private property can only resolve unfavorably to the public and private sector and to the character and future development of offending youths,

NOW be it therefore hereby resolved that stronger measures be taken by the courts, and law enforcers be permitted to assure full restitution of defaced vandalised or stolen property committed by the offenders and that the parents and guardians of the offenders make restitution. Where monetary restitution creates a hardship, or it is impossible that the offender, besides accepting the court consequence, be made to work at some public improvement so that they can begin to respect the property of others.

MR. MILLER: Is there a second to Mr. Blum's motion? Discussion.

MR. BLOIS: Mr. Miller, may I ask a question? Did I hear in that resolution that we are to direct the courts to make a decision?

MR. MILLER: One thing that the resolution doesn't mention is who specifically are we directing -

MR. BLOIS: Well, I think he said the courts and I don't think we're empowered to direct any judge -

MR. MILLER: It's a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM: It reads as follows, "that stronger measures be taken by the courts, and law enforcers be permitted to assure full restitution of defaced, vandalised and stolen property."

MR. MILLER: It's a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution. If the Board wished to adopt it, it would be within the province of the Board. We haven't settled the question to whom is the resolution directed? To whom is Mrs. McEvoy to send copies of the resolution?

MR. DeROSE: According to my way of thinking, it would seem to me as though this matter would be more suitable to our state legislature, rather than here on a local level. So if we're going to do anything at all, I would think that perhaps we ought to direct it to our state legislature and state representatives.

MR. MILLER: Really, the language of the resolution is directed toward law enforcement officials and members of the judiciary. If the Board adopts it, the President will see to it that it is sent to the Judicial Department of the State of Connecticut, and also to the local Police Dept. Is there any further discussion?

MR. DeROSE: MOVE the question.

MR. MILLER: Is there a second to that motion to move the question? The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The question is on the resolution read by Mr. Blum. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. BLOIS: Mr. Miller, I have a question directed to Mr. Blum. He made a statement publicly that we're losing millions of dollars. Where do you get your figures from and how long a period of time are we talking about?

MR. MILLER: We've already adopted the resolution.

MR. BLOIS: No, I'm not talking about the resolution. I'm talking about a statement he made prior to the resolution. I think he's going to mislead the general public that are listening to this radio that we're losing millions of dollars.

MR. MILLER: Who's losing it - the City?

MR. BLOIS: Well, whomever he's referring to, yes. And I don't think -

MR. MILLER: We've already adopted the resolution.

MR. BLOIS: It's not the resolution I'm referring to. I'm referring to a statement prior to the resolution.

MR. MILLER: No, I know, but the statement led up to the adoption of the resolution. I think we're past that and we should move on to item #5.

MR. BLOIS: I think you should correct that statement.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM: In my hand I have a vandalism report from the Board of Recreation and also a letter from Mrs. Elizabeth A. Burr from the Board of Education concurring with the Board of Recreation in regard to that, they also have this problem of vandalism in their entire school system.

MR. BLOIS: I agree with you, Mr. Blum, but I don't say that it's in the millions.

MR. MILLER: The Chair would have to rule that we've finished with this item and we'll move on to item #5.

MR. BLUM: We'll say over a period of many years. That will satisfy you.

- (5) THE MATTER OF SAFETY FOR SENIOR CITIZENS AT CROSS-WALKS DOWNTOWN, THE SEQUENCE AND TIMING OF TRAFFIC LIGHTS, ETC. Report made and Held in Committee 2/9/77. Held in Steering 2/14/77.

MR. BLUM: The committee voted unanimously to send a letter to the Mayor to institute a voluntary safety citizens' council that would work to promote and educate the citizens both young and old on all facets of safety to help reduce accidents. Let's have a safe City. A progress report was received from Dolores Russell of the Commission on Aging regarding the senior safety program. The senior citizens or the Commission on Aging, in conjunction with the Stamford Police Department's Sgt. Lowman of the Safety Board have set up a program among the senior citizens to educate the various senior citizens all over this City on accidents, to be cautious in their manner of walking and crossing streets. I have this report here and I'll read -

MR. MILLER: Is there a motion on this item #5?

MR. BLUM: No, there's no motion. We're going to send a letter to the Mayor.

MR. MILLER: The committee is going to send a letter to the Mayor?

MR. BLUM: Unless you want to vote to -

MR. MILLER: Well, the committee has voted to do that.

- (6) LETTER OF 12/27/76 FROM CITY REP. LATHON WIDER, SR. re hazards of illegally-parked trucks. Held in Committee pending further investigation 2/9/77. Held in Steering 2/14/77.

MR. BLUM: The committee voted unanimously to send a letter to the Police Commissioner to request patrolmen to inform violators of illegally parked trucks to observe the City ordinances.

- (7) MAYOR CLAPES' LETTER 1/14/77 ENCLOSING NICHOLAS TARZIA'S LETTER OF 1/10/77, of the CITIZENS ACTION LOBBY, asking for creation of local consumer protection agency. Held in Committee 2/9/77. Held in Steering 2/14/77.

MR. BLUM: The committee discussed this topic and voted unanimously to hold an open hearing pertaining to consumer protection within the City. The main question to be answered is to whether a consumer agency within the organization can police itself effectively to protect the consumers against abuses within its own membership. There are many pros and cons on this question. Therefore the Health and Portection Committee voted to hold this open hearing.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: Legislative and Rules Committee took no action on that.

- (8) LETTER FROM CITY REPS. MCINERNEY AND WIDER REGARDING SECURITY AT THE STAMFORD RAILROAD STATION due to the removal of 2 patrol men at the station.

MR. BLUM: The committee voted to also hold a public hearing regarding this matter.

- (9) PROPOSED RESOLUTION REGARDING RAILROAD STATION submitted by City Reps. George Hays and Sandra Goldstein.

MR. BLUM: The committee voted unanimously to accept this resolution.

SENSE OF THE BOARD RESOLUTION

WHEREAS the Stamford Railroad Station is the gateway for many travelers entering the City of Stamford; and

WHEREAS the City of Stamford is being considered as one of three Connecticut stops for high-speed trains between Boston and Washington on the "Northeast Corridor"; and

WHEREAS the Stamford Railroad Station at its current location is limited in its capacity to provide adequate parking and facilities to Stamford commuters and area rail patrons; and

WHEREAS the present property on which the Stamford Railroad Station is located, is incapable of providing the necessary and adequate parking for projected increases in commuter and rail patrons in the near future; and

WHEREAS the Federal Government is willing to fund the construction of a new railroad facility on State-leased land; and

WHEREAS the deplorable conditions of crime, vandalism and lack of maintenance at the present station site is a disgrace to the City of Stamford; and

WHEREAS the Urban Redevelopment and the future retail shopping complex would be better served by a centrally-located railroad station; and

WHEREAS, downtown Stamford would be better served by a well-integrated intermodal mass transportation system of bus, taxi and airport service transportation centered around a common and centrally-located railroad station; and

WHEREAS the future development of the present Stamford Railroad Station and its surrounding property is at best dubious;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford supports and encourages current legislative efforts to determine the feasibility of relocating the present site of the Stamford Railroad Station to a parcel of land leased by the State of Connecticut and currently used for limited railroad purposes; and

THAT the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford specifically endorses House Bill 5477, introduced into the 1977 legislative session of the Connecticut General Assembly and urges its passage; and

THAT a copy of this Resolution be sent to the members of the Connecticut General Assembly.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER: We don't have the money available to send copies to every member of the General Assembly. We'll send it to the Leadership.

MR. HAYS: Through you I want to thank the members of the Health and Protection for their unanimous endorsement of this resolution. I think this will be a service to Stamford and to many commuters who live in Stamford and commute from that awful station. I think the study results we can all anticipate, but we'll wait for the study. I think we deserve to have the study made and look forward to results in progress thereafter.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I too, would like to thank Health and Protection for its prompt attention to this matter. On February 11th, a group of Federal and State officials met in the Mayor's office in relation to the large sums of Federal Capital Funds available for the revamping and re-doing of the railroad lines across the Northeast Corridor. At that time, they told us that Stamford was most painful of all the many, many stations involved in this project, and whereas they have made miles, which is an ironic word to use of course, of progress at the other stations. On Stamford, they have made little or no progress. It was their belief, as told to us by the leader of the conference that if there were no developer involved, there would be no problem. And that really is the reason for requesting the feasibility study at the state legislature level. Because if it is feasible to move the railroad station to state-owned property, then we would really be in line for these large sums of Federal money. Now, the House Bill No. 5477 asks specifically just for a feasibility study to see whether we should relocate there, what the advantages are, what the disadvantages are, what the sums of money would be. There is a long list, so it is not for a fait-accompli, but merely to find out how much it would cost. Also the bill requests a certain amount of money to be spent to make the feasibility study a reality. Not the results a reality, but to be sure that this study does take place, so that we know once and for all where we're going. There's just no question in the mind of anyone who commutes on the railroad from the Stamford station that there are vast problems involved.

MR. MORGAN: It is only recently that I've become a commuter and had the opportunity to spend some time on a regular basis in the Stamford railroad station and it's really disappointing that that's the kind of facility we have in this City. I think all of us would hope that we could have something like what exists in Greenwich. But the sad fact is that there aren't enough corporate re-locations going on at the moment for the developers who own that piece of property to find a tenant to move in there and build the kind of facility that we would like. It's not going to happen. Meanwhile, all the commuters including the newest of them are suffering from a terribly inadequate facility. The timing looks great; there's a chance that there might be some federal funds to support this. There appears to be a place to put it, and I think that a study to find out whether this is really a feasible idea is altogether appropriate and I would support the resolution.

MR. WIDER: I certainly would endorse the feasibility study, but I'm a little concerned about doing something about the problem immediately up at the railroad station. I have been listening to hear a report from our Representative Tom Serrani about his meeting with the Dept. of Transportation last Saturday. I went to the railroad station, but unfortunately, I didn't see anyone there. Has anyone heard anything from that report?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM: I certainly know what the commuters go through. I've worked there for 27 years and this City let an opportunity slip by their hands when they didn't buy that railroad station. But that's gone. I've heard from many commuters who have lost cars - cars stolen from underneath the turnpike, lost batteries, tires slashed, windows broken. Vandalism galore, in and around that property. No protection, no health standards, no nothing. And they had the opportunity, and like I heard from one of our representatives, why do they have to fix it when they're making a profit on their investment. So I think we best have to move from that spot and change that location. And that location is quite in the realm of where I work. Well, that's the best place, that's where we have to go.

MR. ZIMBLER: I really sympathize with Mr. Morgan and his new situation. As one who commuted for six and a half years and who recalls the experience of commuting with about the same fondness that one would an exile on Devil's Island. I now wholeheartedly concur with this and I hope we all give it our support. It deserves it.

MR. SIGNORE: MOVE the question.

MR. MILLER: The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (10) PROPOSED SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION regarding a Veterans' Clinic at St. Mary's School property submitted by City Rep. Michael G. Morgan.

SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the General Assembly is considering the authorization of a Veterans' Clinic in Fairfield County,

AND WHEREAS the former St. Mary's School building is one of the sites under consideration for this facility,

AND WHEREAS this site is the best site for economic, environmental and transportation reasons and it is the best interest of the county veterans,

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that it is a Sense-of-the 14th Board of Representatives that the Connecticut General Assembly, when it authorizes a Veterans' Clinic in Fairfield County, it designate the former St. Mary's School building as a site for this facility.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. MORGAN: This is really a very simply resolution and I won't go through all the reasons why St. Mary's would be the best place to have a veterans' clinic in Fairfield.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MORGAN (continuing) I think that this Board, and I'm sure most of the people here agree with me, considers too many Sense-of-the-Board resolutions, I am to some extent guilty of that since I don't hesitate to introduce them when one strikes my fancy. There are two sites that are seriously under consideration. There is the site in Norwalk and there's the site in Stamford. The people in Norwalk, various groups are endorsing that location as the place where they want the facility to be. When the matter is debated on the floor of the General Assembly, undoubtedly, the representatives from Norwalk are going to show the resolutions that they have from the various organizations and municipal bodies in Norwalk supporting that site location. In order for the Stamford representatives to debate this thing forcibly, and in a way that will make a difference, I think that they have got to demonstrate that there is an equal and as strong a support in Stamford for the site in our City. And that's the purpose of this resolution and I would urge its adoption.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I agree wholeheartedly with Mr. Morgan and I do think that in that Sense-of-the-Board resolution has meaning. I also think it's really very apropos at this time to thank Mr. Truglia for all the efforts that he has expended on behalf of a veterans clinic here in Stamford and I, as a member of the Board, do thank him for that.

MR. ZELINSKI: I would also like to reiterate what Mr. Morgan has said. There is no doubt about it that the site in Stamford is far superior to the other town site, and to reiterate what Mrs. Goldstein just said, I think Stamford owes a great debt to our hard working Rep. Anthony Truglia who is bringing a lot of time and effort in this and I would like to concur with 100%.

MR. HAYS: Some time ago I had the occasion to walk through this facility, every square foot of it, making an analysis of it as a possible site for a corporation that was considering moving to Stamford. Needless to say, it didn't fit the bill there, but from that observation I can tell you it does fit the bill for this proposal and the people who make the final analysis will only be right if this is their decision. And in furtherance of Mrs. Goldstein's comment, I know how hard Tony Pia and his people have been working for this, and I certainly think their efforts should not go unnoticed also.

MR. SIGNORE: Mr. Hays just said what I wanted to say. This facility is a much needed one in Stamford and I think we should all get behind this and help people who have worked so hard and so long on this and do our utmost to see that it is put in St. Mary's School in Stamford.

MR. WIDER: I'm indeed grateful to Tony Pia and Rep. Truglia for the work that they have done. As one of the veterans who have travelled backwards and forwards, up and down the east coast and who has to go to the West Haven Hospital, and I looked at the Norwalk site and I'm really opposed to it, period. It's too far from transportation and that is a problem with West Haven Hospital. I don't know whether you realize it, but we have a lot of people in this town who served in World War II who today are becoming blind. They can't see how to drive.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WIDER (continuing) And I drove this home to Senator Ribicoff and also to Lowell Weicker because I was up there a couple of times and I saw people who had to miss appointments because they couldn't get to West Haven. This was a neglect. So I'm indeed proud to endorse the - well I would say the law that we are trying to create a veterans' hospital, I would hope that everyone would vote for it.

MR. COSTELLO: St. Mary's School is located in the 6th District and speaking for the residents of the area, I'm sure that they would all be proud to have the veterans' clinic located there. And I wish Mr. Truglia and Mr. Pia the best of luck in their endeavors with this problem.

Mr. Sandor: I don't question the need for the veterans' hospital, but I have some questions of whether the need is that great to take on that great an undertaking. Since I listened to the talk show and one of the veterans said that was called to West Haven after a couple of years when they heard that they were going to close it down, he met all his friends up there to make a showing to show there was a need in West Haven. I think it would be much cheaper to have bus servicemorning and night, rather than a whole new facility which is going to cost millions and millions of dollars. I just question the need. The second thing I have is, the parishioners worked very hard to put that up as a school and I question whether they were asked whether they want to sell that or whether it was just the Bishop willing to sell it without asking them. Because, I feel they put in all their hard efforts in there and they should be consulted whether they might want it for a senior citizen home for their parishioners. There is a great need for that. So that's the only thing I have against it.

MR. MORGAN: Just responding to a couple of the points that Mr. Sandor raised, I don't have the information in front of me, but I recall reading in some of the material that I've seen about the proposed veterans' clinic, there are more veterans living in Fairfield County than in any other county in the State of Connecticut. So clearly there's a demonstrated need for a facility here, not in West Haven and not in Hartford area, but here in Fairfield County. Secondly, I think that if you talk to Father McDermott who is the pastor of St. Mary's he'll tell you that he would sell St. Mary's School if he could, that the building is empty, that it's serving no purpose at the present time. The parishioners, the parish council, the Bishop are all in agreement that using this facility for a veterans clinic would be entirely appropriate and consistent with their thinking and they would support it 100%. I think, too, that it strengthens the case of our representatives in Hartford if they can say that this resolution was passed by this body by unanimous vote. So I would hope that everyone who's present tonight will vote in favor of it so that when our representatives are debating this on the floor of the General Assembly, they can say that the Stamford Board of Representatives was 100% behind a veterans' clinic in this City.

MR. SIGNORE: I MOVE the question.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The question is on adoption of the Sense-of-the-Board resolution regarding a veterans' clinic at St. Mary's School property. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. BLUM: That ends my committee report.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE - John Sandor

(1) COMPLAINTS FROM CONSTITUENTS OF CITY REP. WILLIAM H. FLANAGAN REGARDING NOISY AND WILD WEEKEND ACTIVITIES AT CHESTNUT HILL PARK RECENTLY.

MR. SANDOR: Mr. President, due to a number of meetings and other personal reasons, I wasn't able to schedule a meeting of the Parks & Recreation Committee so I'll probably have to ask for a Suspension of the Rules on some issues here. I have a report on the first item that Mr. Flanagan wanted regarding the noisy, wild weekend activities at Chestnut Hill Park.

MR. MILLER: Go ahead and speak on it, Mr. Sandor, but you can't report on behalf of the committee.

MR. SANDOR: I got this from the police report, from Mr. Hananhan, this is in regards to the large group at Chestnut Hill Park. Officers dispatched to Chestnut Hill Park, Chestnut Hill Rd., complain of large groups in said park. This is my report: Officers spoke with operation of unit - I think it's 482, auxiliary officer. He said that there were several cars parked in the parking lot of Chestnut Hill Park. Officer Potolicchio proceeded in the rear end of the park where there were approximately 100 youths in the picnic area, boys and girls. They informed the officer that they were all from the senior class at the Stamford High School having a get-together. The officer spoke with the group via the outside speaker of the radio car informing them to keep the noise down, not to damage or litter the park, also that officer had received a complaint about noise emanating from said park. If any other complaints were received, the whole group would have to be dispersed. Officer then received another call to proceed to Vineyard Lane in reference to a mailbox vandalism in the area. Officer, in leaving said park, spoke with Officer Maloney. He was clearing said lot of youths in this area and several motor vehicles, approximately 40. The auxiliary officer assigned to unit 482 was at the entrance getting the traffic out of the park. Officer then went to Vineyard Lane and completed this call. Officer Maloney stayed at the park cleaning out youths. No names were obtained because of the vast group. In reference to any damage to the lawns and ball fields, there was prior damage observed by Officer Potolicchio for the past two weeks caused by vehicles and motorcycles. And that finishes the report.

(2) PERMIT 420-REQUEST FROM STAMFORD CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL, Joseph C. DiSette, Principal, to hang a banner across Bedford Street and across Summer Street beginning 5/1/77 to advertise a circus sponsored by Stamford Catholic High School on 6/12/77 to benefit the school's Tuition Assistance Program.

30

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SANDOR: The next matter was a letter from Principal DiSette.

MR. MILLER: I would suggest - there is no committee report on this? But you do want to make a motion, is that correct?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, I do want to make a motion.

MR. MILLER: I would suggest that the proper procedure would be for someone to make a motion to take this out of committeeMOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED.

MR. SANDOR: Stamford Catholic High wishes to hang a banner across Bedford Street and across Summer Street beginning May 1, 1977. This banner will advertise a circus sponsored by Stamford Catholic High School on June 12, 1977 to benefit the school's Tuition Assistance Program. He said he would abide by any City ordinances governing this type of display.

MR. MILLER: Well, are you making a motion, Mr. Sandor?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, to give them permission.

MR. MILLER: Moved and Seconded.

MR. BLOIS: Mr. Chairman, I know that we have many requests to put banners across Summer St. and I hope that we're not going to conflict with approving of two or three different items, because they're all asking for the same time or approximately the same times. So I think this should be cleared before we approve any particular area.

MR. SANDOR: Yes, we do have others that want to hang banners across Summer St. but he doesn't specify which part of Summer St. and there are a number who want to hang banners across the street.

MR. MILLER: Not necessarily all at the same time.

MR. SANDOR: Well, let's see. This is May 1st. I have another one here from the Polish Business and Professional Club and they want to go April 30th through May 7th. So that would be out there.

MR. MILLER: There's not only one place on Summer Street where banners can be put, is that right?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, that's right.

MR. MILLER: We have a motion on the Catholic High School request. That was seconded. Is there any further discussion?

MR. D'AGOSTINO: MOVE the question.

MR. MILLER: I don't think we have to move the question, let's just proceed to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Why don't we go through item #3 if there's anything on that and then take up those other matters.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977(3) THE MATTER OF BEACH STICKER FEES

MR. SANDOR: The item of beach stickers, I guess we'll have to Suspend the Rules for this also.

MR. MILLER: I would suggest that somebody move this out of committee. MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. So a motion will be made by Mr. Sandor as a member of the Board, not on behalf of any committee. SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

MR. SANDOR: I make the motion. The Park Commission voted to retain the current fees system for parking stickers and ball fields at Cummings and Scalzi Parks. The following is a current schedule of fees:

Parking stickers - residents and/or taxpayer	\$ 1.00
Summer residents	5.00
Non-resident for parking in sports area only	1.00

Cummings Park lighting fees:

Football	10.00
Softball	7.50

Scalzi Park lighting fees:

Softball	7.50
Tennis (prior to 6:00 p.m.)	1.00
Tennis - 6:00 - 10:00 p.m.	2.00
Baseball	2.00
Boxing	2.00

The Commission has tabled further study of picnic fees for Chestnut Hill Park and Rosa Hartman Park, and recommends that these fees for these areas will be submitted at a later date.

MR. MILLER: You're making a motion for approval of those fees?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, all these fees are the same as last year.

MR. MILLER: The Chair would suggest that it is now 10 minutes after 11 and I don't think we have time for a long discussion about this unless there are going to be motions or some significant action taken.

MR. BLUM: I would just like to ask a question through you to Mr. Sandor. Why is it any different to play football as compared to playing baseball? More players?

MR. MILLER: Are you opposing this, Mr. Blum?

MR. BLUM: No, I'm asking him a question as to why, a football game you have to pay \$10.00 to put the lights on and practically on the same field for baseball or softball you have to pay \$7.50.

MR. MILLER: I think Mr. Blois can answer that question.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLOIS: OK, let's take baseball. You have a softball game you can play in 45 minutes, a football game takes a least 3 hours, so there's a lot of difference in the lighting charges.

MRS. SANTY: A question for Mr. Sandor, maybe Mr. Blois can answer it. What is the fee for resident senior citizens?

MR. SANDOR: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that Mrs. Santy.

MRS. SANTY: What is the fee for resident senior citizens? The parking fee, is there a fee for them?

MR. SANDOR: For parking down at the beaches and the parks? There's a fee of \$1.00 that's charged for anybody that enters the parks. You have to park first. You can drive through the park, but this is a beach park sticker.

MRS. SANTY: Senior citizens?

MR. SANDOR: Well, I don't think there is at this time.

MR. HAYS: I would support a modification of this to increase the parking fee for out-of-towners in the sports areas to a larger number, because I feel that they use up spaces that would normally be available to the local people. I've seen many of these areas get crowded and people have to go elsewhere looking for parking spaces.

MR. BLOIS: Mr. Hays, when we refer to a sticker - this is a special ball sticker, that means that the teams coming from out-of-town pay \$1.00 to park right near the ball field. They don't go out of the ball field, stay right in the immediate area. And we reciprocate when we go out of town, they do the same for us. So when they come into town, we're only reciprocating what the other towns are doing for us.

MR. HAYS: If that's what it is, fine. I did not realize it was a one-time situation.

MR. BLOIS: Well, this is a special sticker for just the ball teams that come from out-of-town.

MRS. SANTY: I'd like to modify the proposal and I would like to move that any senior citizen, resident of the City of Stamford are allowed to park free in all the parking areas in the City.

MR. MILLER: An amendment to Mr. Sandor's motion.

MRS. SANTY: Yes it is, Mr. President.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. SANDOR: I would like to ask Mrs. Santy, how she would go about it. They would have to pay something.

MRS. SANTY: Well, number one, all the senior citizens do have a card. That's the card they have to show at discount houses. I think that would be appropriate, they'd have to show their card.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SANDOR: In other words, they wouldn't use the card to get a sticker?

MRS. SANTY: Yes, they would use the card to get a free sticker. There would be no fee for parking for any senior citizen.

MR. MILLER: There are two questions raised. How much money this costs and secondly, the mechanism by which this policy would be enforced. In other words, how do you determine who is a senior citizen and so forth?

MRS. SANTY: They do have a card, Mr. President.

MR. MILLER: Not every senior citizen has a card.

MRS. SANTY: But they could get it, it's available for them.

MR. ZELINSKI: I'd also like to make another amendment to the motion -

MR. MILLER: Let's take one amendment at a time unless it has something to do with Mrs. Santy's motion.

MR. ZELINSKI: Well, indirectly it does. May I proceed and then you can let me know if it's germane or not. Quite honestly, I don't feel that any taxpayer of Stamford should have to pay any fee to use the public beaches. So I would like to see no fee charged, that \$1.00 fee for the citizens of Stamford.

MR. MILLER: We'll take that up when we finish with Mrs. Santy's motion.

MR. RAVALLESE: Will you please announce where the senior citizens would have to go to get their card and then to present it at the beaches, if you make the amendment?

MRS. SANTY: They can contact Quintard Terrace. They have a schedule of when they can apply. It used to be the third and fourth Wednesdays. It is available to every senior citizen resident of the City of Stamford. It's a fee of 50¢ for the card and it makes them eligible for all the discounts in all the discount places around Stamford.

MR. SANDOR: I just - thinking about the registration, I guess, I don't know whether the year of the birth of the individual is - if he's over 65 or over. That probably might work. Because you do have to bring your car registration in order to solicit the sticker. So that might be a way out instead of having to go to Quintard Terrace.

MRS. PERILLO: Well, mine is not on Lois' motion. Mine is on the same thing, on the stickers, but not on that. So could I speak a little later when we come to it?

MRS. HAWES: I think Mrs. Santy's idea is very good, but I see one problem with the mechanics of it. How is anyone to know, if in fact, the senior citizen is driving the car to the beach? They could give it to their grandchild to take to the beach every day.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. HAWE (continuing) I don't really know if that would be robbing the City of some of its revenues. Do you have any suggestions, Mrs. Santy?

MR. MILLER: Is it beyond the realm of possibility, Mr. Sandor, perhaps Mr. Blois could answer this, to delay this entire matter until the May meeting?

MR. SANDOR: I was going to suggest that, Mr. Miller, and let's look into it a little further. I know that the Parks Dept. does extend courtesies to the elderly citizens in allowing them to fish and go down there with no charge. They do give them a card, but I would suggest we put this back into committee and just look into it a little further and come out with something a little bit better than we have tonight, because I can see some problems with the way we're presenting it tonight.

MR. MILLER: That is, if Mrs. Santy would withdraw her motion.

MRS. SANTY: I withdraw my motion and put it back into committee, as long as we act on it in May.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Blois is making a motion to put this back into committee. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. There are other matters and before we go on, the Chair would like to observe that this room is not the library and I think what we do here is important, I don't think any reading should be done here.

MR. SANDOR: I believe we'll have to have somebody Suspend the Rules.

MR. MILLER: Well, it's not on the agenda. So let someone move to Suspend the Rules. MOVED and SECONDED. The question is on Suspension of the Rules to consider this letter from the Poland Business and Professional Club. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

SUSPENSION OF THE RULES(4) PERMIT NO. 421 - POLISH-AMERICAN HERITAGE WEEK BANNER

MR. SANDOR: I have this letter from the Poland Business and Professional Club which reads as follows: "From April 30th through May 7, 1977, the Polish-American community in Stamford will celebrate Polish-American Heritage Week. Last year we flew a banner advertising this week over Summer Street and would like the Board's permission to do the same this year. On May 1st, as part of Polish-American Heritage Week, we will be dedicating a monument to General Kosciuszko at Kosciuszko Park. On behalf of the committee, I would like to invite the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford to this, the last bicentennial event of the year."

MR. MILLER: We're making a motion?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, to give them permission.

MR. MILLER: Is there a second?

MR. SANDOR: They must conform to all the ordinances and regulations. You know, Police and Fire Departments.

33

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER: The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Is there anything further, Mr. Sandor?

MR. SANDOR: Yes, I have one from the Exchange Club of Stamford.

MR. MILLER: Well, lets have a motion. MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(5) PERMIT NO. 422 - EXCHANGE CLUB OF STAMFORD BANNER

MR. SANDOR: I have the letter here which reads as follows: "As we have for many years in the past, we are holding a bike sale at Rippowam High School on May 7th for the benefit of our youth fund. We would like to again hang a banner across Summer Street near the House of Pancakes, starting about April 11th until May 8th or 9th. If this location has already been requested, we would like to hang the banner across Summer St. at 5th Street, or as a third choice on Bedford Street in the downtown area."

MR. MILLER: Is there a second to that motion? MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. I believe there is another matter, Mr. Sandor, concerning the Patriotic and Special Events.

MR. SANDOR: Yes, there is. And also somebody will have to Suspend the Rules.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(6) PERMIT NO. 423 - PATRIOTIC AND SPECIAL EVENTS COMMITTEE - BLOCK PARTY.

MR. SANDOR: I have a letter from Frederick J. Wayne, Chairman of the Patriotic and Special Events Commission which reads as follows: "Patriotic and Special Events Committee is planning to hold a second annual block party on the evening of July 1, 1977. As chairman of this event, I request permission from the Board of Representatives to use the Atlantic Street in downtown Stamford from Bank to Broad Streets as we did last year for the party. We shall proceed with our plans as soon as the request is granted."

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. Discussion. We'll proceed to a vote.

MR. BLUM: If this Block Party will be anything like we had last year, I'm all in favor of it.

MR. MILLER: The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(7) BRUNO GIORDANO - TITLE XX \$2,400 GRANT FOR THE USE TO THE STATE PARKS, ETC. FROM THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF SPECIAL SERVICES, FOR THE BOARD OF RECREATION

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLOIS: I move we Suspend the Rules for the purpose of Title XX, grant for \$2,400 for the use to the State parks, etc. from the State Department of Special Services, for the Board of Recreation. It's a grant that has to be put through the 15th of April.

MR. MILLER: This didn't go to Mr. Morgan, Chairman of the Fiscal Committee?

MR. BLOIS: No, it didn't. It just came. I think Mr. Sandor just received this a couple of days ago and it's a special -

MR. MILLER: There's nothing wrong with doing it this way if the Board wants to, but this type of matter normally would be considered also by the Fiscal Committee, but there's nothing wrong with omitting that procedure if the Board votes to Suspend the Rules. Does this have to go through the Board of Finance? Does anyone know? Has it gone through the Board of Finance?

MR. BLOIS: The only thing I can relate to you at this time is that this was mailed on April 1st, and a copy was mailed to me and I'm sure Mr. Sandor got a copy of it. It says: "The Board of Recreation was notified of this availability of the subject grant by the Welfare Office on March 23, 1977. However, there is a deadline date of April 15, 1977 for submittal. And the required approval of your Board under Suspension of the Rules to go forward with the contract for the subject grant. There will be no effect on the City's mill rate or budget as the \$2,400 is 100% funded by the State."

MR. MILLER: But has it gone through the Board of Finance?

MR. BLOIS: I'm sure it hasn't gone through any Boards.

MR. WIDER: In view of the information that we have just received, in fact we do need the money. I would move for Suspension of the Rules.

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The question is on Suspension of the Rules to consider this item. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. May I see that again, Mr. Blois? The Chair would suggest that a motion to approve this, this evening would be appropriate.

MRS. PERILLO: Mr. Miller, could we have a head count - a roll call vote on the representatives that are still here?

MR. MILLER: There is a request for a roll call vote, yes.

MRS. PERILLO: Mr. Miller, I think this is a disgrace that the Board of Representatives do not stay for the entire meeting. Some representatives stay here 'til their pet project comes up on the agenda and it's passed, they pack up and leave. I work twelve hours a day, too. And I stay here 'til one, two in the morning. And I think you, the Majority Leader and the Minority should get together and do something about it. If they can't stay 'til the end of the meeting, they should get off the Board.

MR. MILLER: Your point is well taken, Mrs. Perillo. The clerk will call the roll.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

ROLL CALL WAS TAKEN.

MR. MILLER: There being 22 members present, the Chair declares that a quorum is present. We'll proceed to a vote. There was a motion made, I believe, - why don't you make a motion Mr. Blois for this, for approval of this matter.

MR. BLOIS: Could I make a motion that this Board accept the \$2,400 Grant for Busing of youths to State Parks?

MR. MILLER: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY with 22 members present. Is there anything further, Mr. Sandor?

MR. SANDOR: That ends my report.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Vere Wiesley - No Report

SEWER COMMITTEE - Item #1 acted upon on April 4, 1977.

PUBLIC HOUSING AND GENERAL RELOCATION COMMITTEE - Jeremiah Livingston

- (1) LETTER FROM HEALTH DEPT. RE THE HOUSING AUTHORITY. Held in Committee 2/9/77.
No report. Held 3/14/77 and will make report next meeting.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Livingston is absent. No report.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE - Robert H. Costello

MR. COSTELLO: First let me say it'll be a very hard task to replace Mr. Glucksman. I personally know of all the hours Mr. Glucksman spent at the URC headquarters and I give him a lot of credit. As Chairman, I will try to keep the Board up to date on the progress of Urban Renewal. One point of information at this time is that the Marriott Hotel has already hired 284 people, 250 of which reside in Stamford. I think that's a very big plus on their part. I hope to see everyone at the grand opening of the Marriott next week.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Dr. Lynn M. Lowden

- (1) THE MATTER OF THE GENOVESE PROPERTY, THE FILLING THEREOF, DANNELL DRIVE VICINITY.

DR. LOWDEN: A couple of brief comments, if I may. One, if anyone's still listening, concerning the open hours of the McGee Ave. dumping site. I think we talked about this last month. It is open on week days, I believe it's 8:30 to 3:00, I don't have my notes with me. The problem is that people wanted to know when it was open when they were not working, and apparently it is open on Saturday. Secondly, the matter of the flyash stored on the Genovese property. Dr. Gofstein, our Health Director, has strongly stated his opposition to the flyash being retained on this property, citing it as a health hazard.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

DR. LOWDEN (continuing) After many months, many months, of no positive response from Mr. Genovese, it appears that Commissioner Pa k of the State Environmental Protection Department has sort of laid down the law by providing an acceptable dumping site and demanding that the flyash be removed from its present site, threatening prompt court action if the order is not complied with. That's about where it stands now and it appears to be on its way, finally, to a speedy resolution.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Unfortunately, it has appeared on several occasions that the problem was close to a resolution and that has never occurred. Because of the threat of court action, possibly something will happen now. But the dumping continues there I do want to thank Dr. Lowden for the information he has provided the Board with. I also would like to thank Dr. Gofstein for the persistence with which he has tried to resolve the health hazard aspect of this problem.

MR. ZIMBLER: Just to echo what Mrs. Goldstein has just said, thank you, Dr. Lowden, for following through on this. Thank you very much, Dr. Gofstein for all you've done and thank you, Commissioner Pa k for what you're trying to do for us. Our old Health Commissioner and our new Environmental Protection Commissioner hopefully will get the job done.

HOUSE COMMITTEE - Gerald Rybnick

- (1) THE MATTER OF ALTERATIONS TO THE VOTING MACHINE IN ORDER TO RECORD VOTES AUTOMATICALLY. Thomsen's Audio has submitted a design and proposal of \$4,400.00 to make alterations and additions to our present system. Held in Committee 2/9/77. No report 3/14/77.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Rybnick is not present. The Chair would simply note that there will be a meeting at 7:30 p.m. next Monday of the leadership of the Board together with the members of the House Committee of the Board to consider modification of the voting machine. And it is our intention to meet with a representative of the Purchasing Dept. and a representative of the company which installed the machine we presently have. That is not to say, that any commitment has been made to that company, but we are meeting on the question of the voting machine, because it is now apparent that we will probably continue to have a 40-member Board of Representatives.

DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE COMMITTEE - John Schlechtweg II - No report.CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

MR. MILLER: The Chair is going to make a few comments about Charter Revision. There will be a public hearing conducted by the Charter Revision Committee of the Board on the 21st of this month. And the holding of a public hearing is clearly mandated by state law. On the 28th of this month there will be a Special Meeting of the entire Board to consider Charter Revision.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE - Christine Nizolek

- (1) THE TENTH CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION HAS SUBMITTED ITS 98-PAGE REPORT ENTITLED "PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD." A public hearing will be held Monday, March 28, 1977 at 7:00 p.m. at the C loonan Middle School.

Above to be referred to various committees, as they apply.

MR. SIGNORE: Would it be in order for each, if they wish, for each side of the aisle to have a private little caucus with certain members of the Charter Revision Commission for a briefing? Or is it against the rules?

MR. MILLER: There's nothing wrong with that, no. If the Republican members of the Board of Representatives wish to meet with the Republican members of the Charter Revision Commission, there is nothing wrong with that.

MR. SIGNORE: Ok, that's all I asked. I didn't want any conflicts of any kind.

MR. MILLER: The Charter Revision Committee has a lot of very important work to do between today and the 21st of April, the date of the public hearing. The committee is going to have to meet and comb through the entire report made to us by the Charter Revision Commission, and I don't think the committee should underestimate the importance and the complexity of the job. There will be this public hearing on the 21st of this month at which the public will have an opportunity to express itself on the report, and of course, the meeting of the entire Board on April 28th is most important. The Charter Revision Committee of this Board will, I would expect, be meeting also again between the 21st and the 28th, and at that April 28th meeting the Charter Revision Committee of the Board will play the role that any of the Standing Committees would play at a regular meeting of the Board. I might add that we have extensive records in the office of the past Charter Revision Commissions and minutes of the meetings of this Board, when this Board dealt with Charter Revision and they are available to any member who wished to go through those records. I do want to stress the fact that that meeting on the 28th is a meeting at which the Charter Revision Committee will have an important function because it will be making recommendations to the entire Board. There are some legal questions which have developed and it is the President's intention tomorrow to draft a letter to Mr Wise, the Corporation Counsel, in which the President will raise a couple of questions about legal matters concerning Charter Revision. Copies will be sent to all the members of the Board. But there are a couple of questions that have arisen and they are serious questions in my mind and I feel that I have an obligation to make a formal request for an opinion, and that will go to the corporation counsel tomorrow. One of the problems seems to be that the report given to us by the Commission is substantially a copy of the present Charter containing certain additions and changes that the Charter Revision Commission would like to see approved. And the two questions that arise are these: One, is it possible for this Board at the April 28th meeting to even consider any section of the present Charter which the Commission wants left unchanged? And the second question is, can this Board at that April 28th meeting vote to make a recommendation that the Charter Revision Commission add a section or other new sections to the Charter?

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MILLER (continuing) For instance, Mr. Kuczo, a member of the commission has advocated the creation of a Charter and Ordinance Enforcement Commission. Now the Charter Revision Commission did not chose to put that into the Charter in their report to us. That doesn't exist. They sent us a letter in which they discussed Mr. Kuczo's proposal, but they didn't put it into the Charter. And the question is, could this Board at the April 28th meeting chose to recommend the addition of such a commission, or could the Board chose to recommend the addition of anything else. So those two questions arise and the President would have to confess that because this Commission did follow a procedure which was somewhat different from that followed by some of the former commissions, and because the report itself is rather different from those presented by some of the former commissions, the Chair cannot really look to the minutes of past meetings for too much guidance.

So there are a couple of questions that have to be thrashed out with the Law Dept. and I hope they really won't present a problem. But the basic question is, how much latitude do we have? How much are we restricted by the report, and the way in which the Commission has done its work?

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR - NONE

PETITIONS - NONE

RESOLUTIONS - NONE

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS - NONE

OLD BUSINESS

MR. BLUM: I would like to report that there is still one vacancy on the Health and Protection Committee. When you intend to fill that, I don't know, but if we have that, you know it makes it quite difficult to have a quorum without this vacancy being filled. Someone brought it up at this meeting this evening, I think it was Rep. Sandra Goldstein, about Thursday, March 31st in which five committees met one night in which there was a dancing to keep a quorum up in five committees, and I think something should be done about this. Look at the Board for the May 2nd or 3rd, there isn't much time, or many dates left open for any committee in which to call a night and say "That's the night we're going to meet", because there is going to be quite a heavy schedule this month and the calendar is already packed. I think something has to be found in which committees have specific dates and two or three don't meet on that specific date so they are dancing around from one committee to another in order to hold a quorum.

MR. MILLER: I think your point is well taken, Mr. Blum. I think when the 15th Board of Representatives organizes, that Board will have to consider the fact that perhaps the Board should have fewer committees, with fewer members on some of those committees. And perhaps a smaller Steering Committee.

MINUTES OF REGULAR ADJOURNED MEETING APRIL 6, 1977OLD BUSINESS (continued)

MRS. SANTY: This is nothing to do with committee assignments, Mr. President. But very briefly, I've received many phone calls from many of my constituents and they're very concerned about the flood victims in southern states and I would like to urge all of us, through their wishes, that through our churches, synagogues, fraternal organizations and service clubs to open up our hearts and help in any way we can.

MR. SIGNORE: Can I move to adjourn?

MR. MILLER: Well, I'm not through. I just wanted to point out to Mr. Schlechtweg and Mr. Wider, they are now members of the Fiscal Committee and they are expected to participate with the Fiscal Committee in the hearing to be held next Tuesday evening. And you might wish to call, each of you, Mr. Morgan about that. The Chair would also like to see the members of the Charter Revision Committee who are present.

MR. BLUM: Mr. President, I wonder if you ever recognize seniority, if it meant anything?

NEW BUSINESS - NONE

ADJOURNMENT: THE MEETING WAS ADJOURNED AT 11:45 P.M.

Helen M. McEvoy
 Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative
 Assistant and Recording Secretary

APPROVED:

Frederick E. Miller, Jr.

Frederick E. Miller, Jr., President
 14th Board of Representatives

NOTE: The above meeting was Broadcast
 in its entirety over Radio Sta-
 tion WSTC

HG:et al;