MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING

MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 1982

17TH BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A Special Meeting of the 17th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, was held on Monday, August 30, 1982, pursuant to a "CALL" issued by the President, Jeanne-Lois Santy, under provision of Section 202 of the Stamford Charter.

The meeting was held in the Legislative Chambers of the Board of Representatives, Second Floor, Municipal Office Building, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called for 8:30 p.m. The President of the Board, Jeanne-Lois Santy, called the meeting to order at 9:00 p.m.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Please take your seats. Feeling like I do, I feel that we should start every Meeting with a prayer, I would ask you all to stand and remain standing, and we'll salute the Flag. Please bow your heads.

INVOCATION: Given by PRESIDENT JEANNE-LOIS SANTY.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG: Led by President Jeanne-Lois Santy.

ROLL CALL: Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville called the attendance roll.

There were 33 present and 7 absent; Reps. Saxe, DeGaetani (excused), Gaipa (excused),
Bonner, M. Perillo, Signore, and A. Perillo.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There being 33 members present, I declare a Quorum.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I'm confused on the Board. You called Rep. Hawe after myself, but yet Mr. DeLuca is on the Board. I don't understand.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you referring to the Board in back of me? We'll take care of that.

MR. BLAIS: What he is saying, Madam President, you never verified Mr. DeLuca as being present.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, we did, Mr. Blais. His name was called.

MRS. McINERNEY: Madam President, may be I could clarify. At budget time to expedite the budget process, Mrs. Hawe and Mr. DeLuca changed seats and the Board has not reflected that they moved back to their original seats yet, Mr. Wiederlight.

PRESIDENT SANTY: They're present, it just that on the Board it is out-of-order. While we are waiting for the machine to get ready for a tally, I would like to express my most sincere thanks to David Blum and Lathon Wider, Co-Chairmen of the Public Housing and Community Development Committee and to the Co-Chairmen of the Legislative and Rules Committee, Tony Conti and John Zelinski, and the members of both of these Committees for meeting this last week on very short notice, and giving many, many hours and I know that this is an exceptional busy time for everyone, and I also appreciate you all here tonight at this Special Meeting, but as I said before, we are here to serve and I do appreciate you being here.



CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE: The machine is in good working order.

CALL OF THE MEETING: Subject: "CALL" OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE 17th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 1982, at 8:30 P.M. TO CONSIDER ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION AND ORDINANCES ENUMERATED BELOW.

I, JEANNE-LOIS SANTY, PRESIDENT of the 17th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford, Connecticut, and pursuant to Section 202 of the Stamford Charter, hereby CALL A SPECIAL MEETING of said Board of Representatives at the following time and place;

MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 1982
at 8:30 p.m.
in the
MUNICIPAL OFFICE BUILDING
Second Floor
Legislative Chambers
429 Atlantic Street
Stamford, Connecticut

for the following purpose:

- NEIGHBORHOOD ASSISTANCE ACT Proposed Resolution to approve list of programs eligible for investment by business firms under the provisions of the Connecticut Neighborhood Assistance Act.
- 2. TAX ABATEMENT Proposed Ordinance Supplemental for publication granting tax abatement for "Stamford Green" consisting of 90 elderly units.
- 3. TAX ABATEMENT Proposed Ordinance Supplemental for publication granting tax abatement for "Augustus Manor" consisting of 105 elderly units.

 Jeanne-Lois Santy, President 17th Board of Representatives

PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Co-Chairmen D. Blum & L. Wider

PRESIDENT SANTY:

I now call on Public Housing and Community Development Committee Co-Chairman, David Blum, for your report. Lathon Wider is going to give the report.

MR. WIDER: I'll open up the report and Mr. Blum will complete it. At a call from the Mayor's office, members of the Committee, Public Housing and Community Development Committee, met on August 24, 1982 at 4:00 p.m. in the Mayor's Conference Room. The purpose of this meeting was to plan a meeting of the Committee to deal with State legislation 82-469 Neighborhood Investment Assistance.

We met at 6:30 p.m. here in the Chambers. After that meeting, we planned for a Public Hearing. A vote by the Committee of 4 to 0 to have a Public Hearing. At 7:30 p.m. on August 26, 1982, we convened a Public Hearing here in the Chambers. Presiding at that Hearing was Mr. David Blum who will proceed from here; Mr. Blum.

MR. BLUM: Madam Chairman, I'd like to present to you a resolution which I would like to read into the Record. I know we all have a copy of it but it is very important that we must put it into the Records.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does everyone have a copy of the Resolution? If you don't, raise your hand. We will make sure that you get a copy of this. This is important.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Maihock is the only one without the resolution? Mr. Contineeds a resolution and Mr. Livingston, Ms. Summerville. One moment, Mr. Blum, until everyone has their resolution. Mr. Zelinski also. Are there any extra resolutions? You have it, Mr. Zelinski? Everyone has it. Continue, Mr. Blum.

 NEIGHBORHOOD ASSISTANCE ACT - Proposed Resolution to approve list of programs eligible for investment by business firms under the provisions of the Connecticut Neighborhood Assistance Act.

MR. BLUM: A resolution approving submittal of the attached list of programs to the State Commissioner of Revenue Services in accordance with the provisions of an act concerning Connecticut Neighborhood Assistance (Public Act 82-469).

WHEREAS, the Legislature of the State of Connecticut has enacted An Act Concerning Connecticut Neighborhood Assistance (Public Act 82-469) enabling Connecticut businesses to receive tax credits for contributions to organizations and programs approved as eligible and beneficial to the community by the legislative body of the municipality; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stamford recognizes the clear need for financial operating support to many local organizations and programs which provide Stamford residents with neighborhood assistance, crime prevention, day care, energy conservation or other services particularly benefiting individuals whose incomes fall below 150% of the Federal poverty level;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE 17th BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

THAT, the following programs constitute a list which shall be submitted by the Mayor on or before September 1, 1982, in accordance with the provisions of Public Act 82-469, to the Connecticut Commissioner of Revenue Services as programs determined to be eligible for receipt of private business contributions under the provisions of the Act; and

FURTHER, THAT the Mayor acting through the City's Grants Director and staff be designated in accordance with Section 2 of the Act to act in connection with the Act, provide such additional information as may be required and oversee implementation of the resulting programs receiving private business contributions under the Act.

That is the end of the Resolution.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's your resolution? Do you have anything further to report, Mr. Blum?

MR. WIDER: I Move for acceptance of that resolution, Madam Chairman. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made and Seconded to accept the resolution for adoption. We are now discussing the resolution as read by Mr. Blum.

MR. BLUM: Madam Chairman, I would like to read into the Record some mistakes, typographical mistakes, and two additional programs to the list that is to be received by the Commissioner of Revenue Services.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine. Mr. Blum, we all have a list of the assistance programs. If you don't have that list, please raise your hand because Mr. Blum is now going to read in a couple of typographical errors and two additions. The pages are not numbered but the organizations and agencies are. If you do not have this list, raise your hand. Mr. Dixon, you do not have a list? Ms. Summerville. These were all received in the mail on Saturday but sometimes people have delayed mail. Mr. Blum, do you want to give us the number of the agency and we can correct it on our sheet?

MR. BLUM: This is an organization that come under Community Services.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Blum, we're adding an agency?

MR. BLUM: This was left off the list. They were present the night of the Hearing, but they forget to put this to the list.

PRESIDENT SANTY: This we would add on as what number? On what page?

MR. BLUM: Under Community Service.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Under Community Service. Is that in the back of the packet?

And what number would that be, the new number, Mr. Blum? Mr. Blum, is it page #7?

MR. BLUM: Under Community Service, page 7, this will be #34.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Representatives, would you please add this to your list; on page 7 under 34? Mr. Blum, would you please read it slowly so that we can add it to our list?

MR. BLUM: The organization is Family and Childrens' Services. The project description...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Family and Children Services, we are adding this as #34.

MR. BLUM: Project description: To continue young parents' programs, working with teenage mothers in accepting responsibility of motherhood, functioning effectively in the parental role of learning parent skills.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Blum, you're reading a little too fast. Just go a little bit slower. Some people are writing it down.

MR. BLUM: Does anyone want to read...

PRESIDENT SANTY: You're doing fine, Mr. Blum. Read it once more a little bit slower. That's all.

MR. BLUM: Project description: Start over...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, it's on page 7, #34. Start from the name Family and Children Services.

MR. BLUM: The Project description to continue young parents' programs, working with teenage mothers in accepting responsibility of motherhood, functioning effectively in the parental role of learning parenting skills. The funds requested \$23,214.00.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's \$23,214.00?

MR. BLUM: Correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's one addition, and you have one other addition?

MR. BLUM: Yes, I have, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: And what service does this provide?

MR. BLUM: We have to make some corrections to the list.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You don't have any other agencies to list?

MR. BLUM: I do have others to add but I'm doing this as I received them, so we're still on Community Service. Under Community Service, Program #10, on page 2. Under Program #10, it should be organization which reads Family and Children Services, should read the Urban League of Southwestern Connecticut. Take the Family and Children Services from that and that should be Urban League of Southwestern Connecticut.

Program #28 under Community Services, the amount requested \$48,071, so just put a 4 before that and that will give you the figure.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It reads now \$8,071. It should read \$48,071.00.

MR. BLUM: I have two more, Madam Chairman, under Community Services.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Blum, these are typographical errors?

MR. BLUM: No, these are to be added. Under Community Services, we are going to add another.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Which would make it 35?

MR. BLUM: Yes. The organization will be called the Voluntary Action Center of Southwestern Fairfield County, 62 Palmer's Hill Road, Stamford, Connecticut. VAC. The project description: To continue the volunteer management assistance program offering technical assistance, management and operating problems to non-profit organizations. Funds requested \$5,000.00.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Blum. Do you have another?

MR. BLUM: I have one more.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Which would be under Community Services?

MR. BLUM: Community Services, which would be 36. I just want to explain to those, it is very important that each one of these must be entered into the Record because so the law reads under the Neighborhood Assistance Act.

MR. BLUM: (Continuing) Senior Employment Service, Inc., 1642 Bedford Street, Stamford, Connecticut. The project description: To continue the service of finding suitable employment for elderly residents of the area at no cost to the applicants or the employer. Funds requested \$10,000.00. That ends the program list.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you finished with your report? Mrs. McInerney found a typographical error she would like to bring to our attention.

MRS. McINERNEY: Mr. Blum, since I wasn't at the Public Hearing, I would just like to verify it with you. On Community Services, the first page, Organization #4, should that be Hospice instead of Poppage?

MR. BLUM: No, it should be Hospice. A typographical error.

MRS. McINERNEY: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Would everyone please change that?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Thank you, Madam Chairman. Madam President, through you to Mr. Blum, I would like to know, did the rest of his Committee entertain the amendments that he's been making?

PRESIDENT SANTY: The three additional agencies referring...yes, Mr. Livingston. Mr. Blum, would you respond to that?

MR. BLUM: I'd like to report that at the night of the meeting, the Public Hearing, the Committee met with the members of the Mayor's staff who told us, even though we held the Public Hearing, there still may be some others who might come in before this meeting tonight, who would be eligible to go on the list. Our meeting was held after the Public Hearing and we voted 4 to 0 to accept this resolution.

PRESIDENT SANTY: With the additional ones being made?

MR. BLUM: That's correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Before this evening's meeting. Does that clarify it for you, Mr. Livingston?

MR. LIVINGSTON: I'd like to hear a little something from the Co-Chairman on this, Madam President.

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. There was no way that we could work directly with the additional proposals that would be here. Some of these proposals just came in this afternoon. I had other plans of inserting them, but since it has been done, I certainly appreciate moving on with the resolution. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Wider. Any other questions?

MRS. MAIHOCK: Through you, Madam Chairman, to Mr. Blum, I would like to know what determined eligibility to appear on the list, please?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Blum or Mr. Wider, who would like to respond?

MR. BLUM: I'm going to read it. Do you want to read it?

MR. WIDER: Mrs. Maihock, in response to your question, as Co-Chairman of that Committee, all you had to do was to be a qualified service incorporated agency. That was all the criteria that was sent down and we still don't have all the information on this law. It's brand new. It's a matter of urgency that we get the various programs in and we want to submit enough so that they will know that we need some service down here. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. Any other questions?

MR. BLUM: This is a letter but I don't know if it is part of your packet. A letter addressed to the President of the Board in regard to the Public Act from the Corporation Counsel's office and it says to qualify for the program, a program must provide one of the following services as defined in Section 1B through H inclusive of the Act. Neighborhood Assistance, Job Training or Education, Community Service, Crime Prevention, Energy Conservation, and certain child Day Care Centers as described in Section 4 of the Act, basically, those created by investing firms for the benefit of its employes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. Is there any other discussion? If not, we can move right to a vote on the adoption of the resolution with the attached agencies as read by Mr. Blum and reported by Mr. Blum and Mr. Wider. We will move right to a machine vote. If you are in favor of the resolution as presented, please vote yes, if not, no. Has everyone voted?

The resolution has been ADOPTED. 27 yes, 2 no, 4 abstaining. Thank you, Mr. Blum. Thank you, Mr. Wider, and thank you entire Committee. I appreciate this work at such short notice. We will now go to Legislative and Rules.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE - Anthony Conti and John Zelinski, Co-Chairmen

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now acting on the two publications for tax abatements.

MR. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. There was a Special meeting called of the Legislative and Rules Committee on Thursday, August 26, 1982, at 7 o'clock. Present at the meeting were A. T. Conti, John Zelinski, Barbara McInerney, Jim Dudley, Don Donahue, Audrey Maihock, Ann Saxe, and Bobby Owens. Also present were Nancy Mitchell, Pat Marra, Mr. Kargman, Mr. Barry Hersh, Mr. Biebel, Tim Biebel and Mr. Rhoden.

Discussion that night was about the Augustus Manor and Stamford Green and according to the plan here, it was supposed to be a tax abatement but as it was discussed, and explained by Mr. Marra, it actually becomes a tax deferral, but we're looking for a tax abatement tonight.

A Motion was made and Seconded to publish, prior to a Public Hearing, and further presentation to the Board and the vote was 4 yes, 1 no and 2 abstentions.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Your vote was taken to publish the ordinance? On both on them? Both votes?

MR. CONTI: Yes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Both votes were the same?

MR. CONTI: Yes, both votes were the same.

PRESIDENT SANTY: On both the tax abatements for publication?

MR. CONTI: Right.

MR. WIDER: I so Move, Madam Chairman. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that? Seconded. We'll take one at a time. We're going to vote on #1. Which one do you want to present first?

MR. CONTI: According to the papers we have in front of us, Stamford Green comes first.

(1) TAX ABATEMENT - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL FOR PUBLICATION GRANTING
TAX ABATEMENT FOR "STAMFORD GREEN" CONSISTING OF 90 ELDERLY UNITS.

(Submitted by Mayor and Community Development Agency)

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made and Seconded to publish the tax abatement ordinance for Stamford Green. We will now discuss it.

MRS. McINERNEY: Through you to Mr. Conti; Mr. Conti, which ordinances are we dealing with? Do you have the ordinances in front of you that the Committee had last Thursday, or do you have the ones that came in the mail on Saturday?

MR. CONTI: I have the one that we used that night, and I have a little note here from Barry Hersh and says, the enclosed tax abatement ordinances and agreements have some technical modifications from the draft presented at the August 26th meeting. As mentioned at the meeting, the Corporation Counsel's office was completing detailed final review of the documents. The substance of the proposed agreements has not been changed."

MRS. McINERNEY: Madam President, may I continue?

PRESIDENT SANTY: You continue, Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. McINERNEY: Mr. Conti, the items that came in the mail on Saturday had changed substantially on two points with both ordinances. First, #1 for clarification, the two ordinances that I got on Saturday, Stamford Green, page 6 was somehow collated with the information describing Augustus Manor so I would say that that would have to be corrected before it is approved this evening. Also, one item on page 5, section 6...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. McInerney, before you go any further, Mr. Conti, do you understand what Mrs....

MR. CONTI: Yes, I do.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, continue, Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. McINERNEY: Page 5, section 6, requires...the ordinance that was given to us on Thursday required that within 90 days from date hereof, Stamford Green shall forward to the Tax Abatement Committee and the Board of Reps., certified copies of deeds of conveyance of the subject parcel with a certification from the attorney representing to the effect that said property is owned by said developer and fee simple subject to no loan encumberances whatsoever excepting the mortgage loans from CHFA contemplated hereunder.

MRS. McINERNEY: (continuing) The ordinance that I got on Saturday was extended to read 180 days. Which one will be the correct one that we are voting on this evening?

MR. CONTI: The one that you received the latest would be the correct one because that has been the one that has been corrected.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Conti, I just want to clarify for the Members here. We all received a packet in the mail on Saturday. That is the ordinance we all have with us tonight. That is the ordinance the vote has been moved already to publish; the one that we received in the mail on Saturday. The L&R Committee had one previously. Mrs. McInerney is still speaking. You're next, Mr. Zelinski.

MR. ZELINSKI: I have a point of clarification.

PRESIDENT SANTY: As Co-Chairman, fine.

MR. ZELINSKI: Going along with what Rep. McInerney is saying. I think it would be much easier because as Rep. McInerney clearly mentions at the beginning of her conversation, the Legislative and Rules Committee voted on the one that they received at the meeting on Thursday; that is their report. The L&R Committee will only recommend that to this Board. Otherwise, we are going to have to not do that and recommend this new one. I think it would be a lot easier and simpler if we go by what the L&R Committee got at its meeting and have the other Representatives follow the changes as we go. I hope you appreciate that, Rep. McInerney.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Conti, since the report that you gave, the Committee report was approving the ordinance that you had in your possession, then I would suggest that we go page-by-page and make the corrections so that we know what we are voting on. The one that we're going to finally adopt is the one we have in our hands now, but the one that the Committee voted on is slightly different. I understand it was not a substantial change; not substance change, just technical changes.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Point of Information, please.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Boccuzzi.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Madam President, I have an ordinance here, the face letter is August 26, 1982. Is that the one we are talking about now?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes.

MR. BOCCUZZI: That's the last one we got?

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's the one we got, but L&R had one previously that we didn't receive. Just the L&R Committee at the meeting.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I understand they are going to change the one they did to read like this one.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, it has to.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I have no problem with it, I just want to make sure I am following the right one.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Conti, do you want to give any changes that we received that you voted on that's been changed?

MR. CONTI: I found no substantial changes; that's why I had suggested using the later one.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, a substantial change would be the increase from 90 days to 100 days; on page 5, #6.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Let's go to page 5, #6. #5 it says 90 days.

MRS. McINERNEY: #6.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Both #5 and #6 should be 90?

MRS. McINERNEY: Both #5 and #6 were approved by the Committee as reading 90 days.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Shouldn't Mr. Conti make a motion to change #6 to 180 days? We vote on it and straighten it out.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes.

MR. CONTI: Yes, there is that correction and I would so Move. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's a motion made and Seconded. Is there any discussion? Discussion has been moved. We're going to vote right on that question. We're changing #6. We're now voting on page 5, #6. We have it in our possession as a 180 but L&R approved 90. We have to make sure that that reads 180 days. We're going to Move the question. All in favor of Moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? Sufficient to Move the question. We are now voting on #6, page 5, Mr. Conti? It should read, which we have in our hands, that within 180 days of the date thereof. Stamford Green.

MR. CONTI: Hereof.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Hereof. Mrs. McInerney read that. All in favor of this change, please say aye. Opposed? We're going to have to use the machine. The question has been Moved. We are voting on changing, that we have in our hands, page 5, L&R approved 90 days, it came to 180 days. Has everyone voted? Mr. Flounders, I haven't shut off the machine yet so you can still vote.

MR. FLOUNDERS: May I ask a question?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Certainly.

MR. FLOUNDERS: I don't understand. Is the correct number on paragraph 6, page 5, 90 days or 180 days?

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Committee voted 90 days. That's their report. They're voting to change it to 180 that we received in the mail.

MR. FLOUNDERS: Ours is correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Ours is what has been proposed. Yes. That's what we're voting on.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are going to go right to a vote. Yes, Mr. Blum. You have time to vote, I'm going to close the machine. The Motion PASSED 17 yes, 14 no, and 2 not-voting. Mr. Conti, and we'll go a little bit slower. I wish you would all have your ordinance in front of you. If you have any questions, raise your hand. Mr. Conti, do you want to continue? Any other changes?

MR. CONTI: No, I would yield the Floor to Barbara. I believe she has found something else.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, page 4, item #8.2. It should read, the tax abatement provided for herein is expressly conditioned upon the following, and it would be item 2 under that. The Committee voted ...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Has anyone found the place? I haven't, Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. McINERNEY: When the Committee voted, there was no amount typed into this particular paragraph. There is presently an amount typed in but it is in error. It is typed in written form six million three hundred and forty three thousand dollars and in parentheses, it is indicated as \$6,353,000.00. I am not sure which one is the accurate figure and which one should be approved this evening.

MR. CONTI: Fifty three thousand is correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It should read \$6,353,000.

MR. CONTI: The numerical portion is correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Your Committee approved this with the blank to be filled in later so that we don't have to vote on. You approved it as such?

MR. CONTI: Yes, that's right.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, there were a few typographical errors. On page 1, the first paragraph should read Louis A. Clapes, it's Mayor instead of Mayors. Perhaps they put Mayors because he's been in for so many terms.

Page 4, item #7A, I'm sorry, John. You're working with the old ordinance, I'm working with the new ordinance. Your old ordinance may be corrected already. Your old ordinance may be typed correctly. (End of tape, some dialogue lost)

#6, I would like to amend "A" to read....

PRESIDENT SANTY: What is the page number again?

MRS. McINERNEY: Page 3, item #6A.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. McInerney, would it be convenient for you to make a copy for me and hand it to me? I'm going to have to repeat that. Go make a copy right now, please. Thank you very much.

MRS. McINERNEY: Sure, Marie will do it. I can speak to this.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You can speak to that now and I can have that in front of me. It looks like you have many amendments there.

MRS. McINERNEY: I would like it to read Stamford Green agrees to make all financial books and records of Stamford Green available to the Tax Abatement Committee of the City of Stamford, the Board of Representatives, or any person duly appointed by for review and inspection of any documentation or portion thereof so long as this agreement shall remain in force and effect. The new words being the Board of Representatives."

PRESIDENT SANTY: You're making that as an amendment?

MRS. McINERNEY: That's correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that amendment? Several Seconds. Mrs. McInerney, Mrs. Conti would like to speak to that amendment.

MRS. CONTI: I believe that Mrs. McInerney would have to follow through and change the following line, the following few words, or any person duly appointed by them; because you're having two bodies here now.

MRS. McINERNEY: If that's in the form of another amendment, I would accept it.

MRS. CONTI: I think it would be the proper wording.

MRS. McINERNEY: She's right.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You can add that to your amendment, then.

MRS. McINERNEY: Appointed by them.

PRESIDENT SANTY: By them for review. There's a motion made and Seconded to amend page 3, section 6, just add the words the Board of Representatives to be duly appointed by them for review. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

MRS. McINERNEY: I would like to amend on the same page 3, item #6C to read as follows, "each year including and after the occupancy of this project, the sponsors shall submit a certified audit of the project to the City of Stamford Tax Abatement Committee and to the Board of Representatives." To the Board of Representatives would be the new wording.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that? Several Seconds. Discussion.

MRS. CONTI: Could someone explain to me who the Tax Abatement Committee is? I've never heard of it before.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, Rep. Conti. The Tax Abatement Committee is comprised of the Commissioner of Finance, the Corporation Counsel, and the Tax Assessor of the City of Stamford.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now discussing the amendment.

13.

MR. DONAHUE: Whatever the Board decides to do with this matter, it may not be necessary since the tax abatement on page 4, following the section that we're addressing here now, it says the Tax Abatement Committee shall review the project and advise the sponsor and the Board of Representatives of its findings. Page 4.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now speaking to Mrs. McInerney's amendment.

MR. DONAHUE: On one hand, you have the Board of Representatives receiving a copy of the audit, and on the other hand, the Tax Abatement Committee is going to send us a report of their findings.

MRS. McINERNEY: There's a big difference between a two-page letter with a report of somebody's findings and an actual audit that is received by this Board, and I would prefer that an audit be received by this Board since I don't remember getting too many Tax Abatement Committee audits dealing with any of the other groups that are abated.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any other discussion? We'll move right to a vote on the amendment on page 3, section 6C to add the Board of Representatives. All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? Is there a no vote? One no vote, Mr. Blum. All the rest Yes votes. PASSED.

MRS. McINERNEY: In the same section, I would like to delete the following sentence, "An audit acceptable to the Connecticut Housing Finance Agency shall also be acceptable for this purpose provided it is submitted within 15 days of its submission to CHFA."

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's page 3. Are there any questions? I need a Second to that. Seconded. Mrs. McInerney, repeat that, please.

MRS. McINERNEY: I would like to delete the sentence, item C, the last sentence on page 3, "An audit acceptable to the Connecticut Housing Finance Agency shall also be acceptable for this purpose provided it is submitted within 15 days of its submission to CHFA."

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Second to that. Now we're discussing that.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I need some kind of explanation.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, I'd be very happy to. I am not sure that the type of audit that would be accepted to the Connecticut Housing Finance Agency would be one which this Board or the Tax Abatement Committee would consider thorough. Perhaps they would like to add more to their audit report than what is presently expected from CHFA.

MR. DONAHUE: I think it is important to note here that CHFA is the mortgagee in this regard, and I think that any audit that they would require would be much more in detail than anything we would want to see. I don't think there's any reason to change that. CHFA is very thorough in its review of those it is going to ostensibly lend money to.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Donahue. Any further discussion?

MR. WIDER: Madam Chairman, I have to agree with Mr. Donahue on that. Having dealt with New Neighborhoods, who is doing a lot of CHFA's work, and getting a lot of money from CHFA, they demand quite an audit. I think their audit would be more than sufficient for this Board; would be more than just for tax abatement that we could demand. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Wider. Any other discussion?

MR. WHITE: There may be the question now, the point now, but these organizations have a way of changing leadership and after all, if the leadership does change, somethings go on we don't approve of, after all we might be caught holding the bag or in a sense, take some heat on this basis.

I see no reason why we shouldn't change this. After all, if they have a very good audit, fine. If they don't, fine, but what's the harm in our getting a hold of a very detailed audit in terms of if we want one? Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. White. Any other discussion on this amendment?

MR. BLUM: I would only like to say to Mr. White, that if there is a change of leadership, that's the day that this Tax Abatement Committee will be looking for their tax abatement back to the City. That's the good part of this new tax abatement. That there's going to be a record of the tax abatement kept each year and if there is a change in the management, the City will be looking for that tax abatement. The money into the general fund.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Blum.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. I would support Mrs. McInerney's amendment in this case. There is no reason why we shouldn't leave the door open if we wish additional information other than the audit they give to the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority. We may want information as regard to waiting lists and make sure that everything is in proper order. That information would not necessarily be required by the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, and I think that we should leave ourselves the opening to get whatever information we need. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Conti. Any other discussion? We'll move right to the machine for a vote. We are now voting on Mrs. McInerney's amendment to delete, she repeated it. I think you all know because you are all voting now. Has everyone voted? The amendment PASSED 18 yes, 14 no, and 1 not-voting.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, I have one more amendment and for this, you will have to turn to your new page 6, since you switched it, and it would be item #10. I would like to change the reading of item #10 to read as follows: "The Tax Abatement Committee with the approval of the Board of Representatives may extend the time limits set forth above." So the new words would be, "with the approval of the Board of Representatives," and there would be a deletion of the words, "at it sole option." SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made and Seconded on Mrs. McInerney's amendment. Any discussion? No discussion. All in favor of this amendment, please say aye. Opposed? Would the no votes raise their hand. Unanimous, Mr. White voting no.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, I did notice another section while I was reading that was left blank on the copy that the L&R Committee voted on; would be page 5, item #7. Either ordinance, page 5, Stamford Green. "That the construction contract shall provide for project completion on or before," and the words are now, "September 30, 1984." Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We all have that. Any other discussion or amendments?

MRS. MAIHOCK: We do have a few more errors. On the first page,

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you speaking of typographical or spelling errors?

MRS. MAIHOCK: Some typographical and some...on the first page, under the third paragraph, third line, supplemental is misspelled. Third paragraph, first page please, and third line.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Has everyone found it? Mrs. Maihock, go a little bit slower.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Point of Information, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Boccuzzi.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Is it necessary for us to correct all this spelling. Can't that be done ...

MRS. MAIHOCK: Well, there're some interesting ones here.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I think it's important, Mr. Boccuzzi.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I think it is; so it appears proper.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Did you get the first one, supplemental? Mrs. Maihock, continue.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Under three on page 2, the wording was confusing to me. It says as follows: "Said tax abatement shall terminate at any time when said housing is not solely for an occupied." Do they mean for or occupied or what do they mean there? I presume they would mean or instead of an.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Donahue, would you like to clarify that?

MR. DONAHUE: The intent is and.

MRS. MAIHOCK: All right then, so that should be and.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Should be a n d there. Mrs. Maihock, continue.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Then under 8, #1 under 8, page 4, #1 under 8, page 4, "That Stamford Green shall construct."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Just take off i o n, Mrs. Maihock? Is that what you are saying?

MRS. MAIHOCK: Right.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Page 5, under 8 again, under A line 3, multiplied has been misspelled and these misspelling are in each of the ordinance, I might say.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Maihock, I'm sorry, you're going a litte too fast. What was the last one you said?

MRS. MAIHOCK: Page 5, 8 under subsection A line 3, multiplied is misspelled. Now I have a question on page 6 for Mr. Conti or Mr. Zelinski, whichever would chose to answer.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Conti will answer first.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Under C under this section, second paragraph on 6, it says, "Should the property be transferred or sold at other than an arm's length transaction." I'm not familiar with that term; I would like that explained. The second part of it is, "The City should have the right to determine fair market value by appointing an independent appraiser for the purposes of determined payments of accumulated taxes under 8." My question is, is it proper under these circumstances for the City of Stamford to bear the burden of an appraiser's report? Should it not be incumbent upon the persons who are planning to sell it prematurely to pay that? Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Donahue would like to answer that. Is that alright with you?

MR. CONTI: Go ahead.

MR. DONAHUE: I believe it is common practice that when you want a piece of property assessed, you pay the assessor to do it simply because you want him beholden to you; you want him acting in your best interests. You don't want the persons or parties involved who you are taking the property from or purchasing the property from, to give you an assessment from an assessor paid for by them.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does that answer your question, Mrs. Maihock?

MRS. MAIHOCK: I understand that, but it would seem that we could require Stamford Green to appoint an independent appraiser approved by the City of Stamford; because they, in fact, are the persons who are going to change the terms of this ordinance.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any other discussion, Mrs. Maihock?

MRS. MAIHOCK: If any change should be made.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any other discussion? Did you get all your answers?

MR. DeLUCA: Thank you. Yes, I won't bore Mr. Boccuzzi with anymore spelling errors, or typos or anything like that because I know he wants to get home early this evening. Hopefully, when it does get published and we do finalize it, it will have all the corrections, but I believe that it was in the best interest of the Board of Representatives that such corrections were made. After all, we don't want to be known as the people that rubber-stamp everything without reviewing. It behooves our esteemed Body to read this here and make the corrections as necessary.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Let's listen everyone. Mr. DeLuca has the Floor.

MR. DeLUCA: I am keenly interested in all tax abatements for senior citizen housing or any type of housing in the City of Stamford. However, what does scare me is the letters that we received from Mr. Kargman and Mr. Rhoden as to some of the reasons why we need this housing, why we need the tax abatement. I looked at one of the letters here and it says, "Since the elderly have very little impact on such City services as schools, police, water, sewers, etc., not to mention the beneficial effect, etc., etc. I can just foresee us come budget time next year, when many senior citizen organizations are going to come along and say, "Why should our taxes keep going up, why should we approve a Board of Eudcation budget when we really do not get the services as noted in your reasons for tax abatement?" If these people were to come to us and say that our taxes should be reduced accordingly, I would have to agree with them 100%.

At this time, rather than continue on further...

PRESIDENT SANTY: May I remind you that we're all speaking to publication.

MR. DeLUCA: That's what I'm speaking for, publication. Now for the publication, I'd like to make an amendment...

MR. WIDER: Point of Order, Madam Chairman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Wider.

MR. WIDER: Mr. DeLuca is referring to letters and so forth, and I don't think we are discussing letters. I think we are discussing to be published, and I don't see where it has any bearing whatsoever on this and I'd like to see us take care of business. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: He's back to publication. He's discussing publication.

MR. DeLUCA: I am taking care of business. I'm leading up to publication. We're stating reasons why we should give a tax abatement and it deals with the publication of two ordinances. It's just a matter of opinion as to what we should be discussing or what we shouldn't. Let's not belabor the point.

On page 4, section 8,...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, is this lengthy? Would you give me this in writing so I have it here in front of me?

MR. DeLUCA: I have it right here. I will give it to you as soon as I read it; I need it so I can read from it. Page 4, Section 8, item 1: I'd like to add one sentence. "Preference shall be given to Stamford residents to the extent permitted under Federal and State law."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, now, please repeat where we are going to add to that section.

MR. DeLUCA: Page 4, Section 8, item 1. The paragraph ends, "Connecticut General Statutes." I'd like to add the following sentence, "Preference shall be given to Stamford residents to the extent permitted under Federal and State law." I have been advised by Mr. Barry Hersh that this is an acceptable amendment. It would not violate any constitutional or..

PRESIDENT SANTY: You're making that amendment

MR. DeLUCA: Be in conflict, yes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to Mr. DeLuca's amendment? Several Seconds. Discussion on Mr. DeLuca's amendment.

MR. DONAHUE: Mr. DeLuca is absolutely right. Federal law and Federal guidelines have changed over the past two years and they now allow, at least to a certain extent, the establishment of priorities by communities who are going to be willing to give tax abatements for this kind of a project.

MR. ZELINSKI: Pass

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any other further discussion on Mr. DeLuca's amendment? I have other speakers but just on Mr. DeLuca's amendment. We'll proceed right to a vote. All in favor of Mr. DeLuca's amendment, say aye. Opposed? Would the no votes please raise your hand. PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. May I have the amendment, Mr. DeLuca?

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you, Madam President. First of all, I'd like to say that regardless of the merits of this project and certainly there are numerous ones because I think we are all familiar with the need for the elderly housing in the City of Stamford. However, what I strongly object to is getting this legal document, and it is a lengthy legal document, very late. As a matter of fact, the night of our Legislative and Rules Committee was the first opportunity anybody on the Board of Representatives had to examine this document. I can certainly appreciate with some of the Representatives tonight trying to correct spelling, omissions and additions and subtractions and so forth. It can be very confusing, and again, here we are, the llth hour on something that has certainly good merit, pushing this through. I really hope that the powers-to-be would certainly make note of the fact that one of these days, they're going to come before us like this and even though it may warrant good intentions, it's going to fail because of the way it was done.

Now to get into the ordinance itself. I'm very surprised, first of all, that at the very outset, the ordinance itself, and I took the time to look through the records of our Minutes of November 1977, to see how this compares with the Shippan Elderly Housing Project which it certainly should, and there are some omissions that, I think, are very important. I'm surprised they weren't put in.

Starting right off the bat, the first page where it reads, "Ordinance No. Supplemental," I'll go with the one everyone has; I think it will be easier for everyone to follow than go with the one that was received at the L&R meeting. It says "Tax Abatement for Stamford Green." Now, again, I think if the agreement that was drawn up for the Shippan Elderly Housing Project is similar to this as far as the abatement, the section 8 HUD and CHFA seems to be identical, I see no reason why we should not follow exactly the way that that ordinance was passed; because it is the exact same thing, and if we don't, we're not being consistent in what we're going. Now, in the original one that we passed of the Shippan Housing Elderly, they did mention the owners of the particular project in the ordinance, and I see that it was not and that would be my first change. I would like to see the first page to read, "Tax Abatement for....

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, are you making an amendment?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I have to have that in writing.

MR. ZELINSKI: I have them all. I'll give them to you when I'm finished.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I should have that ahead of time. You should make copies, not just you, but all of them.

MR. ZELINSKI: Because of the way this was all done, it was difficult. I was making changes late this afternoon on this. It was very difficult to get this in writing to the Board members prior to tonight's meeting.

PRESIDENT SANTY: From this point on, we have two Xerox machines. There is no reason when you come before this meeting, that I can't have those amendments in front of me; not just you, Mr. Zelinski, but all of the amendments. It's very difficult.

MR. ZELINSKI: I can appreciate that but they're not long changes; they are just simply words or two and that's it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Do you want to make your first amendment? Give us the page number.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, as I said starting right off the bat, the first page. It should read, "Tax Abatement for" and being this is the Boston Investment and Development Company, and I believe, Mr. Robert M. Kargman is the either the President or an officer of the Corporation, that should be included in that ordinance.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I have to have the exact wording, Mr. Zelinski.

MR. ZELINSKI: O.K., the exact wording would be after the word for, to add, "Boston Investment and Development Company, Robert M. Kargman."

MRS. McINERNEY: Excuse me, Madam President, is he dealing with the old one?

MR. ZELINSKI: No, the new one.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, you're on page 1?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, but it's actually, I guess if you want to call it, it's actually page A. They're not numbered, unfortunately, and that's where it starts. It says the Ordinance Number Supplemental be it ordained. That's the actual first page, but they didn't number it that way. I apologize, it's page A if you want to call it that. I'm sorry again. This is not our fault. I beg my colleagues to bear with everyone tonight because this is a legal document. If we omit something tonight that may be important, it may cost the City later. I know we all want to get home but this is important and let's take the time now to make it correct.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There are several people that have questions. Mr. Zelinski, let's get in our minds that we are on page 1, the first paragraph.

MR. ZELINSKI: I corrected it to be page A because ...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Where it starts, "This agreement made this day of."

MR. ZELINSKI: In otherwords it reads out, the first three words, it says, "Ordinance Number Supplemental." It's two pages from page 2 if you want to start from page 2 and work back then.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, several of us are having difficulty finding this.

MR. ZELINSKI: I would ask everyone to please turn to page 2 of the Stamford Green ordinance which is the first one that we are discussing tonight. Then going back one page which would say, "Tax Abatement Agreement Between the City of Stamford and Stamford Green." That would be page 1, and turn the page one more time and you should have "Ordinance Number Supplemental" as page A, if you want.

MR. CONTI: That would be the cover page for the ordinance.

MR. ZELINSKI: That's right.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Several of us don't have it. In fact, majority of us don't have it.

MR. ZELINSKI: I see that Rep. Dudley brought out a good point. On some of them, whoever tabulated this, has page 2 as page 1.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Right, I think this is the confusion. One minute before you go on, I know you have the Floor, Mr. Wiederlight has been standing. You are making an amendment? Mr. Wiederlight, is this pertinent to this?

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: Yes, it it, Madam President. I realize what Mr. Zelinski is trying to do. He's trying to make this ordinance run concurrent or parallel to a previous ordinance. However, my question is to Mr. Zelinski, is it really necessary inasmuch as this ordinance that is before us now, came out of the Corporation Counsel's office and was approved by the Corporation Counsel, and we're going to sit here now and rewrite this ordinance to run parallel to something that happened in 1977, in 1982? Is it really necessary?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, thank you. Through you, Madam President to Rep. Wiederlight. I can appreciate what you're saying, Mike, but I think it is germane to what we are saying. I am not changing the whole ordinance. I'm sorry to mislead you if you thought I was doing that. There are just some legal points which I'm going to make and there may be five or six and that's it. They're only short words to add; there's no deletions and it will be very easy to follow, and being it's a legal document...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, let's go right ahead and give us your first amendment. First one, we have Ordinance Number Supplemental. What word changing are you making now?

MR. ZELINSKI: It now reads, "Tax Abatement For Stamford Green." I would like to add before the words, "Stamford Green" Boston Investment and Development Company, Robert M. Kargman and I so Move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that? Seconded. Discussion?

MRS. McINERNEY: Mr. Zelinski, shouldn't it read the same as it does with the first page of the ordinance, "Stamford Green, a limited partnership under the laws of the...well, it says partners Robert Kargman and Arthur Ullian, I think it is, duly authorize. You have two names not just the one, and if it's going to be consistent, it should be consistent with both.

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you, I would accept that amendment, Rep. McInerney, to add the words Arthur Ullian.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any further discussion?

MR. DONAHUE: First of all, the ordinance that came down tonight was approved for form by Corporation Counsel's office and there is no reason that this ordinance has to agree in form with any other ordinance passed ever before in the City.

Second of all, anything listed within the ordinance, if it is mentioned, if BIDC Corporation Incorporated is listed as the owner of this property or the developer of said property, it is a matter of record. There is no reason to go into this kind of change at this point in time. Those individuals and those names who we may or may not know the titles of, are officers of BIDC which is a Corporation registered in the State of Massachusetts and their officers must be registered also. First of all, we have on hand here a document that was approved as far as form was concerned, by the Corporation Counsel's office, and I would like to know if the suggested changes that are being made, have also been suggested and approved by Corporation Counsel. We have one document that has been approved, and we have suggestions that are being made with no approval.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Would you like an answer to your question, Mr. Donahue? Mr. Zelinski can answer, but just the question.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, through you, Madam President, thank you. This is a legal document and even though it may be on record somewhere else, for the benefit of anyone who would pick up this ordinance for the future, including any representative, any member of the public, it should be noted, not abbreviated. We have never passed an ordinance regarding anything in the City of Stamford with abbreviations. This is a legal body and we don't take shortcuts here. Thank you.

MR. ROOS: Mr. Zelinski says that we're not tying down as to who owns this, but here it says on this page, "contractually owned by BIDC, Inc. and known as 482 West Main Street" and then we continue on as definitely 482 West Main Street. So that should be contractually binding.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Roos.

MR. WHITE: Look, when you start to make what you think are legal changes but they're not typographical changes. They're not amendments of a rhetorical sort but are what you think are legal changes, you had better have your research very complete, because if you start making changes like this and you haven't got your research complete, you may start saying things, you may put things into the ordinance which would have just the opposite effect. You won't be ty ing anybody down because your information is incorrect that you put in the ordinance, you will in fact be creating loop-holes in that ordinance.

Unless you have done this research, Rep. Zelinski, very very carefully, I would not put this sort of stuff in the ordinance. You're doing exactly what you claim you don't want to do. Thank you.

MR. BLAIS: Thank you, Madam President. Through you, Madam President, to Mr. Zelins I would like to know if these changes have been brought up before the Legislative and Rules Committee?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, can you answer that question?

MR. ZELINSKI: No, because we just got it that evening. There wasn't the necessary time.

MR. BLAIS: Thank you very much, Mr. Zelinski. I would say that, and I think that I can speak for many Representatives, I resent very strongly a member not proposing changes before his own Committee and then coming to the Board and taking everybody's valuable time to make legal changes that may be, at best, dubious. Thank you.

MR. ZELINSKI: Point of Personal Privilege, Madam President.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I don't see any Point of Personal Privilege, Mr. Zelinski, but...

MR. ZELINSKI: He did mention that the Co-Chairman of the Committee should have presented it before his own Committee. I had to leave the Committee meeting for business and I could not make these changes. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, you were next to speak anyway. Mr. Blum.

MR. ZELINSKI: Who's speaking, Mr. Blum or myself?

MR. BLUM: Madam Chairman, I'd like to say this evening before Mr. Zelinski started to make his amendments, there were other people making amendments, change of language the idea of changing typographical errors. We talk about the Corporation Counsel. I can say I think we ought to make some changes in our legal secretary to have those type of errors but you know, when we sit here and we look at ordinances and we look at these contracts, if a person feels that may be an addition to that contract will make that contract more binding, I think he should be given that courtsey. After all, we're 40 members of a legislative body. I hope we're all equal. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now speaking to Mr. Zelinski's amendment. Is there any other discussion to Mr. Zelinski's amendment? Mr. Zelinski, you have spoken a couple of time, I'll allow you very briefly.

MR. ZELINSKI: I just want to clarify the point. I am not making any legal changes in the document that will have an effect on it. All I am doing is adding the correct title of the people who are involved in the individual project. I am not changing anything that's going to jeopardize the City; on the other hand, I'm adding just a simple name and some other points and that's it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Zelinski. You don't have to clarify anything.

MR. ZELINSKI: I think there's some confusion here because someone alluded to the fact that I was trying to rewrite the ordinance.

PRESIDENT SANTY: No, you aren't.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Point of Information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Boccuzzi, you have the Floor.

MR. BOCCUZZI: We're voting for publication tonight, correct? We're changing a letter here, a dot and whatnot, misspelling, adding in the full name; none of these things are substantially anything that changes the ordinance per Se. Why do we have to go through this whole thing tonight, word by word and all this arguing and all this back and forth, people accusing people of doing things, when we could publish it and then straighten it all out for our regular meeting and get a copy to us with all the proper spelling, etc. That's what I was talking about before. I don't mind if I stay here until 12 o'clock because I know that Gabe is going to be with me.

MR. BOCCUZZI: What I am trying to say, Madam President, is that I think we are wasting a lot of time on unnecessary changes; they are not changes that change the ordinance. The changes that are being recommended can be done between now and the public hearing or right after the public hearing, and the changes could be put down on paper and we could receive an copy of them, and it would be all nice and easy. We could do it very easily.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Boccuzzi, but every Representative has the right to be heard and the right to express...We had substantial amendments. We are now voting on Mr. Zelinski's amendment. Mr. Zelinski, you have spoken four times.

MR. ZELINSKI: I would like to withdraw that particular Motion based on what Rep. Boccuzzi said. I believe he is right and I just have one amendment after...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Will the Second withdraw the Second? You withdraw it, fine.

MR. ZELINSKI: Let me just say this at this time, I agree with Rep. Boccuzzi. We shouldn't be taking all this now and we probably could do it later. I just have one amendment that is important to both documents, and I'd like to make it this time.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's in writing; you're going to hand it to me?

MR. ZELINSKI: It's three words.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Give us the page number.

MR. ZELINSKI: It's the same page we're on.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Continue, Mr. Zelinski, with your amendment. The first amendment was withdrawn. Now, you're on your second amendment.

MR. ZELINSKI: After the name of the project "Stamford Green," I'd like to add elderly housing project. It's amazing; everyone has been alluding to the fact that the Corporation Counsel is sort of, never makes mistakes, or whoever drafted this ordinance. I don't know who drafted this ordinance, but certainly, we're talking about an elderly housing project and no where in this ordinance has that been mentioned. So that is the first place I'd like to pick it up at and I so Move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to Mr. Zelinski's amendment? It's Seconded. Any discussion? He's adding it on the same page as "Ordinance Number Supplemental." At the top, Mr. Zelinski or is it all over...

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, title page.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Tax Abatement for Stamford Green Elderly Housing Project.

MR. ZELINSKI: Excuse me, it's also on the next page. Do you want them all together or one at a time? Which is easier for you and the Board members? Both together? Let me make it a Motion to do it both to get it out of the way. Also, on the second page where it reads, "Tax Abatement...and Stamford Green Elderly Housing Project."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Just two places you want it?

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, that would be it for now. Then we can pick it up like Rep. Boccuzzi said, when we come before final adoption.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I would like to point out to Mr. Zelinski, through you, Madam Chairman, under Witnesses, line 5, it says, page 1, it says, "Accommodation for senior citizens and/or handicapped persons of low and/or moderate income levels. Now, and/or handicapped persons, those persons may not be senior citizens and you would be denying them the opportunity for this housing.

MR. ZELINSKI: Madam President, may I through you, to Rep. Maihock? Rep. Maihock, the point is by adding the word "elderly housing project" is not going to change them having also handicapped people because in the ordinance that was drafted for the Shippan Housing Project, if you had read that, that's the way theirs reads and it also has provisions for the handicapped. I am not changing the context of the ordinance, just the title. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Zelinski, there re several speakers.

MR. FLOUNDERS: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I'd just like to point out to Mr. Zelinski something that he may have overlooked. On page 1, the same paragraph that Rep. Maihock was refer ing to, Stamford Green as in any legal document, is defined, and it's defined as a property to provide 90 units of rental dwelling accommodation for senior citizens and/or handicapped persons. It is not necessary, therefore, to use the generic description thereafter each time you mention Stamford Green. It's defined on page 1.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Flounders.

MR. DONAHUE: Mr. Flounders and Mrs. Maihock are absolutely right. It's defined very clearly, there's no need for the amendment.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. Along these lines, I would like to propose an amendment on page 2...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Conti...

MRS. CONTI: I thought the amendment was withdrawn.

PRESIDENT SANTY: His first amendment was; we're on his second amendment, adding elderly housing project to two areas by Stamford Green. That's what we're discussing now.

25.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (Continued)

MRS. CONTI: Alright. I would like a Point of Information on that.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes.

MRS. CONTI: Are we adding that everyplace in the ordinance where it refers to Stamford Green or are we just doing it in spotted places?

MR. ZELINSKI: Just in the heading of the ordinance. That's all, Rep. Conti.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Just the heading of the ordinance. We're now addressing Mr. Zelinski's amendment and that is all. It's been made and Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY: Thank you, Madam President. Move the question. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made and Seconded to Move the question. All in favor of Moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? We're going to Move the question. We're going to use the machine. All those in favor of... the question has been Moved. We're now voting on Mr. Zelinski's amendment. We're voting on Mr. Zelinski's amendment to add elderly housing project on the first two pages of the ordinance; just the title pages. Has everyone voted? The amendment has been DEFEATED, 22 no, 5 yes, 1 abstention and 5 not-voting. Mrs. Conti, you have an amendment?

MRS. CONTI: Thank you. I would like to propose an amendment on page 2.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Conti, you have that in writing for me?

MRS. CONTI: No, I don't. I'm very sorry; it's a matter of adding three words. Section 3, "Said tax abatement shall terminate at anytime when said housing is not used solely for and occupied by low or moderate income," I would like to add the words, "elderly or handicapped persons or families."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that? Several Seconds. Any discussion? Mrs. Conti, there's no discussion, but would you repeat it? Give me the page number and repeat it slowly.

MRS. CONTI: Page 2, Section 3, it reads, "Said tax abatement shall terminate at anytime when said housing is not used solely for and occupied by low or moderate income," and then I would add the words, "elderly or handicapped persons," the word persons is already there. We're just adding "elderly or handicapped."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any discussion?

MR. WIDER: Madam Chairman, through you to Mrs. Conti. Do you mean to add a couple of words, do you mean delete "low and moderate income?" Which one?

MRS. CONTI: No, I'm adding, "elderly or handicapped" before persons. I'm not taking anything out.

MR. WIDER: Thank you.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, Madam President. If you do that, the paragraph might then be interpreted as allowing elderly and handicapped persons as well as low and moderate unhandicapped persons and people who are not elderly. Thank you.

MR. WIDERLIGHT: Move the question. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made to Move the question. Is there a Second? Seconded. All in favor of Moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? All in favor of Moving the question, I didn't hear any ayes, Opposed? We're going to Move the question. The question is on Mrs. Conti's amendment. We're going to use the machine. All in favor of Mrs. Conti's amendment, use the machine. Has everyone voted? The amendment has PASSED. 18 yes, 13 no, 1 abstention and 1 not-voting. Mrs. Conti, I need that in writing with the section and so forth. You have another amendment?

MRS. CONTI: No, I have a question for the Committee Chairmen. On the covering page where we were previously, can someone explain to me what the term "contractually owned" means?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Conti, Mrs. McInerney, anybody...What page? The covering page?

MRS. CONTI: This is on the covering page, Ordinance Number blank Supplemental. And it is in the first paragraph, "which property is contractually owned by BIDC."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Donahue.

MR. ZELINSKI: She did ask one of the two Co-Chairmen, Madam President. I don't think she asked Mr. Donahue.

PRESIDENT SANTY: She said anyone who could answer.

MR. ZELINSKI: She said the Co-Chairmen of the Committee, not Mr. Donahue.

MR. CONTI: Mr. Donahue has the answer, let him give it to you.

MR. DONAHUE: They're not the current owners of the property; they are contractually owned. They have the intent to purchase the property once all this is done and the closing is completed, they will become the owners.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. Conti, any further questions?

MRS. CONTI: Yes. Is it then the policy of the City of Stamford to grant tax abatement on property that is not owned in fee simple?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Who would like to answer that question? Mr. Conti, Mr. Zelinski, any member of L&R?

MRS. McINERNEY: To my knowledge, this is the first time we dealt with anykind of tax abatement that would cover the construction period of any housing project. Usually what happens, the people build their housing complexes and then come in for a tax abatement, and if you look through that entire packet of material, I think it spells it out in there as well.



MRS. CONTI: I find this very difficult to believe. You cannot obtain a building permit if you are a contractual owner; you must be an owner in fee simple. I don't see how we can grant tax abatement to a contractual owner if we can't even give them a building permit. Thank you.

MR. ZELINSKI: Thank you, Madam President. Just to clarify something. In the Shippan Housing Project for the Elderly we passed in November of 1977, it was made in the contract, the ordinance that we passed, that they must own the particular land in question before the ordinance was effective and I was going to bring that contractually up, but being that if we do publish it tonight, I can assure you the L&R Committee will have that clarified before we vote for final adoption. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you. We're now on the amendment.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: Move the question. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's no question.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: The main Motion, Madam President.

MR. CONTI: As we were doing before, I have found four more typographical errors which can be let go up until a later date, but there is one very very important one. One of the builder's and owner's name is spelled wrong. It's not Ullian, it's Ulman.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Wiederlight, would you mind withdrawing your Motion for just a moment until we change that? I think that's an important change; the owner of the property. I just hope that the L&R Committee when they get this ordinance, they go over it very very carefully.

MR. CONTI: As stated before, we did receive this the night of the meeting and we had no time before that. I'm sorry, I was just told that it is Ullian.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It is correctly spelled? Mr. Wiederlight has made a Motion to Move the main question and several Seconds. All in favor of Moving the Motion, please say aye. Opposed? We are now Moving on the publication of the ordinance, Tax Abatement for Stamford Green. We're going to use the machine. This is for publication. Has everyone voted? The motion has PASSED. 32 yes, 1 no.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Point of Information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Ms. Summerville. Through you, Madam Chairman, if this is in order, I would like to ask the two Co-Chairman to meet with Mrs. Perry or whoever is responsible for writing this ordinance, and to give the Staff exactly what you want published. I don't want to hold our Staff accountable for any incorrect spelling or anything. I think it is incumbent upon the Law Department or the Committee to give us exactly what you want published in the paper. Thank you.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Ms. Summerville. That's excellent. Mr. Conti, do you want to Move?

(2) TAX ABATEMENT - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL FOR PUBLICATION GRANTING TAX ABATEMENT FOR "AUGUSTUS MANOR" CONSISTING OF 105 ELDERLY UNITS.

(Submitted by Mayor and Community Development Agency)

MR. CONTI: I would Move the second part of it. A Motion was made and Seconded to publish prior to a Public Hearing and further presentation to the Full Board of the Ordinance No. Supplemental Concerning the Augustus Manor which is 105 units. The vote was 4 yes, 1 no, and 2 abstentions and I so Move. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to that Motion? Several Seconds. Discussion.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, Madam President. I would like to introduce the same amendments that I introduced to the Stamford Green ordinance and I would start with page 3, please, item #6A.

PRESIDENT SANTY: What page, Mrs. McInerney?

MRS. McINERNEY: Item #6A, page 3, and I will introduce them for the sake of brevity as one continuous motion since it was approved in the last ordinance. I will go from one item to the next, and then ask your permission if we can vote on them totally.

PRESIDENT SANTY: No, we'll vote on them one amendment at a time. Read what you are going to do.

MRS. McINERNEY: Item #6A, the third sentence reads, "Committee of the City of Stamford, the Board of Representatives or any person duly appointed by them."

The new wording is the "Board of Representatives" and later it is changed to "them."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. McInerney, this is the same amendment you gave me previously that I can use in this?

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes,

PRESIDENT SANTY: Fine, go right ahead.

MRS. McINERNEY: Page 3, 6C, the third sentence, "Stamford Tax Abatement Committee and the Board of Representatives," the new wording is "and the Board of Representatives." The deletion would be, "An audit acceptable to the Connecticut Housing Finance Agency shall also be acceptable for this purpose provided it is submitted within 15 days of its submission to CHFA." Page 6, item #10, "The Tax Abatement Committee with the approval of the Board of Representatives may extend the time limit set forth above." With the approval of the Board of Representatives" is the new wording. "At its sole option" was deleted. I believe that is all of them. That should be all of them. Then, of course, the same typographical errors.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a Second to Mrs. McInerney's amendment? Several Seconds.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Point of Information. On page 3, section where it says, audit acceptable," wasn't that defeated last time?

MRS. McINERNEY: No, it was not, Mr. Boccuzzi.

PRESIDENT SANTY: No, it passed, Mr. Boccuzzi. We are now discussing Mrs. McInerney amendment.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I won't repeat the spelling corrections I made in the first one because they should also be corrected in the second. However, on page 3, I hope they use some caution to be sure they put the entire text in; they ran over under 6A and they deleted some of the wording. So, it should read, "Review, inspection and reproduction of any documentation or portion thereof so long as this Agreement, etc."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Maihock. That's on page 3, 6A, the fourth line. It ran off the page and the wording was left out, Mr. Conti. She wants to make sure that all the words are left in, Mr. Conti.

MR. CONTI: Will you read those words, please?

MRS. MAIHOCK: It should read, "Review, inspection and reproduction of any documentation or portion thereof so long as this," and then go on with "agreement shall remain in force and effect."

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Maihock. A Motion has been made and Seconded. Any other discussion on Mrs. McInerney's amendment? All in favor of Mrs. McInerney's amendment, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. Mr. DeLuca, you had an amendment. Do you want to Move your amendment, please?

MR. DeLUCA: I'm looking at page 5 of the report I got on Thursday night which really did not have a page number on it. It's the same amendment I made to Stamford Green. It's Section 8, paragraph 1, I'd like to add the following sentence that was added for Stamford Green. It says, "Preference shall be given to Stamford residents to the extent permitted under Federal and State law."

PRESIDENT SANTY: You have the Floor, Mr. DeLuca. Do you want to repeat that, please?

MR. DeLUCA: The ordinance I have before me is the one I received on Thursday night, which is the one we're supposedly voting on this evening based on what the report of the L&R Committee was. It's my page 5. Should be page 5, section 8, paragraph 1. The amendment I would like to make would follow the words, "Connecticut General Statutes." Sentence to be added would be, "Preference shall be given to...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, excuse me, I think it's a different page than what we have.

MR. DeLUCA: On the ordinance that we received on Saturday, it's page 4, Section 8, paragraph 1.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Page 4, Section 8, paragraph 1. Has everyone got that?

MR. DeLUCA: I would like to add the following sentence, "Preference shall be given to Stamford residents to the extent permitted under Federal and State law." SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: And you so Move that? Is there a Second? Several Seconds. Any discussion? No discussion. We're going to move right to a vote. All in favor of Mr. DeLuca's amendment, please say aye. Opposed? PASSED UNANIMOUSLY, I'll move that to page 4, Mr. DeLuca.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I'd like to defer the Floor to Mr. Zelinski and then take it back if I might?

PRESIDENT SANTY: You certainly may. You're the only two speakers so I'll go back.

MR. ZELINSKI: Yes, I'd also like to make a Motion to delete that page 6, which seems to be the one that we already placed in there from the other one. In otherwords, were the two...

PRESIDENT SANTY: We don't have to do that, Mr. Zelinski, because we already did that. We Moved 6 from Green to Augustus Manor. We've done that already.

MR. ZELINSKI: I just wanted to make that clarification. Now I yield to Rep. Wiederlight.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I'd like to Move the main question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made to Move the question. Any Seconds? Several Seconds. All in favor of Moving the question, please say aye. Opposed? We're going to have to use the machine. We need a two-thirds vote for this. All in favor of Moving the question. We're voting on Moving the question which is on the main Motion. We're voting on the main Motion. I'm Moving the question to vote on the main Motion. Has everyone voted? The Motion DID NOT PASS. 20 yes, ll no, and 2 not-voting. We needed 22 to Move the question.

MR. WIDER: I was going to Move to the main question. That settles that.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We just voted on that.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. I would like to propose the same amendment that I proposed in the first ordinance. On page 2, under Section 3, under Low or Moderate income, we add the words, "elderly or handicapped." It will then read, "Said tax abatement shall terminate at any time when said housing is not used solely for or and occupied," that also has to be changed to a n d, "and occupied by low or moderate income elderly or handicapped persons." SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Conti. Several Seconds to Mrs. Conti's Motion. Mrs. Conti, would you put that in writing? Any discussion on Mrs. Conti's Motion?

MRS. CONTI: It's already in writing. It's exactly the same page and the same section as the first one; just in both ordinances.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any discussion on Mrs. Conti's Motion? We're going to move right to a vote. All those in favor of Mrs. Conti's amendment, please say aye. Opposed? It PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. We're using a voice vote.

MR. WHITE: I oppose.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. White opposed.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, Madam President, I forgot. Earlier we had discussed the ordinance as being presented this evening as that being presented by L&R, should not the amount then be included in the ordinance that the L&R Committee is working with, or have they switched all to the new one?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Repeat that question, please.

MRS. McINERNEY: The amounts of money which the L&R Committee discussed last Thursday, which they voted on, was not included in this particular ordinance. In the ordinance that we have before us this evening, it is included, and then the other item dealing with...

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mrs. McInerney, we already voted on amount on the other abatement.

MRS. McINERNEY: Right, but the amount then should be included in this abatement; it is different. It would be page 4.

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's included in ours, Mrs. McInerney.

MRS. McINERNEY: Yes, Six million, Four hundred ninety-nine thousand, eight hundred and twenty-three dollars. (\$6,499,823.00)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Right, we have that.

MRS. McINERNEY: And then on the ordinance that L&R worked with last week, we voted item #6, on page 5, "That within 90 days days from date hereof." The new ordinance before us this evening is, "180 days." The L&R Committee should make that correction on the copy that they have before them. The completion date under item #7, is now included reading, "September 30, 1984."

PRESIDENT SANTY: They were left blank at your meeting. To be filled in later.

MR. RYBNICK: Move the question.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The question to Move which is the main Motion presented by Mr. Conti. Is there a Second? All in favor. We're going to move right to a vote on the main Motion which is tax abatement for Augustus Manor. We're voting on publication. The machine has been cleared. It's ready. Who voted in Mrs. Perillo's place? We're going to have to clear the machine. Mr. Wiederlight, would you reach over and vote on your desk, please? No, we're going to clear the machine. It's too late now. Will you please vote on the main Motion, publication for the tax abatement for Augustus Manor. Has everyone voted? The Motion PASSED. 31 yes, 1 no, and 2 not-voting.

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. I would like to ask the Board members to Suspend the Rules to add an item to be submitted to the Commissioner of Revenue Services. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: You're Moving to Suspend the Rules to add another agency to the list for the Revenue officer, Mr. Wider? Yes. There's a Motion made and Seconded to Suspend the Rules. PRESIDENT SANTY: He asked to Suspend the Rules. He can Suspend the Rules because we've already voted on it. Yes, Mrs. Goldstein.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: It's a Special Meeting and you can only consider...

PRESIDENT SANTY: It's concerning what we just voted on; it's an addition.

MRS. GUROIAN: Madam Chairman, it was voted on already. You're going to have to Reconsider it in order to make an addition to it.

MR. WIDER: I ask for a Suspension of the Rules to Reconsider and add to the report, which my Committee, the Housing and Community Development Committee, presented. That was my Motion. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: There's a Second to Reconsider. Mr. Wider, do you want to continue? Explain what you want to Reconsider.

MR. WIDER: Thank you, Madam Chairman. There was a request made by an agency who did not qualify to receive money. Nevertheless, we do have an agency, Family and Children's Service who would like to put in a request for money to supply security guards for the senior citizen housing of \$70,000.00.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Wider, let's go back. You're going to add this as another agency?

MR. WIDER: Under Crime Prevention. Under the program of Crime Prevention.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Did they apply and do they meet all the criteria, this agency?

MR. WIDER: Family and Children met all the criteria, but they did not request the money for security, and they have added security guards for the senior citizen elderly housing in the total of \$70,000.00.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you making this as item #37?

MRS. GUROIAN: Madam Chairman, did we have a vote yet on the Reconsideration? How can you honor an amendment if you didn't....

PRESIDENT SANTY: We're trying to find out what he wants to Reconsider, Mrs. Guroian.

MR. WIDER: Item #8, under Crime Prevention.

MRS. GUROIAN: He's got to Reconsider the whole Motion which opens up the whole Motion to debate so now anybody can add an amendment or make any changes they want.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That's right. That's it exactly, Mr. Wider. He wants to add another agency that was not added before, that did not fill an application.

MR. WIDER: Right.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion has been made and Seconded for a Reconsideration, and you heard what the Reconsideration is for. All in favor of Reconsidering, please say aye. Opposed? We're going to use the machine. Ms. Summerville has left the Floor. It will be recorded. Has everyone voted? The Motion LOST. 15 yes, 15 no, 1 abstaining and 3 not-voting.

MR. WIDER: Thank you for the courtesy, Madam Chairman.

MR. BLAIS: I Move for adjournment. SECONDED.

PRESIDENT SANTY: A Motion made and Seconded to adjourn. All in favor of adjourning, please say aye. Opposed? Meeting is Adjourned.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:45 P.M.

Heren M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant (and Recording Secretary)

.'P?ROVED:

Jeshne-Lois Santy, President 17th Board of Representatives

ak

STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

08/30/82 21:03:22 - ATTENDANCE AT SPECIAL MEETING AUGUST 30, 1982.

			38116-3916-3916	
1	Y	CONTI BETTY		
2 3	Y	GURDIAN GRACE		33 Present - Cod
3	Υ	FLUUNDERS BURITS		
4	Y	WIDER LATHON		7 Absent Cod
5	N	SAXE ANN		40
6 7	Y	McINERNEY BARBARA GERSHMAN ELIZABETH		40
é	Ý	OWENS BOBBY		
9	Ý	ESPOSITO PAUL		
10	Ÿ	STORK PHILIP		
11	Y	ROOS JOHN		
12	Y	HAWE MARIE		
13	N	DEGAETANI BARBARA		
14	Y	CONTI ANTHONY		
15	Y	TARZIA JOSEPH		
15	Y	WHITE W. DENNIS		•
17	Y	MAIHOCK AUDREY		
18	N	GAIPA WALTER		
19	Y	BLUM DAVID		
20		SUMMERVILLE ANNIE LIVINGSTON JEREMIAH		
21 22	Y	BOCCUZZI JOHN		
23	Y	HOGAN JOHN		
24		FRANCHINA JOSEPH		
25	Ÿ	DZIEZYC PAUL		
25	N	BONNER JAMES		
27	Y	DIXON HANDY		
28	Y	GOLDSTEIN SANDRA		
29	N	PERILLO MILDRED		
30	Y	DUDLEY JAMES		
31	Y	ZELINSKI JOHN		
32	N	SIGNORE MARY JANE		
33 74	N	PERILLO ALFRED		
34 35	Y	BLAIS PETER RINALDI MARY LOU		
35	Ý	RYBNICK GERALD		
37	Ý	DONAHUE DONALD		
38	Ý	WIEDERLIGHT MICHAEL		
39	Ý	DELUCA ROBERT		
40	Y	SANTY JEANNE-LOIS		