### MINUTES OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1980 REGULAR BOARD MEETING

### 16th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

### City of Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 16th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1980, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board of Representatives in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 9:05 P.M. by President Sandra Goldstein, after both political parties had met in caucus.

INVOCATION: Deaconess Jeanne-Lois Santy, Chairman of the Diaconate Stamford Baptist Church, and a Board member gave the Invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG: Led by President Sandra Goldstein.

ROLL CALL: Clerk Annie M. Summerville called the Roll. There were 36 present and 4 absent. The absent members were John Roos, John Kunsaw, Mary Jane Signore, and Everett Pollard (excused).

The CHAIR declared a QUORUM.

CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE: Found to be in good working order.

PAGES: MS. VIRGINIA HAWE, 20 Allison Road; K. T. Murphy School student; (daughter of City Rep. Marie Hawe)

MS. AMYBETH WOODTKE, 26 Allison Road; student at Our Lady Star of the Sea School.

### MOMENTS OF SILENCE:

<u>AUSTIN "GUS" RINELLA</u> - Rep. David Blum spoke of the late Mr. Rinella'a community accomplishments; his Horatio Alger rise from machine hand to President of his Union; and a vice president of Norma-Hoffman Bearing; Civil Service reform work; and a man of courage and character.

MRS. MARGARET TONER - Rep. Moira Lyons spoke of the late Mrs. Margaret Toner who contributed much to the community and was well-respected and would be missed.

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS

VR. BOCCUZZI MOVED to WAIVE the Reading of the STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT. CONDED. CARRIED.

# 2. MINUTES OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1980 REGULAR MEETING

#### STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

A meeting of the STEERING COMMITTEE was held on Monday, August 18, 1980 in the Democratic Caucus Room, Second Floor, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut. The meeting was called for 7:30 P.M. and began at 7:43 P.M. at which time a Quorum was achieved. Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein called the meeting to order.

#### PRESENT AT THE MEETING

Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman John J. Boccuzzi Handy Dixon John Zelinski David Blum Donald Donahue Alfred Perillo Michael Wiederlight Lathon Wider, Sr. Jeremiah Livingston Barbara McInerney Robert Gabe DeLuca Robert Fauteux Mary Lou Rinaldi Philip Stork Marie Hawe Mistress Stork Fiorenzio Corbo John J. Hogan, Jr. 2 media persons

#### (1) APPOINTMENTS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were four names appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda: Dr. Angelo Mastrangelo for Health Commission; Janet Garelik for Personnel Appeals Board; Paul J. Kuczo for Environmental Protection Board; and Richard Zeranski for the Human Rights Commission. ORDERED held for next month was Dr. Michael Sabia for the Health Commission.

### (2) FISCAL MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were 23 fiscal items, which included one item that was placed there from "New Business", being Ms. Lillian Filardo's letter regarding taxation, surpluses, deficits, setting of mill rates, etc. One item was moved to the Sewer Committee, being the Holly Pond Associates Limited Partnership request for an easement on which the Board of Finance had previously deferred making a decision.

### (3) LEGISLATIVE AND RULES MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were eight items, of which seven were on the Tentative Steering Agenda. The additional item was a proposed ordinance for publication re garbage collection for condominiums and multi-family dwellings. Ordered Held in Committee were two items, being publication of ordinance re safety of construction equipment including cranes, and publication of ordinance to convey property to City from Estate of Reginald I. Britton. Ordered off the agenda til further notice was publication of ordinance for tax abatement for Fairfield Health Plan (HMO). Ordered held for September Steering was request of Reps. DeLuca and Wiederlight of 8/18/80 re accepted usage of Veterans Memorial Park.

#### (4) PERSONNEL MATTERS

ORDERED UN THE AGENDA was the one item of the Fact Finder's Report on negotiations between the City and the Firefighters Union.

# 3. <u>MINUTES OF WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 3, 1980 REGULAR MEETING</u> STEERING COMMITTEE (continued)

#### (5) PLANNING AND ZONING MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three items from the Tentative Steering Agenda, being the problem of truck storage in residential zones; referral of Zoning Board's decision on application of Matthew Rose; and Ridgeway Gardens Apartment Development's request to discontinue Bracewood Lane as a City Road. Ordered held for the time being was the matter of acceptance of Northwood Lane (Extension) as a City Street.

# (6) HEALTH AND PROTECTION MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the low water pressure problem at Dolphin Cove. Ordered Held for next month was a letter from Park Manor Elderly Hotel re their available facilities.

#### (7) PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were both items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda, being publication of ordinance dissolving Sterling Farms Golf Authority, and final adoption of ordinance re curfews at City Parks and Recreation Parks.

#### (8) EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT MATTERS

ORDERED OFF the Agenda was Rep. Corbo's request of 3/6 (original request 12/29/79) melooking into hiring practices of school system.

### (9) SEWER MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Steering Agenda: proposed ordinance for storm sever easement to Holly Pond Associates.

#### (10) TRANSPORTATION MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items of publication of proposed ordinance re control of aircraft, heliports, and resolution to authorize condemnation for easements for land for traffic signals.

#### (11) NEW BUSINESS

The first item on the Tentative Steering Agenda was ORDERED referred to Charter Revision, being 7/29/80 letter from seven Board members re compilation of current mill rate and other related fiscal matters. The second item was transferred to the Fiscal Committee, being a letter from Ms. Lillian Filardo re taxation, surpluses, deficits, setting of mill rates, etc.

A third item was brought up and ordered put on the September Steering Agenda, being a request from Rep. J. Livingston that EW&G seek info re Nellie Spears vs Bd. of Educ., and report back whether special investigating committee with subpoena powers is warranted; also the 54 allegations of Price, Waterhouse be investigated.

#### ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the STEERING COMMITTEE, on MOTION duly made, SECONDED and CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 10:00 P.M., with some members remaining until 10:30 for discussion, and arrangement of committee schedules.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Chairman Handy Dixon

MR. DIXON: August 28th was a date set for the Appointments Committee to interview those names that appear on our Agenda tonight. Unfortunately, we were not able to have that meeting due to the lack of a Quorum. Since this is the second time that we have been absent a Quorum and could not conduct an official Appointments interview meeting and in light of the fact, that these names have been in Committee for the past two or three months, I would like to ask for SUSPENSION OF THE RULES to bring-out three of the names that appear on the Agenda.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: We need a motion to take it out of Committee.

MR. DIXON: I offer a motion to take number one out of Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

### HEALTH COMMISSION

(1)	DR. ANGELO MASTRANGELO (	(R)	Re-appointment: whose	Dec.	1,	1982	
	19 Grandview Avenue		term expired 12/1/79				

Term Expires

MR. DIXON: Dr. Mastrangelo was interviewed by four members of the Appointments Committee but because of a lack of a Quorum that interview was unofficial. I now MOVE for Dr. Mastrangelo's confirmation.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED, SECONDED. CARRIED. 33 in favor and 3 abstentions.

### PERSONNEL APPEALS BOARD

(2) JANET GARELIK (D)	Re-appointment; whose	Dec. 1, 1984
29 Falmouth Road	term expired 12/1/79.	

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

MR, DIXON: I MOVE to take item three out of Committee,

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED, SECONDED, CARRIED, One in opposition, Miss Summerville and one abstention, Mr. Flounders,

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD

(3) PAUL J. KUCZO (D)	Re-appointment (term	Dec, 1, 1982
239 Briar Brae Road	expired 12/1/79)	

MR. DIXON: I would MOVE for this Board's confirmation,

### APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (cont.)

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. Discussion?

MRS. SANTY said Stamford is lucky to have Mr. Kuczo nominated for another appointment on the EPB Board. Not only has he responded to her requests, but he has a reputation for being fair and listening to everyone who approaches him. She also said the name Kuczo is synonymous in Stamford with sports and with giving of many years and many contributions. His Mother and Father will always be remembered for all that they have given to Stamford and helped build Stamford to what it is today, and delighted to have a Kuczo still serving the City.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote; <u>35 in the affirmative; 1 abstention</u>. Mr. Kuczo has been CONFIRMED. Mr. Flounders abstained.

MR. DIXON: I MOVE to take item #4 out of Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED, SECONDED, CARRIED, Miss Summerville in opposition.

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

(4)	RICHARD ZERANSKI (R)	Re-appointment (term		
	81 Crane Road North	expired 12/1/79,		

Dec. 1, 1982

MR. DIXON: I MOVE for confirmation,

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. There is discussion.

MR. ZELINSKI said he was happy that the Committee voted for recommendation of Mr. Zeranski. He is a constituent in Mr. Zelinski's district and serves well and Mr. Zelinski is very happy to have representation from his District on the Human Rights Commission.

MR. WIDER said Mr. Zeranski married his next door neighbor's daughter and has known him for a while and Mr. Zeranski seems to be a very nice person and is sure he is concerned with Human Rights.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. <u>CARRIED</u>; <u>32 in the affirmative with 4</u> <u>abstentions</u>.

MR. CORBO: I would like to bring UNDER SUSPENSION OF THE RULES item #26 of the Fiscal agenda.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Mr. Corbo, if it's alright with you since you do have the right to make that motion that the Chairman be permitted to begin his report and introduce it and then if you wish to make the motion to Suspend the Rules, then we would have to move again to Suspend the Rules.

MR. CORBO: That would be fine.

6.

FISCAL COMMITTEE - Co-Chairpersons Marie Hawe and Paul Esposito

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal met Wednesday, August 27th and present were Reps. Lyons, Hawe, Conti (Betty), Rybnick, Flounders, Hogan and myself constituting a Quorum. Do you want me to go into the Consent Agenda first or the SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I would prefer to get the Consent Agenda taken care of. If the Board would like to Suspend the Rules, they can vote to do so.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal voted to put the following items on the CONSENT AGENDA: Nos, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 15, 20, and 22. (on those items where the secondary committee did not have a report, the proper motions were made, seconded and carried.)

MR. CORBO made a motion to consider item #26 on the additional Agenda under SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. <u>CARRIED with 24 votes in the affirmative</u>, 7 in the negative with 5 abstentions.

(26) <u>S64,211.00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.</u> - <u>ENGINEERING DIV.</u> - <u>BUREAU OF BUILDING</u> <u>INSPECTION</u> - <u>Various Codes 332</u> - Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes and DPW Comm. Spaulding 8/13/80 to fund items enumerated below. Board of Finance approved 8/21/80. Funding is for period Nov. 1, 1980 through June 30, 1981. (34 wks).

MR. ESPOSITO said that everyone received a hand-out on Suspension of the Rules items that we will be considering. He said there were three separate account numbers in the \$64,211.00. He believed that everyone received a full-text of Mr. Macri's statement for the support of the Board to re-staff his Department. The request for four inspectors and two deputy building officials is not to increase the number of positions in his Department and not to add any new positions; what he is simply seeking to do is fill the positions which have been vacated due to attrition, dealth, retirement and/or other vacancies. This would bring them up to the point they had been a couple of years ago in terms of staff.

Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor of it and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. WIDER spoke to support this appropriation. He also said after a fire on Manhattan Street, a permit ran out and there was no one in the Building Department to go and check on the dumpster that was blocking the sidewalk. He also stated

7.

MR. WIDER (continuing)...that we are missing a great deal of work that could be done by an inspector to see that some of the ills are taken care of before and not after a building is built.

MRS. CONTI said that she would like to MOVE to reduce this appropriation by \$30,081.80.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED a motion to amend.

MRS. CONTI said this would allow to have the part-time clerk and two building inspectors rather than four. Her rationale is the fact that the peak of the building boom has now passed. She thinks it will dwindle-off and we might be stuck with four additional people on the payroll. They would be civil servant and they may be in excess. Also, the comprehensive re-zoning going on which is all geared toward lower density which will probably be less building, less inspections to be made. She felt if we gave them two inspectors and one part-time clerk, it would be sufficient. If it is not, they can come back at a later date for more.

MR. DeNICOLA disagreed with the motion. He said one building alone is the \$40 million Railroad Station. The South End is going to be re-utilized and also Macy's is coming in and many other buildings He would not like to see this go through.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT didn't think the reduction is well thought out. The building boom by no means shows any sign of subsiding. He spoke about the swimming pools ordinance be considered which will require the Public Works and the Building Department to put some people out into the field and hire more people.

MR. BOCCUZZI said that this Department lost these people in approximately the last three years and want to replace them. The Department needs these people just to get the work done that is out there today. He said the only way to solve the ills of the City is to get these inspections done; to get the builders to be able to build without any delays is to increase the staff to the number it needs so that the Department can and will do its work in the right way.

MR. ZELINSKI felt the additional funds and manpower was needed, and mentioned the swimming pool ordinance on L&R's agenda, which is of the utmost importance, and manpower is needed to enfore this to insure that there are no more tragedies as ocfurred recently in Stamford. He hoped that this appropriation would not be reduced.

MR. WIDER said he was surprised at the small amount of money asked and appalled that anyone would ask that it be cut. He said we have a commitment from the Government to do the West Side, Neighborhood Strategy Area, and are going to have to have inspectors. He said that we are going to have to begin to build some houses if we have to build them much above ground and over some stores, and we will need these inspectors.

MR. CORBO said he has many occasions to deal with the Building Department. He said he was not to inclined to spend tax dollars for a project like this, but thought it is essential because as of now, they are under-staffed. On his many visits to that Department, he says the phone never stops ringing. They can use all the help they can get in that Department. Inspectors are needed to insure the safety and welfare of the people that are going to occupy the buildings. In other words if we structure the laws, we have to provide for the services.

MRS. PERILLO made a motion to MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

We will vote on the amendment to the motion which would be to cut to \$30,081.80. The vote is 33 in the negative, 2 in the affirmative. The motion has been DEFEATED.

We will now proceed to the main motion which is \$64,211.00.

MR. DeLUCA questioned how the \$300.00 for advertising will be used.

MR. ESPOSITO said this figure represents the cost of informing the general public which home improvements require permits and inspections.

MR. DeLUCA asked how the plan was about advertising; a published list in the paper what type of home improvements require permits and inspections or is this just going to be through a phamplet or brochure.

MR. ESPOSITO said this will be in the newspaper, in public notices, legal notices.

MR. DeLUCA said in other words every time somebody's looking for a permit for a home improvement, you put a legal notice in the paper for them? They cannot be just told as they come down before the Building Department?

MR. ESPOSITO said no.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked for a WAIVING of the Secondary Committee report. MOVED, SECONDED. CARRIED.

A call for a vote on the main motion was made by Mrs. Goldstein. The vote was 34 in favor, 2 abstentions. The motion has been CARRIED.

# (1) <u>\$162,700.20</u> - <u>BOARD OF EDUCATION - Additional Appropriation requested to fund</u> <u>1980-81 portion of the contract with the Stamford Aide Assn.</u> Board of Finance approved 7/10/80.

MR. ESPOSITO: This was held last month pending an opinion from Corporation Counsel which we now received. Fiscal voted 6 in favor, 1 opposed and I so MOVE. SECONDED.

MR. BLUM: Personnel agreed 4 in favor; 1 abstention.

MRS. CONTI said she is not opposed to the Teachers' Aides having a raise, however, she thinks the money should come from the Board of Education budget as they have sufficient money in the salary account to pay. Last year they transferred twice this much out of the salary account and she doesn't think the mandate is upon this Board to provide it. Also, said if an additional appropriation is required, they could come back at the end of the year inasmuch as they get an overall appropriation and they still have money.

MR. BLUM: I would like to speak in reference to the opinion handed down to us by Corporation Counsel. That is my reason for abstention. I am going to vote in favor of the contract because I think they are long over-due this contract. It's a question now of legality of the interpretation of the Municipal Employees Act. I still feel that the interpretation of this language has not been given to us correctly for I was with the Corporation Counsel yesterday and he's intending to get some further interpretations.

I shall read: "If the Municipal Employeer is a district school board, housing authority or authorities established by law which by Statute", there is no Statute for the Aides; by Charter, no place is it mentioned in the Charter. There is no special Act such as teachers and professionals of the Board of Education such as are in the General Statutes of the State, namely, Chapter 10 which deals with teachers and the administrators or an ordinance. We do not have an ordinance on our books, therefore, I feel the interpretation given to us by our Corporation Counsel has to be looked into further.

I will not vote nay for the simple reason the school aides, if they want to start at the low wage of \$ 3.81 to the big wage of \$ 5.00 and they are entrusted with our children for that amount of money, I will not stop them to get their raise.

MR. ZELINSKI said he would like to go on record as being in favor of this appropriation as he received phone calls from his constituents and thought the money was deserving.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: I wish to inform the Board that Mr. Boccuzzi, Mr. Livingston, Mr. Dziezyc and Mr. Donahue for the purposes of discussion and for the purposes of the vote.

MR. ZELINSKI: How many do we have present on the Floor? Do we have a Quorum?

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: We have a Quorum. The vote is 27 in the affirmative; 1 in the negative with 8 abstentions. The motion has been CARRIED.

MR. ESPOSITO: I'd like to point out that items 2, 3, 4 and 5, 4 and 5 which are on Consent, are resolutions for grant applications; that are all tied together as part of an Urban Act Joint Funding Program. All this is an attempt to work with the City's West Side revitalization program; a joint planning effort by the City's Planning, Public Works, Parks, Grants, Community Development offices. They have recognized the West Side of Stamford as an area of distress and as of 1978, HUD designated it a Neighborhood Strategy Area. It has a high concentration of low-income and minority residents as well as a significant number of deteriorated housing units.

These four grant applications, therefore are an attempt to work in a coordinated program to deal with the problems of the West Side.

# (2) <u>PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR GRANT TO PURCHASE</u> <u>PROPERTY ADJACENT TO CARWIN PARK FOR OPEN SPACE - (no amount specified).</u>

MR. ESPOSITO: This would require 25% City funds, 75% State and Federal money. Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor and I so MOVE, SECONDED.

MR. DeLUCA: Parks and Recreation concurs.

MR. DARER stated that he believed these resolutions in their entirety should be supported as they are an attempt to continue our success in obtaining grants for the betterment of the citizens in this Community and the undertakings for these grants are for very worth while purposes.

MRS. CONTI: I am opposed to extending the problem at Carwin Park. We had a public hearing. I could not be there but I understand they are unable to enforce the law in the present Park there now, and until such time as they can cope with the problem they presently have, I see no point in extending that problem.

MR. WIDER: I'm glad that Mrs. Conti said that. The fact is that it is too small to enforce. We need a larger park that we can put under the rules and regulations of the Parks Department. I want to thank you for bringing that out. As it is now, it is really uncontrollable unless we stop our form of neglect to draw our authorities to the attention of the violations that exist in our City. This is something that I think we must address ourselves to in Carwin Park as well as other parts of the City.

We cannot afford to let people take-over our City. This must be a law-abiding City; in Carwin Park and throughout the City of Stamford. I want to thank my Co-Chairman for making it so clear what we are trying to do. We are trying to keep the progress for the City of Stamford moving ahead and these Resolutions are just another step in the way of really revitalizing the West Side. I sincerely seek all the support of the Board Members on these Grants.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED. We will vote on the proposed Resolution authorizing filing of an application for a grant to purchase property adjacent to Carwin Park. The vote is <u>30 in the affirmative; 1 in the negative and 5</u> abstentions. The motion has been <u>CARRIED</u>.

MR. ESPOSITO: Item #3 should have been on Consent. The only reason it hasn't is we changed the figure.

(3) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR GRANT TO STATE COMMISSION OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO UNDERTAKE PROGRAM OF COMMUNITY CENTER RENOVATION, WITH CITY TO PROVIDE A LOCAL GRANT-IN-AID; GRANT IS FOR \$25,000 TO RENOVATE YERWOOD CENTER BASEMENT FOR CHILD CARE PROGRAMS - per Mayor's letter 8/13/80. State requires September approval.

MR. ESPOSITO: That has no effect or liability to the City. The only reason it was done that way is that originally, it would be \$25,000.00 from the State and \$50,000.00 from the Federal Government and what the Department of Human Resources would prefer is that we have one Resolution with both figures combined so that's why it's \$75,000.00.

Fiscal voted 6 to zero with 1 abstention and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED,

MR. HOGAN: E, W. and G concurs,

MR. DARER: MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED, SECONDED, CARRIED. We will vote by a voice vote. The motion is CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(4) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR GRANT OF \$100,000 (BALANCE OF CONSIDERATION FOR RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT TO BE SECURED BY MUNICIPALITY FROM OTHER SOURCES); THIS SUM TO COME FROM STATE DEP FROM U. S. BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION. Stamford Planning Board and EPB have approved area known as "West Side Park Development" where these funds are to be used: Development of Lione Park and Jackie Robinson Park. State requires September approval.

### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(5) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING FILING OF APPLICATION FOR \$100,000 GRANT TO UNDERTAKE A PROGRAM OF NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION, WITH LOCAL GRANTS-IN-AID, per Mayor's letter 8/13/80. For housing site preparation in the neighborhood strategy area. State requires September approval.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(6) PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER SUMS OF MONEY BY AMENDING THE 1979-1980 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET AS FOLLOWS: (Per Mayor's letter 8/5/80). Board of Finance approved 8/14/80:

TRANSFER TO:

12.

DPW, Division of Buildings and Grounds

#420.565 Install Heavy Gauge Mullion (Energy efficient windows first floor, Municipal Office Bldg.) \$8,061.00

 TRANSFER FROM:
 #490.526 Base Station
 \$ 412.00

 Communications:
 #490.575 Signal-High Ridge Station
 1,000.00

 Stamford Museum:
 #720.549 Curtain Drain Farm Class Rm. 2,444.00
 4,205.00

 #720,550 Attic Install.-Main Bldg.
 4,205.00
 \$8,061.00

# APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(7) <u>PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER SUMS OF MONEY BY AMENDING THE</u> <u>1979-80 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET AS FOLLOWS</u> - per Mayor's letter 8/5/80. Board of Finance approved 8/14/80.

#### TRANSFER TO:

Sewage Treatment Plant, DPW - #341.227 Hurricane Barrier......\$12,671.34

### TRANSFER FROM:

Police Dept.	#410.747 #410.750 #410.751 #410.752 #410.753	Microfilm Equi Intelligence S Radio Units Mobile Radios Paging System Scuba Boat Handi Talkies	ystem and Fire	Ş	2.11 175.00 479.00 275.00 160.00 333.00 224.00
Fire Dept.	#450,502	Increase Water Vehicle Repl, Snow Blowers	· Main - 1250 Tele-Sq.		751.82 101.49 75.39
Stamford Eme	#480,338	rvice: Haig Ave. EOC. Repair Warning			829.87 86.00
Communicatio	ons:				
		Traffic Light Portable Gener		1,	,116.00 8.86
Museum:	#720,370	Main Building	Renovation - Stamford Museum		43.80
	#720,738	Van	Stamford Museum		10.00

-0-

13.

MR. ESPOSITO: This is to transfer to the Sewage Treatment Plant for the basic automatic pump that must be replaced at the Hurricane Barrier. Fiscal voted 7 to zero in favor and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED, CARRIED. We will vote by a voice vote. Item #7 is a proposed Resolution to amend the 79-80 Capital Project Budget. The motion has been CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(8) \$-1,475.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 107.1130 PART-TIME SALARIES - Additional
 \$ 1,254.00 Appropriation requested per Mayor Clapes' letter 8/4/80 and
 (upon Reconsi- Jon Smith, P&Z Dir., for temporary Clerk-Typist to help Admin. Asst. whose minutes are behind.

MR. ESPOSITO: This is to hire a part-time secretary. Fiscal voted 7 to zero in favor and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. DeLUCA: I'd like to make a motion to reduce this figure by \$325.00. My rationale for doing so is the fact that this calls for the hiring of a clerk-typist at \$ 7.04 an hour.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: The motion is SECONDED.

MR. DeLUCA: My rationale for requesting the \$325.00 is the fact that latter on on our Agenda, we already passed an item for the Building Department to hire a clerk-typist which could be doing some of the type work; filing, typing and they plan to hire a person a \$ 5.51 per hour and the Zoning Board is planning on hiring one for \$ 7.04 an hour for clerk-typist. I don't see the need for the discrepancy between the two rates. I feel the Zoning Board should try to get the same type of person like the Building Department. Both Departments are similar in their activities and I would recommend that we approve a \$325.00 reduction.

MR. ESPOSITO: In the request for the Building Department, they want a clerk-typist part-time at \$ 5.00 an hour simple because this person is going to be used to replace the person who is there now who answers phones and does typing. The part-time person will replace this person when she is on breaks and at lunch. Currently, one of the employees have to help with the phones and on break and lunch time.

The clerk-typist for the Zoning Board has to have more skills. She is going to be doing more typing. She is going to be involved in typing the minutes. The Zoning Board is currently in the third month of its comprehensive review of the entire zoning map. These minutes have to be accurate; they're technical; they ahve to be filed with the Town Clerk. It involves more skill and that's why they've asked for the extra money.

MR. WIDER: Being an assistant to the Administrative Assistant in the Zoning Board is really a very technical job. We have to have someone that is prepared to deal with questions relating to Zoning. I can see no reason for cutting this money.

MR. DONAHUE: It is obvious right now that there is going to be a great deal of material coming possibly to the Board of Reps because of Zoning Board applications and appeals. It is obvious that with the comprehensive re-zoning itseld, there is going to be an increase in the work-load of the Zoning Board. I would urge that this appropriation not be cut.

We've talked about the problems the City Boards have and agencies have in filling jobs for clerk-typist and the great amount of competition. In order to get a qualified person as quickly as possible, I think that we would have to be competitive and I think the figure is a good one.

MR. DARER: MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED. The motion is to reduce the request by \$325.00 so that the request comes to \$1,150.00.

The vote is <u>21 in the negative; 6 in the affirmative</u>. The motion to reduce has been <u>DEFEATED</u>.

MR. ESPOSITO: I would like to MOVE the original motion which is for \$1,475,00.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. The vote is <u>23 in the affirmative</u>; <u>3 in the negative with 10 abstentions</u>. The motion has been <u>DEFEATED</u>. This needed a two-thirds vote.

MR. ZELINSKI: I would like to MOVE that we consider item #24 which is not on the Agenda but on the Suspension of the Rules Agenda under SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: This would require two Suspensions.

MR. ZELINSKI: That the proposed Resolution on the HSDA. Funds were appropriated last month. This was just a Resolution that had to accompany that and I would hope that we take it up now for the benefit of the people that are here.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: We have a motion on the Floor to SUSPEND THE RULES to consider an item out-of-order. It has been SECONDED.

MR. ESPOSITO: I have a POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE. On this last vote, I realized that we needed a two-thirds volte. We had 3 negative votes and 23 positive votes and we lost the vote because 10 Members of this Board were not on the Floor. I'd just like the public to know that.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: A motion to reconsider that item is in order at any time. Let us proceed to Mr. Zelinski's motion to Suspend the Rules to consider an item out-of-order. It has been MOVED and SECONDED. The vote is 22 yes, 10 no, 4 abstentions. The motion has been DEFEATED.

15.

(9) PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE 1980-1981 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. - DIVISION OF COLLECTION - #350.399 PUBLIC WORKS TRANSFER STATION - LAND PURCHASE - Additional Appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes per his letter 8/4/80 and Corporation Counsel's letter 7/30/80 - Judgment of Superior Court in favor of East Meadow Corp. that City pay the sum of \$99,000 (no interest through 9/30/80). (This is in addition to the \$75,000 already deposited by City for railroad spur easement adjacent to Sewage Treatment Plant. This is the second parcel in that immediate vicinity.)

#### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(10) PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE 1980-81 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET OF THE LONG RIDGE FIRE DEPT. OFR PROJECT "#473.644 LONG RIDGE FIRE DEPT. - SECOND FIRE STATION - UPPER HIGH RIDGE ROAD" in the sum of \$58,360.00 - per Mayor's letter 7/31/80 and J. J. Farley's letter 7/25/80 - TO BE FINANCED BY BONDS. To be used to retire a DEMAND loan of \$50,000 @ 13% interest for 6 months from Conn. Bank & Trust Co.; State withdrew their offered long-term lease on property they had available, costing the Long Ridge Fire Dept. a purchase price of \$10,050; drainage of \$17,500; topographic foundation modification of \$4,000; Fund drive did not come up to expectations. Station #2 is planned for opening Sept. 1, 1980,

MR. ESPOSITO: Item #10 we will not consider. It was deferred by the Board of Finance. It should not be on our Agenda.

(11) \$ 39,500.00 - COMMUNITY RETURN - Code 770,7552 - MULTI-SERVICE CENTER -Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes 8/4/80, which is third-year funding of Conn. Justice Commission 100% (Federal) Grant. (1980-1981 Operating Budget of City for Community Return was approved at \$6,000,00)

	Executive Director	\$19,474.00
	Office Manager	14,000.00
	Executive Secretary	9,500.00 **
	L Charles and the Lord Add	\$42,974,00
**	Funding from other sources	3,474.00
		\$39,500.00

### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(12) \$ 3,500.00 - CONNECTICUT CONFERENCE OF MUNICIPALITIES (CCM) -Code 295.5602 - Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes 7/7/80, advising his request for 1980-81 for \$21,000 was reduced by this Board to \$17,500, so there is a short-fall of \$3,500, Assessments by CCM are figured 20.5c per capita for over 75,000 people, but not less than \$900, based on highest population figure of latest State Health Dept. estimate OR 1970 decennial census, Same fee applies to 1981-82 as 1980-81, payable in full July 1st each year. CCM assistance and information is available to all elected and appointed officials.

MR. ESPOSITO: The Connecticut Conference of Municipalities is a vital link of all cities including the City of Stamford to Hartford. Their staff and resources provide information for the City on all pending legislation in Hartford; various issues we would never know about or we would not have information about without CCM. The Mayor and the Administration feels that this is an essential organization and should be fully funded.

Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. HOGAN: E, W. and G Concurs.

MR. DeLUCA: In May we spend many hours and our wisdom going over the Budget making cuts; add them back to the contingency fund on May 25th. We have heard from our Finance Commissioner, our Board of Finance that "what difference does it make if you add it back to the contingency", you still need two-thirds to approve the funds, but in the meantime, the contingency fund has something to do with our mill rate which even though we do defeat this item, because of a lack of a two-thirds vote, the contigency rate has been set and the mill rate has been set and lives with us forever; which creates phoney surpluses by defeating items that come back before us.

We sit through many hours as the Fiscal Committee did, as other Members of the Board did and then to come here tonight and vote for items that were cut, we are not doing our job. I urge that we defeat this item.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I have to agree with my colleague. If we cut prudently and with thought, then we should stick by what we did. If we felt we were making a capricious move, then we should reinstate the \$3,500.00 and that's the decision you have to make.

MR. DeNICOLA: I would like to agree with Mr. DeLuca,

MR. FLOUNDERS: I, at the risk of offending some of my colleagues who have spoken against this, I would like to speak in favor of it. \$21,000.00 is the cost of belonging to the Connecticut Conference of Municipalities. We either belong to the CCM or we don't. This is comparable to a Trade Association in industry. We get a lot of benefits as a City out of CCM, It is a Clearing House for municipal information from all across the country. It would cost more in salaries, communications, telephone and mailing to begin to get the kind of information that we get through this Clearing House. They also serve as our only lobbying arm in Hartford, We get a lot of help from the CCM on litigation.

The price of membership is \$21,000.00. If we want it, we pay it. If we pay \$17,000.00, we don't get the price of membership.

MR. DARER: If this Association wasn't serving the citizens of our State, Cities of the State, nobody would belong to it. We, as legislators on this City Board, receive a regular bulletin which shows their activities and what they are investigating. I find it very useful.

There are a lot of things happening in Hartford. If we are kept informed, we can save money. We can learn how to attack problems that perhaps other people have had. We can learn from their mistakes. Several of the law suits that have taken place, we've been assisted by CCM. We're saving the taxpayers' money or attempting to save the taxpayers' money by litigation against public utilities and whatever.

MRS. HAWE: This is an exceptionally important organization for the City to belong to; especially valuable during the part of the year that the legislature is in session. It's the City's life-line to Hartford so to speak for information concerning legislation that's pending. I urge the Representatives to approve this relatively small amount of money.

MR. FAUTEUX: I've received many of the CCM's periodicals and news' flashes that are a very effective voice for the City in Hartford. They provide a very good service to us. I think CCM is a very good membership for the City to have,

MR. ZELINSKI: When I was first elected to the Board, I received from CCM an invitation to attend a workshop. There was no charge for this and I did go. It was very enlightening and I did receive a great deal of information and back-up material. Unfortunately since then, because of lack of interest or what have you, they have not had these. I think we have to approve the \$3,500.00. They do have several workshops, and as I guess, most of my colleagues receive the invitations to attend the workshopsat their headquarters. The only problem is that there is a charge associated with this and inasmuch as we are not paid members of this Legislative Body, I would like to see this approved tonight and if we could make mention of the fact that this Body would like to see these workshops free-of-charge to members who are members of the CCM.

MR. WIDER: I received a letter yesterday from CCM. They are involved with five major cities concerning the increase in gas, electric and water. If we are not a member of this, when those hearings come down, we are speaking as a separate municipality. We must be a part of the force that can hold some of our bills down; especially for our cities that use a great deal of water, I would appreciate every vote for this.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I am going to vote in favor of this. We've heard some very good reasons given by some of our Republican colleagues as well as our Democrats. One of the things that I had the privilege of learning this Summer and that is being in a conference with other municipalities from the surrounding communities. One of the things that

MR. LIVINGSTON: (continuing)...happened is that you begin to understand some of the problems that our neighbors are having which helps you understand better some of the problems we are having here in this City. We cannot isolate ourselves from the rest of the State. If we are going to be members, either we're going to be members in good standing or we should ask that this be deleted from the budget completely and perhaps we can be a municipality standing by ourselves. I hope that we vote for this tonight and that it is approved.

MR. BOCCUZZI: MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

#12 on voting machine not working properly. The vote is 26 in the affirmative; 10 in the negative. The motion has CARRIED,

(13) <u>REQUEST FOR APPROVAL BY CONNECTICUT CONFERENCE OF MUNICIPALITIES (CCM)</u> OF A RESOLUTION SUBMITTED BY MAYOR CLAPES 7/23/80 to enable Stamford to participate in legal action being taken by municipalities (Hartford law firm of Robinson, Robinson and Cole) in an effort to HOLD DOWN TELEPHONE COSTS FOR CITIES. Each municipality to be charged a pro rata fee for its participation on a cost-sharing basis. 7/9/80 letter from CCM to Mayors; CCM Press Release 7/14 stating they intervened "Monday" also attached. Board of Finance "Deferred for further information from CCM", This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

MR. ESPOSITO said this is only a request for approval to participate. The assessment will come next month and we will then be asking for an additional appropriation of \$2,105.00. Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor and I so MOVE.

MR. HOGAN: E, W, and G concurs.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote to approve the Resolution. The vote is <u>30 yes</u>, <u>5 nos</u> (Mr, Joyce, Mrs. Perillo, Mr. DeNicola, Mr, Perillo, Mr. Dziezyc) with <u>1 abstention</u>. The motion is <u>CARRIED</u>.

(14) \$ 2,105.00 - CONNECTICUT CONFERENCE OF MUNICIPALITIES (CCM) -Code 295.5609 - Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes 7/21/80 to fund Stamford's share to participate with other cities to challenge Northeast Utilities and its two in-state subsidiaries for rate increases for electricity. This item on Agenda contigent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

MR. ESPOSITO said the City is assessed at the ratio of 2c per capita which comes to \$ 2,105.00 for the Connecticut Conference of Municipalities. If HELCO gets its increase, the cost to the City will be \$450,000.00. Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. HOGAN said E, W, and G concurs.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote, <u>30 in the affirmative, 6 abstentions</u>. CARRIED.

(18) \$41,083.00 - STAMFORD MUSEUM AND NATURE CENTER - Code 720.4310 -SALARY INCREASES (7% + 7%) for NON-ADMIN. EMPLOYEES -Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes (second submission) 8/5/80. Board of Representatives denied this request at July 7, 1980 meeting.

RETROACTIVE to 7/1/79 for fiscal year 79/80; and for fiscal year 1980/1981.

Fiscal year 1979-1980 Fiscal year 1980-1981 This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80. 513,483.00 27,600.00 \$41,083.00 Finance

MR. ESPOSITO said Fiscal voted 6 to 1 in favor and I so MOVE. SECONDED.

MR. BLUM said Personnel concurs.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN called for a machine vote. The vote is <u>30 in the affirmative</u>, none in the negative and 6 abstentions. CARRIED.

(19) \$41,428.00 - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE - BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS AND RECORDS -GRANTS - Code 248 (various sub-accounts) - additional appropriation requested 8/4/80 by Mayor Clapes, and by Finance Comm. Oscar A. Hoffman's letter of 7/22/80, and Personnel Director Sim Bernstein's letter 8/1/80 approving creation of two new positions; Accountant and Account Clerk II. This department to be under Deputy Controller.

248.1110 Sala	ries (Accountant & Clerk)	\$30,012.
248.1310 Soci	al Security	1,922.
248.1450 Misc	ellaneous Pensions	3,762.
248,1330 Medi	cal and Life	3,302.
248.2930 Stat	ionery and Supplies	300.
248.2610 Equi	pment Maintenance	50.
248.2922 Post	age	100.
248.2323 Phot	co-Copying	500.
248.2740 Tele	phone	450.
248.2940 Conf	erence and Training	500.
248.2942 Trav	rel .	500.
248.1220 Car	allowance	<b>3</b> 0.
		\$41,428.

One-half to three-quarters to be reimbursed by Community Development Block Grant. When Indirect Cost System is in place, grants will be charged for administrative support including accounting.

This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

MR. ESPOSITO said this is basically a request, proposed budget for a grant accounting office within the Bureau of Accounts and Records. The City is receiving many grants and also many different agencies within the City receive grant. Many of them are independent of the City auditing practices. The Federal Government and other grant conferring agencies are going to take more stringent action in terms of auditing procedures.

Fiscal voted 6 in favor, none opposed, 1 abstention and I so MOVE. SECONDED.

MR. BLUM said Personnel concurs.

MRS. PERILLO asked Mr. Esposito if this was for two (2) positions and Mr. Esposito said yes, She also asked why is \$500.00 needed to travel in the City and \$30.00 for car allowance.

MR. ESPOSITO said he did not have any back-up on this. He would assume they would make trips to grant conferring agencies in Hartford or Washington.

MRS. MAIHOCK said Mrs. Perillo addressed the same question she had regarding travelling and wondered if there had been any information on that.

MR. FAUTEUX said he thought conferences and training only include the fees to go and travel expenses are separate.

MR. JOYCE said what we see here is bureaucracy on the march.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN proceeding to a vote. 25 in the affirmative, 6 in the negative and 5 abstentions. The motion has been CARRIED.

(20) PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE 1979-1980 CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET BY ADDING TO THE PROJECT KNOWN AS #341.227 HURRICANE BARRIER (DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS - SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT) THE SUM OF \$4,528.67 -TO BE FINANCED BY BONDS, per Mayor Clapes' request of 8/5/80 (plus various department-' and the Law Dept.'s communications re close-out and transfers of capital project items which Mayor enclosed). This \$4,528.67 PLUS the \$12,671.33 which has also been requested via TRANSFER (from various other capital projects) on our Tentative Steering Agenda of 8/18 (Item #7) will allow Public Works to make the automatic pumps on the Hurrican Barrier fully operational.

This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

The College and opening one impact on Charges

22,

(21) <u>\$11,930.00</u> - <u>STAMFORD FIRE DEPARTMENT - Code 450.1110 SALARIES -</u> Additional appropriation requested to hire Executive Secretary (formerly employed at Stamford Emergency Services, which departments' budget was reduced to zero by Board of Finance for 1980-81). This new post to be effective Sept. 1980. Board of Finance deferred at July meeting pending favorable action by Personnel Commission.

This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

DEFERRED BY BOARD OF FINANCE.

(22) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CITY TO APPLY FOR GRANT OF \$118,154 TITLE XX FROM STATE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PROVIDE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO WELFARE DEPARTMENT, COMMISSION ON AGING, AND FAIR RENT COMMISSION (same as allocation for fiscal 1979-1980) - per Mayor Clapes' request 8/18/80. Local grants-in-aid to be provided to the extent that they are necessary and required for said program.

### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(23) LETTER 8/1/80 FROM MS. LILLLAN V. FILARDO, CPA, PROTESTING THIS YEAR'S TAX INCREASE SINCE CITY SURPLUSES WERE THE RESULT OF OVER-TAXATION THE PAST FEW YEARS, THAT THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN A TAX DECREASE. MS. FILARDO REQUESTS APPOINTMENT OF A COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE WHETHER THERE WAS A DELIBERATE VIOLATION OF STATE STATUTES IN SETTING MILL RATE THIS YEAR; ALSO WHETHER A REFUND MAY BE DUE, ETC.

MR. ESPOSITO said Fiscal met on this. Ms. Filardo and Comm. Hoffman spoke. Fiscal decided to appoint a sub-committee to review the situation. The Committee will include Mr. Esposito, Mrs. Conti and Mr. Fauteux. Hopefully, a report will be given to the Board at the October meeting.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked Mr. Esposito if Item #21 was put on Consent, and Mr. Esposito replied that it was not approved by the Board of Finance.

MR. BLUM asked whether it was approved by the Board to set-up a sub-committee.

MR. ESPOSITO replies it was approved by Mrs. Hawe and himself which was all that was necessary to establish a sub-committee and it was approved by the Fiscal Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN informed Mr. Blum that this sub-committee was part of Fiscal to do research on something that came before Steering. Also, asked to go to the SUSPENSION OF THE RULES AGENDA.

requesting approval of a resolution to go along with fiscal request that this Board approved on July 7, 1980 in the sum of \$27,633.00.

24.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ESPOSITO MOVED to Suspend the Rules to consider item #24.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

MR. ESPOSITO said it is simply a technicality that we have to approve the Resolution in the proper form and Fiscal voted 6 to 1 and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the Waiving of the Secondary Committee has been MOVED, SECONDED and CARRIED.

Ms. Summerville is abstaining from this vote. We will take a voice vote. CARRIED by a vote of 32 in favor, 3 abstentions (Guroian, Corbo, Summerville) and 1 no vote (B. Conti).

(25) <u>\$6,582,00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPT</u>. Div. of Equipment Maintenance -Code 311.3470 OSHA Requiements - additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes 8/11/80 to fund 21 back-up alarms, 29 dump body supports, and 21 operating lever safety latches as required by Federal OSHA regulations.

MR. ESPOSITO MOVED to place item #25 on the Agenda by SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

MR. ESPOSITO said Fiscal voted 7 in favor and none opposed and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN; MOVED. SECONDED. Asked for a WAIVING of the Secondard Committee report. MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT requested the Chair to direct something to the Public Works Committee to get in touch with the Public Works Commissioner to see why it's taking so long to get these changes made on the vehicles to comply and if proper procedures are bing taken to inspect our vehicles in line with the proper safety measures.

MR. PERILLO said that is what heppens when you have a change of Administration every couple of years. There have been four Public Works Commissioners in the last six years.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT said that was an excuse not a reason. The first and most important thing is the lives of our City workers and our neighbors. The Occupational Health and Safety Act went into force in 1970. Why is it taking so long to get these vehicles equipped properly.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN told Mr. Wiederlight that it would be proper to put this into Steering and it will go into Mr. Perillo's Committee.

MR. BLUM said if any employee gets hurt as a violation of OSHA, the City would be in trouble.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a voice vote. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

24,

(26) <u>\$64,211.00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.</u> - <u>ENGINEERING DIV.</u> - <u>BUREAU OF</u> BUILDING INSPECTION.

SEE PAGE 6 - TAKEN-UP UNDER SUSPENSION OF THE RULES.

(27) <u>\$850.00</u> - <u>HEALTH DEPT</u>. - Code 550.2650 New Equipment - additional appropriation per Mayor Clapes' request 8/18/80 and Dr. Ralph M. Golfstein's letter 8/11/80 to fund a turbidometer (nephalometer) to determine turbidity of water. Failure to have this analyzing instrument would result in withdrawal of certification which would prohibit water analyses and loss of that income; plus possibility of inaccurate analyses affecting public health. Bd. of Finance approved 8/21/80.

MR. ESPOSITO said this comes from the Health Department to purchase a turbidometer which measures the turbidity of the water. The Health Department generates \$ 7,000.00 in income from the use of testing the water and this \$850.00 would be a small investment in that process. Fiscal voted 7 to zero in favor and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. DARER questioned Mr. Esposito on what the Health Department tests the water for.

MR. ESPOSITO said cloudiness.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote (voice). The motion was CARRIED.

MR. BLUM wanted to know why so many of these items came down so late from, he assumed, the Board of Finance, and why did the Board have to have so many Suspended items.

MR. ESPOSITO said that the original Steering Committee meeting was scheduled for Monday, August 25th and that was moved back a week. Many departments were not aware of that and did not submit their requests in time. Also, the Board of Finance did not have a Quorum at their regular monthly meeting because many of the members were at the Democratic Convention in New York City; therefore, they had to hold their meeting later.

MR. ESPOSITO went over the CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS, which were MOVED and SECONDED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said Mr. Blum abstained on #9, Mrs. McInerney on #11, Mrs. Hawe on #11, Mr. Corbo abstained on all Fiscal items and Mrs. Guroian and Mrs. Conti have abstained on all Fiscal items.

MR. PERILLO asked about item #29, \$384,000 of transfers of monies to the West Side inteceptor.

MRS. Hawe said that at Steering, this item will be on next month's agenda.

1.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE - Co-Chairmen John Zelinski and Anthony Conti

26.

MR. ZELINSKI said that L&R had two meetings. One last month and one the beginning of this month. The first was held on Monday, August 25. In attendance were Reps. Donahue, Wiederlight, Blum, Fasanelli, Corbo, Co-Chairman Conti and himself, Co-Chairman Zelinski. Absent were Reps. Loomis and Pollard. Also present at the meeting were Reps. Betty Conti, Audrey Maihock and others.

(1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE RE CODE OF ETHICS (CONCERNING GIFTS TO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY) - submitted by Mayor Clapes, his letter 5/19/80, advising this is directed towards those actions discussed by Atty. Ralph Elliott in report to Mayor. Also Mayor's letter 5/20/80 to Personnel Director re this ordinance, stating similar appropriate action to be taken with regard to the Classified Service and including provisions for enforcement. Held in Committee 6/2, 7/7 and 8/4 for drafting by Law Dept. Rep. Betty Conti requested meeting of Law Dept. and the Board as "A Committee of the Whole" to discuss.

MR. ZELINSKI held this in Committee.

(2) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE ON "ENCLOSING SWIMMING POOLS" -Text to be drafted by Law Dept. On 7/7/80 a Resolution was adopted by this Board on swimming pool safety. On 7/17/80 Rep. Zelinski restored proposed ordinance item to agenda.

MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted for <u>final adoption</u> and I so MOVE. SECONDED.

MRS. CONTI asked whether the Board Members received copies of this ordinance. She didn't recall receiving it.

MR. ZELINSKI said it was sent to all Members. It should have been received on or about August 27.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said it appears a number of people did not receive copies of the ordinance.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said this needs a two-thirds vote of the full Board and the question is on waiving publication of the ordinance that deals with swimming pool safety devices. The vote was <u>15 in favor</u> of waiving publication; <u>19 opposed</u>, with <u>2 abstentions</u>. The motion to waive publication had been <u>DENIED</u>. We will now go on to the item on the Agenda which is the publication of the ordinance.

MR. ZELINSKI said he was disappointed but said he would MOVE that we vote for publication for this ordinance.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. BLUM said he concurred with the figure but thinks it's time the City as other municipalities take steps in taking over the Utilities. If we look into our State Statutes, it allowes a municipality to sole perform this type of work. The time has come that we as a City have to look into these possibilities.

MR. JOYCE said here is a consumerism item and the rate increases for electricity certainly have to be opposed by municipalities..

MRS. PERILLO asked Mr. Esposito if he knew of any cases that were challenged or legal action brought against CCM where it saved the City money.

MR. ESPOSITO said he would refer this to Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. McINERNEY said that two years ago, we enjoined as an intervenor in a case by CCM and we were given back several thousand dollars. It was with the last increase in HELCO's rates so we benifitted,

MR. ZELINSKI stated that we pass this tonight. The amount \$2,105.00 is small.

MRS. CONTI said she is going back to the question raised a few minutes ago. She understood we didn't get any financial settlement but the right to have control over the poles rather than the Utility Company having them.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT said MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said MOVED, SECONDED, CARRIED. We will vote by voice. The vote is <u>28 in favor</u>, <u>7 opposed</u> (Reps. DeNicola, A. Perillo, Dziezyc, Guroian, Conti, Santy, DeLuca) and <u>1 abstention</u>. The motion is <u>CARRIED</u>.

(15) \$ 755.00 - BOARD OF RECREATION - Code 655,4181 INDUSTRIAL CO-ED VOLLEYBALL SELF-SUSTAINING REVOLVING FUND - Requested by Mayor Clapes and Recreation Sutp. Bruno Giordano 7/23/80 an accounting procedure to reimburse this revolving fund for \$755 paid into General Fund from receipts which amount is in excess of the \$1,800,00 appropriated, Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80,

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA,

(16) \$ 5,500.00 - SOUTHWESTERN CONNECTICUT HEALTH SYSTEMS AGENCY -Code 586.3751 - Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes 8/1/80 of \$5,000.00 and this was DELETED from 1980-81 Operating Budge by Bd. of Representatives. SWC Health Systems Agency Pres. Glenna Michaels' letter 12/5/79 requested 10c per capita for 1980-81 contribution from Stamford. Exec. Dir. Eugene Michael 7/23/80 re-submitted request for \$10,750, responding to Budget Director Frank Harrison's letter 7/14/80 re Board action on budget. This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80,

20,

MR. ESPOSITO said this is an additional appropriation. The original request from the 1980-81 fiscal year was cut by the Board - \$ 5,000.00. Fiscal voted 2 in favor, 4 opposed and 1 abstention. I would MOVE to approve this item keeping in mind that Fiscal voted to DENY it.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MRS. SANTY said that Health and Protection voted to HOLD this in Committee because they wanted to hear this again.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the motion to HOLD to refer this back to Committee has been SECONDED.

MR. ESPOSITO said there ere a lot of philosophical issues about the Health Systems Agency to be dealt with by the Board and believed this would be within the purview of the Health and Protection Committee. Also, anyone who had questions about the functions of HSA and their stand, go to the Health and Protection Committee. Fiscal probably will not meet on this item again.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that at Steering, it would go into Health and Protection too. It will be put in both places.

MR. BLUM said he voted to have this held. There are a lot of technical aspects in this particular item. SCHSA has a function, one of which is to control equipment in hospitals so as not to have exorbitant fees.

MR. CORBO said MOVE the question.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. The motion is on sending this item back to Committee which will be Health and Protection and Fiscal. Voice vote. CARRIED with <u>l abstention</u>, Mr. Hogan.

(17) <u>\$33,452.00</u> - <u>STAMFORD MUSEUM AND NATURE CENTER</u> - <u>Code 720.4210</u> - <u>NON-UNION MANAGEMENT MERIT INCREASES (from 5% to 8%)</u> - Additional appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes (second submission) Aug. 5, 1980. Board of Representatives denied this request at July 7, 1980 meeting.

RETROACTIVE to 7/1/79 for fiscal year 79/80; and for fiscal year 1980/1981.

the second se

Fiscal	Year	1979-1980	\$10,900.00
Fiscal	Year	1980-1981	22,552.00
			\$33,452,00

This item on Agenda contingent upon Board of Finance approving on 8/21/80.

MR. ESPOSTIO said Fiscal voted 6 in favor and 1 opposed and I so MOVE.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. BLUM said Personnel concurs.

27.

MR. JOYCE said that he would MOVE that the ordinance as proposed for publication be amended to allow a 60-day grace period during which no fine would be imposed and that a warning be given toward corrective measures to be taken by the owner who has the pool on his property to comply with the necessary requirements of the ordinance, and if that is not done at that point, then he could be fined. There has to be a grace period during which time there is adequate publication.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that's a proper motion to make for the publication and believed Mr. Zelinski had the wording for such a motion.

MR. ZELINSKI said that he would like to add under Section 2, after the State Building Code section, to read "there shall be a thirty (30) day grace period to allow for corrections of any violations that occur during the first six (6) months after adoption".

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said MOVED. SECONDED.

MR. DIXON said that is the amendment he proposed and thought Mr. Zelinski was going to read it into the ordinance itself.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the amendment was SECONDED and is in the ordinance itself.

MR. STORK said he believed Rep. Joyce's amendment was for a sixty (60) grace period and Rep. Zelinski said thirty (30) days, and asked to determine which it is.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that Mr. Zelinski's amendment was for a thirty (30) day grace period and Mr. Joyce did not concur with that as he thought it should be sixty (60) days; that would have to be taken as another amendment after voting on the thirty (30) day grace period to allow for corrections of any violations that occur during the first six months after adoption. That was the amendment on the Floor that had been SECONDED.

MR. FLOUNDERS stated that he was not exactly sure about the thrity (30) day grace period to allow for any violation that occurs during the 6 months after adoption. He wanted to know if that meant any violation is discovered during the first six months or any that occurs; what does occurs mean.

MR. ZELINSKI said they way he would read it that once the violation is discovered by one of the inspectors, 30 days from then the people have to correct it.

MR. BLUM sia because the ordinance now comes into line, you have 30 days within a 6 month period to correct the violation.

MR. ZELINSKI said the State Building Code reads, "which contains 24" of more of water in depth at any point".

MR. CONTI said if a pool is built to hold water, it will hold 24" and if it is drained, you are not going to take the fence down for the interim time that the pook is being drained and put the fence back up again later. It is permanent fencing of any pool which does contain or could contain 24" of water. The Cohen boy met his mishap because there was rain water in the pool and not 24" of water.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked to take a vote on the amendment that is there shall be a 30-day grace period to allow for corrections of any violation that occurs during the first 6 months after adoption. 35 members are present. Mr. Darer just left the Board. The vote is 27 in the affirmative, 1 in the negative; with 7 abstentions. CARRIED.

MR. BOCCUZZI suggested that when the public hearing is held that Corporation Counsel be represented so that they can interpret the ordinance according to the law.

MRS. McINERNEY requested that the Staff send out copies to all Board Members as they can't find these copies.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that there were a number of people who didn't receive a copy probably because the ordinance was delivered the same night of the meeting.

MR. ZELINSKI MOVED for publication of the ordinance.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. ZELINSKI MOVED for passage of the Resolution.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said save the Resolution for next month since both go together. It will be held in Committee.

(3) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR TAX ABATEMENT FOR RESIDENCE OF ASST. PASTOR OF ZION LUTHERAN CHURCH located at 17 Fenway. Also requesting refund of taxes paid - their letter 3/31/80. Text to be made up. Held in Committee 4/21, 5/19, 6/23 and 8/4/80.

MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted to HOLD this because they did not have the ordinance.

(4) FOR RE-PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR TAX ABATEMENT FOR SOUTH-WESTERN CONNECTICUT GIRL SCOUT COUNCIL, INC. - Letter 1/21/80 from Paul F. Jacobson, P.C. Published 11/13/79. Property consists of 8,098 acres contributed by Frederick S. and Ann B. Wonham to add to Camp Rocky Craig, the Girl Scout Camp which already has tax exempt status. Held in Committee 3/3, 4/10, 5/5, 6/2, 6/23 and 8/4/80.

29.

MR. ZELINSKI said that his Committee voted to take item #4 OFF THE AGENDA.

(5) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR TAX ABATEMENT FOR BELL ST. AND TRESSER BOULEVARD PROPERTY (parking lot) - submitted by Mayor Clapes 5/29; by Asst. Corp. Counsel John E. Smyth 5/22/80 stating this is from Atty. Gaynor E. Brennan, Jr., and property is owned by St. John's R.C. Church, and used also for municipal purposes. Held in Steering 6/23 for August agenda.

MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted to publish and I so MOVE. MOVED and SECONDED.

MRS. McINERNEY questioned Mr. Zelinski as to whether or not he had checked with the Tax Assessor to find out if the status of this property was changed inasmuch as receiving a 100% tax abatement since that property is rented to the City for use as a Municipal parking lot.

MR. ZELINSKI said no, the Corporation Counsel, Mr. Cookney, did attend the meeting for the item; however, he had to leave before Mr. Zelinski could discuss this particular site. This ordinance was drafted by the Corporation Counsel's office and said if they drafted it, they must know if it is legal or now for the Board to adopt it and said that's why we moving for publication.

MRS. McINERNEY told Mr, Zelinski that we went through this last month. The Corporation Counsel writes the laws, the tax assessor assesses the property, and the purpose is if this property is generating some type of revenue, perhaps a portion of it should be abated by not entirely abated. The City is paying a huge sum of money as rental and before we publish this, Mr, Zelinski should have sat down with the tax assessor, have him come to our meeting and discuss if it should be abated 100%.

MRS. McINERNEY made a motion to put this BACK IN COMMITTEE until we receive more information. MOVED and SECONDED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN stated that a motion to recommit takes precedence over the motion that's on the Floor, and called for discussion on the motion to recommit.

MR. ZELINSKI said that between publication and final adoption, he would be happy to invite the Tax Assessor to come to the L&R Committee meeting to discuss this, and would not like to hold this up another month while checking that just in the event that there isn't a problem. If there is, may be only half the abatement, and he sees no problem, and before final adoption, the changes and corrections can be made before taken a vote. Also, said that this was held since May and would not like to see it go back to Committee.

MRS. McINERNEY said the same request was made last month and Mr. Zelinski said he would comply with it. Also, stated that we must have these answers before we publish.

MR. ZELINSKI asked for a POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE. He said that Rep. McInerney has the right just as he does to contact the Tax Assessor and ask him the same questions.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that she would permit Mrs. McInerney an answer and that discussion would be cut off.

MRS. McINERNEY sated asked Mr. Zelinski if he is the Chairman of the Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN proceed to a vote to send back to Committee. The vote was 9 in the affirmative; 17 in the negative. The motion to send back LOST.

MR. ZELINSKI MOVED for publication. MOVED and SECONDED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on whether to publish. The vote was 24 in the affirmative; 5 in the negative; 6 abstentions. CARRIED.

The voting machine was not in proper working order. MRS. GOLDSTEIN called to take another vote. The vote was 27 yes; 4 no; 4 abstentions. CARRIED.

- (6) PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR PUBLICATION FOR GRADUAL INCREASES IN ASSESSED VALUES FOLLOWING REVALUATION per Conn. Public Act 80-427 submitted by Rep. David I. Blum 7/15/80. Letter from City Tax Assessor James Hyland 7/30/80 stating this Statute as written is unworkable and must be thoroughly researched and discussed and he requests meeting with Board members.
- MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted to HOLD this,
- (7) LETTER 7/16/80 FROM REP. AUDREY MAIHOCK REFERRING TO ADVOCATE ARTICLE 6/26/80 "RULING PERMITS CITIZENS TO SUE OFFICIALS" - (U.S. Supreme Court decision) - Rep. Maihock feels this Board should have legal counsel whose primary responsibility would be to the Board of Representatives. (This news item mailed also on 7/11/80 to Board members at request of Rep. Gabe DeLuca 7/7/80.) Held in Committee 8/4/80.

MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted to HOLD this.

- (8) THE MATTER OF GARBAGE COLLECTION FOR CONDOMINIUMS AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS. PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR PUBLICATION - Corporation Counsel Leonard Cookney's letter 8/18/80 advises text of proposed ordinance . will be ready later this week.
- MR. ZELINSKI said his Committee voted to HOLD this.

and the proof that and a start them to see Stronger Mary Set bay

#### MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 3, 1980 REGULAR MEETING

#### PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - Chairman David I. Blum

(1) FACT FINDER'S REPORT ON NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN CITY AND FIREFIGHTERS' UNION submitted with Mayor Clapes' letter 8/7/80. This Board may accept report or reject it no later than September 26, 1980. Union membership has same option, states Mayor. If neither side rejects, it becomes the new contract. If either Union or this Board rejects the report, the parties will then proceed to Binding Arbitration.

MR. BLUM stated the Legislative Body has 40 days in which to look this matter over. Within that period, the Fact Finder shall make an appointment with the Legislative Body, namely, the Personnel Committee or the entire Body to explain the contract.

He also said that after the 40-day period, there are 20 days in which to act. If we do not act, it is the same as if the contract came from the City and it becomes a part of the contract between the City and the Firefighters. Under Fact Finding, it is the Legislative Body that has to act either in the affirmative, or if we reject, either one of us, either the Legislative Body or the Union rejects this contract, it goes to binding arbitration.

Binding arbitration means that we have no power as a Legislative Body in which to act. It is forced upon us. The last best offer of the City or the last best offer of the Firefighters, the mediator will sit down and accept either one of them and whatever comes from this mediator is what the City must accept.

MR. BLUM said his Committee asks whether the mediator complied with the law which says that he has to sit down, explain these particular items step by step in order to clarify each subject. In accordance to Corporation Counsel, we can act either way. If we don't act and the Union does not act, it automatically becomes law. Counsel said we can act upon this and it wouldn't make any difference. MR. BLUM said he places it before the Board to act and it is his opinion that we would be best to act upon it and MOVED for approval. SECONDED.

MR. ESPOSITO said Fiscal voted to HOLD this with Mr. Hogan abstaining.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN stated that because it is not a fiscal item, the Board still can act on this motion and wants the Board to be aware that during discussion, Mr. Esposito can relate Fiscal's reasons for holding.

MR. ESPOSITO said because of the time and information they had from Mr. Blum, they hadn't had the decision and assumed that Fiscal might have changed their mind but had not called the members to know if they were in favor.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN states that we had two opinions. Mr. Barrett sent an opinion relating to acceptability of acting without the Fact Finder coming and visiting Stamford or sending a letter, Corporation Counsel so ruled also.

32.

#### PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FASANELLI asked MR. BLUM whether he had any knowledge of any past sessions of binding arbitration whether the City fared better than the Unions or the Unions fared better.

MR: BLUM said heard talk but didn't know exactly what took place was with the teachers. He believed they had binding arbitration but that all concerned didn't like it. He could not answer that:---

MR. DeLUCA urged the Board to reject this package. He said 20-year pensions are driving the cities throughout the country into bankruptcy. He would be willing to take his chances with binding arbitration rather than this Fact Finder Report. He feels there should be a revision in the retirement plans of both the Firefighters and Police Officers' contracts.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said she would like the record to note that Mrs. McInerney left the meeting and 34 members are present. Also Mr. Loomis left making 33 members present.

MR. BLUM enumerated some of the pension specifics, and said that he felt the Fact Finder was more for the City than for the Union. He said both got something out of this Report and that if it is allowed to go to Binding Arbitration, you might get most of the Union's proposals.

MR. ZELINSKI asked if the Firefighters were invited to the Committee meeting and if so, were they for or against the Repot.

MR. BLUM said they were asked to come to the meeting. They did not choose to come for the simple reason they were at a convention.

MR. HOGAN said it is of paramount importance to the Members that they realize what binding arbitration means. He said that it means exactly what it says; if this Board votes to reject the Fact Finder's recommendations or if the Union should vote within the prescribed time limit to reject, it means that we automatically go into a binding arbitration situation in which a panel is selected, one member is selected by the Municipality, a second member is selected by the organization involved, and the third member is selected by the other two members.

The union is then asked and the City is asked by the panel to present what is called their last best offer on all open clauses within the contract and he gave us a for instance, say a salary clause and using that he made up so that they won't refer to the salary, means that the Union will come and give a last test offer. He used small figures. The Union will say that they think that they are entitled to a 3% raise. The City's last offer may be a 1% raise. Now the arbitration panel has to select either one or the other. They cannot say we are going to offer you a compromise; we are going to give you 2%. They have to decide which they feel is the offer they deem best.

### PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. HOGAN continuing said there was no such thing as mediation or compromise. So when you go into a binding arbitration situation, what you are actually saying then is that you're going to take your chances. If you ask for too much on the Union's part, you're liable to get what the City feels is fair. If you don't offer enough on the City's part, you're liable to wind up with what the Union is asking for. There can be no in between, no half-way. These measures are settled either on the Union's last best offer, or the City's last best offer, and the Board should be aware that we are now past Fact Finding; we are now past compromise and mediation. The decision tonight is to whether or not this Board wants the City to enter into binding arbitration.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN told Mr. Hogan the information he offered was very good.

MR. BOCCUZZI asked for a POINT OF INFORMATION. If we agreed to this report and the Firemens' Union disagreed to it, what happens then.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said it's rejected.

MR. BOCCUZZI said the whole discussion might be moot.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said if the firefighters reject, then it goes to binding arbitration.

MR. CORBO said MOVE the question. SECONDED. CARRIED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked for a vote on item 1 under Personnel which was to accept the Fact Finder's report on negotiations between City and firefighters. The vote was 29 yes; 1 no; 3 abstentions. Mr. Hogan wanted the record to state that he has abstained from this vote. MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked if Mrs. Guroian's vote was counted. The answer was yes which made the vote 30 yes; 2 abstentions; 1 no. CARRIED.

MR. CONTI said being on the Prevailing Side, he would like to ask for a Reconsideration under Fiscal on section 8.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said item #8 is for \$1,475.00 for the Zoning Board. A MOVE to Reconsider #8 under Fiscal has been MOVED and SECONDED, and called for a vote to Reconsider item #8, \$1,475.00 for the Zoning Board. The vote was 24 in favor, 3 opposed, and the rest abstained. CARRIED.

FISCAL ITEMI#8 - RECONSIDERATION:

(8) <u>\$1,475.00 - ZONING BOARD - Code 107. 1130 PART-TIME SALARIES</u> additional approrpriation requested per Mayor Clapes' letter 8/4/80 and Jon Smith, P&Z Dir., for temporary Clerk-Typist to help Admin. Asst. whose minutes are behind.

MR. ESPOSITO said Fiscal voted 7 - 0 in favor and I so MOVE.

### RECONSIDERATION OF FISCAL ITEM (continued)

MR. FLOUNDERS stated that inasmuch as this request was denied earlier becuase of the general feeling that the cost per hour for the typist was too high, he would like to amend the motion to reduce the amount from \$1,475.00 to \$1,254.00 which reflects an average hourly wage of \$ 6.00 per hour instead of \$ 7.04. MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. ESPOSITO said he previously voted against the motion to reduce the amount, and urged his Democratic colleagues to vote for this so that the Zoning Board get the person now and the Board doesn't have to wait another two months to go through the process again.

MR. RYBNICK asked to MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: MOVED. SECONDED. CARRIED. A vote to the amendment to the motion to reduce the request from \$1,475.00 to \$1,254.00 was <u>CARRIED</u> by a vote of <u>28</u> in favor; <u>2</u> opposed.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN then proceeded to the main motion which was to pass \$1,254.00 for the Zoning Board part-time salary.

MR. ESPOSITO stated the figure \$1,475.00 was based on 204 hours times an hourly salary of \$ 7.04. It is being reduced now. The logis is \$ 6.00 an hour for 204 hours and if they find they can't get anyone for that salary, they can reduce the number of hours a person is going to work and up the salary. Continuing he said we only want to spend \$1,254.00 whether they get 204 hours of work out of that or 150 hours of work. They are going to have to work that out.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the vote was 30 in favor with 1 opposed with 2 abstentions. The motion has been CARRIED for \$1,254.00 for Fiscal item #8.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE - Chairman Donald Donahue

(1) <u>PROBLEM OF TRUCK STORAGE - RESIDENTIAL ZONES</u> - Letter 5/2/80 from James J. Sotire, Sr., Chief Building Official and Chief Zoning Enforcement Officer, advising of problems that residential property owners are having with heavy trucks parking on their streets. Held in Committee 6/2, 7/7 and 8/4/80.

MR. DONAHUE state that after many years and many months of waiting, the Committee has voted 4 in favor and none against to publish an ordinance entitled "Concerning Overnight Parking of Trucks on Residential Streets" and I so MOVE, MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. CORBO said he thought the motion was going to be out-of-order because the item on the Agenda did not describe for publication less we moved for the Suspension of the Rules.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the Parliamentarian advises that Mr. Corbo is correct and we should Suspend the Rules to consider publication of this ordinance and accepted a motion to Suspend the Rules

MR. DONAHUE MOVED. SECONDED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN thanked Mr. Corbo for bringing it to the attention of the Board. A vote on Suspending the Rules to consider publication of an ordinance not on the Agends was <u>CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY</u>.

MR. DONAHUE urged that this ordinance be passed tonight for publication.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the motion was MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. CORBO asked are the disabled automobiles included in this ordinance.

MR. DONAHUE replied they ould not be included specifically within this ordinance. There are other areas within the Code of Ordinances that address this situation. He said we were only considering the parking of commercial vehicles, especially heavy commercial vehicles.

MR. DeNICOLA asked Mr. Donahue did this pertain to all commercial vehicles.

MR, DONAHUE replied no, the ordinance was very specific. The ordinance outlines the vehicles as any vehicle requiring a Class 1 or Class 2 motor vehicle operator's license, any motor vehicle which exceeds the gross weight of 18,000 pounds or has more than two axles, any non-motorized vehicle whether attachedor not attached to a motor vehicle which together exceeds the gross weight of 18,000 pounds including but not limited to semi-trailers, boat-trailers, horse-trailers and house-trailers.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on publishing the ordinance concerning overnight parking of trucks on residential street. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

(2) REFERRAL OF ZONING BOARD'S ACTION APPROVING APPLICATION 80-011 OF MATTHEW ROSE IN THE MATTER OF RE-ZONING FROM M-G GENERAL INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT TO R-MF MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT OF CERTAIN PROPERTY BOUNDED GENERALLY BY HENRY, PACIFIC, LUDLOW, AND CEDAR STREETS. This Board shall approve or reject at or before its second regularlyscheduled meeting following such referral, pursuant to Section 552,2. Court reporter's transcript not received as of 8/19/80.

MR, DONAHUE said this item will be HELD pending receipt of a transcript of the Public Hearing and further discussion by the Committee and will have to be voted upon at the next regular meeting.

(3) LETTER 7/30/80 FROM ATTY. JOSEPH J. TOOHER, JR. OF EPIFANIO, TOOHER & SHIFFMAN REQUESTING THAT CITY DISCONTINUE BRACEWOOD LANE AS A CITY ROAD WHICH RUNS THROUGH RIDGEWAY GARDENS APARTMENT COMPLEX, (No text submitted as a legal instrument).

35,

MR. DONAHUE stated the Committee was presented that evening a Resolution which simply showed the intent of the Board of Representatives to consider the discontinuance of Bracewood Lane. His Committee voted 4 in favor and none opposed with passing this Resolution tonight and show the intent to discontinue Bracewood Lane as a City Street and I so MOVE. MOVED and SECONDED.

MR. DONAHUE said he would like to emphasize the only area that this affects would be the Ridgeway Garden Apartments and that this in no way prevents the Board from not discontinuing the use of Bracewood Lane as a City Street. Continuing, he said we are tonight setting the wheels in motion according to Charter so that we would get our report from the Mayor on the feasibility and the practicality of doing this and from other City departments so that we can make a consideration at a later date whether it is good for the City or bad.

MR. CORBO stated that especially with the zoning problem we are facing today, we should not open the door for change of zones and by discontinuing Bracewood as a public street, we open the door for a developer to use the property as they please. Continuing he said before we approve this Resolution, we should refer this to the Zoning Board for some kind of recommendation. Being that the properties are R-5, the road has been publicly used by the residents and neighbors; and before we can vote in favor of this Resolution, he would like to have an input first of the Zoning Board and then have a Public Hearing by the Planning and Zoning Committee. He did not think we should allow for opening the door for this sort of a change.

MR. CORBO would like to support this idea of asking for recommendations by the Zoning Board and the Planning Board being a designed district, might be a designed district. MR. CORBO said the next thing we are going to see that's a change of zone; another big building going up and asked if we needed it.

MR. BLUM said that he was against even thinking of doing away with this street, and asked by doing away with this street from being a public street as an access street, what are we doing in the sense for fire protection for those people who live on the street? What happens now that we have fire hydrants in that street; Bracewood Lane now going from a public street to a private street. He said that we've had enough with Bracewood Lane, Schlesinger, that's the guy. He remembered they tried to change 9 acres of land behind Bracewood for a development and that went down in this Board in the P&Z Committee. Stating further, MR. BLUM said that hearings were held before doing away with a street from a public street to a private one. MR, BLUM said we should think of the factors of what this would do as far as those people who live on Bracewood Lane are concerned. Also, who would take care of the street when it comes to plowing snow.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that we are rapidly losing our quorum, Mr. Rybnick, Mr. Esposito, Miss Bowlby and Mrs. Hawe left. 29 people are present, and hoped that we didn't get down to a total loss of a quorum.

MR. BOCCUZZI made reference to the last two speakers. First, he said he didn't think it was going to become a private street. That is now what the Resolution said. Second, the Resolution in no way does away with Bracewood Lane. He said that what is being asked is that all the questions asked by the two previous speakers be answered. When the Mayor sets the wheels of progress rolling, the Traffic Director, the Police Department, the Fire Department, Planning and Zoning will all have to make recommendations to the Board. At that point, we will make a decision whether or not to do away with Bracewood Lane. Continuing, Mr. Boccuzzi said that the only way we are going to find out if there will be no effect on the people or that there will be no effect on the flow of traffic, etc. is by setting the wheels in motion and getting the information we are looking for. We cannot get this unless we start with this particular Resolution.

MR. DONAHUE stated there were a few things he would like to clear up, One, was that his was not in any way a zoning change. The land would still be subject to all zoning laws currently applicable to that area of Stamford. Two, what Mr. Boccuzzi said is quite true. This simply states an intent to consider this discontinuance of Bracewood Lane as a City Street. Continuing, MR. DONAHUE said we would then be asking the Mayor to submit a report and the other departments. We would not act without the consideration of the other departments.

MR. DONAHUE said that once an ordinance is passed to discontinue Bracewood Lane, the City would no longer have to plow the street. That would have to be done by the owners and operators of Ridgeway Gardens or the condominium complex it is turning into. We would no longer have to pave the street and there would be certain conditions we could place as far as access.

MR. DONAHUE referred to the procedure that was used to discontinue Crosby Street.

MRS. CONTI asked if Bracewood Lane ceases to be a City Street, who assumes ownership of it? To whom does the title pass and does it pass without any payment to the City?

MR. DONAHUE said that is something that would have to be considered once the wheels are put in motion. Part of the Resolution states, "whereas if Bracewood Lane was privately owned, it would be subject to taxation resulting in an increase of revenue to the City of Stamford." At the same time with a decrease of expenditures becuase we would no longer have to maintain the street.

MRS. CONTI said her point is that we don't want to give it away,

MR. DONAHUE said that once the Resolution is passed, that would be something to be considered in the future when all the information is back to us.

MRS. MAIHOCK stated that shw wondered if it <u>is</u> exactly the same as Crosby Street. Her question was, isn't there a higher population in this area than in the Crosby Street example? She certainly would like Mr. Donahue to include a report from the Traffic Department and perhaps the ambulance services, as well as the Fire Department in considering the various agencies to be polled on this.

MR. DONAHUE said that that has already been included in our consideration. Also that the Traffic Department already thinks this is a good idea because of the Bedford Street one-way and toesn't think there's a need for two access way from Bracewood Lane.

MR. ZELINSKI said that he is the Representative in this District and this District would be affected by this. He stated that this is nothing more than a Resolution. He said there was a situation in the past where they wanted to down-zone the property and he lead a fight successfully to not have it down-zoned and said even if the street was discontinued, it would not have an effect as far as down-zoning the area. Ridgeway Gardens has already gone condominium and most of the people are moving out because of the exorbitant prices.

MR. FLOUNDER made a motion to MOVE the question.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the motion was SECONDED and CARRIED: A vote on the discontinuance of Bracewood Lane as a City road, the Resolution of our intent to discontinue Bracewood Lane was 23 in favor; 6 opposed; CARRIED.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE - Co-Chairmen Alfred Perillo and Everett Pollard.

MR. PERILLO stated that his Committee had NO REPORT.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Jeanne-Lois Santy

MRS. SANTY said that the Health and Protection Committee met on August 13, 1980,

(1) PROBLEM OF LOW WATER WHICH IS INADEQUATE FOR FIRE PREVENTION OR FIRE-FIGHTING, which Dolphin Cove Assn. sent to Fire Chief Vitti, submitted by City Rep. John Boccuzzi. July 31st letter from Stamford Water Co. advises their share will cost approximately \$30,000, and that pavement replacement will run approximately \$20,000. Committee will make further reports.

MRS. SANTY said present at their meeting were Finance Commission Hoffman, Corporation Counsel Cookney, John Canavan representing the Public Works Department; Mayor's Aide Barbara Foreman; Dean Montgomery representing the developer; and John Boccuzzi, Representative from this area. There were no representatives from the Water Company although they were invited. They did attend two previous meetings.

#### HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. SANTY stated she read a letter from Glen Thornhill, Vice President of the Water Company. They stated they would fund the project in the 1981 budget but not to exceed the cost of materials, excavations and back fill. The additional cost for pavement replacement should be borne by others. This was quoting from the letter.

MRS. SANTY said after a lengthy discussion, it was decided to seek an opinion from the Law Department regarding the City's responsibility. Representatives from the area were present at the meeting also and very concerned with hazardous condition that txist. She stated that the Committee hoped to have a report by the next Committee meeting as this has to be resolved.

MRS. SANTY said this will be HELD in Committee,

MRS. SANTY also reported on the traffic investigation feasibility study at the intersection of Toms Road and Belltown Road as requested by Rep. Stork. A letter from Health and Protection was sent to Chief Cizanckas asking for a strict enforement at the stop sign. A reply was received from Lt. Perrotta stated that he was instructed by Captain Agostino to provide selective enforcement to the problem. This was done from August 7th through August 22 at various time during the day and evening and a total of 29 summons were issued for stop sign violations.

MRS. SANTY thanked the Police Department for helping the Committee, and went on to say that MR. BLUM submitted a Resolution for a Suspension of the Rules, that we approve a Resolution regarding the Fairfield County Veterans' Nursing Home and since it was a health matter, it was referred to Mrs. Santy's Committee.

MRS. SANTY made a motion to MOVE for Suspension of the Rules to consider the Resolution submitted by Rep. David Blum that was found on the desks.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN said the motion to Suspend the Rules as MOVED, SECONDED, and CARRIED, and the Resolution will be considered.

MRS. SANTY said she thought the very last paragraph was most important and read as follows: "therefore be it resolved that the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut at its monthly meeting September 3, 1980 does hereby declare that is the sense of the meeting that the citizens of Stamford and particularly its war veterans, urge the Governor, the General Assembly and Col. Robert D. Holy, Commandant of the Veterans' Home and Hospital at Rocky Hill and the State Veterans' Commission to continue to seek a sight for the new Fairfield County Veterans' Nursing Home either in or reasonably close to the City of Stamford to the end that Stamford area Veterans would not have to travel needless miles when in need of medical treatment,"

MR, DONAHUE made a motion to MOVE the question,

MRS GOLDSTEIN said it has been MOVED, SECONDED and CARRIED with 1 abstention, Mr. Fauteux. A vote was taken on the Resolution regarding the Fairfield County Veterans' Nursing Home and was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY,

\* 1

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE - Chairman Robert "Gabe" DeLuca

MR. DeLUCA said his Committee met and had a 100% attendance.

(1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE DISSOLVING STERLING FARMS GOLF AUTHORITY, REMOVING AUTONOMOUS STATUS AND FORMING ONE OR TWO GOLF COMMISSIONS, WITH ALL REVENUES GOING INTO CITY'S GENERAL FUND. Submitted by Rep. DeLuca. Held since Feb, 19,1980. Awaiting written text from Law Department.

MR. DeLUCA stated that his Committee previously voted to hold a public hearing, but tonight after a brief meeting, felt it in the best interest of the Community to HOLD this item in Committee. The Committee wants to have a meeting with Corporation Counsel and the Finance Commissioner to get the cost impact and some input as to any changes that may want to be made. A public hearing will be held sometime in October.

(2) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING CURFEWS AT CITY PARKS AND RECREATIONAL PARKS: amend Code of Ordinance Section 14-4, etc; requested by Supt. of Parks Robert Cook.

MR. DeLUCA said by a vote of 5 in favor, none opposed, this item will be HELD until January. One reason is the parks are officially closed and there would be no benefit by imposing a curfew now. He felt that by waiting until January there would be more input.

MR. DeLUCA stated that there was a group of over 50 people at the public hearing. Some good points were brought out at this hearing, and also some of the problems concerning the various beaches.

MR. WIDER said he was still concerned about Carwin Park and that something had to be done soon and could not wait until January.

MR. JOYCE said he was getting a lot of complaints about Scalzi Park which is in his District,

MR. CORBO asked for a POINT OF INFORMATION. He said this item is being HELD in Committee and unless some of the Representatives want to bring it out under Suspension of the Rules, we cannot continue discussing it.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said if we want to bring this item on the Floor, a move must be made to take it out of Committee not to Suspend the Rules.

MR. JOYCE made such a motion to MOVE. SECONDED.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote to take out of Committee, The vote was 9 in favor, 16 opposed. The motion has been DEFEATED.

41.

# PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MS. SUMMERVILLE made a motion for reconsideration to hear Mr. DeLuca's report on the progress of Carwin Park. SECONDED.

MR. JOYCE said he would like to amend the motion to include a report on Scalzi Park.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN called for a vote on the motion and it was DEFEATED. (voice vote)

MS. SUMMERVILLE said her motion was to ask Mr. DeLuca, even though he didn't want to give a four page report, the she would like to have reconsideration to have him give his report on the progress on Carwin Park. She did not want a full report from his Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked Ms. Summerville if she was asking to reconsider.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said the fact of taking it out of Committee. Mr. DeLuca asked that it be held, his whole report be held. It won and I asked for a reconsideration by having Mr. DeLuca give his report on Carwin Park more so than his full report.

MR. DELUCA asked for a POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE, and stated that he did not ask for the whole report to be held. He said they were tabling the item. He said he did not say he was tabling his whole report. He said the Committee was tabling the item of Park curfews and please, set the record straight. The report did not concern itself specifically with Carwin Park or a specific park. They were talking about curfews to all parks. Carwin Park was not specifically mentioned in any part of the report. The talk was of curfews.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she interpreted it because MR. DeLUCA said now the parks are closed and MS. SUMMERVILLE said she knows Carwin Park is not closed at this hour,

MRS. GOLDSTEIN asked MS, SUMMERVILLE if she voted on the prevailing side?

MS. SUMMERVILLE replied yes,

MR. PERILLO asked for POINT OF ORDER. He stated Carwin Park belons to the Public Works Department, no part of Parks and Recreation.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said she would be happy to have a reconsideration and asked MS. SUMMERVILLE to repeat the motion to reconsider as she was not sure what was to be reconsidered.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she may not have phrased the motion as she meant it. She was saying even though MR, DeLUCA said to table his report, and asked if that was correct?

42.

### PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA replied that MS. SUMMERVILLE was wrong. He said the item of park curfews is being tabled until January. The fact that he said he had a four page report was done in jest becuase of the bewitching hour. The report was not four pages, but only one paragraph, and the Committee voted 5 to zero to table the item until January.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said this can be handled if at next month's Steering Committee meeting, whose who wish to have Carwin Park or the ordinance concerning curfews put back on the Agenda. The Committee voted to table the ordinance which would have been for final adoption.

MR. LIVINGSTON recalled that looking over last month's Minutes there was a promise made. He stated that MR. DeLUCA has received correspondence about Carwin Park and the Parks Department was trying to rectify the problem. He understood there was a Resolution to hold a public hearing August 21 to correct some of the problems. He siad Carwin Park is unique. It is said it is now a park; it's within the domain of Public Works. This was his point and said a number of peole from his District came to this Room and begged our Housing Committee and indirectly, asked our Parks and Recreation Committee to take up the issue of this Park and up-grad it so that it fits within the jurisdiction of all of our parks and now we hear that it is being put-off until January.

MR. LINVINGSTON also stated that MR. DeLUCA and his Committee has the right and can continue to do something worthwhile in behalf of Carwin Park without saying to us, come back to Steering Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said she was going to permit MR. DeLUCA to respond and then cut-off discussion because we voted to HOLD this in Committee and hoped that next month it could be put back on Steering so that it could be discussed in Steering.

MR. DeLUCA stated that if Mr. Livingston, Mr. Wider and Mr. Dixon were at the Public Hearing, the Committee took all the input from the people. Comments from the Park Commission, Mr. Sheck were heard as to what their planning on doing with Carwin Park and what they need before they can really take the Park over. They have a redesign plan. MR. DeLUCA said he submitted all the paperwork to Mr. Livingston since it is in his District. MR. DeLUCA sincerely believed he kept his word to the people of the 5th District which Mr, Livingston Represents. Also, a public hearing was held at Yerwood Center last week and more input was heard.

MR. DeLUCA repeated that his Committee voted 5 in favor and none opposed to table this item until January and said that if need be, it can be put back on the Steering Committee Agenda and taken up in October and hopefully a curfew imposed. He did not want anyone to get the attitude that he was not considering Carwin Park, and his Committee is trying to rectify the problems.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said there would be no discussion on item #2 at all except if it was a motion to reconsider.

#### PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ZELINSKI asked if it would be appropriate to make a motion, that we pass this eveing, waiving publication to have a curfew at Carwin Park.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN replied no.

43.

### EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Chairman Robert Fauteux

MR. FAUTEUX said they had no primary items. The Special Committee continues to work with the Board of Education concerning the personnel matters there.

SEWER COMMITTEE - Chairman Michael Wiederlight

(1) <u>PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR PUBLICATION - FOR STORM SEWER EASEMENT TO HOLLY</u> <u>POND ASSOCIATES</u> - Mayor's letters 6/24 and 7/3/80. For \$6 Million Office Building to be erected at East Main St., Weed and Waterbury Avenues. Held 8/4. Planning Board at their July meeting recommended denial. Board of Finance at their 8/14/80 meeting deferred this item; may or may not make a decision on 8/21/80 at their adjourned meeting.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT said their meeting scheduled for the week before was cancelled. They received a call from the developer that Holly Pond Associates had secured a private easement and MR. WIEDERLIGHT determined that a meeting was no longer necessary.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT said he received some comments from the Environmental Protection Board, Mr. Lubbers, as well as some of his colleagues, that the environment was not considered in this matter. MR. WIEDERLIGHT said he would recommend that at the next Steering Committee meeting, this matter be taken out of his Committee completely and referred strictly to the Environmental Protection Committee for their scrutiny and handling.

MRS. MAIHOCK said as the Secondary Committee, environmental impacts are important along our coastal boundry and said it seems we should be certain the City's interest are fully addressed before we take this item off the Agenda. She said she would be willing to consider it in her Committee.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the sever storm easement for Holly Pond was withdrawn by the developer, and the situation will go into Environmental Protection for environmental impact.

PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Co-Chairmen Stanley Darer and Lathon Wider, Sr,

MR. WIDER said his Committee met on August 27, 1980 jointly with Parks and Recreation. Present were Wider, Dixon, Roos and Lyons. Lt. Thompson from the Police Department was present and also Mr. Sheck from the Parks Department, Mr. Joseph Whittaker and Mrs. Mayes and other people from the Carwin Park area.

### PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

44.

MR. WIDER said the following recommendations were made: (1) that the Police Department assume their responsibility on cleaning up the Park and (2) that the Public Works Department be informed that they would clean the Park and the Committee voted to work closely with the Police Department and the Parks Department and also try to help to increase the size of the Park.

MR. WIDER made a MOVE that the Board draft a letter to the Public Works Department requesting to clean Carwin Park and the letter would be coming from Housing and Community Development.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said it was not on the Agenda for this month.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE - CHAIRMAN RICHARD FASANELLI - NO REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Audrey Maihock - NO REPORT

#### SPECIAL COMMITTEES

HOUSE COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Doris Bowlby - NO REPORT

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE - Chairman Patrick Joyce

(1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REGARDING THE CONTROL OF AIRCRAFT, HELIPORTS, ETC., WITHIN THE CITY OF STAMFORD. Held since 5/5/80.

MR. JOYCE said there have been questions raided about the Heliport ordinance. He has a Resolution but was not going to offer it tonight. He is going to submit a Resolution for the formation of a Stamford Transit Authority which would incorporate within its scope, not only a home for the location of helicopters but also for busses, taxis, railroad cars, trains, etc.

MR, WIEDERLIGHT asked for a POINT OF ORDER.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN asked MR, JOYCE if his Committee met?

MR. JOYCE replied they did not but he was giving a report as to what they were going to do during this month.

MRS, GOLDSTEIN informed MR. JOYCE to bring it up in Steering.

(2) PROPOSED RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE CONDEMNATION OF CERTAIN PARCELS OF LAND FOR TRAFFIC PROJECTS ON NEWFIELD AVENUE, FIFTH STREET, COLONIAL ROAD, VINE ROAD, HIGH RIDGE ROAD in connection with THE TOPICS PROGRAM. The Board of Finance approved this 8/14/80. Mayor's letter 8/13/80 of submission.

No report was given as the Committee did not meet.

5

ON-SITE GARBAGE CONVERSION STUDY COMMITTEE - Chairman Fiorenzio Corbo

(1) PROGRESS REPORT, IF AND WHEN AVAILABLE.

MR. CORBO said he had no report.

45.

MRS. LYONS asked for a POINT OF ORDER on the ordinance for the heliport. She asked MR. JOYCE about the ordinance that was submitted sometime in April and it is now September and wanted to know what happened ot it and where it stands. Many of her constituents are very concerned about it for public safety, the noise factor and the environmental protection.

MRS. LYONS could not understand why this ordinance has been held in Committee for five months and wanted to know what is happening to it.

MR. JOYCE said they have had a report from the Corporation Counsel and have legal problems with this particular ordinance as far as its enforceability. They are waiting for the Zoning Board to enact a Zoning ordinance to ban the proliferation of heliports throughout Stamford and his Committee was working with the Zoning Board to enact comprehensive legislation which would provide not only for the creation of a municipal heliport, but also for a broader base and that is what he was trying to mention previously.

The Committee is drafting, working on legislation which will be broader than addressing merely the heliport question.

MRS. LYONS said that that does not really answer her question, and said that we are not going to have an ordinance concerning just the control of helicopters flying over the City; merely going to concern heliports?

MR. JOYCE said the ordinance coming forth is going to be addressed, " A Central Transportation Center" which will include an authority which will control the development of heliports, railroad station, bus terminal, etc. The creation of an ordinance as proposed earlier for a simple banning of heliports is no longer necessary or legal. MR. JOYCE said you serve the power of the State if we try to enact the ordinance that was initially proposed in banning heliports,

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said the Committee did not meet and there was no report. This should be addressed in a letter to Steering.

# CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE - Co-Chairpersons Grace Guroian and John Hogan

First meeting to be held on Wednesday, August 20th at 7:30 P.M.

MR. HOGAN said Charter Revison met on August 20th and Reps. McInerney, DeNicola, Rinaldi and Co-Chairperson Guroian and Hogan were present. Also, Mr. Robert Fauteux. The Committee voted and discussed a number of questions and proposals. A report and recommendation will be made at

# CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. HOGAN continuing...the regular meeting in October for action by the entire Board. He urged people to send names to the Board for possible inclusion on the Charter Revision Commission and said the Committee will meet again shortly.

### COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR - NONE

PETITIONS - NONE

# ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES - August 4, 1980 Regular Board Meeting

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said that Resolutions were received tonight in relation to the Minutes so we will take-up the Minutes at next month's meeting.

**RESOLUTIONS** - NONE

### COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS AND INDIVIDUALS - NONE

OLD BUSINESS - NONE

NEW BUSINESS - NONE

MRS. GOLDSTEIN said she would accept a motion to adjourn.

### AJUOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Board of Representatives, upon MOTION duly MOVED, SECONDED, AND CARRIED, the meeting adjourned at 1:30 a.m.

By:

Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant (and Recording Secretary)

AK

APPROVED;

stein

Sandra Goldstein, President 16th Board of Representatives

Note: Above meeting was broadcast by Radio WSTC & WYRS