MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 1977 REGULAR MEETING

15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 15th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, was held on Monday, December 12, 1977, in the Board's Legislative Chambers on the Second Floor of the Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

After both political parties had met in caucus at 7:30 P.M., the meeting was called to order by the PRESIDENT, JOHN WAYNE FOX, at 8:37 P.M.

INVOCATION was given by the Rev. Michael D'Elia of St. John's Roman Catholic Church.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG: The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by the President.

MOMENT OF SILENCE: City Rep. Audrey Maihock requested a Moment of Silence in memory of the late Dr. Peter C. Goldmark, one of Stamford's most distinguished citizens, who recently passed away.

MRS. MAIHOCK: What a gift to us all in Stamford that such a great man chose to live and work among us. His inventive genius widened our communication horizons and his contribution to try to unravel the complex problems of our crowded cities to his New World society concept was evidence of his interest to make life more pleasant and more meaningful for us. He took time to care about other people less fortunate. He worked to give them opportunities. Our thoughts are with Dr. Goldmark's family and we wish to honor him here tonight.

ROLL CALL: The CLERK, DIANE RAYMOND, took the Roll Call. There were 39 present and 1 absent. Mrs. Barbara McInerney was the absent member.

The PRESIDENT declared a QUORUM.

SWEARING IN: The President swore in two members who had not been previously sworn in: STANLEY DARER (R) and LEO CARLUCCI (D).

PAGES: SHANNON MATHENY, a senior at Stamford High School.

STEVEN SHARPER, a junior at Stamford High School.

CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE:

MR. FOX: Let me make one or two points with respect to the checking of the voting machine. It is my understanding that some of the Representatives have this evening, changed their seats. That is going to create problems because each set and each switch is lined up to a particular name, so if you have changed your seat this evening, before we test the voting machine I would ask you to go back to where you have previously been assigned.

MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 1977 REGULAR MEETING

MR. FOX (continuing): If you want to change your seats later, then we could work that out at a later time, but for this evening, if you don't do that, you're going to be voting for someone else. So all those who have changed, if you would go back to your original positions, we will then test the voting machine.

MR. LIVINGSTON: God knows, I would be the last one to want to create problems about a seat. But I have sat here for at least three terms and I did not relinquish this particular seat; and for some reason, someone thought that I should sit some place else. This is my choice to sit here, and I don't see any reason why I cannot sit here.

MR. FOX: I know of no reason why you cannot sit there either, Mr. Livingston. I think this evening we have finally obtained a Chairman of the House Committee and I'm sure the Chairman of that Committee, and I, too, would be happy to speak with you and attempt to make arrangements for you to keep that seat. The only thing I am asking this evening is that for purposes of moving the meeting along, and for purposes of being able to use the voting machine this evening, that for the time being, you switch back to where you were previously so that you are voting for yourself and not for someone else. We then can arrange to have you put back in your favorite seat at a later time. I would ask the members of the Board to test the voting machine by voting up for YES. I'll clear the machine and I would ask that you bear with us this evening. This is the first time that we're using the new machine. If the members would again test the machine by voting up for yes. Now, vote down for NO. The voting machine being operational, we will then move to the Acceptance of the Minutes.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES: November 14, 1977 Regular Meeting - ACCEPTED November 28, 1977 Adjourned Mtg. - ACCEPTED December 1, 1977 Organizational Mtg. - ACCEPTED

MR. MORGAN: I MOVE that we accept the minutes of November 14, 1977. SECONDED.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I wish to ABSTAIN on the two November minutes, the 14th and 28th, because I was not present at those meetings.

MR. FOX: All those in favor of Mr. Morgan's motion, say AYE. All opposed No. It is UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED. Are there any abstentions on that? With the new machine, it is my understanding that we can pick up abstentions on the machine. I would ask you to use the machine. Is there anyone who has not voted? There being 22 in favor, 1 against, the remaining are abstentions, that motion is approved.

MR. MORGAN: I MOVE that we accept the minutes of November 28, 1977. SECONDED.

MR. FOX: Again, I would suggest that we vote by using the machine. Those in favor, vote up for YES; down for NO. If you abstain, then don't touch the button at all. There being 21 votes in favor, no votes against, and the remaining individuals abstaining, the Motion is CARRIED.

MR. MORGAN: I MOVE for acceptance of the December 1, 1977 Organizational Meeting. SECONDED.

MR. SHERER: I would like to record what I feel is an error in the Minutes. There was an omission of the Legislative & Rules Committee listing on the second to the last page, page 10, it should have been listed in the Standing Committees and it is not there. I guess it should been page 10 between Fiscal and Personnel.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I would like to say that I am delighted to see the Minutes here tonight. I know that the staff had a very short time to prepare these Minutes and it is very, very pleasing to me to see them on the desk tonight. Normally, I would not vote for any minutes, I mean, getting it the same night that we have to vote on them. But, because it has been such a short span of time between the meeting and the minutes referred to and this meeting itself, I will vote on these minutes tonight. I hope this is a practice that will be carried forth.

MR. FEIGHAN: I was just going to go along with Rep. Sherer. As Chairman of Legislative and Rules, I feel a little slighted.

MR. BAXTER: I would like to make some comments about these minutes. In addition to the short time in which they were completed, which I'm impressed with, I also noticed that there was an attempt made to shorten some of the comments in here, but leave others verbatim, and I think a nice balance was struck between leaving out the repetitive things, but keeping in the essence of the comments. And I commend our office staff in that, and I hope that you continue.

MR. FEIGHAN: I have a list of the members of the Legislative and Rules Committee and I would like to make a Motion to add it to these minutes.

MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Mr. Feighan. The members of the Legislative & Rules Committee, which will number 9 members, are the following: Michael Feighan, Chairman; Diane Raymond, Charles Wilmot, Henry Markiewicz, George Baxter, Donald Sherer, Stanley Darer, Charles Festo who will serve as Vice-Chairman, and Barbara McInerney. And since I made the main motion, I would accept this amendment as a friendly amendment.

MR. FOX: And your motion then would be to accept the minutes of that meeting as corrected?

MR. MORGAN: Yes, that is correct.

MR. FOX: We will vote by using the machine. Is there anyone who has not voted?

MR. SIGNORE: Mr. Chairman, Point of Order. I'm looking at the different committees that were set up. I thought that the intent was to make the committees smaller and more manageable and to have people on less committees so they can better serve the committee that they are on. And I see that some people are on three, four, five committees and that's worse than we had on the last Board.

MR. FOX: I don't believe that comment is in order at this time, Mr. Signore. This is simply a vote on a motion to accept the minutes. The vote has been taken. It is 38 in favor; none against, and the remaining abstentions.

MR. SANTY: I noticed that on "Alternate Tellers" that my name is listed and I spoke to you about that. I want my name removed, please.

MR. BLUM: Now that we've voted on the minutes of the previousmeetings, I'd like to ask when the balance of the minutes will be ready for someone to accept from the 14th Board?

MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 1977 REGULAR MEETING

MR. FOX: Well, I don't have the answer for you at this time, Mr. Blum. I will attempt to obtain it and then pass that on to you. I think the next item on the Agenda is Appointments to Committees. At the Organizational Meeting held on December 1st, there were certain positions left vacant. I will now fill those positions.

APPOINTMENTS TO COMMITTEES

And for the purpose of clarification, I will, since these vacancies are on the Republican side, I will go through the various Committees and indicate the Republicans that will serve on these Committees.

First of all, on LEGISLATIVE AND RULES -- on the Republican side will be Mr. Festo, Mr. Sherer, Mr. Darer and Mrs. McInerney. Mr. Festo will serve as Vice-Chairman.

On PERSONNEL, the Republicans will be Mr. DeLuca, Mrs. Santy and Mr. Ventura.

On PUBLIC WORKS, the Republicans will be Mr. Pollard, Mr. Tiani, and Mr. Corbo. Mr. Pollard will serve as Vice-Chairman.

On HEALTH AND PROTECTION, the Republicans will be Mr. Ferrara, who will serve as Vice-Chairman, and Mr. DeLuca.

On SEWER, Mr. Corbo who will serve as Vice-Chairman.

On HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, the Republicans will be Mr. Darer, who will serve as Vice-Chairman, and Mrs. Hawe.

There was also a vacancy on a SPECIAL COMMITTEE, in particular, the HOUSE COMMITTEE, Mrs. Audrey Maihock will be Chairman of the HOUSE COMMITTEE.

There was also a vacancy on DRUG & ALCOHOL ABUSE COMMITTEE, a Special Committee, and Mr. Wiesley will be the Republican on that committee.

I believe that covers it, Mr. Wiesley, is that not correct? Next is the Steering Committee Report.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

MR. MORGAN: I MOVE that we WAIVE the reading of the STEERING COMMITTEE Report.

MOTION MADE, SECONDED and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

MEETING HELD MONDAY. DECEMBER 5, 1977

A meeting of the Steering Committee was held on Monday, December 5, 1977, in the Democratic Caucus Room, Second Floor, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic St., Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order by the CHAIRMAN and PRESIDENT of the Board, JOHN WAYNE FOX, at 8:10 P.M.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

There were 13 members present and 5 absent. The absent members were: Leo Carlucci, George Hays, Ralph Loomis, Michael Morgan, and Lathon Wider. The media was also represented.

The following matters on the TENTATIVE STEERING AGENDA were acted upon:

(1) APPOINTMENTS

Two prospective appointees were ORDERED ON THE AGENDA and REFERRED to the APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE:

Mr. William J. Murray (R) for CORPORATION COUNSEL.

Mr. J. Dwight Hadley (R) for COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE.

Four prospective appointees were ORDERED HELD IN COMMITTEE for the January meeting and REFERRED to the APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE for action at that time. Those held were: JAMES E. ROSECRANS (R), CLARENCE R. GREBEY (R), THOMAS A. MARTINEZ (R), JANET GARELIK (D), and PHILIP E. NORGREN (D), all for the PERSONNEL APPEALS BOARD.

(2) ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION (FISCAL) ITEMS

Twenty-five fiscal items were ORDERED ON THE AGENDA and referred to the FISCAL COMMITTEE. Three items: #16 for \$6,000.00 for the PARK DEPARTMENT Code 610.0101 Salaries; #17 for \$12,900.00 for PARK DEPARTMENT for 3 items under Code 610.; and #18 for \$9,570.00 for PARK DEPARTMENT for 2 items under Code 620., were ORDERED HELD IN COMMITTEE and REFERRED to the FISCAL COMMITTEE for future consideration. Those calling for funding over \$2,000.00 were referred to secondary committees.

LEGISLATIVE MATTERS

(3) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO SIGN A DECLARATION OF PRESERVA-TION RESTRICTIONS required by Connecticut Historical Commission in order to receive payment from State for their share of grant to restore Old Town Hall.

Ordered ON THE AGENDA and referred to Legislative & Rules Committee and Public Works Committee.

(4) REQUEST FROM STAMFORD EMERGENCY SERVICE FOR PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

Ordered OFF THE AGENDA and HELD IN COMMITTEE and referred to Lagislative and Rules Committee.

- (5) Four items concerning PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE ROLES OF ORDER OF THE 15th
 Board were ordered ON THE AGENDA and referred to Legislative and Rules
 Committee.
- (6) One item concerning PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE RULES OF ORDER on inserting the word "OPEN" before the word meeting on page 4, item 6 under "Committees" was eliminated due to the matter being handled as part of another proposed change.
- (7) One item concerning PROPOSED CHANGES IN RULES that standing committees shall be reduced in number by the merging or committees, submitted by D. Blum.

 This item ORDERED HELD IN COMMITTEE in Legislative and Rules.

MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 1977 REGULAR MEETING

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT - LEGISLATIVE MATTERS (continued)

(8) REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF LEASE BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND COMMUNITY RETURN, INC.; AND LEASE BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE BRIDGEPORT ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESAN CORP. for property at 66 West Main St. (the former West Main Community Center).

This item ordered HELD IN COMMITTEE in Legislative and Rules.

(9) LABOR CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE STAMFORD MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, INC. (MEA) for two years from 7/1/77 to 6/30/78.

This item ORDERED ON THE AGENDA under Personnel, with Fiscal as secondary.

(10) <u>IABOR CONTRACT BETWEEN STAMFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION AND STAMFORD FEDERATION</u>
<u>OF TEACHERS</u> for 3 years from June, 1977 to June, 1980.

This item ordered ON THE AGENDA on Personnel Committee; also referred to Fiscal.

(11) THE MATTER OF UNION CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN STAMFORD POLICE ASSOCIATION AND THE CITY OF STAMFORD; submission of Fact-Finding Report.

This item ordered ON THE AGENDA on Personnel Committee; also referred to Fiscal.

(12) LETTER 6/20/77 FROM FINANCE COMM. DWIGHT HADLEY ENCLOSING ARTHUR YOUNG & CO.'S REPORT "CITY OF STAMFORD - PAYROLL PROCESSING - PHASE I: ANALYSIS & GENERAL SYSTEMS DESIGN, JUNE, 1977 - for new payroll department procedures

This item ordered removed from the agenda. No further action.

(13) MATTER OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO CIVIL SERVICE REGULATIONS - Final draft due soon from Personnel Commission and Director.

This item ordered HELD IN COMMITTEE in Personnel.

(14) THE MATTER OF THE STAMFORD HOUSING AUTHORITY AND CIVIL SERVICE STATUS.

This item ordered HELD IN COMMITTEE in Personnel.

- (15) Six items for Acceptance of Streets as a City Street were ordered HELD IN COMMITTEE in PLANNING & ZONENG. The streets were: Malibu Road; Jonathon Drive; Heritage Lane; Butternut Lane; Liberty Place; Catoona Lane.
- (16) MATTER OF A DANGEROUS PROBLEM WHERE NORTH STAMFORD ROAD AND HIGH RIDGE ROAD CONVERGE. Submitted by 18th District Reps. Jeanne-Lois Santy and S. A. Signore.

This item ordered ON THE AGENDA under Transportation Committee.

- (17) LETTER of 11/28/77 FROM MAYOR CLAPES RECARDING CHARTER CHANGES WHICH MAY NOW NECESSITATE ACTION VIA ORDINANCE TO CORRECT. CLARIFY, ETC.
- This item ordered ON THE AGENDA under COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR.
- (18) THE MATTER OF CHANGING THE JANUARY MEETING DATE TO JANUARY 16, 1978.

This item ordered ON THE AGENDA under RESOLUTIONS.

There being no further business to come before the STEERING COMMITTEE, on MOTION, DULY SECONDED and CARRIED, the meeting was ADJOURNED at 9:30 P.M.

JOHN WAYNE FOX, Chairman

Steering Committee

em: MMH

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Handy Dixon

MR. DIXON: The Appointments Committee met and present were: Mildred Perillo, John Boccuzzi, John Schlechtweg, Vere Wiesley, Audrey Maihock, EverettPollard and Handy Dixon. Representative Loomis and Mrs. Barbara Forman were present during a portion of that meeting.

The first name on the agenda is that of Mr. William J. Murray. The Committee feels that Mr. Murray's Law Practice in the City of Stamford for the past thirty-one years has given him a broad knowledge of our City and our City Government. Mr. Murray demonstrated before the Committee an abundance of expertise, which assured the Committee that he is quite capable of heading the Law Department of the City of Stamford. The Committee voted 6 yes and 1 abstention for his confirmation and I would so MOVE.

MR. VENTURA: I SECOND that MOTION.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. There being no discussion, I would then move to a vote. There are 39 members present. The MOTION is CARRIED with 39 YES votes. Mr. Murray is CONFIRMED.

MR. BAXTER: I'd like the minutes to reflect that this appears to be a record for an appointment.

CORPORATION COUNSEL

Mr. William Murray UNANIMOUSLY
111 Prospect Street APPROVED
Stamford, Conn. 06901 39 YES

TERM EXPIRES: Nov. 30, 1979

MR. DIXON: Next is the name of J. Dwight Hadley. The interview with Mr. Hadley was very lengthy and left little doubt that he has professional qualifications and undoubtedly has made many contributions to the City of Stamford, bowever, it is generally felt by the Appointments Committee that Mr. Hadley's attitude and manner performance over the past two years was not conducive to good working relationship with people. The Committee voted 4 NO, 2 YES and 1 ABSTENTION, therefore denying confirmation of Mr. Hadley and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: I might suggest that from a procedural stand-point, keeping in mind the report of the Committee, that it might well be easier for purposes of voting if we have a motion on the floor for the approval of Mr. Hadley, so that when we get to a vote it will be easier for the members of the body to vote yes in favor, or no against.

MR. WIESLEY: I will then ask that we have a vote to Approve Mr. Hadley.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I would like, Mr. President, for the Chairman of that Committee to go into some kind of detail. over the negative statements that the Committee has recommended to this Board.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DIXON: For an hour and a half many questions were put to Mr. Hadley and he answered most of them. In addition to that, I have talked to many people with regard to this appointment, most of them called me. The thing that I got mostly from the people with whom I talked, was that Mr. Hadley appears to have a problems in relating to them. Some of these people are just individuals about the City of Stamford, holding common jobs, and others were men who had high positions some being Heads of Departments.

My personal feeling bere is that the position of Commissioner of Finance is one on a very high level and certainly the person holding that position should be quite capable of dealing and relating to all people.

MR. WIESLEY: I wasn't a no vote, but I'd like to make a comment or two. The ninety minutes with Mr. Hadley was time very well-spent. I think the questions put to him about his activities, about the accomplishments that were made during his two-year term, I thought were very well-covered. I was impressed with the way he did it; most of all I feel there is no question that this man's professional ability fits the job. A professional man is needed on the job; it's very difficult in the two-year period of time to get in and get some of the programs started that he did.

MR. MORGAN: I'm not acquainted with Mr. Murray, but from what I've been able to learn about bim, he seems to be very much non-political public servant kind of person. I don't believe Mr. Hadley has demonstrated that kind of approach to City Government in the two years he served as the Finance Commissioner. I was Chairman of the Fiscal Committee and I had considerable occasion to deal with him. Mr. Hadley has good credentials, he has an impressive resume, he is a certified public accountant, but it seems to me that he falls down in the area of ability. He has demonstrated time and time again, a tendency to make decisions or public statements before he has all the facts at hand. I think on the merits, it's quite clear that after two years of first-hand dealing with Mr. Hadley, it's apparent that we should not re-hire him.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Mr. Morgan covered most of the points, but seeing that I was a no vote, I don't intend to let the Chairman of the Committee sit by himself. The reasons I voted no, Mr. Livingston, Centrex, million five deficit, the incident with the Board of Recreation, the cost factors on the union contracts are not available in previous times. I think the Appointments Committee did justice in their interpretation of Mr. Hadley. I have to back Mr. Dixon.

MR. VENTURA: It was also mentioned that harmony was not important in this particular case, and I happen to disagree; I think harmony is very important; it's a fact by research that some of our departments needed allocation for various necessary activities and some of these allocations were held back, because of personality conflict, or temporarily held back. I think it is important that a man who is solely responsible for the finances of this City and in a position of such power, should at all times be cooperative and also listen to some of the cases that were presented.

MR. LOOMIS: I'd just like to remind everyone here that we are not voting on a Commissioner of Public Relations, nor a Commissioner on Personnel matters, but a Commissioner of Finance. I believe Mr. Hadley has done a good job. He's initiated a number of reforms, a number of innovative measures that I

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LOOMIS: (continuing)..think have been beneficial to this City. I'd like to say also, that as a courtesy, this Board in the past had extended this appointment to the Mayor and allowed the Mayor to choose his own man.

MR. DeLUCA: I, for one, am not voting on a case of personality or Public Relations. I plan to vote no, because of the way Mr. Hadley ramroded or pushed down our throats the Centrex System, and to go from a \$300,000 deficit to a surplus, lacks good judgement. As Commissioner of Finance he should have some insight as to what happened to the policemen and firemen's pension funds. I I think we deserve a little better than what we have been receiving.

MR. FEIGHAN: I heard somebody speaking about courtesy. I think maybe that's where the City and the past Board has been wrong for the City of Stamford. I refuse to vote on somebody as a courtesy to the Mayor, and I never will, and I hope most people here won't. It is everyone's responsibility to listen to nominations from the Mayor and find out for ourselves exactly what we feel the person has, is, can or cannot do for the particular position. I refuse to do it as courtesy to the Mayor or any other Public Official in this town.

MR. PERILLO: MOVE the QUESTION.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION IS CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The MOTION BEFORE THE Board is the Confirmation of Mr. Hadley. There is a MOTION for a ROLL CALL VOTE. The CHAIR sees sufficient number requesting a roll call vote. The Clerk will call the roll:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

Darer, Stanley
Maihock, Audrey
Festo, Charles
Hawe, Marie
Sherer, Donald
Hays, George
Livingston, Jeremiah
Ritchie, Mildred
Loomis, Ralph
Rybnick, Gerald
Wiesley, Vere

ABSTENTIONS
Price, Margaret
Schlechtweg, John
Signore, S. A.

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION

Dixon, Handy Baxter, George Ferrara, Richard Wilmot, Charles Tiani, Charles Blum, David Perillo, Alfred Robie, Jack Goldstein, Sandra J. Wayne Fox DeLuca, Robert Perillo, Mildred Corbo, Fiorenzio Carlucci, Leo Pollard, Everett Esposito, Paul Feighan, Michael Boccuzzi, John Raymond, Diane Zelinski, John Markiewicz, Henry Morgan, Michael Wider, Lathon Ventura, Joseph

ABSENT McInerney, Barbara

MR. FOX: The vote being 11 in favor, 24 opposed, and 4 abstentions, Mr. Hadley is NOT CONFIRMED.

COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE Mr. J. Dwight Hadley 32 Hamilton Court Stamford, Conn. 06902 DENTED
11 yes
24 no
4 abstentions

TERM EXPIRES:

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. MORGAN: At this time I would like to MOVE for a five minute recess.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The CHAIR declares a five-minute recess.

RECESS LASTED FROM 9:40 to 10:32.

MR. FOX: If the members will please take their seats, we will proceed with the agenda.

FISCAL COMMITTEE - Sandra Goldstein

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee met on Wednesday, December 7, 1977. Present were: Gerald Rybnick, Paul Esposito, Jerry Livingston, John Zelinski, Mildred Ritchie, Marie Hawe and Sandy Goldstein. Excused were: Ralph Loomis and George Hays.

(1) S11,000.00 - BOARD OF EDUCATION - Additional Appropriation representing 100% Prepaid STATE funds to be received from State of Connecticut under Title VI, Part A of P.L. 93-380, Education Amendments of 1974, to continue the "Adult Basic Education Program" for the balance of 1977/78 fiscal year - CODE 862.0000 - per letter of 11/30/77 from Benjamin R. Reed, Asst. Supt./Business Affairs. (Board of Finance approved on 12/8/77.)

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: This was not taken up in Committee, as it was not passed by the Board of Finance.

(2) \$5,500,00 - LONG RIDGE FIRE DEPARTMENT - AMENDMENT TO THE 1977-78

CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING A PROJECT IN THE SUM OF \$5,500,00

TO BE KNOWN AS "#32 HURST POWER TOOL", to be financed by the issuance of bonds, per Mayor Clapes' letter 9/15/77; letter of Stuart Konspore, Pres. of Long Ridge Fire Co.; and quotation of 8/5/77 from American Fire Equipment Co., Inc. Board of Finance APPROVED on 10/13/77. Planning Board approved 10/13/77 letter.

NOTE: Board of Finance while approving this purchase, suggests that the Hurst Power Tool in the possession of the Civil Defense Corps which is not being used to full advantage, might possibly locate that piece of equipment at the Long Ridge Fire Dept. where it would be more readily available for emergencies. Held in Committee 11/14/77 for further investigation re better utilization of equipment if possible.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

RESOLUTION NO. 1134

AMENDING THE 1977-1978 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET
IN THE AMOUNT OF FIVE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS
(\$5,500,00) - TO BE ENTITLED "#32 HURST POWER TOOLLONG RIDGE FIRE DEPARTMENT" - TO BE FINANCED BY THE
ISSUANCE OF BONDS.

BE AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford in accordance with the City Charter:

- To adopt an amendment to the 1977-1978 Capital Projects Budget by adding a project in the amount of Five Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$5,500.00) to be known as "No. 32 Hurst Power Tool -Long Ridge Fire Department".
- To authorize the financing of said project by the issuance of bonds.
- 3. That this Resolution shall take effect upon enactment.
- (3) APPROVAL REQUESTED FOR LEASE BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND GLENBROOK COMMUNITY CENTER. INC. for 3 years at \$1.00 per year, per Mayor Clapes' letter 9/30/77; Lease signed by Mayor Clapes and Thomas A. Pia, Sr., on 9/28/77. Board of Finance approved 10/13/77. Held in Committee 11/14/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Our Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. HAYS: Parks and Recreation concurs.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works met on December 7, 1977 and I am proud to say this is the first time in over twenty months I've had a full committee to meet with. Cutting the committee from 12 to 7 was a major factor. I congratulate my committee and hope we will continue. With that Mr. President, the Public Works Committee, by a vote of 7-0, supports the lease of the Glenbrook Community Center.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(4) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO EXECUTE NECESSARY AGREEMENTS, ETC. regarding LAWN AVE. PARK. Acquisition for \$94,300. was approved in first year of COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT budget funds. Year 2 approved development of park for \$90,000. but obstacles prevented completion of this phase. This, year 3, we are asking for \$65,000. matching funds from the BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR since the \$90,000 of year 2 had to be partially used for URC or whatever. Time is of the essence on this resolution since others are Townhouses, moderate and low-income, respectively. HELD IN COMMITTEE 11/14/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. HAYS: Parks and Recreation concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. BLUM: I've heard numerous people talk in regard to Lawn Ave. Park. I know people who live in the Lawn Ave. moderate income housing who were against this park, claiming it was toosmall and didn't meet the needs of the people in this area, therefore I would like to hold this item for further study.

MR. FERRARA: There seems to be a lot of controversy over the desire of the residents on the need for the park. At this point I would like to pass the floor to my colleague, Mr. Festo, for a compromise motion.

MR. FESTO: I would like to move that the Board approve this resolution, so that we can apply for the funds that may be available from the State, subject to a Public Hearing to be held before the Fiscal Committee prior to the final spending of these funds, the final spending being contingent upon the outcome of this Public Hearing.

MR. BAXTER: POINT OF ORDER. At the last meeting you made a statement about my being Parliamentarian, and assuming that you were serious, I would like to point out to you, there was a motion on the floor from the Fiscal Committee, Mr. Blum made mention of an idea; but did not make a motion, now Mr. Festo just made another motion, it appears to me, and I would recommend to you, that the second motion, that of Mr. Festo is out of order and that an ammendment, if it was phrased as an amendment to the orginal motion, it would be in order.

MR. FOX: First of all, I was serious. Secondly, I would direct the recommendation to Mr. Festo.

MR. FESTO: I would like that to be considered as an amendment to Mrs. Goldstein's motion.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED by Mr. Signore.

MR. BOCCUZZI: If this amendment is carried and put on, could the City still go forward trying to get the funds from the Government, knowing that if at a later date, the Public Hearing, people reject it?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I have to say, I didn't quite understand Mr. Festo's amendment.

MR. FOX: Do you have that in writing, Mr. Festo? Could you repeat the amendment for us, please.

MR. FESTO: I would like the Board to approve the resolution, so that we can apply the funds that are available from the State, subject to a Public Hearing before the Fiscal Committee and that the final spending of those funds be contingent upon the outcome of that public hearing.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: When would the Fublic Hearing occur, prior to applying for the funds, or after receiving the funds?

MR. FESTO: I would hope that the public hearing could be held before she has some feed-back from the State.

MR. LOOMIS: I would just like to endorse the spirit of Mr. Festo's, amendment. We've had problems in the past with the Community Development funds, problems primarily arising out of the fact that the Community Development Office hasn't dealt more closely with this Board. I understand that from what Mr. Festo has decribed to us tonight, all he is attempting to do is to allow those people in the area to have a say in how it is going to de developed. I see no reason why this should in anyway jeopardize the funds.

MR. FOX: The CHAIR will just make one comment, we have the resolution, we have the proposed amendment to it, this is something which really in my opinion, is not proper for our discussion here. I would hope in the future, this is something which could be ironed out at the committee meeting, rather then holding up the entire meeting.

MR. HAYS: I would only plead that we do respect the Representatives from the district, which is concerned with the issue. I would certainly go with the proposed amendment.

MR. ESPOSITO: I would like to respond to some of the comments. First of all we've already purchased the land, we've spent a lot of money for it, some \$97,000. It is inadequate in terms of meeting the needs of the area. Secondly, in reference to the middle income housing projects in the area, they have their own recreational facilities. I recommend that we accept this motion.

MR. LIVINGSTON: One thing kind of makes me a little legry about this amendment, and that is, our we absolutely sure that the Government is going to recognize what we're doing. Are we putting ourselves in some kind of a "Catch-22" kind of a situation? I believe if we're interested in what's best for our tax dollars, both City, State and Federal, our best interest at this point is to go on and build the park.

MR. BAXTER: Mr. Festo's amendment had taken me by surprise, and I'm confused about it. I would like to ask the Chair either to Mrs. Goldstein or Mr. Festo to explain something for me. Either we ask for the money and it doesn't make any difference how we're going to use it, or we have to know how we're going to use it in order to ask for it. If we're going to ask the Government for the money, but hold a public hearing about the money, it almost seems contradictory, how can we ask for something that we haven't seen the results of the public hearing to know whether we really want it?

MR. FESTO: If I understand the question, Mr. Baxter. Mrs. Mitchell indicated to me that we could in fact, apply for the money and that making a contingent upon having a public hearing would be appropriate and if the results of those public hearing was to reject the application of these funds towards the park, that these funds would revert to the State.

MR. SHEREK: MOVE the QUESTION.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The proposed amendment is before the Board. Mr. Livingston, you have a motion for a roll call vote? The CHAIR sees an insufficient number, we'll vote by the machine. The AMENDMENT is CARRIED, by a vote of 20 YES, and 19 NO as follows:

(vote on next page)

Item #4 vote

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR		THOSE VOTING IN OPPO	SITION
Maihock, Audrey	Hays, George	Darer, Stanley	Carlucci, Leo
Festo, Charles	Santy, Jeanne Lois	Dixon, Handy	Goldstein, Sa
Ferrara, Richard	Ritchie, Mildred	Livingston, Jeremiah	Fox, John Way
Hawe, Marie	Loomis, Ralph	Feighen, Michael	Raymond, Dian
Tiani, Charles	Signore, S. A.	Markiewicz, Henry	Morgan, Micha
Perillo, Alfred	Boccuzzi, John	Wider, Lathon	Baxter, Georg
DeLuca, Robert	Rybnick, Gerald	Price, Margaret	Zelinski, , Joh
Sherer, Donald	Ventura, Joseph	Perillo, Mildred	Esposito, Pau
Corbo, Fiorenzio	Blum, David	Robie, John	Wilmot, Charl
Pollard, Everett	Wiesley, Vere	Schlechtweg, John	

ABSENT

McInerney, Barbara

MR. FOX: We will now vote on the Resolution itself as amended. The MOTION is CARRIED with 5 ABSTENTIONS: (George Baxter, Mildred Perillo, Lathon Wider, Eenry Markiewicz and Jeremiah Livingston).

A. RESOLUTION #1135 OF MUNICIPALITY

WHEREAS, The Planning Board of the City of Stamford has reviewed and appyoved the area know as LAWN AVENUE PARK and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Protection Board has reviewed and approved the area known as LAWN AVENUE PARK which the Municipality desires to develop for recreational purposes and the Planning Board has adopted a plan which designates such area for such recreation1 purposes; and

WHEREAS, the Municipality wishes to obstain financial assistance from the Federal Government;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REFRESENTATIVES of the City os Stamford AS FOLLOWS:

- That an application be made to the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection for a grant in an amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$65,000.00), and that the balance of the consideration for the Recreational Development will be secured by the Municipality from other sources.
- 2. That the State acting by and through the Department of Environmental Protection be requested to apply to the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation on behalf of the <u>City of Stamford</u> to provide the Federal share of the cost of recreational development of said land in accordance with Connecticut General Statutes and Federal Law.
- 3. That the Community Development Program is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application, and to act as authorized correspondent.

Resolution #1135 continued

- 4. That the MAYOR, LOUIS A. CLAPES, is hereby authorized to enter into such agreements, contracts and execute all documents with the State of Connecticut, as may be necessary for said grant. The Board of Representatives approved this Resolution so that the City can apply for these funds, but subject to a public hearing to be held by the Fiscal Committee, with final spending of money contingent upon outcome of such Public Hearing.
- 5. That the proposed Recreational Development is in accordance with the Plan of Development of Municipality and that, should said grant be made, said land will be retained in accordance with the provisions of Section 7-131a through 7-131m, and Section 22a-22 of the General Statutes of Connecticut, Revised to 1975, as amended.

I hereby CERTIFY that the foregoing is a TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF the RESOLUTION ADOPTED by the BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD at its stated meeting held on Monday, December 12, 1977.

(5) \$3,971,15 - TAX ASSESSOR - Additional Appropriation - CODE 250,0101 SALARIES (Account Clerk I - effective 12/12/77 - Mayor's letter 11/3/77 indicating funding under TITLE II PUBLIC WORKS GRANT; Mr. Hyland's letter 10/26/77 for funding a replacement Account Clerk I due to promotion in department. Have \$2,013.00 in account, need \$3,971.15 for period 12/12/77 through 6/30/78. Bd. of Finance approved 11/10/

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. ZELINSKI: Personnel Concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(6) \$72,811.00 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - CODE 450,0101 SALARIES - Mayor Clapes'

Less 10,058,00 letter 10/31/77 and Fire Chief Vitti's letter 10/21/77
\$62,753.00 Additional appropriation to fill nine of the fourteen vacancies.

\$62,351.00 to be funded under TITLE II PUBLIC WORKS ACT

10,460.00 out of General Fund - Taxation

\$72,811.00

Bd. of Finance approved 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Board of Finance approved \$72, 811.00. Our Fiscal Committee reduced the amount to \$62,753.00 because these firefighters cannot be hired until January 1, so that reduction represents the December salary for nine positions. The Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MR, ZELINSKI: Personnel Committee concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

(NOTE * See Mrs. Goldstein's remarks re funding of this item #6 -- on next page)

MR. MORGAN: Through you to Mrs. Goldstein. If the reduced amount is \$62,753, and the original grant request was \$62,351.00, couldn't we have all but \$402. be paid for by the Public Works Title II Grant and just have the General Fund pay for the \$402?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: It seems like a very good idea, Mr. Morgan, but there are formula for these Public Works Title Grants, and this may not be possible, and the Finance Commissioner will have to arrive at what the computation is, Whether it will come out entirely out of the funds or whether it will be 85-15% balance as it was in the \$72,000 appropriation.

MR. FOX: There being no further discussion, we'll put it to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(7) \$1,417.93 - POLICE DEPARTMENT - CODE 410.5203 - TRAINING SCHOOL - Mayor Clapes' letter 10/21/77; Lt. John T. Considine's letter 9/13/77 and 9/12; also Mr. Hadley's letter 9/12/77. Approved by Board of Finance 11/10/77. To replace monies turned over to General Fund.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Committee voted 6 in favor and 1 abstention and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: This did not go to another committee. MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(8) \$ 489.68 - PROBATE COURT - CODE 220.0501 - TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH - Additional Appropriation per Mayor Clapes' letter 11/2/77, and Judge Iacovo's letter. Approved by Bd. of Finance 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: This did not have to go to another committee. MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I would just like to ask the Chairman of Fiscal, is this the difference to run Centrex or is this the money he needs to put in his own phone system?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: No, it is not the difference to run Centrex. The Probate Court Judge requested that he not be made part of the Centrex System, because of all the out of state calls that he has to make. He never chose to function under Centrex and the Mayor did go along with his desires.

MR. BLUM: I honor the Judge, but I'm wondering if another department, those who did not want to go under Centrex, could've had the same request?

MR. BAXTER: POINT OF ORDER. I would observe that discussion on the motion be directed towards the motion.

MR. FOX: I think it is a point well-taken, with due respect to Mr. Blum. We will put the item to a vote.

MR. SCHLECHTWEG: Let the record show that I'm abstaining on that wote.

MR FOX: Mr Schlechtweg and Miss Price are abstaining. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(9) \$18,000.00 - BOARD OF RECREATION - CODE 650.0107 SEASONAL (WINTER GYMS)
Mayor Clapes' letter 11/4/77 and Recreation Board Chairman Rich's
letter 10/12/77 - Additional Appropriation to cover youth winter
gymnasium (basketball, gymnastics, and other activities) program, for
approx. 5,000 youths in 20 different schools. Bd. of Finance approved
11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. HAYS: Parks and Recreation concurs.

MR. VENTURA: I'd like to congratulate the Mayor and my colleagues on the Board for approving this appropriation. I think it is money well-spent and I'm very pleased.

MR. FOX: There being no further discussion, we'll take a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(10) TRANSFER - BOARD OF RECREATION - TRANSFER between different Code accounts \$1,825.00

FROM: 650.0802 Car Allowance \$1,825.00 TO: 650.0603 Batteries & Photo \$100.00 650.0901 Professional Serv. 300.00 650.2501 Uniforms 625.00

Sterling Farms - Culture Art 663.0501 Telephone & Tele-

graph 800.00 \$1,825,00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Item #10 is a transfer from the Board of Recreation, it is an intradepartmental transfer and should not be on our agenda.

(11) \$1,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - BUREAU OF SANITATION, MAINTENANCE OF SANITARY SEWERS - CODE 342,0103 OVERTIME. Mayor Clapes' letter 11/3/77; Mr. Canavan's letter 11/2/77. Bd. of Finance approved \$1,000.00, and DENIED \$7,000.00 on 11/10/77. Mayor indicated this request to be funded under PUBLIC WORKS TITLE II GRANT.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION IS CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(12) \$15,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT - Code
341.0103 OVERTIME. Mayor Clapes' letter 11/3/77; Mr. Canavan's
letter 11/2/77. This request to be funded under PUBLIC WORKS TITLE II
Grant. Bd. of Finance approved \$15,000.00 and DEFERRED \$20,000.00
on 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works concurs,

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(13) \$ 490.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - BUREAU OF SANITATION - DIVISION OF

PUMPING STATIONS - CODE 345.0103 - OVER-TIME - Additional Ap
propriation Mayor Clapes' letter 11/3/77; Mr. Canavan's letter

11/2/77. This request to be funded under PUBLIC WORKS TITLE II

Grant. Board of Finance approved 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(14) \$10,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - BUREAU OF SANITATION, DIVISION OF INCINERATION - CODE 343.0103 - OVER-TIME - Additional Appropriation, being the balance of a \$20,000 request, of which \$10,000 was approved by Board of Finance on 9/19/77; needed to operate the '73 incinerator on Saturdays due to backlog of refuse and shortage of personnel. Board of Reps. approved the first \$10,000 on 10/3/77; Board of Finance approved this \$10,000 on 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The record will now indicate that Mr. Hays has left the meeting and Mr. Robie is leaving the meeting We now have 37 members present.

(15) \$40,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - BUREAU OF SANITATION - DIVISION OF REFUSE COLLECTION - CODE 350.0103 - OVER-TIME - Additional Appropriation being the balance of a \$50,000 request, of which \$10,000 was approved by Board of Finance on 9/19/77; Approved by this Board on 10/3/77. To be totally reimbursed by TITLE II PUBLIC WORKS Funds. Board of Finance approved \$40,000 on 11/10/7

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works concurs,

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(16) \$17,500.00 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - CODE 450.0103 - OVER-TIME - Additional Appropriation being balance of a \$36,000.00 request, of which \$10,000. was approved by Board of Finance on 10/13/77 and Bd. of Reps. approved on 11/14/77, to be 100% funded under PUBLIC WORKS TITLE II Grant. Board of Finance reduced the remaining balance of \$26,000. by DENYING \$8,500. and APPROVING \$17,500. on 11/10/77 as Chief Vitti said a lesser amount for overtime would suffice if funds were approved to fill 9 of the 14 vacancies, which the Board of Finance did approve and which is #6 on this fiscal agenda.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. ZELINSKI: Personnel met and voted 7-0 in favor and we concur.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(17) \$16,886.00 - SALARY INCREASES - SCHEDULE "B" OF THE NEW PAY PLAN FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATORS - Additional Appropriation for period 12/1/77 thro 6/30/78; Board of Finance approved 11/10/77; Mayor's letter 11/8/

MAYOR'S OFFICE:

201.0101 Salaries: (7-month appropriation)

Mayor (increased from \$28,854. to \$35,000.) 3,585.00 Executive Aide (from \$16,044 to \$17,500.) 849,00

4,434,00

LAW DEPARTMENT:

230.0101 Salaries: (7-month appropriation)

Corporation Counsel (from \$21,801 to \$30,000)\$ 4,783.00

FINANCE DEPARTMENT:

240.0101 Salaries: (7-month appropriation)

Commissioner of Finance

(increased from \$23,690. to \$30,000.) \$ 3,681.00

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT:

301.0101 Salaries: (7-month appropriation)

Commissioner of Public Works

(increased from \$23,163. to \$30,000.) \$ 3,988.00 TOTAL \$ 16,000.00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. ZELINSKI: Personnel concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. BOCCUZZI: This was committed by the previous Board, is that correct?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The pay plan was approved by the previous Board with these salaries. Although it is not a contract per se we treated it as something that was binding. I would say that we are morally obligated to vote for these appropriations.

MR. BOCCUZZI: You say morally obligated or contractually obligated ? There is a big difference.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: This is not a contract, Mr. Boccuzzi, it is a pay plan.

MR. BAXTER: Through you to Mrs. Goldstein. Didn't we vote after we approved the General pay plan, which excluded these four people, and later have a separate vote that said the schedule would go into effect as of December 1. The previous Board voted that the roney would start, and this is merely the appropriation to fulfill that previous vote.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes indeed, Mr. Baxter, but it is not a contract. I would call it a commitment and an obligation.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. We will take a DIVISION.

MR. BOCCUZZI: May the record show that I abstained from voting.

MR. FOX: Mr. Boccuzzi, that can be reflected when we vote by the machine. The Item is APPROVED by a vote of 29 in favor and 5 against and 2 abstentions as follows:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR Darer, Stanley Livingston, Jeremiah Maihock, Audrey Ventura, Joseph Festo, Charles Rybnick, Gerald Dixon, Handy Morgan, Michael Wilmot, Charles Hawe, Marie Tiani, Charles Baxter, George Goldstein, Sandra Price, Margaret DeLuca, Robert Zelinski, John Sherer, Donald Carlucci, Leo Pollard, Everett Blum, David Ritchie, Mildred Esposito, Paul Loomis, Ralph Wiesley, Vere Feighan, Michael Fox, John Wayne Raymond, Diane Schlechtweg, John Markiewicz, Henry

THOSE VOTING IN OPPOSITION
Ferrara, Richard
Perillo, Alfred
Santy, Jeanne-Lois
Wider, Lathon
Signore, S. A.
Perillo, Mildred

ABSTENTIONS Corbo, Fiorenzio Boccuzzi, John

ABSENT
Hays, George
Robie, John
McInerney, Barbara

(18) \$ 6,794.00 - M.A.A. SALARY INCREASES - SCHEDULE "B" OF THE NEW PAY
Plan FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATORS - Additional Appropriation for
period 7/1/77 - 6/30/78. Bd. of Finance approved 11/10/77-

REGISTRARS OF VOTERS:

101.0101 Salaries: (12-months)

Registrars (incr. from \$13,563 to \$14,092.) \$ 1,058.00
Deputies (incr. from \$ 8,611 to \$10,400.) \$ 3,578.00
\$ 4,636.00

TOWN AND CITY CLERK:

210.0101 Salaries: (12-months)

Town & City Clerk (from \$23,402 to \$24,336)

\$ 934,00

POLICE DEPARTMENT:

410,0101 Salaries: (12-months)

Police Chief (from \$26,896 to \$27,508)

612,00

FIRE DEPARTMENT:

450.0101 Salaries: (12-months)

Fire Chief (from \$26,896 to \$27,508)

612.00

TOTAL....\$ 6,794.00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 7-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR, ZELINSKI: Personnel concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Mr. Boccuzzi will be recorded as abstaining.

(19) \$8,000.00 - BOARD OF FINANCE - Additional Appropriation for services of Arthur Andersen & Company for Job and Salary Evaluation Study (M.A.A.) under Charter Sections 658 and 658.1; payment in full for all services rendered. Board of Finance approved 11/10/77.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Board of Finance was not able to appear before our committee. Fiscal voted 7-0 to HOLD this item.

MR. ZELINSKI: Personnel concurs.

(20) <u>\$ 4,287.12 - BOARD OF RECREATION - Code 650.0107 SEASONAL</u> - Additional Appropriation representing a <u>CETA TITLE III GRANT</u>. Bd. of Finance approved 11/10/77. Mayor's letter 11/8/77 and copy of check already received in City's General Fund 11/4/77; a bookkeeping procedure.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: This is merely a bookkeeping procedure, since the check for this sum from the Stamford area CETA Title III funds was deposited in the City's General Funds. We voted 6-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. RYBNICK: Parks and Recreation concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(21) TRANSFER - TRANSFER from COMMUNICATIONS DEPT. - Code 490.0501 to Code 410.(
(\$8,000.) and 450.0501 - TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH, as follows: Mayor Clapes' letter 11/8/77; and Board of Finance approved 11/10/77.

FROM: COMMUNICATIONS 490.0501 Telephone & Telegraph \$ 8,000.00

 TO:
 Police Dept.
 410.0501 Telephone & Telegraph
 \$ 5,000.00

 Fire Dept.
 450.0501 Telephone & Telegraph
 \$ 3,000.00

 \$ 8,000.00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Fiscal Committee voted 6 in favor and 1 abstention, and I so MOVE.

MR. BLUM: Health and Protection concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. The record will show that Mr. Schlechtweg and Miss Price are abstaining.

(22) TRANSFER - TRANSFER from GENERAL INSURANCE - CODE 280.0000 to CIVIL SERVICE DEPARTMENT - CODE 270. (3 sub-accounts) as follows. Mayor Clapes letter 10/31/77; and Board of Finance approved 11/10/77. (see next page)

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)		
(Item #22 continued)	Approved	Approved by
FROM: 280,0000 - General Insurance	Finance Bd. \$19,240.00	Bd. of Reps. \$17,175.00
TO:	a week at	
270,0101 - Salaries (Personnel Technician I for period 1/1/78 hiring date 26 wks @ \$240,00	6,240.00	5,175.00
270.0905 - Medical Examination 270.5203 - Training School	8,000.00 5,000.00 \$19,240.00	7,000.00 5,000.00 \$17,175.00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: This a a transfer from the General Insurance Account to the Civil Service. This transfer is to pay for the salary of a Personnel Technician I, for the period January 1, 1978 through June 1978, to fund Medical examination and Training School. The Board of Finance approved this 11/10/77. The Fiscal Committee voted 6-0 to cut the transfer requested by \$2,065.00 to \$17,175.00. We did this for two reasons, first, the Technician's salary was cut from \$6,240 to \$5,175, because in the Budget Book a Personnel Technician I was requested for the department at a salary of \$10,351.00 and our computation shows that to be \$5,175.00 figure. Once again the Personnel Department had requested \$15,000. at Budget time, the \$7,000.00 request will bring them up to \$15,000.00.

MR. FOX: Your motion is to approve the figure of \$17,175.00?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, as I said we voted 7-0 in favor and I so move.

MR. ZELINSKI: We had voted originally 7 in favor of the original appropriation; we did not vote on the changes, so we did not concur.

MR. FOX: Since the item is over \$2,000, we will then have to ask for SUSPENSION of the RULES. MOVED and SECOND.

MRS. PERILLO: I've been asking this question since last year; is this a new position, and isn't there a freeze on hiring?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I am not aware that there is a freeze on hiring any longer.

MR. BLUM: I'd like to ask the Chairman of Fiscal, what type of training is this Training School. Who is to be trained?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The equipment operators in the Public Works Dept. will be trained. They have already begun this work, and as a result of this, they have been getting some very favorable reaction from our insurance carriers. Also we are screening out through this Medical Program people who are not qualified medically to take a job in the Public Works Dept.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I understand the Chairman of Fiscal to say that this person was in the original budget last year? This person was in the budget and cut out?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: No, this is for a new position, Mr. Boccuzzi.

MR. FOX: There being no further discussion, the item being MOVED and SECONDED. We will vote by the machine; and that abstention, Mrs. PErillo, will be reflected. The item is CARRIED by a vote of 28 yeas and 8 no, the rest abstentions as follows:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR

Festo, Charles Markiewicz, Henry Dixon, Handy Schlechtweg, John Ferrara, Richard Wider, Lathon Hawe, Marie Rybnick, Gerald Tiani, Charles Ventura, Joseph Goldstein, Sandra Carlucci, Leo DeLuca, Robert Morgan, Michael Corbo, Fiorenzio Wilmot, Charles Pollard Everett Price, Margaret Zelinski, John Livingston, Jeremiah Ritchie, Mildred Esposito, Paul Loomis, Ralph Wiesley, Vere Feighan, Michael Fox, John Wayne Raymond, Diane Blum; David

THOSE VOTING IN OPOSITION

Darer, Stanley
Maihock, Audrey
Perillo, Alfred
Sherer, Donald
Santy, Jeanne-Lois
Baxter, George
Signore, S. A.
Boccuzzi, John

ABSTENTIONS Perillo, Mildred

ABSENT Hays, George McInerney, Barbara Robie, John

REQUEST BY STAMFORD DAY CARE CENTER FOR APPROVAL TO PROCESS APPLICATION TO CONNECTICUT STATE DEPT. OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS FOR FUNDING UNDER TITLE XX SUPPLEMENT OF STAMFORD DAY CARE PROGRAM "FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$190.000.00". (Our Resolution #1116 in sum of \$186,320 to be replaced by this new resolution and new amount; Mayor's letter 11/9/77; Mrs. Ellis' letters 10/24 and 10/25/77.)

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: We voted 7-0 in favor of the new resolution and I so MOVE.

MRS. RITCHIE: Education, Government and Welfare concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CERTIFIED RESOLUTION NO. 1136

CERTIFIED a true copy of Resolution No. 1136 duly adopted by the CITY OF STAMFORD at a regular monthly meeting of its 15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES on Monday, December 12, 1977, and which has not been rescinded or modified in any way whatsoever. (This Resolution No. 1136 replaces Resolution No. 1116 dated Sept. 27,1977).

December 14, 1977 (date)

Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Asst. and Recording Secretary

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Commissione: of Community Affairs is authorized to extend financial assistance to local housing authorities, municipalities, human resource development agencies and non-profit corporations; and

(City Seal)

RESOLUTION NO. 1136 (continued)

(City Seal)

WHEREAS, it is desirable and in the public interest that the CITY OF STAMFORD make application to the STATE for an amount not to exceed ONE HUNDRED NINETY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$190,000.00) in order to undertake a program of TITLE XX SUPPLEMENTARY DAY CARE and, to execute an Assistance Agreement therefor. It is understood that the CITY OF STAMFORD will provide a local grant-in-aid in accordance with the requirements of Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132 and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes, as appropriate.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE 15TH BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

- That it is cognizant of the conditions and pre-requisites for State assistance imposed by Chapters 128, 129, 130, 132, and 133 of the Connecticut General Statutes.
- 2. That it recognizes the responsibility for the provision of local grants-in-aid to the extent that they are necessary and required for said program.
- 3. That the filing of an application by the CITY OF STAMFORD in an amount not to exceed (\$190,000.00) ONE HUNDRED NINETY THOUSAND DOLLARS IS hereby approved, and that the MAYOR OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD is hereby authorized and directed to execute and file such application with the Commissioner of Community Affairs, to provide such additional information, to execute such other documents as may be required by the Commissioner to execute an Assistance Agreement with the State of Connecticut for State financial assistance if such an Agreement is offered, to execute any amendments, recisions, and revisions thereto, and to act as the authorized representative of THE CITY OF STAMFORD.
 - (24) RESOLUTION REQUESTED BY GRANTS OFFICER. SUSAN BREWSTER. TO FILE FOR \$15,000.00 FOR A PERSONNEL STUDY TO BE MADE OF EXISTING M.A.A. PERSONNEL (INCUMBENTS). Held in Committee 11/28/77 by Legislative and Rules Chmn. Fox.
- MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The Committee voted to HOLD this item in Committee.
- MR. SIGNORE: Point of Information. Isn't this the third time the M.A.A. Personnel has been through a study. I'm opposed to the grant.
- MR. FOX: I think unless you want to make a motion to bring it out of committee.
- MR. SIGNORE: No, since it has gone back into committee, it's fine.
 - (25) TWO (2) RESOLUTIONS REQUESTED BY SUSAN BREWSTER, GRANTS OFFICER, DATED 12/5/77 FOR FUNDING UNDER TITLE II OF C.E.T.A. (authorized by the Youth Employment and Demonstration Projects Act of 1977) -- U. S. Dept. of Labor:

MINUTES OF DECEMBER 12, 1977

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

Item #25 (continued)

- 1. Youth Employment and Training Program\$341,067.00
- 2. Youth Community Conservation & Improvement Project....\$ 79,406.00

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: There are two resolutions, I will take them separately. The first, the Youth Employment and Training Program, the Fiscal Committee voted 6 in favor and 1 against, and I so MOVE.

MR. ZELINSKI: Personnel met on this item and voted 4 in favor and 3 abstentions.

MRS. PERILLO: I would like to ask through you, to Mrs. Goldstein, what is the difference between this and the CETA Training Program for the youth; isn't this a duplication of the program?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I don't believe so, Mrs. Perillo. This program is to train young people from 15 to 21 years of age for a specific job. Item two will be a similar thing, but for a different purpose.

MRS. PERILLO: I beg to differ with you, Mrs. Goldstein, but I think CETA does the same thing. I just received literature from them. I think this would be better off to go back into committee so we can get better clarification between this and CETA.

MRS. HAWE: I just want to explain the reason why I voted against this in Fiscal. I don't know if everyone got a break-down of this program cost that I got in one of the packets, but it seems that of the \$341,000, over half, in fact, \$189,000 is going for administrative cost, and less then half is going to people it is supposed to benefit. I just felt that I couldn't justify the spending of this money, a majority of it going for administrative cost, even though it is a Federal Grant.

MR. SIGNORE: I think Mrs. Hawe has a good point. What I would like to know is, first off, I am not opposed to grants, what I am opposed to is creating bureaucracy. What I would like to know, if we take the money today, and it is for a period of two or three years, and then if we want to carry it on, the City of Stamford has to carry the project on, and it becomes a burden on the Stamford taxpayer, and meanwhile you have people sitting around collecting fairly good salaries and the program may fall flat. I would like a progress report somewhere along the line, this is what I'd like to see when it comes to grants.

MR. LOOMIS: I would just like to remind the Board, that the previous Board, many of those who are here served on that Board, authorized the creation of a Grants Officer. We are beginning to see the work of that Grants Officer in this sum of money. If we were to turn this down, we would be sending half of a million dollars elsewhere in the State. Perhaps it is not being used as wisely as it should, but it will be used. The question is whether or not we want to employ these youths here in Stamford or we wish to send the money outside of the City. As to Mr. Signore's specific comment about bureaucracies, the Employment and Training Program and the Conservation and Improvement projects are designed specifically for limited short durations and no way

MR. LOOMIS: (continuing)...designed to create bureaucratic mazes.

MRS. RITCHIE: Mr. Loomis just answered my question or rather gave the same answer I was going to in regard to bureaucracy.

MR. WIDER: I'm just looking at the resolution, just as the Mayor is Chairman of CETA, and the executive officer, and this must be foreign to him, so I'm a little concerned here, whether our Grants Officer begins some kind of circumvention on some of the existing programs that we already have going on in the City of Stamford. Now, I would be the first to tell you that we do need some addidtional training programs to meet industry's needs in the City of Stamford; we are not meeting them right today. Because they need some secretarial help, and as a matter of fact right now, the State Dept. Motor Vehicle Department needs a clerk typist, they can't get one. We need some technical people, but in the meantime, I'm wondering why our CETA Program that has something like four million dollars to work with, are addressing themselves to this; and is this going to be a part of the program? I don't see this putting in for these grants unless we know we're not duplicating, and we will not in the future be asked for a report on something we won't have a report on. This is very important, because the Federal Government in time will ask you for a report, and if you don't have it, you're going to be in trouble.

MR. FOX: I think we're getting a little far field here in the issue before us. Mrs. Goldstein, if you could respond to that.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I would like to clarify something. I do believe I was misleading in answering Mrs. Parillo's question. She asked me if this was part of CETA funds, and indeed it is, Title III Program of the CETA Act, however, it is different. This particular Title III Program has been authorized by the Youth Employment and Demonstration Project Act of 1977, which is a recent Law. There is nothing saying that we will actually receive the grant, and I do think that Mr.Signore, his idea to have periodic reports has a great deal of merit. I think we should receive periodic reports from the Community Development Director, which we do. We should be kept informed and we should know how our money is being spent.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I have a point of information which has nothing to do with what we are talking about, but I would like to get something clarified here. If Mr. Baxter thinks me out of order, he could stop me right away. I'm looking at the clock and I want to know if 12:00 o' clock, or if we let it go past 12:00, do these contracts, indeed become law?

MR. FOX: It is a point well taken and I will refer to Mr. Baxter, the parliamentarian on that.

MR. BAXTER: I just would observe to Mr. Boccuzzi, that as soon as we finish this Item, it is my understanding that the Majority Leader will ask for Suspension of the Rules to cover these contract items before 12:00 p.m., although we are not certain and don't think that it is necessary, rather than take the chance, I think that is his intent. May I briefly respond to make my point.

MR. FOX: If you could do it briefly.

MR. BAXTER: I'm concerned briefly, on what Mr. Loomis revealed in his comments, concerning the chance that if we fail to act affirmatively on this request, that somehow the money is going to sprout wings and go elsewhere. If he was speaking literally about that, it almost seems that we are once again under brinksmanship and last-minute work; which seems to me again is the whole reason why we don't have a grants officer. I intend to go along in voting down this item, because I don't want to have brinksmanship, and I won't tolerate it.

MR. SHERER: MOVE the QUESTION.

MR. FOX: There is a motion to MOVE the question, which has been brought forward and seconded. The MOTION is CARRIED. The MOTION for part one of item #25 has been MOVED and SECONDED. We'll vote by using the machine. The MOTION is CARRIED, 26 in favor and 11 against as follows:

THOSE VOTING IN FAVOR
Darer, Stanley
Festo, Charles
Dixon, Handy
Goldstein, Sandra
DeLuca, Robert
Sherer, Donald
Corbo, Fiorenzio
Livingston, Jeremiah
Ritchie, Mildred
Loomis, Ralph
Feighan, Michael
Raymond, Diane
Schlechtweg, John

Wider, Lathon
Rybnick, Gerald
Ventura, Joseph
Morgan, Michael
Wilmot, Charles
Price, Margaret
Zelinski, John
Boccuzzi, John
Carlucci, Leo
Wiesley, Vere
Blum, David
Fox, John Wayne
Esposito, Paul

THOSE VOTING IN OPOSITION
Maihock, Audrey
Ferrara, Richard
Hawe, Marie
Tiani, Charles
Perillo, Alfred
Pollard, Everett
Santy, Jeanne-Lois
Markiewicz, Henry
Baxter, George
Signore, S. A.
Perillo, Mildred

ABSENT Hays, George McInerney, Barbara Robie, John

RESOLUTION NO. 1137

AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO FILE AN APPLICATION FOR A YOUTH EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING PROGRAM UNDER TITLE III OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT, AS AMENDED.

WHEREAS, to the extent authorized by the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, the Mayor of the City of Stamford was authorized to enter into a Multi-jurisdictional Prime Sponsor Consortium Agreement for the Comprehensive Manpower Program for the Stamford Labor Market Area under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973, as amended, and

WHEREAS, the Youth Employment and Demonstration Projects Act of 1977 established programs to employ and increase the future employability of young persons to be operated by Comprehensive Employment and Training Act prime sponsors;

Resolution NO. 1137 (continued)

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford:

- That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized to file an application for a Youth Employment and Training Program in an amount not to exceed THREE HUNDRED FORTY-ONE THOUSAND AND SIXTY-SEVEN DOLLARS (\$341,067.00) for the period January 1, 1978 to September 30, 1978.
- That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby directed and designated as authorized representative of the City of Stamford to act in connection with the application, to provide such additional information as may be required in connection therewith, and to execute such documents, amendments, recisions, and revisions as may be required by the United States Department of Labor in securing financial assistance for the afore-mentioned program.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Part two under Item No. 25 is a resolution for \$79,406.00 under the Youth Community Conservation and Improvement Project. The Committee voted 6 in favor and 1 no, and I so MOVE.

.

MR.FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. There being no discussion on it, we'll put it to a vote. We'll vote by machine. There are 37 members present. The MOTION is CARRIED with 29 in favor, 8 against, as follows:

THOSE IN FAVOR	
Darer, Stanley	Markiewicz, Henry
Festo, Charles	Schlechtweg, John
Dixon, Handy	Wider, Lathon
Ferrara, Richard	Rybnick, Gerald
Tiani, Charles	Ventura, Joseph
Goldstein, Sandra	Morgan, Michael
DeLuca, Robert	Wilmot, Charles
Sherer, Donald	Price, Margaret
Corbo, Fiorenzio	Zelinski, John
Pollard, Everett	Boccuzzi, John
Livingston, Jeremiah	Carlucci, Leo
Ritchie, Mildred	Blum, David
Loomis, Ralph	Esposito, Paul
Feighan, Michael	Fox, John Wayne
Wiesley, Vere	,

THOSE OPPOSED Maihock, Audrey Hawe, Marie Perillo, Alfred Santy, Jeanne-Lois Raymond, Diane Baxter, George Signore, S. A. Perillo, Mildred

ABSENT Hays, George McInerney, Barbara Robie, John

RESOLUTION NO. 1138

AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO FILE AN APPLICATION FOR A YOUTH COMMUNITY CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROJECT UNDER TITLE III OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT, AS AMENDED.

WHEREAS, to the extent authorized by the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, the Mayor of the City of Stamford was authorized to enter into a Multi-jurisdictional Prime Sponsor Consortium Agreement for the Comprehensive Manpower Program for the Stamford Labor Market Area under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973, as amended, and

WHEREAS, the Youth Employment and Demonstration Projects Act of 1977 established program to employ and increase the future employability of young perosns to be operated by Comprehensive Employment and Training Act prime sponsors;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD:

- 1. That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby authorized to file an application for a Youth Community Conservation and Improvement Project in an amount not to exceed SEVENTY-NINE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND SIX DOLLARS (\$79,406.00) for the period January 1, 1978 to September 30, 1978.
- 2. That the Mayor of the City of Stamford is hereby directed and designated as authorized representative of the City of Stamford to act in connection with the application, to provide such additional information as may be required in connection therewith, and to execute such documents, amendments, recisions, and revisions as may be required by the United States Department of Labor in securing financial assistance for the afore-mentioned program.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: That concludes the Fiscal REport.

MR. MORGAN: At this time I would like to MOVE for SUSPENSION of the RULES so that we may take up item #3 under the Personnel Committee agenda, which is the matter of the union Contract negotiations between the Stamford Police Association and the City of Stamford. Let me say that the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut say this must be acted upon by December 13, 1977. I would interpret that to mean by the end of the day, but just to be on the safe side, and since it is only fourteen minutes until midnight, I would think that we would be safer to deal with this matter at this time.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED. Personnel Committee, Mr. Zelinski.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - John Zelinski

(3) THE MATTER OF UNION CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE STAMFORD POLICE ASSOCIATION AND THE CITY OF STAMFORD. Mayor Clapes' letter dated 11/30/77 and received by Board of Reps at 2:00 P.M., Mnday, Dec. 5, 1977, submits a "FACT FINDING REPORT" pursuant to Municipal Employee Relations Act, Chapter 113, Section 7-473 of the General Statutes of Connecticut, which must be ACTED UPON BY DECEMBER 13, 1977, or it automatically goes into effect.

IF IT IS REJECTED BY EITHER PARTY (CITY OR UNION), then the Report will go to BINDING ARBITRATION to be resolved. The Fact Finder's Report recommends the Police receive wage increases of:

3% increase at July 1, 1977 .4% increase at Jan. 1, 1978 6.5% increase at July 1, 1978

On our 11/14/77 Agenda, we had an item of a letter of 10/21/77 from Leonard Vignola, Jr., member of Finance Board (now Chairman), urging Bd. of Reps. to reject Fact Finding Report on Police Contract.

MR. ZELINSKI: Our Committee met on December 8, 1977 with members of the Stamford Police Association and the City Labor Negotiator, Mr. Barrett for discussion of the contract. Our Committee voted to reject the Fact Finding Report without prejudice, 5 against, 2 in favor. The reason being one, breaking of parity with the Stamford Fire Dept., that is the difference of one and one-half (1½) per cent. Secondly, the work schedules, and the third point was also under the new Charter changes the Board of Finance must deal and act on all financial implications on all City Labor Contracts. They gave us a resolution rejecting the Fact Finding Report unanimously for the two positions that we also rejected, and I so MOVE.

MRS_ GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal concurs.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I believe when you reject the contract without prejudice you don't give any reason, what your telling them is to go back and re-negotiate. You either tell them you reject it for re-negotiations or you reject it without prejudice, which means, send it back with no recommendations. I don't think we can do both. You better straighten this out before we vote.

MR. FOX: Mr. Zelinski, am I correct in understanding that your motion is to reject it without prejudice, is that correct?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, Mr. President.

MR. FOX: That clarifies that, Mr. Boccuzzi.

MR. BOCCUZZI: No, the rest of that is out.

MR. FOX: I think here from a procedural stand-point, the motion speaks for itself. The motion is to reject it without prejudice, and that motion is on the floor. Mrs. Goldstein, that has been seconded by Fiscal?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Perhaps I misunderstand something, we rejected it for specific reasons that we want ironed out. One of the items we want ironed out pertains

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: (continuing)....to past practices. The second item that we want a clarification on is the breaking of parities. Therefore, I believe that if you are rejecting without prejudice, and we are giving reasons for why we're rejecting, we're not rejecting them without prejudice.

MR. FOX: We're speaking at this point to the motion. The motion is to reject without prejudice. Has Fiscal concurred with that or not, Mrs. Goldstein?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I would assume that based on Fiscal's discussion, Fiscal is rejecting it based on certain facts, and recommendations, and I believe this is with prejudice, prejudice meaning we want certain changes made.

MR. FOX: Your answer then is no, Fiscal doesn't concur? Let's get it straight, Mrs. Goldstein, could you answer the question or not?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I quite frankly would want Fiscal to clarify it together in committee and I don't believe we have time.

MR. FOX: I don't think we can dothat at this stage. There has been a motion made to reject this without prejudice. There is a second to the motion. The item is now on the floor for a vote. A yes vote will reject without prejudice, a no vote would be against the motion.

MR. BAXTER: Just to eliminate discussion, let me suggest, Mr. President, that if this motion of Mr. Zelinski's is carried, so that it is rejected without prejudice, that when you, the President, forward the results of this vote to the Mayor, you indicate some of the reasons which we could develop that you know about, what our problems were. And that I think, would solve Mrs. Goldstein's problem, that she thinks was in fact, rejected but that it can be resubmitted at a later date.

MR. MORGAN: Let me see if I can shed some light on the dilemma that Mrs. Goldstein finds herself in. It seems to me that if we reject the Fact Finders Report without prejudice, the net effect of that will be the negotiations will continue between the administration and the Police Association. There are a number of points which have been communicated to us by the Chief of Police and by others, which have been discussed by the Fiscal Committee and the Personnel Committee, and that these matters which are still under discussion will continue to be under discussion if our Board rejects this without prejudice. I would think the correct thing to do is to reject this contract without prejudice, support the Personnel Committee and vote yes on the matter.

MRS. HAWE: I just wanted to say that when we voted on this in Fiscal, it was my understanding that we were not rejecting it without prejudice, that we did have reasons for it.

MR. FOX: I think that question has been resolved.

MR. SIGNORE: Before we vote on the three contracts, I'd like to bring to light the possible conflict of interest on both sides of the aisle when it comes to relatives who are involved in these contracts. I think the people should search their conscience when they vote on these particular items,

MR. SIGNORE: (continuing)....because there are possibilities of conflicts of interest.

MR. FOX: Your opinion is noted.

MR. WIDER: MOVE the question.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED by Mrs. Goldstein. The MOTION is CARRIED. Let me clarify it once more. Mr. Zelinski has made a motion to reject the Fact Finder's Report without prejudice. A yes vote is to uphold that motion, a no vote is against it. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. RYBNICK: No, it hasn't; I've been asking you; I wanted to abstain for the elimination of conflict of interest.

MR. FOX: The record will reflect, sir, that you have abstained.

MR. BAXTER: Would the record reflect thathe vote was taken at one minute to midnight?

(1) <u>LABOR CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE STAMFORD MUNICIPAL</u>
<u>EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION, INC. (MEA)</u> for two years from July 1, 1977 to
June 30, 1979:

2% increase effective July 1, 1977

4% increase effective Jan. 1, 1978

6% increase effective July 1, 1978

Per State Statutes, this contract was presented to this Board Nov. 15,1977 and must be acted upon within 30 days therefrom, otherwise it automatically goes into effect.

MR. ZELINSKI: Our Committee met with members of the Municipal Employees Association and Mr. Barrett, the City Labor Negotiator, to discuss the contract. Our committee voted to reject the contract by a vote of 6 against with one abstention, that is to reject without prejudice, as was the case in the first contract, and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal concurs with that, Mr. President, and we will be doing it without prejudice.

MRS. RITCHIE: I'd like to correct Mrs. Goldstein's vote, I abstained on that in Fiscal.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal did concur.

MRS. RITCHIE: But you said unanimous, I believe.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry, I didn't realize I had said unanimously.

MR. FOX: The MOTION to reject the contract without prejudice has been MOVED and SECONDED. If there is no further discussion we will put it to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED with 35 yes votes, 2 abstentions (Mildred Ritchie and Charles Festo).

(2) LABOR CONTRACT BETWEEN STAMFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY OF STAMFORD and THE STAMFORD FEDERATION OF TEACHERS for three years from June, 1977 to June, 1980:

6.75% increase first contract year

6.50% increase second year

6.25% increase third year

Per State Statutes, this contract was presented to this Board Nov. 30, 1977 and must be acted upon within 30 days therefrom, otherwise it automatically goes into effect.

MR. ZELINSKI; Our committee met on December 8, 1977, with members of the Stamford Federation of Teachers, and members of the Stamford Board of Education to discuss this contract. We voted to reject without prejudice the contract with 6 in favor, and 1 abstention, because of the possible conflict, and I so MOVE. The vote originally had been 6 in favor and 1 abstention to approve the contract, based on the committee hearings, subject to a resolution to the Board of Finance on the financial impact of wage settlement contained in the contracts. It was brought to our attention this evening that since the Board of Finance has not yet acted on the teachers! contract, the committee resolved to reject the contract without prejudice, pending the resolution of the Board of Finance as was approved by the recent Charter changes.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Fiscal Committee voted 6-0 to accept the contract, however we did vote at that point to concur with Personnel's request, which was to accept the contract and we do appreciate the fact that we now have a Charter dilemma.

MR. FOX: Fiscal does not concur, is what you are saying?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: No, I'm sorry that we concurred with Personnel, when Personnel accepted it.

MR. FOX: You are not concurring with Mr. Zelinski's motion at this time?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: If his motion is now that Personnel rejects it, then we do not.

MRS. RITCHIE: Education, Welfare and Government concurs.

MR. FOX: A MOTION having been made and seconded to reject the contract without prejudice. We will then bring it to a vote.

MRS. SANTY: I would like the record to reflect that I'm abstaining for possible conflict.

MR. CARLUCCI: I'd just like the record to show that I'll be abstaining from the vote on item #2.

MR. BLUM: I just wonder if there is a confusion going on here with a new Charter. We met on all these contracts, we took a decision, today we got so confused because all of a sudden we find something new in the Charter. I'm sure, when the Mayor presented us with the contracts, wasn't he aware of a new Charter change?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: It is the Board of Education that submits this to the Board of Representatives, so I guess that the Mayor in this case should not be blamed.

MR. SCHLECHTWEG: Let the record note that I am also abstaining on this.

MR. BAXTER: I MOVE the question.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED. We will now vote on the motion on the floor to reject the contract without prejudice. The MOTION is CARRIED, there being one no vote (Mr. David Blum), and the abstentions that have been noted.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I would hope that in the future, that we will make some arrangements with the Mayor that he does not sign these contracts at a time where we will be pressed for time at our regular meeting.

MR. ZELINSKI: I agree with Mr. Boccuzzi's remarks; however when I researched this, Connecticut Statutes specify that we have a certain length of time within which to act on the contracts.

MR. BAXTER: POINT OF ORDER. With a great deal of respect for both Mr. Zelinski and Mr. Boccuzzi, this conversation would be more appropriate in the Fiscal Committee, and not on the floor of the Board.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE - Michael Feighan

MR. FEIGHAN: The Legislative and Rules Committee met on Thursday, December 8, 1977. Present at the meeting were: Michael Feighan, Mrs. Raymond, Henry Markiewicz, Donald Sherer, Stanley Darer and Charles Festo.

(1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO SIGN A DECLARATION OF PRESERVATION RESTRICTIONS required by Conn. Historical Commission in order to receive payment from State for their share of grant to restore the old Town Hall. This Board approved filing for the grant in 1975. Law Dept. to provide resolution to secure these funds per 10/20/77 letter of Susan Brewster. Held inCommittee 11/28/77.

MR. FEIGHAN: On Item No. 1 Miss Brewster was kind enough to accept my request to come and explain this proposed resolution to the Legislative and Rules Committee. We voted 6-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. PERILLO: Public Works by a vote of 7-0 concurs.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. There being 37 members present, the MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

RESOLUTION NO. 1139

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF EASEMENT, DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, AND DECLARATION OF PRESERVATION RESTRICTIONS - OLD TOWN HALL,

WHEREAS, on January 6, 1975, the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford authorized the filing of an application for funds from the Connecticut Historical Commission and the execution of an Assistance Agreement with the State for the restoration of the Old Town Hall; and

WHEREAS, the Connecticut Historical Commission requires that an Easements, Declaration of Covenants and Declaration of Preservation Restrictions be entered into between the City of Stamford and the Connecticut Historical Commission in order for payment by the State of their share of the funds as specified in the Funding Agreement between the State of Connecticut and the City of Stamford dated August 29, 1977;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Representatives that the Mayor of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, is hereby authorized and directed to execute the Easements, Declaration of Covenants and Declaration of Preservation Restrictions agreement.

(2) PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE RULES OF ORDER OF THE 15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Under "COMMITTEES" (Page 4, Item 9) - DELETE present #9 and SUBSTITUTE:

AND THE RANGE SHARED THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND

"STEERING COMMITTEE shall refer all items properly presented to the Board to the respective committee. Said referral shall place the item either in the Committee or on the Agenda.

> (a) An item placed in Committee shall be investigated fully and its findings made to the proper party directly.

> > (continued on next page)

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

- (b) An item referred to a Committee and placed on the Agenda shall be fully investigated and acted upon as follows:
 - (1) The Steering Committee shall place non-controversial items on a Consent basis on the Agenda. If, during the Chairman' report and prior to discussion, there is no objection, then the Chairman shall move to transfer that item to the Consen Agenda.

All other items shall be debated and acted upon in accordance with the Rules of the Board.

- (2) Items on the Consent Agenda shall be affirmatively Moved by the Clerk of the Board by casting one (1) vote.
- (c) The AGENDA of each meeting shall be incorporated by reference. It shall be made a part of the Minutes thereof. "

Submitted by City Rep. Donald Sherer.

MR. FEIGHAN: Our Committee voted 6-0 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. SHERER: I'd like to MOVE that in the interest of saving some of our precious time here, that there is a little confusion, I understand, from those people who I've been unable to explain the intricacies of this motion. I MOVE to put it back into committee.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. SIGNORE: I'm interested in the fact that possibly something could be put in committee and buried there for life. This is what I want clarified.

MR. FOX: I don't think the CHAIR would be in a position to give you that guarantee, Mr. Signore.

MR. MORGAN: Although Mr. Sherer has explained this item to me, unfortunately, I find that the language here does not fit meaning of what he's trying to do. I think it is quite clear that the language needs to be modified. It is something that we discussed in the Democratic Caucus Room and also which I've discussed with the Republican Leaders, and I think that holding this in committee for another month will have the effect of getting down language that means what we want it to mean.

MR. FOX: The MOTION having been MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED.

(3) PROPOSED CHANGE IN THE RULES OF ORDER OF THE 15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Under "CLERK" (Page 3, new item to be #5)

"5. The Clerk of the Board shall be responsible for Moving the consent Agenda." (Submitted by City Rep. Donald Sherer.)

(This is a companion item to #2 on the L&R Agenda, as it refers to "Consent" Agenda.)

MR. FEIGHAN: Item #3 is a companion to Item #2, following along the idea of the Consent Agenda pertaining to the duties of the Clerk.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FOX: We can clarify that at this point. Mr. Sherer are you moving to HOLD that in committee also? There being a motion to hold it in committee, is there a second to that? Being seconded by many, we'll put it to a vote. The MOTION is CARRIED.

(4) PROPOSED CHANGE IN THE RULES OF ORDER OF THE 15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Page 4, Item 1, under "COMMITTEES" under (a) Steering...change the number of members from 17 to 18. (Error due to mis-counting on 12/1/77 Organizational Meeting.)

MR. FEIGHAN: Item No. 4 is just a mathematical change. We unanimously do approve that and I so MOVE.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(5) PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE RULES OF ORDER OF THE 15th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES:

Page 4, Item 6, under "COMMITTEES" insert the words "AND ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER AND ASSISTANT MINORITY LEADER" (proposed by City Rep. Donald Sherer), and insert the word "OPEN" before the word "MEETING" (proposed by City Rep. Handy Dixon), so that the paragraph will read as follows (the changes are capitalized):

"6. The President of the Board, the Majority Leader, the Minority Leader, THE ASSISTANT MAJORITY LEADER AND THE ASSISTANT MINORITY LEADER may participate in an OPEN meeting of any Committee of which they are not regular members, but without the right to vote."

MR. FEIGHAN: The Committee members decided to add a second paragraph to the item with that in mind, I can add at this time, present it to the full Board.

MR. FOX: I would suggest that you submit the renewed proposal to the Steering Committee.

MR. MORGAN: I would like to make a motion to put this item back in committee until the language is clarified.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. The MOTION is CARRIED.

MR. BAXTER: POINT OF ORDER. Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that when items on the agenda are intended by the committee to be held in committee, that the quickest way to accomplish that would be merely not to move it out. And in which case, there is no vote needed, unless someone attempts to move it out.

MR. FOX: I think that is a good point, Mr. Baxter.

MR. ZELINSKI: I would like to bring up under SUSPENSION of the RULES, an item that had been on the tentative agenda of the Steering Committee which pertains

MR. ZELINSKI: (continuing)... to a request for approval of a lease between the City of Stamford and the Community Return Inc., and a lease between the City of Stamford and the Bridgeport Catholic Diocesan Corp. REQUEST TO SUSPEND THE RULES FOR A LEGISLATIVE & RULES ITEM:

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF LEASES (1) BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND COMMUNITY RETURN, INC.; and (2) BETWEEN CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE BRIDGEPORT ROMAN CATHOLIC DIOCESAN CORP. - for property at 66 West Main St., the former West Main Community Center. Held in Committee 12/5/77.

MR. ZELINSKI: I'm sure all the Board members had received a letter dated December 5, 1977 from Mr. Jon Smith, the Assistant Corporation Counsel. Unfortunately this letter was received the day after the Steering Committee met.

MR. FOX: Your motion is to SUSPEND THE RULES?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes sir.

MR. FOX: MOVED and SECONDED. This will require a two-thirds vote. We will vote by the machine. Mrs. Maihock has left, there are 33 members present. With this new machine, if you are here you should vote. The MOTION is LOST, 13 yes votes and 20 no votes. It would've required 22 yes votes.

THOSE VOTING IN FAVO
Ferrara, Richard
Dixon, Handy
Santy Jeanne-Lois
Markiewicz, Henry
Schlechtweg, John
Wider, Lathon
Ventura, Joseph
Wilmot, Charles
Baxter, George
Price, Margaret
Zelinski, John
Signore, S. A.
Blum, David

THOSE VOTING	IN OPPOSITION
Darer, Stanley	Morgan, Michael
Festo, Charles	Boccuzzi, John
Tiani, Charles	Carlucci, Leo
Perillo, Alfred	Esposito, Paul
Goldstein, Sandra	Perillo, Mildred
DeLuca, Robert	Wiesley, Vere
Sherer, Donald	Fox, John Wayne
Corbo, Fiorenzio	•
Pollard, Everett	
Ritchie, Mildred	ABSENT
Loomis, Ralph	Hawe, Marie
Feighan, Michael	Hays, George
Raymond, Diane	Robie, John
and the second of the second o	Livingston Ter

lucci, Leo osito, Paul illo, Mildred sley, Vere , John Wayne ENT e, Marie s, George ie, John Livingston, Jeremiah Rybnick, Gerald

McInerney, Barbara Maihock, Audrey

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

No Report

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

No Report

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

No Report

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

No Report

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

No Report

SEWER COMMITTEE

No Report

PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - No Report

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

No Report

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

No Report

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

HOUSE COMMITTEE

No Report

DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE COMMITTEE

No Report

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

No Report

PETITIONS

None

RESOLUTIONS

NONE

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR

Mr. Fox: The Mayor requested that we enact Legislation by Ordinance in sharing the City's protection, etc.

MR. LOOMIS: Is that going to be designated to a committee?

MR. FOX: It will be. I don't believe it really belonged on this agenda. It should've gone to the Steering Committee then assigned to Legislative and Rules Committee.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS

None

OLD BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

MR: FOX: The next meeting of the Board of Representatives will be held on Monday, January 16, 1978. It was a motion and it has been seconded. The MOTION is CARRIED.

MR. MORGAN: Under new business, I would just like to have these minutes of the Board of Representatives carry verbatim tonight's editorial in the Advocate with regard to the district Board minutes. I'm sure everybody has seen it, and I would like to pass it along to appear word for word : "The Stamford Board of Representatives seems to be very casual about the way it keeps the minutes of its meetings. It also seems to have forgotten that the Connecticut Freedom of Information Act requires public agencies to file minutes of meetings within seven days after they occur. Recently, the district board voted to approve seven of 25 sets of minutes. It left the remaining 18 sets, which are not yet typed, for the newly-elected board to approve. City Rep. Flanagan, R-19th, expressed disapproval of accepting the minutes and said the next board, which will have many new members, will not know if they are accurate. 'We are vulnerable as a board to action brought by a citizen or group of citizens who challenge the accuracy of the minutes," Mr. Flanagan said. "So much time has elapsed that I doubt any member can vouch for their accuracy." We urge the board to do whatever is necessary to produce accurate minutes promptly. As the City's legislative body, it has a duty to do so.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, a MOTION, duly seconded and CARRIED, the meeting is adjourned at 12:25a.m.

Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistand

(and Recording Secretary)

APPROVED:

John Wayne Fox President 15th Board of Representatives

> Note: The above meeting was broadcast over Radio Station WSTC in its entirety

hg:cmt