

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

MONDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1982

17th Board of Representatives

City of Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 17th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on MONDAY, OCTOBER 4, 1982, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 P.M. by PRESIDENT JEANNE-LOIS SANTY, after both political parties had met in caucus.

INVOCATION: Given by REP. JEREMIAH LIVINGSTON of the 5th District.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG: Led by PRESIDENT JEANNE-LOIS SANTY.

CHECK OF THE VOTING MACHINE: There were some problems with the testing of the equipment, and the President asked the Clerk to Call the Roll, and they would go back to the check of the machine.

ROLL CALL: Clerk of the Board ANNIE M. SUMMERVILLE called the attendance roll. There were 37 present, and 3 absent (Reps. Rinaldi, Owens, and Flounders).

PRESIDENT asked the House Committee Chairman Gerald Rybnick to put the rope in place and that anyone who is not a member of the Board to leave the floor; that only members are permitted in the caucus rooms and on the floor as long as the Board is in session.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said that Ms. Rinaldi asked to be excused. MR. WIDER said that Mr. Owens would be late as he had to go to Bridgeport.

THE PRESIDENT declared a QUORUM. She stated the machine recorded the Roll Call.

MR. STORK asked that no votes be taken or recorded until the two Board members returned from having to move their cars due to the double parking in the parking lot.

PAGES: There were none present this evening.

MOMENTS OF SILENCE: There were none.

STANDING COMMITTEESSTEERING COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Jeanne-Lois Santy

MRS. McINERNEY: I Move to Waive the reading of the Steering Committee Report. Seconded. Approved Unanimously.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

The STEERING COMMITTEE met on Monday, September 20, 1982, in the Democratic Caucus Room in response to a CALL for 7:00 P.M. The meeting was called to order by Chairwoman Santy at 7:10 P.M., at which time a QUORUM was present.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

Jeanne-Lois Santy, President	Gerald Rybnick
Barbara McInerney	Lathon Wider, Sr.
John J. Boccuzzi	Sandra Goldstein
Annie M. Summerville	David I. Blum
Robert "Gabe" DeLuca	John Zelinski
Mary Jane Signore	Paul Esposito
Burtis Flounders	Handy Dixon
Paul Dziezyc	Jeremiah Livingston
Walter Gaipa	John J. Hogan, Jr.
Audrey Maihock	Brian Ward, WSTC-WYRS
John Roos	Steve Costello, ADVOCATE

(1) PARKS AND RECREATION MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were 5 items, being (a) request to hold a 10 km. race; (b) request from Exchange Club to hang a banner for bicycle sale; (c) request to up-date Lawn Avenue resolution; (d) location of the Tot-Lot; (e) the matter of the Den Road Park. ORDERED removed from the agenda was a matter concerning softball games at Scalzi Park.

(2) HEALTH AND PROTECTION MATTERS

ORDERED HELD FOR THE OCTOBER STEERING AGENDA were three items, being (a) drug paraphernalia sold to minors; (b) final adoption of ordinance on possible hazards in satellite transmission facilities; (c) matter of rooming houses that are not protected with fire escapes and fire alarms. ORDERED ON AGENDA (i) ordinance including police and fire chiefs and their deputies under Workmen's Compensation Heart and Hypertension Statute of the State; (ii) proposed ordinance for burglar and fire alarm connections; (iii) the reduction of false fire alarms; (iv) material sold at "The Pleasure Nook"; (v) proposal to prohibit use of earphones and headphones by joggers, bicyclists and operators of motorized or self-propelled vehicles and pedestrians on municipal streets and State roads in Stamford. (Some of these items were put on the Agenda due to their being on the items considered at the Sept. 20th adjourned meeting which followed the Steering Meeting, and were held on that 9/20 meeting to be considered at the Oct. 4th meeting. This applies throughout this Steering Report where such circumstances occurred.)

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

(3) LEGISLATIVE AND RULES MATTERS

ORDERED REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA was the item submitted by Rep. Blum for an ordinance for rent control in Stamford. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were six items consisting of those Held at the 9/20 Adjourned Meeting and the one on Steering being an ordinance to amend Sec. 8-18 Annual Pick-Up of Household and Yard Debris. ORDERED HELD FOR OCTOBER STEERING AGENDA were two items being the availability of undesirable pornographic material to minor children and veterans' property tax exemption per Public Act 82-318 enabling legislation.

(4) PERSONNEL MATTERS

ORDERED OFF THE STEERING AGENDA was an item questioning the creation of the project manager position for the LUIS mapping project from AFSCME. ORDERED HELD FOR OCTOBER STEERING was a request from Reps. B. Conti and G. Guroian on study and evaluation of municipal personnel productivity. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was Rep. McInerney's request about the availability of Risk Management office to respond and serve public on insurance claims for damages. Also on the Agenda were those items held at the 9/20 Adjourned Meeting.

(5) PLANNING AND ZONING MATTERS

There were no items on the Steering Agenda. On the Agenda was the acceptance of LeRoy Place as a City Street, being held at the 9/20 Adjourned Meeting.

(6) TRANSPORTATION MATTERS

There were none.

(7) PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MATTERS

ORDERED PUT ON THE AGENDA were the three (3) items appearing on the Steering Agenda. Also ordered on the agenda was the addenda item for amendment to Resolution #1456 concerning the Neighborhood Assistance Act, submitted by Rep. Gershman.

(8) URBAN RENEWAL MATTERS

ORDERED HELD FOR THE OCTOBER STEERING AGENDA was the item concerning Re-Use Parcel #10.

(9) EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT MATTERS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items appearing on the Steering Agenda, as well as an item from NEW BUSINESS submitted by Reps McInerney & Gaipa re a fine by Internal Revenue for late payment. Also on the Agenda were items that were held at the 9/20/82 Adjourned Meeting.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

(10) ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION MATTERS

There were no items.

(11) APPOINTMENTS ITEMS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the names of Ms. Wilson, Mr. Field, Mr. Miller. ORDERED HELD FOR OCTOBER STEERING were Mr. Grebey, Mr. Kaltman, Dr. Nemoitin, Mr. Graubard. Also on the Agenda were those names held at the 9/20/82 Adjourned meeting, being Mr. White, Dr. Gofstein.

(12) PUBLIC WORKS MATTERS

There were no items on the Tentative Steering Agenda due to the fact that this Committee did not have time to present its report at the 9/13 meeting and did so at the Adjourned Meeting of 9/20. The eight (8) items that were held at the 9/20 meeting appeared on the 10/4 agenda.

(13) FISCAL MATTERS

The nine (9) items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda were ORDERED ON THE AGENDA, plus two additional items, being \$100,000 for Smith House, and the funding for the W.I.C. program; and additionally, the W.I.C. Resolution.

(14) CHARTER REVISION MATTERS

There were no items.

(15) RESOLUTIONS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three resolutions, being Rep. Zelinski's resolution on the addenda re academic achievements, the setting of the date for the November meeting as being 11/8, and the Steering meeting to be 10/18/82.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the STEERING COMMITTEE, on MOTION duly made, seconded, and carried, the meeting was adjourned at 8:05 P.M.

The Adjourned Meeting from Sept. 13, 1982 was then conducted this evening.

HMM:MS

JEANNE-LOIS SANTY, Chairwoman
Steering Committee

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

PRESIDENT SANTY: The first Committee is Parks and Recreation. Mr. DeLuca, will you please proceed with your report?

MR. DeLUCA: The Parks and Recreation Committee met on Sept. 28th, and again on Monday evening, October 4th, to discuss the items on tonight's agenda. On Sept. 28th, we discussed two items which were of primary concern to many people in the district and the community. They were the Tot-Lot and the Den Road Park on which I have a report that I will submit to the President to pass out to the members.

Item #1 is on the Consent Agenda by a vote of 4-0. Item #2 is on the Consent Agenda also by a vote of 4-0.

- (1) REQUEST TO HOLD 10-KILOMETER RACE 11/6/82 at 2:00 P.M. BY STAMFORD RUNNING CLUB, INC., SPONSORED BY ITALIAN CENTER OF STAMFORD, as per route described in E. Arthur Morin, Jr.'s letter of 8/31/82.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (2) REQUEST TO HANG BANNER ON SUMMER ST. NEAR RIDGEWAY CENTER to advertise the Stamford Exchange Club's annual bicycle sale - from 4/21/83 to 5/9/83 (1983), per 8/30/82 letter from Frank Sanfilippo, DDS, PC.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. DeLUCA: Our Committee voted 4 in favor, none opposed, to approve the resolution with minor changes which have been provided to each member. The resolution was retyped with the changes and sent to all members. Some people may wonder why it took us so long to get the State's share of the funds for Lawn Ave. Park.

I placed a phone call to Mrs. Jacqueline L. Mickiewicz, Land Agent for the EPB. She stated even though the original resolution was passed in 1977, the State had to wait until the park was completed. This park was not completed until 1980, at which time, unfortunately for everyone concerned, not only in Stamford, the State Bonding Commission places a low priority on parks and recreation items. Comes 1981, there are forty other municipalities that are ahead of Stamford and therefore we did not get the funds in 1981. Then lo and behold, in August, 1982, the State Bonding Commission voted to give Stamford their share, and that is why we are now voting on this resolution. And I so move for acceptance. Seconded by several.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Just one question to Gabe. Gabe, the item says has not been altered or changed in any way. You're asking for some changes. Is this going to affect us getting the money that you are asking for?

MR. DeLUCA: The changes, in fact, were made at the request of Mrs. Mickiewicz. If you have the original resolution that we received in our mail, and look at item #1 of the resolution. The original request that we received, the figure was \$65,000. The new figure will now be \$97,500, which includes the additional \$32,500 which is the State's share. And item #4 of the original resolution passed in 1977 states that the "Mayor Louis A. Clapes," but Mrs. Mickiewicz suggested that we take out the "Louis A. Clapes" because Heaven forbid if we do submit this resolution, he may no longer be here, and we would have to go back and vote on this again. Therefore, as I said, the changes are made with her concurrence and her suggestions.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any other questions? We will proceed to a vote. We will use the machine. Is anyone having any problems? Rep. Hawe, yours is not recording at all? Anyone else? We are checking it now, and ask that each member check his own vote. Mrs. Hawe is a yes vote. Mr. Tarzia, your unit is not working either and you wish to be recorded as yes? Mr. Zelinski, you have a problem?

I am clearing the machine. We will now proceed to vote again. It worked well for the roll call, but now there is a problem. Mrs. Hawe, your vote is a yes? Right. Mr. Tarzia, how is your vote recording? Nothing? The resolution has passed, 31 yes, 1 no, 1 abstaining, and 4 not voting. Mr. Bonner, you wish to change to yes from no? It will be recorded. The vote is then 32 YES, Zero No, One Abstain, and 4 Non-Voting. APPROVED. (Bonner, Tarzia and Hawe to be shown as Yes votes.)

- (4) TOT-LOT - INVESTIGATE THE POSSIBILITY OF LOCATING THE PARK AT SOME OTHER WEST SIDE SITE. By Rep. DeLuca 9/20/82.

MR. DeLUCA: On Sept. 28th, present at our meeting was Bob Cook and Bruno Giordano. They informed our committee that a special committee has been formed to look into the possibility of finding a location within the West Side area and within the Sixth District. This Committee is made up of Nancy Mitchell, Jon Smith, Laura Burrows and Wendy Oleson, to try to find a suitable site. Their primary concern was whether or not the TOT-LOT had to be in the Sixth District, or could it be anywhere within the confines of the West Side.

And I just have been informed by REP. ANNIE SUMMERVILLE that she would like to see it within the Sixth District. This information will be relayed to Bob Cook and Bruno Giordano to be forwarded to their committee. Therefore, we will continue to HOLD IN COMMITTEE until the matter has been resolved, which will be in the very near future.

- (5) "DEN ROAD PARK" - submitted by DPW Comm. Bruce Spaulding 8/23/82.
Returned to Committee 9/20/82.

MR. DeLUCA: Our Committee voted 4 in favor and none opposed to HOLD IN COMMITTEE. We need some time for the Parks Department and the Parks Commission to come up with realistic figures as to maximum and minimum costs to make this park available to the City as a park; also to allow our State Representatives, after the November elections, to check with the Environmental Protection Agency of the State to see if we cannot enter into a long-term lease arrangement with the State. And also to see if the State would be willing to turn over a 50-ft. piece of this land which the Public Works Department emphatically deems it necessary to get this land in order to make Den Road and Bangall Road safe from the hazardous conditions which presently exist. Therefore, we decided to hold this in committee until we get answers to these questions.

I will now MOVE for the approval of Items #1 and #2 on the CONSENT AGENDA. Seconded. APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY (Voice vote).

I have two items I would like to now bring up under Suspension of the Rules. The first item is a request from UNICO National, the Stamford Chapter, to hold a Columbus Day Parade from Sacred Heart Church down to Columbus Park on Oct. 10th.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT SANTY: There is a Motion to Suspend the Rules, Seconded by Mr. Zelinski. Any discussion? We will take a voice vote. All those in favor? Opposed? Approved unanimously to Suspend the Rules.

MR. DeLUCA: I Move for acceptance to grant the Stamford Chapter of UNICO permission to hold a Columbus Day Parade originating from Sacred Heart Church after 12 o'clock mass, to proceed to Columbus Park for ceremonies on Monday, October 10, 1982. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will vote by voice vote. All in favor, please say Aye. Opposed? APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (6) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HOLD A COLUMBUS DAY PARADE ON MONDAY, OCT. 10, 1982, starting at Sacred Heart Church and proceeding to Columbus Park for ceremonies, from UNICO Stamford Chapter.

APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. DeLUCA: I request a Suspension of Rules to approve hanging of a banner for the UN OBSERVANCE from Oct. 5th to Oct. 31st. on Hope Street. I so Move. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY called for a voice vote. APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY to Suspend the Rules.

- (7) REQUEST TO HANG A BANNER FROM MARILYN S. FOSTER, CHAIRPERSON OF THE UNITED NATIONS DAY COMMITTEE, IN OBSERVANCE OF UNITED NATIONS MONTH from Oct. 5th through Oct. 31st, on Hope St.

PRESIDENT SANTY: If you are in favor of this item, please say AYE. Opposed? APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MR. DZIEZYC: We met on Tuesday, but we did not have a quorum, so we are going to leave everything in committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Then you are leaving Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 in Committee? Thank you.

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING INCLUSION OF THE CHIEFS OF POLICE AND FIRE, AND THEIR DEPUTIES, UNDER THE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION HEART AND HYPERTENSION STATUTE OF CONNECTICUT SECTION 7-433(c) GENERAL STATUTES, as submitted by Sherwood R. Spelke, Asst. Corporation Counsel, letter of 9/15/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

- (2) FOR PUBLICATION AND/OR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL, AS AMENDED, FOR BURGLAR AND FIRE ALARM CONNECTIONS TO A CENTRAL CITY TERMINAL - Amending Sec. 3-5 concerning the FEE as submitted by Reps. Gershman, Maihock, Bonner, McInerney; also from Barry Boodman 5/4/82; and Rep. Wiederlight's memo 5/10. Held in Committees 5/24, 8/2, 8/24, and 9/20/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (3) REDUCING THE NUMBER OF FALSE FIRE ALARMS - Submitted 7/12/82 by Rep. Paul Dziezyc. Held in Committee 8/2 and 9/20/82 Adj. Meeting.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (4) MATERIAL SOLD AT "THE PLEASURE NOOK". By Rep. Paul Dziezyc at Steering 6/28/82; Held in Committee 8/2, and 9/20/82 Adj. Meeting.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (5) PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROHIBITING USE OF EARPHONES AND HEADPHONES BY JOGGERS, BICYCLISTS AND OPERATORS OF MOTORIZED OR SELF-PROPELLED VEHICLES AND PEDESTRIANS ON MUNICIPAL STREETS AND STATE ROADS IN STAMFORD. Submitted by Rep. Stork 7/29/82. Held in Committee 9/20.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

MR. CONTI: We changed our meeting date due to the Jewish Holiday, Yom Kippur, the Hebrew Day of Atonement, and we met on Sept. 28th at 6:45 p.m. Present were Anthony Conti, Don Donahue, Jim Dudley, Barbara McInerney, Audrey Maihock, John Zelinski, Anne King Saxe, James Bonner, David Blum, and Peter Blais. On the agenda, #1, it was voted 5-0 to HOLD IN COMMITTEE and to take off the agenda until we receive new information or more complete data.

- (1) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING A TAX CREDIT FOR REFUSE COLLECTION TO OWNERS OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN MULTIPLE UNIT RESIDENTIAL COMPLEXES. Held in Committees 1/18, 3/1, 4/5, 5/3, 5/24, 7/12, 7/20, and 9/20/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE (and take off agenda until receive new information or more complete data.)

MR. CONTI: It was voted to hold Item #2 in committee 7-0.

- (2) FOR PUBLICATION - AMENDING ORDINANCE #449 "TAX RELIEF FOR THE ELDERY" Revision per State Statute. Letter 5/14/82. Also May 4th memo from Rep. DeLuca on Ord. #449 which expired 5/15/82 per Deputy Tax Assessor Faski's comment at Special Meeting on proposed tax phase-in. Held in Committee 6/7, 7/12, 8/2. See Wm. Hennessey's response 7/26/82. Held in Committee 9/20/82 Adjourned Meeting.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. CONTI: Item #3 was voted to be Held in Committee 7-0, and we are to put in writing to Corporation Counsel that we need a letter from Attorney Glucksman concerning the exchange of easements.

- (3) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REGARDING EXCHANGE OF EASEMENTS BETWEEN CITY and ABE WEXLER ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON HILLDALE AVE. & GROVE STREET. (the taking of 297 sq. ft. and the giving of 311 sq. ft.) Approved by Planning Board 6/30/82. Per Mayor's request 7/1/82. Held in Committee 8/2 and 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. CONTI: This was approved by the Committee 8 in favor, none opposed, and I so MOVE. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any discussion? We will move right to a vote on #4. All in favor, please say AYE. Opposed? APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (4) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL GRANTING TAX EXEMPTION FOR NORTH STAMFORD SEVENTH-DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, 2130 High Ridge Road. Treasurer Edna E. Indergard 8/3/82. Approved for publication 9/20/82.

APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. CONTI: Item #5 was approved 7-0 and there was one abstention, and I so MOVE. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will go right to a vote. Those infavor, please say AYE. Those opposed? APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

- (5) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL CONCERNING THE CONVEYANCE OF A PARCEL OF LAND LOCATED ON THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SUMMER STREET and SECOND AVENUE TO THE CITY OF STAMFORD BY CONNECTICUT MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE CO. (for no consideration; and pursuant to a State Traffic Commission Certificate No. 351 issued to The Benenson Capital Company.) Mayor's letter 7/19/82. Approved for Publication 9/20/82 Adj. Meeting.

APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. CONTI: We voted to hold and wait until we get more complete information on this, 8-0.

- (6) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING CODE SECTION 8-18 ANNUAL PICK-UP OF HOUSEHOLD AND YARD DEBRIS. Held 1/18, 3/1, 4/5 and 6/28/82. Laid on Table 5/3/82. Held 8/2 and 8/30/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE (until we get more complete information.)

MR. CONTI: Legislative and Rules Committee is calling for a SPECIAL MEETING on October 14th, Thursday, to resume discussion with Mr. Ford concerning the traffic ordinance, and an amendment, motion made and seconded, to send letter to all Board members to attend Special L&R Meeting. If unable to attend, send letter specifying any problems or needs, so Committee may address them.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you for that notification, Mr. Conti. Thank you for your report.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

- (1) CLARIFICATION INTO THE CREATION OF THE POSITION OF "PROJECT MANAGER" FOR LUIS MAPPING SYSTEM - submitted by Rep. John J. Hogan, Jr. 8/23.
Held in Committee 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. STORK: The Personnel Committee met on Wednesday, Sept. 29th, at 8:00 P.M. in the Republican Caucus Room. Members of the Committee in attendance were Reps. Dziezyc, Gershman, Dixon, Dudley, Hogan and myself. Also present was Rep. John Boccuzzi. Items #1 and #2 are HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) REQUEST FOR BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES' APPROVAL TO PERMIT PATRICK J. SCARELLA TO PAY INTO PENSION FUND PER SECTION 754 OF THE CHARTER - his letter 8/17/82. Returned to Committee 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

MR. DeLUCA: Is #2 really held, or is that just a mistake in reading off your agenda? There was some confusion on this in our caucus.

MR. STORK: I beg your pardon, you're correct. The agenda we had for our committee meeting was numbered differently, that was the error. Item #1 is held.

On Item #2, I will make the report on that now. The period in question runs from 11/1/54 to 12/31/57, when Mr. Scarella was Commissioner of Public Works. To enable Mr. Scarella to pay for those years he is missing from the Pension Fund, will cost the taxpayers of the City of Stamford \$18,200.00. Mr. Scarella's personal cost would be approximately \$6,000.00. He is in the process of retiring at the moment and states that paying \$6,000.00 of his own money does not present a hardship, as the money he will receive for unused sick time will more than cover the cost.

Failing to approve this request will not prevent Mr. Scarella from receiving his pension; and approval of his request will only increase his monthly benefits. After a lengthy discussion by the Personnel Committee, along with Mr. Boccuzzi's input, the Motion to approve Mr. Scarella's request to pay back into the Pension Fund for time missed, was approved by a vote of 3 in favor, 2 opposed, and 1 abstention, and I so Move. Seconded by Rep. Wider and several others.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Owens is now present, and we have 38 members on the floor.

MR. LIVINGSTON: I am definitely in favor of this, but however, one of the things that was pointed out in our caucus room was over an item that I presented before the Personnel Committee; and as I feel at the present moment, some of the same considerations that have been given Mr. Scarella should have been given to our current Human Rights Commission person. I am not comparing both of the items, and I am trying to keep both of the items unique in my mind. But some of the questions that have been asked of Mr. Scarella should have been asked of Mr. Glover, and at our next Steering Committee, I will definitely pursue this further.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BONNER: This is an item which we could consider in two ways. One is personal, and it would be very easy to consider it in a personal way, and say, well, this gentleman has certainly earned it, perhaps, or he may not have, however we feel, and grant it on that basis. I think we also have to consider it not as a personal matter, but as a matter of procedure. If we do this, there are rules set up and this gentleman has been living by those rules. We would have to make an exception of this particular case. If we make an exception for this particular case, then we must make exceptions for other cases, also, and it is hard to tell how many people might be involved. You might say only 3 people, or 2 people, or one other person could be involved; but if we make an exception in this case, there may be other classifications of other people that we should make exceptions for. Anyone working for the City in one phase or another certainly is entitled to considerations of other people that might be working. I think we have to consider this on a basis of procedure for the entire City and the effect that it would have on the taxpayers of the City. I recognize that it would be nice to do it on a personal basis and say well, fine, let's grant it for this one person, but I think we have to watch it as a procedure and what it will do to the City as a whole.

MR. BLUM: I'm sorry to see Mr. Livingston comparing the Human Rights Director with this particular case. The two are not comparable. Mr. Scarella is taking his pension, or is retiring. All he is asking, per Charter Section 754, is to buy back three years of time that he spent as a Commissioner of Public Works. In reference to what Mr. Bonner has said, we are going to have a meeting here Oct. 20th at 7:30 in this room with Professor Gallant and Atty. Mednick in regard to the entire situation of classified and unclassified employees regarding pensions. Mr. Patrick Scarella is asking to buy three years that he put in as the Commissioner of Public Works at the time, which did not pay him any pension rights. He now is going on pension under his Classified Service; and under Section 754, he is requesting this Board to accept the City's portion and his portion, so that he can buy three years, and that is all we are voting on, as per Charter.

MR. WIDER: I'm afraid if the City had to pay Mr. Scarella for the time he put in, they would have spent much more than \$18,200.00. I happened to have been involved with the City during his years, and I have seen him work at three o'clock in the morning; and I don't think he was getting overtime for it. So the fact is that we're not giving him anything. We are only giving him what he has worked for. Since the man has worked within the union, or his association, on the same job that he held as Commissioner, I don't see how we can refuse him as an individual, to allow him to buy back into the Pension Plan. And Mr. Bonner alluded to the fact that we had other employees involved. I think you need to wake up and deal with it case by case, instead of trying to deal with the people like they tried to deal with us over the years, all of us at one time. I'm sorry, but I think we must deal with them case by case as they come to you.

MR. GAIPA: I'm having a little trouble with the numbers here. It seems that the City is supposed to pay in \$18,200 and Mr. Scarella is supposed to pay in \$6,000, and that adds up to \$24,200. And if his benefit is going to be increased by 6%, that is 6% of his salary of the last three years, I believe, but anyway I heard the number \$23,000, and 6% works out to \$1,380. If it is going to take \$24,200 to provide Mr. Scarella with \$1,380 a year, Mr. Scarella has to live over 17 years before anybody is really going to lose any money. And at 68 years old, I don't know where these figures are coming from, but I

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. GAIPA (continuing)...can't believe that \$18,200 is the real figure of cost for the City. What really is talking here is \$1,380 a year, and Mr. Scarella putting up immediately \$6,000 of his own money, he is going to have to go over 5 years just to break even with the City; and then from then on, it is going to have to cost the City \$1,380 a year. I don't think this is a lot to ask from somebody who has put in the hours and the service that he has.

MRS. MAIHOCK: May I ask of Mr. Stork that he elaborate further on the reasons that two members gave a negative vote on this?

MR. STORK: I will just speak for myself. I am one of the two negative votes, and I think that Mr. Livingston should know that my vote was consistent in the matter of the last time we had such a proposal as this before us. For many of the reasons Mr. Bonner outlined, I should echo what Mr. Bonner said. It was my feeling, as well as others at that time, that we have a potential situation here of setting a precedent of making an exception here, an exception there, with untold numbers of people that might want to come forward and do something like this; and it is adding up to big bucks, and for that reason, my vote was No. I believe Mrs. Gershman was a No vote, and she may want to speak to this.

MR. DUDLEY: Move the Question. Seconded by Mr. Wider and others.

PRESIDENT SANTY: All those in favor of Moving the Question, please say AYE. Opposed? Two no votes. The question has been Moved.

We will now vote on the main motion, on Item #2 under Personnel on Mr. Scarella's request concerning his pension, and use the machine. Is everyone's vote recorded properly up on the board? Mr. Tarzia, is yours correct? You are a Yes vote? Mrs. Hawe, you are a No vote, and it is recorded as Non-vote? The Motion has passed, 23 yes, 10 no, 2 abstentions, and 3 not voting.

MR. STORK: Items #3 and #4 will be Held in Committee.

I should announce at this time that Mr. Hogan's sub-committee will meet at 7:30 on Tuesday, Oct. 12th. And Mr. Dziezyc's sub-committee is starting to receive its required information and should be scheduling a meeting in the near future.

- (3) PROPOSED RESOLUTION GRANTING MEDICAL BENEFITS TO YOUTH PLANNING AND COORDINATING AGENCY EMPLOYEES (3) - to be included in group life, dental, health, hospitalization, and major medical policies available to other City employees. Letter from Philip Stork 8/11/82. Returned to Committee 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (4) CONCERN ABOUT THE AVAILABILITY OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT OFFICE TO RESPOND AND SERVE THE PUBLIC REGARDING INSURANCE CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES - Submitted by Rep. Barbara McInerney 9/15/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Through the Chair, to Mr. Stork, I asked before if we could have the names of who the sub-committees are. I don't know if the other Board members received theirs, but I didn't. Is it possible that I could get the names? I think it would be helpful to know who are sub-committees are of the Board of Representatives.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Stork, could you provide that information for Ms. Summerville, please.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

MR. DONAHUE: Planning and Zoning met on this item last week. We will be meeting on it again in mid-October. Therefore, this is held at this time.

- (1) ACCEPTANCE OF LeROY PLACE as a CITY STREET - Reps. Donahue and Blais 8/17/82. Held in Committee 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: No report.

PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

MR. WIDER: The Committee met on Sept. 29th in the Personnel Caucus Room. Present were Mr. Blum, Ms. Summerville, yours truly, Mrs. Saxe. Visiting were Mrs. Gershman, Mr. Donahue, Mr. Alvin Wellington of the Zoning Board. Items #1 and #2 are being held pending discussion of the Mednick, Gallant Report. On Item #3, we were upset as we had invited the chairmen of the Planning Board and of the Zoning Board to attend this meeting to set up a workshop on rental housing in Stamford, and neither one of them showed up. We are re-scheduling that meeting again. Item #4 was withdrawn by Mrs. Gershman.

- (1) THE QUESTION OF FINANCE BOARD POLICY #6-1 TO BE REVIEWED BY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REGARDING AUDITING POLICY. Submitted by Rep. Lathon Wider at Steering 2/16/82. Held in Committee. Rep. Blum requested this receive Committee's attention 9/14/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) THE MATTER OF PERSONNEL POLICIES OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT - Held in Committee. Rep. Blum requested this item receive Committee's attention 9/14/82.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (3) SET UP WORKSHOPS WITH THE PLANNING BOARD AND ZONING BOARD TO DISCUSS STATUS AND FUTURE PLANS FOR RENTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CITY. Submitted by Rep. Summerville 9/14/82.

Also referred to Planning and Zoning Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

PUBLIC HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

- (4) PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO RESOLUTION NO. 1456 CONCERNING NEIGHBORHOOD ASSISTANCE ACT - per letter from Rep. Gershman 9/16/82; and Barry Hirsch of Stamford Community Development Dept.; that the following be added to said resolution: \$60,000 for Crime Prevention - Item #8, to provide security services for senior citizens living in subsidized and public housing, via Family & Children's Services, 60 Palmer's Hill Road, Stamford 06902.

WITHDRAWN BY REP. GERSHMAN.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

MR. ROOS: The Committee met Sept. 29th at 8:30. Present were Elizabeth Gershman, Annie Summerville, and myself.....

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I'd like to ask the President where the item is on the agenda.

MR. ROOS (continuing)....we accepted the proposed resolution approving the contract for the sale of land on the Southeast Quadrant Urban Renewal Project for private redevelopment to The Stamford New Urban Associates of Re-Use Parcel #10 for the sum of \$307,000. We met and accepted this resolution and I so Move. The vote was 3-0. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there any discussion?

MR. WIDER: Do we have that resolution? I don't see it on the agenda. I mean, who has it?

PRESIDENT SANTY: It wasn't on the agenda, but it was on the Tentative Steering Agenda and was committed by Steering into the Urban Renewal Committee for October Steering. We do have the resolution which was sent to everyone by the Mayor's office dated 9/14/82.

MR. ROOS: Everyone has a copy of the resolution.

MRS. MAIHOCK: Some of us seem to be confused about it. I wonder if Mr. Roos would be kind enough to give us a very short explanation of it.

MR. ROOS: This is a piece of land that is back of the old Advocate Building. It is opposite, or borders Garage Road, and it is called Parcel #10. It is a pie-shaped piece of land, and it is for sale to The Stamford New Urban Associates. They border on it. This land is land-locked except to New Urban Associates. They are the only people who could use it to any advantage. It borders on their property. This was appraised by the URC appraisers and \$307,000 was the appraisal on it. As I say, we all voted for this, 3-0.

MR. BLUM: I'd like to ask when was the appraisal made, and what is going to be the use for that parcel of land?

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Roos, can you answer those questions?

MR. ROOS: I can't about the use of the land. I can about the appraisal. It is a recent appraisal but I don't know the date of it.

MRS. CONTI: I would like to know what was United Appraisal Company's assessed value of this land.

MR. ROOS: \$307,000.

MRS. CONTI: The same as the appraiser that was hired?

MR. ROOS: This was the URC appraiser's figure. It was the same person that was used for all their land, and this is the appraisal they arrived at.

MRS. CONTI: No, I'm talking about the United Appraisal Company, when the entire City was re-assessed. What was it assessed for?

MR. ROOS: I don't know that.

REP. SAXE: (some dialogue lost in changing over tape)...but I would like to know, in fact I do know, that there is a piece of land in back of the existing buildings that front on Atlantic Street, and there was at one time a road back there which is now an easement; what happens to that easement, please?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Roos, can you answer that question, please?

MR. ROOS: No.

REP. SAXE: I Move then that we put this back in committee until that is answered. Seconded by several.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion? None. We will move right to the question of Returning to Committee. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed? APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY to RETURN TO COMMITTEE.

- (1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CONTRACT FOR THE SALE OF LAND IN THE SOUTHEAST QUADRANT (EXTENDED) URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT FOR PRIVATE RE-DEVELOPMENT TO THE "STAMFORD NEW URBAN ASSOCIATES" OF RE-USE PARCEL #10 for the sum of \$307,000.00, comprising of approximately 9,793 sq. ft., including easement(s). Mayor's letter 9/14/82. Held in Sept. 20th Steering Meeting for October Steering Agenda.

RETURNED TO COMMITTEE

MR. BONNER: May I have a point of information that might be given when this is brought back before us? If it goes for \$307,000, or whatever it goes for, this money would then go back into the Contingency Fund, is that correct? Or the General Fund?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Yes, Mr. Bonner.

MR. ROOS: That is the end of my report.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

- (1) LETTER OF 6/8/82 FROM REP. GERSHMAN REQUESTING DETAILED INFORMATION ON TAX ABATEMENTS GRANTED FOR 1980 and 1981, and broken down as specified in her letter. Held in Committee 7/12, 8/2 and 8/30/82.

MR. GAIPA: E. W. & G. met on Thursday, Sept. 21st, at 6:00 P.M. Present were Reps. Blais, deGaetani, Gershman and myself. Also present were the Commissioner of Finance, Controller, Manager of the Payroll Dept., and two internal auditors. Item #1 is HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (2) REQUEST OF ATTYS. MEDNICK AND GALLANT TO ADDRESS THE ENTIRE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES TO EXPLAIN THEIR REPORT, AND TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY WISH TO ASK (A COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE).

MR. GAIPA: Item #2. You received a note on that today. We are meeting and all of the Representatives are invited to attend on Oct. 20th at 7:30 p.m. in this room.

- (3) INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE IS LEVYING \$45,000 IN FINES AGAINST THE CITY BECAUSE OF OVER-DUE PAYMENTS. STEERING COMMITTEE VOTED UNANIMOUSLY TO DETERMINE HOW AND WHY THIS OCCURRED, WHERE THE RESPONSIBILITY LIES, AND THAT ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS AND ANY OTHERS PERTAINING THERETO MUST BE ANSWERED. By Walter Gaipa 9/20/82.

MR. GAIPA: Item #3 was discussed in great detail with all of the principal characters that I mentioned were at the meeting; and because some of the information was yet to be forthcoming from the I.R.S., we are holding this item.

Item #3 HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (4) REQUEST FROM REP. McINERNEY and REP. DeLUCA AS TO WHY ROADS BROUGHT UP TO CITY ACCEPTANCE have never been invoiced for a period of the past ten (10) years. Report made 6/7/82 and 9/13. Held in Committee 7/12 and 8/2.

MR. GAIPA. Each of you this evening received a written report of why the problem existed with the roads and the building of the roads that were brought up to City acceptance. If you like, I will read the report that I put on each desk this evening.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Gaipa, one moment. I think all the Representatives received that written report. Is there anyone who would like Mr. Gaipa to read it, or are you satisfied with what it says? Or do you have any questions about the report. Mr. Gaipa, I don't think you have to read it, but Mrs. McInerney has a question.

REP. McINERNEY: Mr. Gaipa, could your Committee get a copy of the established procedure from Commissioner Marra other than that it is going to be billed by the Controller on Oct. 1st of each year?

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

REP. GAIPA: I'm not sure I know what Mrs. McInerney means by the established procedure.

REP. McINERNEY: Let me explain myself, Mr. Gaipa. In order to have roads brought up to City acceptance, the entire section of the Charter, Chapter 64, is quite lengthy and detailed; and it appears that since we've had difficulty with billing in the first place, and apparently a procedure has been set-up. I would like a copy of the procedure and then I think I would like to have your Committee work on it to combine it with the established procedure for bringing roads up to standard in the first place.

REP. GAIPA: I feel the report and the procedure as reported in here is sufficient. If Rep. McInerney wants a copy of the procedure in writing, I suggest that she contact Mr. Buchanan or Mr. Marra and they will be glad to give her a copy in writing; but I think this Committee has spent a great deal of time on this matter, and I don't see any point in going ahead and really performing what I think is a messenger-boy type of operation. We have a great deal of work to do on other items, and I would resist this.

MR. DeLUCA: Yes, I appreciate Mr. Gaipa's Committee spending a lot of time on this, but in looking at the report, I find one sentence here in the second paragraph which is interesting. I believe it is the third sentence. It says "In addition, Mr. Buchanan stated the City really does not have a billing department. Neither was there anyone in the Tax Collector's Office responsible for the annual billings." Now his report on.. this is about eight streets involved, I'm sure ⁱⁿ the last thirty or forty years, we must have billed hundreds of streets that were brought up to City acceptance standards. Therefore, I would be interested to know how these other neighborhoods were billed in the past. There has to be some kind of a procedure. And just to say that there is nothing, no billing department, there has to be something, somewhere along the way, otherwise all these other neighborhoods that were brought up to City standards should never have been billed then. I think this is just a cop-out on Mr. Buchanan's part and the Finance Department's part. There has to be some procedure. I think our Board deserves a better answer than this.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Are you finished with your statement? Do you want it to go back to committee, or are you satisfied with the report, or are you making a motion?

MR. DeLUCA: I am making a motion that we get a little more definitive answers to how the City billed the rest of the people that were billed in the past. There definitely has to be something. I move back to committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now discussing going back to committee, Mr. DeLuca's Motion. Several seconds.

MR. BLAIS: In answer to Mrs. McInerney's and Mr. DeLuca's questions, the problem is that the City has no central billing department. This is not untypical in a fund operation. The problem was that there was no mechanism to tell the Accounting Department that a Receivable had accrued. Mr. Marra

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLAIS (continuing)....has assured us that from now on, Capital Projects for Road Improvements will not be closed out until a liability, an accounting liability, has been made on the books and records of the City, so that this City knows it has to bill the property owner. Another misconception I would like to clear up is that according to our investigation, I think there are less than ten City streets brought up to acceptance in the last two years.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I have to agree this time with Mr. DeLuca, because there has to be some method the City uses to build streets. If I recall, when any street is brought up by a Charter section, before the street could be brought up to standard, a public hearing is held, and the people are all assessed a certain amount of money. They are notified how much they are going to be assessed, and they have to agree to it before the street can be brought up to standard. That information is already in the City's hands. It is just a matter of taking that and sending out a bill. It seems like they are making a big project out of nothing. And I think it should go back to committee, and we should know why, if all the information is right there, why the bills cannot be sent out once the Engineering Department agrees that the street is brought up to the standards required by the City.

MRS. McINERNEY: I will speak in favor of Returning this to Committee, and I do appreciate all the work that the Committee has done, but I feel that the second paragraph explains itself quite clearly, Chapter 64 does not say who is to be billed, and when, for these roads. Now, in order to correct the problems that we've gone through in the past, the only way to make sure in the future that the same procedure will be followed regardless of who is the Commissioner of Finance, or who is the Controller, that the new procedure must be incorporated within Chapter 64 process that the City presently has, and I think that this is something that the Committee can work on, that the Committee can present to the Charter Revision Commission. It should go forward. We should not assume that something like this will continue.

MR. GAIPA: In regard to what went on prior to ten years ago, we naturally tried to determine this in talking with the gentlemen involved, and I repeat they were not able to determine why this did not happen. Mr. Buchanan's length of service with the City is ten years. Mr. Marra's is less than a year; and evidently they had to go places and talk to people who may have had this responsibility, but never was set procedure, and what went on prior to ten years ago, I don't know, and they don't know. I am asking this Board what is important. What is important is that is there a procedure NOW in existence to take care of this matter. That answer is yes, there is. And if you want a written copy of this, we will be glad to get it for you. But what is to be gained by going back into ancient history? I have no idea what we will gain by this.

MRS. HAWES: I think Mrs. McInerney's request to have the Committee work up a proposal for the Charter Revision Commission to include it in Chapter 64 of the Charter, a specific procedure set down in black-and-white. I think it is a very worthwhile idea, and I think that the Committee will be able to take it from here, from the report that they wrote, and to get something concrete that perhaps we can include in the Charter.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. PERILLO: I Move the Question. Seconded by Mrs. Perillo.

PRESIDENT SANTY: All in favor of Moving the Question, please say Aye. Opposed? It is Unanimous that we Move the Question.

We will now vote on the Main Motion which is to Return to Committee the request from Reps. McInerney and DeLuca as to why roads brought up to City acceptance have never been invoiced for a period of the past ten years. We will use the machine. MOTION APPROVED 30 Yes, 6 No, Zero Abstention, 2 Non-Voting. Mr. Tarzia is voting Yes. Mrs. Hawe's is working now. We will pray a little bit harder for Mr. Tarzia. Item #4 is RETURNED TO COMMITTEE.

- (5) PROCEDURES TO CONTRACT OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL AND CONSULTANTS - requested by Rep. Walter Gaipa 7/9/82 REFERRING TO THE LAW DEPT.'S REQUEST FOR \$75,000 FOR OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL. Held in Committee 8/2 and 9/20.

MR. GAIPA: Item #5, this is a very large project and will involve a great deal of the Committee's time, and we got a good start on it at our last meeting when Rep. Blais passed out copies of the Federal Standards for Selection of Consultants. We are now in the process of studying these. The item is being HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (6) PROCEDURE THAT CAUSED TWO TAX BILLS TO BE MAILED TO THOSE TAXPAYERS WHO HAVE A "FREEZE IN EFFECT" - requested by Rep. DeLuca 8/13/82 and 9/20/82.

MR. GAIPA: On Item #6, we had a discussion with the Assistant Assessor. He has presented us with an explanation in writing. However, we have now become gun-shy and we are not going to give anything to this Board until we have a chance to go over it in writing, and we are HOLDING IN COMMITTEE.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That finishes your report, Mr. Gaipa? Thank you. And don't forget the meeting of October 20th; that's a very important date to remember. Any questions you have on the Gallant, Mednick Report, bring them there and they will be answered at that point.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MRS. MAIHOCK: The Environmental Protection Committee did not have any items on their agenda, and we, therefore, have no report.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MR. DIXON: The Appointments Committee met on Thursday, Sept. 30th, at 7:30 p.m. in the Democratic Caucus Room. Committee members present were: Reps. Mildred Perillo, Barbara deGaetani, Mary Jane Signore, Joseph Tarzia, Robert DeLuca, John Boccuzzi, Anthony Conti, and myself, Handv Dixon. I would at this time like to place on the CONSENT AGENDA, Items #1, #2, #3, and #6.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)WELFARE COMMISSIONTerm Expires

- (1) MS. PATRICIA McCABE WILSON Replacing Dr. H. Pesiri December 1, 1983
22 Lakeview Drive (R)
Held 6/28, 8/2, 8/30/82.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA, with Rep. Blum voting No; and Mrs. Perillo, Ms. Summerville. and Mr. Wiederlight Abstaining.

- (2) MR. ROBERT FIELDS (R) Re-Appointment December 1, 1984
40 Fairfield Ave.
Held 8/30/82.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA, with Reps. McInerney and Blum voting NO; and Reps. M. Perillo, Tarzia, Wiederlight, Dudley, and Summerville Abstaining.

PERSONNEL COMMISSION

- (3) MR. EDMUND MILLER (D) Replacing Austin December 1, 1984
29 Four Brooks Rd. Rineilla (deceased)
Held 8/30/82

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA, with Rep. Summerville voting NO; and Reps. Blum, M. Perillo, and Gaipa Abstaining.

MR. DIXON: Item #4 is being HELD IN COMMITTEE again, for reasons of no interview. I would like to just say at this point, that the Committee has given directions to its Co-Chairpersons to write a letter to the Mayor, informing him of the problems that we are having getting an interview with this gentlemen; and I believe it is going to be the intent of the Committee to keep that name off the agenda next month until we have heard from the Mayor.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Livingston, you have a question concerning Mr. Joseph White?

MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes, I would like to know is Mr. White's unavailability affecting that Commission, or is the person he is replacing still continuing to participate while we are waiting for either his confirmation or rejection?

MR. DIXON: Well, the only thing I can say is that Mr. White has been nominated for that position, and this is the third month now that he has failed to make an appearance before the Appointments Committee for the interview. He stated just recently his reasons for not appearing before the Committee for this last interview was that he would not be available. We have had that kind of reason, or excuse, whichever you will, for the last three months; and we just believe we can't take up that kind of space on our agenda if it is going to be wasted.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I agree with you, Mr. Dixon.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ZELINSKI: If I could answer Rep. Livingston's question. #1, there is a full complement of Fair Rent Commissioners. I attended a recent public hearing and all five members were present. In answer to the second question, if there is an Alternate on the Fair Rent Commission, that person would serve until either he resigns or is replaced.

MR. DIXON: Yes, but just me say to Mr. Livingston, I'm sorry, I just did not understand his question I guess.

FAIR RENT COMMISSION - ALTERNATETerm Expires

(4) <u>MR. JOSEPH A. WHITE, JR.</u> (R)	Replacing C.Koester	December 1, 1985
Saddle Hill Road	who resigned	
Held 7/20 and 9/20/82		

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. DIXON: We will move on to Item #5....

PRESIDENT SANTY: Please excuse it a moment, please, Mr. Dixon.

MRS. SIGNORE: I'd like to absent myself from this room for this item for all discussion and voting that may possibly take place on it. I wish to be recorded as ABSENT.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The record will report that MRS. SIGNORE is ABSENT. She is leaving the floor. She is recorded as absent during the discussion of this item.

DIRECTOR OF DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

(5) <u>DR. RALPH M. GOFSTEIN</u>	Re-Appointment of	July 31, 1987
(Unaffiliated)	5-year contract	
235 Den Road	per resolution.	
Held 7/20 and 9/20/82.		

MR. DIXON: After a brief discussion of Item #5, the Committee voted 4-1 to Hold the item in Committee. I would like to say for the record at this time, Madam President, that Mrs. Signore left the room and only those members who were present during the interview of Dr. Gofstein, actually participated in this vote.

Additionally, with regard to Item #5, the Committee voted 4-1 also to seek of its Co-Chairmen and President of this Board information from the Corporation Counsel that would lead to the answering of certain specific questions. and I did forward the letter to you, and I believe you forwarded that letter on to Corporation Counsel and we received a response from Corporation Counsel tonight to the list of questions on which we sought information.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I was out of the country last week, but I did have a telephone conversation with Mr. Fraser today. I did not forward it. It was forwarded through the office, but I did receive a written answer to your request this evening.

APPOINTMENTS (continued)

MR. DIXON: We have the response now and I believe all the Committee members have it, and I guess if we will hold this in committee, we'll have time to study and give some further consideration to the response from the Corporation Counsel.

PRESIDENT: Yes, and if this item is held, I will see that our Administrative Assistant gives a copy of this letter to all the Representatives, not just the Committee. So you are holding this in committee?

MR. DIXON: The Committee is HOLDING IN COMMITTEE, yes. I would proceed then to the CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. BOCCUZZI: You can vote on Consent Agenda, Madam President, because there was no objection. If anybody wants to say No, Abstain, they can say it, but we can go ahead with the Consent Agenda.

PRESIDENT SANTY: If anyone is opposed to any of these appointments, please raise your hand at the conclusion of Mr. Dixon's report.

MR. DIXON: I Move for approval of Ms. Wilson for the Welfare Commission, Mr. Fields for the Welfare Commission, and Mr. Miller for the Personnel Commission, also Mr. Feighan for the Transit District; all having received unanimous votes of approval, with the exception of an abstention here and there, Several Seconds.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Approved Unanimously. Is anyone opposing any of these appointments? We'll take Mr. Dudley first. Mr. Dudley is an Abstention on Item 1 and Item 2. Mr. Blum abstains on Mr. Miller, and votes no on Mr. Fields and Mrs. Wilson. Mrs. Perillo abstains on Mrs. Wilson, Mr. Fields, and Mr. Miller. Mr. Gaipa abstains on Mr. Miller. Mr. Tarzia abstains on Mr. Fields. Mrs. McInerney votes no on Mr. Fields. Ms. Summerville abstains on Mrs. Wilson, Mr. Fields, and a No vote on Mr. Miller. Mr. Wiederlight abstains on Mrs. Wilson and Mr. Fields. Thank you, Mr. Dixon, for your report.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: With all these abstentions and no votes, do you think it might be necessary to count the affirmative votes on these three Consent items?

PRESIDENT SANTY: No, there isn't. A confirmation is a simple majority.

MR. DIXON: I would just like to make this request, if I may. When the Consent Agenda is announced, those items being moved to Consent, if there is any opposition to it, it should be made known then. It just doesn't make sense to place half a dozen names on the Consent Agenda, and then go through a series of questioning after that is done.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Dixon, it is perfectly all right as you state. when you give us the name of the person to go on the Consent Agenda, at that time, if anyone does want to cast a no vote, or an abstention, or a non-vote, that is the time to raise your hand and we will take it off the Consent Agenda and put it to a vote. It saves a little bit of time but it is perfectly all right to do it this way. You don't have to speak against a person just because you have him off the Consent Agenda. You can still express your vote that way.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

MR. PERILLO: The Public Works Committee met on Sept. 30th. Present were Representatives Flounders, Perillo, Blais, Roos, Boccuzzi, Bonner, and Saxe. Also Mr. Roloff, Acting City Engineer.

On Item #1 for re-paving of Derwen St., Rutz Rd. Glen Ave. and DeLeo Drive, we are holding this in committee for numerous reasons. Some three weeks ago, the Committee met with Commissioner Spaulding and Deputy Canavan. In their report to the Public Works Committee, they have expressed to us in close-out of Capital items, they have some \$340,000 to cover this project. At the Sept. 30th meeting with Acting City Engineer Roloff, this amount was somehow reduced to \$105,000. I don't know where the other \$230,000 disappeared, but it did. With \$170,000 left in this account for this re-paving, the City Engineer now says the total project will cost \$685,000, needing another \$490,750 to complete the project. Public Works Committee Moved this be sent back to the Public Works Department to get answers to all these figures. So this item is being HELD.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mr. Perillo. Didn't we all receive a letter in the mail from your Committee also explaining this item in detail?

MR. PERILLO: Yes, but somehow or other, last Thursday night, the figures all changed.

- (1) REQUEST FOR RE-PAVING OF DERWEN STREET, RUTZ ROAD, GLEN AVENUE, AND DeLEO DRIVE. Submitted by 15th Dist. Rep. Paul Dziezyc. Held in Committee 7/12/82. Report made 8/2 that DPW will submit their report and request for appropriation. Held in Committee 9/20/82 Adj. Mtg.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. PERILLO: Items from 2 to 7 are being HELD IN COMMITTEE due to a public hearing on this Wednesday night, October 6th,

PRESIDENT SANTY: Did you all take note of that? The public hearing on all these ordinances are this Wednesday, October 6th, at 8:00 P.M. in the Main Room here. Now many of you have raised questions regarding these ordinances at the last couple of meetings. Now is the time to appear at this public hearing. Now is the time to bring your questions and express your statements at this time.

- (2) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 21-49, and Ord. 462 entitled "Disposal of Sludge, etc., Change in Disposal Fee." Held in Steering 5/24, 6/28 and 7/20/82. Submitted By DPW Comm. Spaulding 5/19/82. Awaiting final text. Text received 8/30/82 from Law Dept. Approved for Publication 9/20/82 Adjourned Meeting.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

- (3) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE DELETING SECTIONS 21-47 and 21-48 entitled "Disposal of Septic Tank Contents, Permit Required, and Issuance of Permit". Held in Steering 5/24, 6/28, 7/20. Submitted by DPW Comm. Spaulding 5/19/82. Awaiting final text. Text received 8/30/82 from Law Dept. Approved for Publication 9/20/82.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee,

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (4) FINAL ADOPTION - A PROPOSAL TO RE-WRITE CHAPTER 18, ARTICLE II, DIVISION 2 of the Code of Ordinances concerning STREET OPENINGS; also DELETING Section 18-67 with this provision being included in proposed Section 18-64. Submitted by DPW Comm. Spaulding 5/19. Held in Steering 6/28 and 7/20 awaiting final text. (Sections 18-60 thru 18-66 "Permits - Excavation and Construction.") Approved for Publication 9/20/82 Adj. Meeting.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (5) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDING ORDINANCE 80.20 SUPPLEMENTAL ENTITLED BUILDING, PERMITS AND FEES, CHANGE IN FEE SCHEDULE. Repeals Ord. 80.20 in its entirety. New text submitted by Public Works Dept. and Corp. Counsel Fraser 7/20/82. Approved for Publication 9/20/82.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (6) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDING ORD. #80.21 SUPPLEMENTAL, SECTION 5, "PERMITS AND FEES", CHANGE IN FEE SCHEDULE. Repeals Ord. 80.21 and sets new fees. Submitted by DPW and Corp. Counsel Fraser 7/20/82. Approved for Publication 9/20/82.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

- (7) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDING ORD. #80.22 SUPPLEMENTAL, ENTITLED HEATING AND AIR CONDITIONING, "PERMITS AND FEES"; CHANGE IN FEE SCHEDULE. Repeals Ord. #80.22, and sets new fees. Submitted by Public Works Dept. and Corp. Counsel Fraser 7/20/82. Approved for Publication 9/20/82.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE (continued)

- (8) FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDING ORD. #80.23 SUPPLEMENTAL, ENTITLED PLUMBING, SECTION 5, "PERMITS AND FEES"; CHANGE IN FEE SCHEDULE. Repeals Ord. 80.23, and sets new fees, Submitted by DPW and Corp. Counsel Fraser 7/20/82. Approved for Publication 9/20.

Above also referred to Legislative and Rules Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. PERILLO: That concludes my report.

FISCAL COMMITTEE

- (1) \$ 13,300.00 - Request for Additional Appropriation from Grants Director Sandra Gilbane 6/24/82 to be funded from TAXATION to repay Federal Government due to changed circumstances enumerated in Mayor Clapes' letter 3/25. Board of Finance approved this item previously; and it was withdrawn at Board of Representatives level. Held in Committee 8/2 and 9/13/82.

Above also referred to Parks and Recreation Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal Committee met on Wednesday, Sept. 29th, and present at that meeting in addition to myself, were Mrs. Hawe, Mrs. Conti, Mr. Roos, Mr. Hogan, and Mrs. Goldstein.

Let me go through the CONSENT AGENDA first. Items #8 and #12,

Item #1 for the Tot-Lot is being HELD IN COMMITTEE, in conjunction with the Parks and Recreation Committee.

- (2) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPLICATION FOR \$500,000 for which State funds have already been reserved for a variety of programs, per Mayor Clapes' letter 8/19/82. Held in Committee 9/13/82.

Above also referred to Public Housing & Community Development Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal voted 4 in favor and one opposed, and I so Move, Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: If there is no discussion, we will move right to a machine vote. Mr. Blum does the secondary committee, Public Housing, have a report?

MR. BLUM: Public Housing and Community Development concurs,

PRESIDENT SANTY: Please use your machine to vote. Has everyone voted? This item did not pass. We need two-thirds. It is a money appropriation with the resolution. There were only 24 yes votes, 4 No, and 10 non-voting, There are 38 people present and 26 votes were needed for approval.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. FRANCHINA: I want to be recorded as a Yes vote. I did not vote,

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry, Mr. Franchina, it is too late. I rang the buzzer. I called you back to your floor.

MR. FRANCHINA: I was on the floor. I was reading.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry.

MR. FRANCHINA: Can we reconsider?

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry, it has to be someone who voted no, on the prevailing side, and I don't see any hands. We'll go on; this item is not passed. I'm sorry. That's why it is important when I ring the buzzer and when I ask you if you voted, that you please vote promptly. You need two-thirds on these items.

- (3) \$ 8,000.00 - BOARD OF RECREATION - GROUP 66.2720 ELECTRICITY (Cubeta Stadium) - Additional Appropriation requested By Mayor Clapes 8/9/82 due to transfer of responsibility for electricity at Cubeta Stadium from Public Works Dept. to Board of Recreation without the necessary funds. Board of Finance approved 8/12, Held in Committee 9/13,

Above also referred to Parks and Recreation Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

MR. ESPOSITO: Item #3 is being HELD.

- (4) \$ 2,700.00 - E. GAYNOR BRENNAN, SR., GOLF COURSE - Code 670.2210
- 600.00 MAINTENANCE OF BUILDINGS - Additional Appropriation
2,100.00 requested By Mayor Clapes 8/26/82. Finance Board approved 9/14/82.

Above also referred to Parks and Recreation Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal voted to cut Item #4 by \$600 to \$2,100, and voted 4 in favor and one opposed, and I so Move. Seconded.

MR. DeLUCA: Secondary committee has a minority report. We voted 4 in favor, -0- opposed, to grant the full \$2,700. Our reasons for doing so, if I may, I received a phone call last night from Ralph Vitti, the golf course manager. He pointed out the fact that the \$2,100 quote is strictly for just the tank. Now, if you look at the letter that we received from Standard Oil of Connecticut with the quote, item #6, states "from top of new tank, from adapter feed and return, we will connect to all lines into building. If fuel oil lines are needed, this will be done at extra cost." The \$600 is the additional money they may need. Once they dig up the tank, they have no idea how these lines are. They may have to be repaired, and our Committee felt that rather than have the golf commission come back before the Planning Board, come back before our Fiscal Committee for additional funds, they feel that by voting for the \$2,700 now; and if the funds are not needed, they will gladly turn this back into the General Fund.

FISCA COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA (continuing)....and therefore, our Committee voted 4 in favor, none opposed, as I said previously, to amend the appropriation back to \$2,700.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca has made an amendment to Mr. Esposito's motion to restore the \$500, bringing that total back to \$2,700. Is there a Second to this? Seconded by several.

We are now speaking to that amendment. Is there any other discussion to that amendment?

MRS. HAWES: I wish to speak against the amendment. I am aware of what Mr. DeLuca is saying that there could possibly be additional costs. When I spoke to Mr. Vitti today, he said that he felt that those additional costs could be anywhere from \$200 to \$600, if indeed they needed the additional money. There still is a balance in this account of over \$1,800, and they do have plans for that money, of course, but we are only in Sept. and the fiscal year is only several months old, so if they do need that couple hundred dollars extra, I think that they would be able to get it, at least for the time being, out of the account as it exists now, so I would urge voting against this. I would just like to mention also, on our agenda it says Maintenance of Equipment, but it is really Maintenance of Buildings account. The code number is right, but it is Maintenance of Buildings.

MR. ESPOSITO: I Move the Question. Seconded.

MRS. CONTI: Point of Order. I have a Minority Report.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Correct, you have a Minority Report, but we are voting on Moving the Question. You can give your report in a few minutes. We will vote on Moving the Question. APPROVED. The question is moved.

We will now vote on the amendment made by Mr. DeLuca to restore the \$600 which Fiscal recommended cutting. We will use the machine. The amendment only needs a majority. Has everyone voted?

MS. SUMMERVILLE: I voted No, but my machine don't work. Hey, I voted no and it don't work. No, I did it before the vote was recorded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will give it another No vote, then, Ms. Summerville. The Motion to amend is DEFEATED with 16 No, 15 Yes, 6 N.V.

We will now return to the Main Motion and vote on the \$2,100 recommended by Fiscal. We have a Minority Report first by Mrs. Conti.

MRS. CONTI: Thank you, Madam President. Actually, I don't quite understand Mr. DeLuca's logic because according to the back-up material I have here, they say they are going to replace the vent line and fill the pipe with a vent alarm, so I don't understand the question about the piping. However, actually there is \$1,800 left in this account. I went back to the back-up material that we were given at budget time, because it was my feeling that the \$2,000 that was appropriated at budget time was to cover such unexpected things that arise such as this matter. So I went back to see what kind of

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. CONTI (continuing)...back-up they showed for the \$2,000, and what they said was "for minor repairs of two buildings and maintenance garage", which obviously they did not have any definite money earmarked for anything, it would appear to be that it was for such emergencies as this, that arise. Therefore, I would like to Move to reduce the appropriation by \$1,000 since they already have the \$1,800 left. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Then your Motion is to reduce by \$1,000, leaving \$1,100? Thank you. We are now discussing this amendment.

MRS. HAWES: I spoke to Mr. Vittl today about what they intend to use this money for. It appears that there is a heating problem in one of the buildings, which they have to have fixed. They had planned to use the \$1,800 for things such as painting, repairing, doors, they have some locks and floors that need work on, and things like that. However, they are not going ahead with any of that work because they are trying, or until they find out how much this heating repair will come to. I really don't think that we should reduce this any further. I think this \$1,800 is needed in there, and certainly would be needed before next July, so I suggest we leave it alone.

MRS. MCINERNEY: I Move the Question. Seconded. APPROVED Unanimously.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will now vote on Mrs. Conti's Motion to reduce Item #4 by \$1,000, to leave \$1,100. Please check your vote. The amendment has been DEFEATED 31 No, 5 Yes, 1 Abstaining, and 1 Non-Vote.

We are now going back to the original Motion that Item 4 be \$2,100. Any discussion?

MR. RYBNICK: I Move the Question. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will now vote by machine on the question of \$2,100 for Item #4. Has everyone voted? Check your vote.

Item #4 for \$2,100 APPROVED with 32 Yes, 3 No, 2 Not-Voting.

MR. DIXON: Point of Information, if I may, at this time. With your permission, I would like to go back to Item #2 and ask for your reason why this item requires two-thirds vote. It is a resolution for an application for funds. It is not an appropriation.

PRESIDENT SANTY: But it is a resolution dealing with funds, and I have a ruling from Corporation Counsel which I will search out. Regardless if the funds come back to us or not, if the money has to be appropriated up front for operation of the department, I have a Corporation Counsel ruling that if we have to put it up, it requires a two-thirds vote. But I have the ruling and I will look for it. But that is my ruling. The grant money may not come from State or Federal for some time, and even may not be funded by them if the economy becomes a problem. Thank you, Mr. Dixon, Mr. Esposito, continue, please.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (5) \$ 4,800.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 560.5150 PROFESSIONAL CONSULT-
- 300.00 ANTS - (SCHOOL PHYSICIANS) - Additional Appropriation re-
\$ 4,500.00 quested by Mayor Clapes 8/30/82. Board of Representatives
approved \$1,200 on 8/2/82 (denying \$4,800.00 of an original request of \$6,000 approved by Board of Finance 7/8/82.)
Board of Finance approved \$4,800 9/14/82.

Above also referred to Health and Protection Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: On Item #5, Fiscal voted to cut this by \$300, leaving \$4,500. Fiscal voted 5 in favor and none opposed and I so Move. Seconded.

MRS. GERSHMAN: This is to pay a school physician at Rippowam High School for football games. I am under the impression that there are no games at Rippowam. Therefore, why is this money needed?

MR. ESPOSITO: The \$4,800 original request was not exclusively for football games. As a matter of fact, only about \$450 would have been for the football season. The remaining money is to have 5 physicians, one in each high school and 2 in the other schools. High school physicians get more than the other physicians, and the total amount would cover the total 5 physicians for the entire school year. But only \$450 would have been expended in the football games. \$75 per football game per physician.

MRS. GERSHMAN: May I ask, since this is a month into the school year, has Rippowam been covered by a doctor?

MR. ESPOSITO: Yes. They have money to start the school year out. This is to continue it through the rest of the school year.

MR. WHITE: In answer to Mrs. Gershman's question concerning football games. they have a freshman JV schedule, so the freshman JV football games are played; and of course freshman JV can get banged up just as much as varsity.

MR. ESPOSITO: But they do not require a physician at the JV games.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: In the past I have received some criticism of the type of medical personnel who were attending some of these football games, specifically the medical personnel were not skilled in the type of medicine that is necessary to administer the type of care necessary from a trauma accident at a football game. My question is that is it possible to get a list of the physicians who attend these football games, who are being paid by the City, and the specialty in medicine they practice?

MR. ESPOSITO: I'm sure we can try, yes.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I would like to make a Motion to return this to committee pending receipt of such listing of physicians to be submitted to the Fiscal Committee. Several Seconds.

(Tape ended and some dialogue lost at this point.)

MR. WIEDERLIGHT.....by the City, of those type of people that are most skilled in the type of medicine necessary to help our children in the event that they get injured on the football field, as well as the soccer field, etc. I am sure we will all agree that is our own intention to do so, and I would like the support of my colleagues on this motion.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DUDLEY: Move the Question, Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will now vote on Mr. Wiederlight's Motion to return to committee item #5. Please use the machine. Has everyone voted?

MR. ZELINSKI: I think we waste a lot of time. You ring the bell. How many times do we have to wait for our colleagues who are busy, or may not want to vote, so let's not hold up the rest of the Board members on the floor.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I agree, Mr. Zelinski, but have a little patience. Listen to what Mr. Livingston's prayer said.

MS. SUMMERVILLE: Let him go in there.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The Motion to Return to Committee has been DEFEATED, with 17 Yes, 18 No, and 3 not voting.

We will now return to the Main Motion which is the approval of \$4,500, Item #5, for the Health Department. Any discussion?

MRS. SIGNORE: May I ask for the rationale for the cut of \$300?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Esposito gave that already, but he will repeat it.

MR. ESPOSITO: That was on the recommendation of Dr. Gofstein based on the fact that the football season is not going to take place at Rippowam High School.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will now vote on Item #5. Use your machine, please. Check your vote. Has everyone voted? Health and Protection did not meet. The Motion is APPROVED with 27 Yes, 6 No, one Abstention, and 4 Non-Vote. (Changed to 28 Yes, due to Mr. Tarzia's vote not registering.)

MR. TARZIA: Ask me how I voted?

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry. How are you voting? We will have to do this manually on your vote. It's a yes vote? Then that is 28 Yes votes. Mr. Tarzia, on each vote, please raise your hand and tell us how you are voting and Helen will write it in on the sheet. I keep hoping that your machine will pick up one of these days.

- (6) \$741,880.00 - STAMFORD DAY CARE PROGRAM - Codes 750-760 Various -
Additional Appropriation requested by Mayor Clapes
8/27/82 for 1982-1983 fiscal year. Approved by Board
of Finance 9/14/82.

Above also referred to Health and Protection Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: This is the budget approved by the Department of Human Resources of the State for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1982, for the continued operation of the City's ten day care centers. This funding is totally reimbursed. There is no impact on the tax rate. This is all State funds. Fiscal voted 4 in favor, one opposed, and I so Move. Seconded.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT SANTY: Is there a secondary committee report? Motion to waive? Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (the waiving of the Health and Protection Committee).

MRS. CONTI: I would just like to remind the Board members that this is one of the grants that comes under that Master Contract which holds the City liable for any possible malpractice that takes place with any of this grant money.

MR. WIDER: I Move the Question. Seconded. APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will use the machine for Item #6 under Fiscal. Mr. Tarzia, how are you voting?

MR. TARZIA: Do you know that I abstained, and I got a No vote?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, we will register you as an Abstention. Has everyone voted? Motion APPROVED with 28 Yes, 5 No, 2 Abstentions, and 3 Not voting.

- (7) \$20,204,145.00 - AMENDMENT TO DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC - CAPITAL PROJECTS
Budget Fiscal Year 198 /198 BY ADDING \$20,204,145 TO
PROJECT KNOWN AS #280.819 RAILROAD STATION, which is
to be totally reimbursed by Federal and State funds
as set forth in the Cooperative Agreement for construction of Stamford Transportation Center Project. Mayor's letter 8/31/82. To be financed by _____. Board of Finance approved 9/14/82.

Above also referred to Transportation Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal voted 4 in favor and one opposed, and I so Move. Seconded.

MRS. GOLDSTEIN: Transportation Committee concurs.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will move right to a machine vote. Fiscal #7 for the Railroad Station, \$20,204,145.00. Use your machine. Has everyone voted? The Motion is APPROVED with 28 Yes, 7 No, no Abstentions, and 3 Non-Votes.

- (8) \$ 1,000.00 - DEPARTMENT OF TRAFFIC - Code 280.2510 VEHICLE MAINTENANCE -
Additional Appropriation per Mayor Clapes' letter 8/27/82;
approved by Board of Finance in August, and also 9/14/82,
to correct typographical error in original request.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (9) \$ 50,000.00 - FIRE DEPARTMENT - Code 450.1201 OVER-TIME - Additional Appropriation per Mayor Clapes 9/9/82 request and Fire Chief Joseph J. Vitti 9/1/82, to maintain minimum manpower as required by Collective Bargaining Agreement and enforced by Superior Court Injunction dated 7/28/82.

Above also referred to Health and Protection Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: Fiscal voted 5-0, none opposed to HOLD IN COMMITTEE.

MRS. PERILLO: These men worked overtime and they should get paid. Why is it being held?

MR. ESPOSITO: There are many questions that came up during the Committee meeting for the need for the over-time staffing requirements, how much they have in the account, how much they need in the future, how much they've transferred, and Fiscal felt that there were a number of issues that had to be looked into further than this. For example, we started out with \$60,000 in the departmental request. They already transferred \$50,000, plus this additional request for \$50,000. We are talking about \$160,000 here, and it is not stopping there. Fiscal felt that it wanted to investigate further the staffing requirements of the Fire Department before we went ahead and approved this.

MRS. MAIHOCK: It was my understanding, Mr. Esposito, at that meeting...didn't they say that they expected to have ten vacancies by the end of the year? Was that one of the reasons that you decided this way?

MR. ESPOSITO: Yes, that was one of the factors that went into it. There are still many questions about exactly how much minimum manpower requirements for over-time have existed and will continue to exist throughout the department for the rest of the fiscal year.

MR. BLUM: I would like to ask a question.....

MR. BOCCUZZI: We never get out of here until two or three o'clock in the morning, and one of the reasons is that an item is being held, and if a person wants that item for discussion, move it out of committee. Get it on the floor. If it's not on the floor, there should be no discussion. You shouldn't allow it. You should stop the first person that asks to speak on an item that is held unless a motion is made to take it out of committee.

MR. BLUM: I Move to take this out of Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry, Mr. Blum, it is not on the floor. If you have a question, you can ask Mr. Esposito, or attend a committee meeting.

- (10) \$100,000.00 - WELFARE DEPARTMENT - AMEND THE CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET
CONTINGENT FISCAL YEAR k872/83 TO FUND PROJECT #520,969 SEWAGE
UPON BOARD DISPOSAL SYSTEM EXPANSION - per Mayor's request 9/15.
OF FINANCE (Mayor withdraws his Capital Transfer request dated 9/1.)
APPROVAL.

Above also referred to Education, Welfare and Government Committee.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ESPOSITO: Our agenda says contingent upon Board of Finance approval. However, the Board of Finance has already approved this, so it is not contingent upon their approval. This is an additional appropriation that we, last month, had agreed to in resolution, for the Welfare Department to do. Fiscal voted 4 in favor, one opposed, and I so Move. Seconded by Mr. Wider.

MR. PERILLO: Correction, he read \$110,000. Our agenda says \$100,000.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I think he meant \$100,000, did you not, Mr. Esposito?

MR. ESPOSITO: Yes, \$100,000.

MR. PERILLO: I am not giving away \$10,000 for nothing.

PRESIDENT SANTY: No, when I repeat the motion, it will be just what is in front of us. We are now discussing this item, and first to speak is Mr. Blum. I'm sorry, E.W. & G., the secondary committee report first, Mr. Gaipa. Excuse me, Mr. Blum, you will be next.

MR. GAIPA: We concurred, 4-0.

PRESIDENT SANTY: I'm sorry Mr. Blum. Ms. Summerville sees the hands first and she says Mrs. McInerney is next. I saw your hand, Mr. Blum, but...

MRS. MCINERNEY: I'd like to Move the Question. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We'll have to use the machine, as there are several No votes and we need two-thirds. Has everyone voted? The question is NOT Moved, with 25 yes, 9 no, and 4 not voting.

MR. DeLUCA: The chances are that I may lose my request, but I think there is something that has to be brought out. Now, I am not against having the septic tank system repaired at the Smith House, but what disturbs me is the fact that at our September meeting, we voted to approve a transfer from the Air-Conditioning Account to the Septic System Account, contingent upon the Board of Finance's approval. Now the Board of Finance rejected the transfer, stating the Charter does not give them the right to transfer funds from an open project. However, I have a letter here which I received from Patrick Marra dated September 27, 1982, because I requested that we close out the State-Assisted Project \$280.0658 which was for signalization computerized system which our Board rejected on two different occasions. My reason for asking this account to be closed out (\$300,000) was so that we can transfer \$100,000 from the account into the Smith House Septic System, for one thing; and also, second, transfer \$200,000 to URC Storm Drain Account, from which we had funds transferred for the purpose of Veterans' Park.

And what also disturbs me is the fact that Pat Marra received concurrence from the Corporation Counsel Ben Fraser that it was permissible, even though the Capital account was still in effect, that we could transfer the funds. But yet, the Board of Finance took it upon themselves to over-ride the Board of Representatives, whose, I am sure, able and efficient Fiscal Committee had never recommended to us to approve these funds, if they thought that was not the proper procedure to follow. Therefore, I am recommending that we deny this \$100,000 appropriation, send it back to the Board of Finance because they are meeting Oct. 7th; that we make a motion to be approved tonight contingent upon the Board of Finance approval that the \$100,000 be transferred from account 280.0658 for the Smith House Septic System account.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT SANTY: You are speaking against the appropriation?

MR. BLUM: I read the same letter that Mr. DeLuca had read. I second Mr. DeLuca's motion....

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. DeLuca, would you please repeat your motion?

MR. DeLUCA: My motion is to approve a transfer...but maybe I can't make the motion? No, I can't. I am speaking against the motion made by Mr. Esposito, and making a recommendation....

PRESIDENT SANTY: In that case, Mr. Blum should continue with his comments. Mr. Blum, you have the floor.

MR. BLUM: I read the same letter that Mr. DeLuca had read in regard to this fund. I sometimes wonder when this came to us, pending the Board of Finance approval, when we voted to approve the transfer, and we sent a resolution that the air-conditioning come back to us with an additional appropriation, and then I happened to attend that Board of Finance meeting, I'd like to make a Motion that we place this back in committee, that they take up the Marra letter in regard to the transfer. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now speaking to returning this back to committee.

MRS. McINERNEY: It is obvious that the Mayor has submitted a letter to us, withdrawing his transfer request, and he has instead substituted an additional appropriation for this amount. I think it would waste more time putting it back in committee. They are trying to correct a sewage problem in that area that has been in existence for at least four to five years, ever since they built the addition to Smith House. I would assume that by the time they go through the entire bidding procedure and get the work bid out and reported back in, they would start digging, if we held it, about December 1st. Depending on the winter months, they might find that they run into problems with the ground. It is imperative that this money is passed, so that the work can be done, and the problem corrected as soon as possible.

MR. BOCCUZZI: I would like to speak against sending back to committee. First, the reasons that I heard so far, we voted last month to ask the Mayor to put money into the air-conditioning account, that's why we approved it; well, what difference does it make whether we approved the \$100,000 for the Septic System and leave the money in the Air-Conditioning, or transfer and then go back and send the money into the Air-Conditioning, It's the same money, the same \$100,000.

Second, I think, if I recall correctly, we have some citations against us from the City and the State as far as this Septic System is concerned, and it happened to be that we discussed this in Appointments Committee also. By sending this back to committee and having us wait, and I believe next month our meeting date is going to be transferred a week later anyway, so I think what is going to happen here is that by the time we get this approved, it is going to be too late to do this project this year; and I really think that trying to go back to Mr. Marra's letter and saying we can transfer \$200,000 here and \$100,000 there, there are a lot of if's and question marks and whether we will vote for it, or don't vote for it; whether the Board of

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BOCCUZZI (continuing)...Finance will vote to transfer money, I think we have a problem right in front of us right now, it is going to cost \$100,000 to satisfy the problem and repair it. We have citations against the City, from the City and the State Health Departments, Environmental Departments; we're coming into the winter months; I see no reason for sending back to committee; I think we should vote the approval at this present meeting.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Next to speak is Mr. Blais. There are eleven speakers.

MR. BLAIS: I Move the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, with one No vote.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Now the Motion is to Return Item #10 to Committee. Please use the machine. Has everyone voted? Mr. Tarzia? The Motion to Return to Committee is DEFEATED, 32 No, 2 Yes, and 3 Not Voting.

We are now going to vote on the Main Motion, to consider the \$100,000 . appropriation. Next to speak is Mr. Wiederlight.

MR. WIEDERLIGHT: I Move the Question. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will vote on approval of Item #10 under Fiscal, \$100,000. Use the machine, please. Has everyone voted? Mr. Tarzia, how are you voting? Yes? Thank you. The Motion is APPROVED with 30 Yes, 2 No, 1 Abstention, and 4 Not Voting.

(11) \$140,947.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - W.I.C. PROGRAM - Code 573 (Women's, CONTINGENT Infants and Children's Nutritional Program) - Additional UPON BOARD Appropriation requested by Mayor 9/20/82, for fiscal year OF FINANCE 1982/1983. APPROVAL .

Above also referred to Health and Protection Committee.

MR. ESPOSITO: This is contingent upon Board of Finance approval. Fiscal voted 4 in favor, none opposed, with one abstention, and I so Move. Seconded.

MR. DZIEZYC: I Move to Waive the report of the secondary committee. Seconded. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MR. BLUM: I just wanted to say that I am going to vote No on this, because Item #10 was also voted contingent upon Board of Finance approval. So I am voting on this one again. They only make a mockery of this Board.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Move the Question. Seconded. CARRIED with one No vote.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will now vote on #11 under Fiscal, Please use the machine. Has everyone voted? We need two-thirds or 26 votes. The Motion is DENIED with 24 Yes, 9 No, 3 Abstentions, and 2 Non-Votes,

MR. LVINGSTON: The machine recorded me wrong. I meant to vote No and I hit Yes by mistake.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The vote had already been announced, but Mr. Livingston said he made a mistake. I would like a Parliamentary ruling on that.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. LIVINGSTON: If you have to go through that trouble, let the vote stay as it is.

PARLIAMENTARIAN HOGAN: The Parliamentary Law is that in order to change the vote after you have announced the vote, it requires unanimous consent of the members present.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Does that answer your question, Mr. Livingston? Thank you.

The Motion has been DEFEATED, 24 Yes, 9 No, 3 Abstentions, and 2 Non-Voting.

MR. ESPOSITO: I would just like to point out that that program comes to an end immediately, without that resolution. Also does that take #12 off the agenda?

PRESIDENT: We still have to vote on that separately.

MRS. CONTI: I request that #12 be off Consent.

- (12) PROPOSED RESOLUTION ENABLING DEPT. OF HEALTH OF STAMFORD TO RECEIVE GRANT FUNDS FROM STATE OF CONNECTICUT TO OPERATE A SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR LOW-INCOME WOMEN, INFANTS AND CHILDREN ENTIRELY FINANCED BY STATE AND FEDERAL GOVERNMENTS. Requested by Mayor Clapes' letter Sept. 20th. 9/3/82 letter from Connecticut State WIC Coordinator John Maltese advising possibility of receiving larger amount as soon as State has a commitment from U. S. Dept. of Agriculture.

MR. PERILLO: Point of Order. Can we get a Parliamentary Ruling on that? That was put on Consent and they could have ruled it off Consent at that point, not now.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Well, she can still speak to it, and she wanted it off Consent at this point. Mr. Hogan, do you have a ruling on that?

PARLIAMENTARIAN HOGAN: She has a right to speak to an item, Madam President,

MR. ESPOSITO: Are we going to vote on it? All right. Fiscal voted 4 in favor, none opposed, with one abstention, and I so Move. Severa; Seconds.

MRS. CONTI: No, I think we should be consistent. If we rejected the funds, I think that we should reject the resolution. Thank you.

MRS. GERSHMAN: While I realize it is illogical to vote the funds down, but still vote on the resolution, I do feel that we have a responsibility toward women, infants and children. I think that we should vote for this enabling action and perhaps the Health Dept. can come up with a different grant that would be more palatable in the future for us to move. I would say that we should support this and ask for another grant to be proposed.

MR. ESPOSITO: I would also urge support of this, not that it is going to change the appropriation picture. The W.I.C. Program is considered by most members of Congress to be a very worthwhile program, and is not under question at this point, and is not going to be eliminated from the Federal budget as

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. ESPOSITO (continuing)...of October 1st. Although some of the funds may be cut back, the action of this Board, or of those members who are sincerely opposed to this program, I can understand. But those who voted against it, voted No because of petty political or party reasons, is absolutely uncalled for, and therefore I would urge members of this Board to support this resolution. And we will move for the appropriation at another time.

MR. RYBNICK: I Move the Question, Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT: We will vote on Moving the Question. All those in favor, please say AYE. Opposed? There is a question, We will use the machine. We need two-thirds to Move the Question. Mr. Tarzia, how are you voting? No? Thank you. It is recording now? Fine. The Motion has been DEFEATED. Next to speak is Mr. Blais. The vote was 24 Yes, 11 No, and 3 Not Voting.

MR. BLAIS: I don't know how many of us thought when we took this vote, but first of all I would like to ask a question of Mr. Esposito. The question is without the funds that were requested, how soon will this program shut down?

MR. ESPOSITO: By the end of the week. I was led to understand by the end of the week if they don't have the appropriation. Right now, they are working without the funds because they assumed if we passed them, and they passed Thursday night by the Board of Finance, they can continue the program, but if we don't pass them, then it can't possibly be passed until the middle of November; and they couldn't, and they wouldn't go along, continuing to run a program for which they don't have approved appropriations for six weeks, so they probably would cut it off by the end of this week.

MR. BLAIS: I would like to know of each individual Board members, especially those who voted no, or abstained, how many of those intended to completely eliminate this program by their votes?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Blais, we are now speaking to Item #12, however. Are you referring back to #11?

MR. BLAIS: I am referring to Item 12 which also refers to Item 11. I would also say that I support this resolution, and I would ask every member of the Board to search their conscience to see if they could not reconsider the former vote.

MRS. MCINERNEY: I feel that in some instance, that Mr. Esposito's remarks were a little bit off base, because there are many of us who voted negatively on this particular item who do have a very deep feeling about the particular project. To make a blanket statement like that is very unfair, and obviously we don't question everybody when they vote opposite our views. Certainly, as far as Mr. Blais is concerned, I would hope that everybody on this Board checks with their conscience before they vote on every and all items, Mr. Blais, not just one or two that are defeated.

MR. DeLUCA: Yes, I have to agree with Mrs. McInerney's comments. It seems whenever people seem to have an opposing view to Mr. Blais', we are against the elderly, we are against women and children. We have our own feelings as to why we vote. I know for a fact, and many of us do, we vote our conscience as to why we do what we do. To try to impose their views, or imply that we have no feelings or compassion for certain projects, is just a matter of poor opinion and judgment on their parts.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLAIS: I request a Point of Personal Privilege. That in comments made on reflections of certain people's voting patterns, I question any feelings that they have.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Except Mr. Blais, a Point of Personal Privilege is something said against someone's character, or wrong attendance at a meeting. I don't think either one of those people referred to that. But let's keep our statements right to the issue. We are now speaking to the resolution, Item #12, and let's address that, and forget the personalities at this point.

MRS. MAIHOCK: I recall at the Fiscal Committee meeting that it was stated that in time there would be 2,000 people in the program, 2,000 women in the program. In these difficult economic times, I certainly feel that this should deserve our utmost consideration.

MRS. GUROIAN: Move the Question. Seconded. APPROVED with 2 No votes.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will use the machine to vote on item #12. Mr. Tarzia has left, and there are 37 present. 25 votes are required for approval. Has everyone voted? The resolution has been APPROVED, 28 Yes, 7 No, 2 Abstentions, and one non-vote.

MR. BLUM: I am going to ask for a RECONSIDERATION of Item #11 inasmuch as I was on the Prevailing Side. My reason for voting the way I did was a matter of formality, contingent upon the Board of Finance, not against the program. So, therefore, I Move for Reconsideration. Seconded by Ms. Summerville.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Any discussion?

MRS. McINERNEY: Move the Question. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will vote first on the RECONSIDERATION. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed? We need a majority vote for Item #11. We need a Division. We will use the machine. Has everyone voted? Just on Reconsideration, not on the item. Mr. Roos, you're having trouble with your vote? What is it? Yes? Thank you, Mr. Roos will be shown as a Yes vote. APPROVED with 23 Yes, 11 No, and 5 not voting.

We will move to the Main Motion, for the approval of \$140,947 for W.I.C. Seconded.

MRS. SIGNORE: It is difficult enough to sit here and look at something in black-and-white, but when you are in and out of the Health Department as often as I am, you see faces and you know what day it is, and that they are there for the W.I.C. Program. I would like to advise my fellow Board members that the people who are coming into the Health Dept. to take advantage of the W.I.C. Program are truly needy people. They come in carrying infants, dragging infants by the hand. This is a food program, a nutrition program, and I think we must remember that in the long run it saves us money, because most of the brain cells in a child are developed by the time that child is 2 to 2½ years of age. If an infant, both pre-natally and post-natally, isn't given good nutrition, we pay for it in the long run. Now this program covers things like bread, milk, eggs, protein matter. Please, I ask you, consider, before you vote this down again.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. GERSHMAN: Well, Mrs. Signore said it very eloquently. I would like to say I see the same thing coming to the Visting Nurse Assn, although we do not administer the W.I.C. Program, we do treat many people who participate in it, and they really need it.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We are now voting on the approval of \$140,947 for the W.I.C. Program. The machine is now ready. Has everyone voted? We need 25 votes, or two-thirds. The Motion has been APPROVED, 28 yes, 7 no, one abstention, and two not voting.

MR. ESPOSITO: I Move for acceptance of the CONSENT AGENDA, item #8, \$1,000 for Department of Traffic. Seconded. APPROVED with one No vote, Mrs. Gershman.

MR. ESPOSITO: I would like to Move for Suspension of the Rules for an item not on the agenda, a request for \$20,000 for the CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION. Seconded. Carried Unanimously.

The Charter Revision Commission has forwarded the request to us for \$20,000. Fiscal met early this evening and voted to cut that in half, to \$10,000, the reason being that there are a number of requests in there for Professional Consultants, and Professional Legal Services. We had not spoken with the Charter Revision Commission yet to fully understand their intentions and where they want to go with the Commission, and how much ultimately it is going to cost. The Fiscal Committee did feel strongly that they did need some money to begin their functioning; since we are not going to meet again for six weeks, we didn't want to hold them up, and that is why we requested, or approved, by a vote of 5-0, the request for \$10,000.

Fiscal voted 5-0 and I so Move. Seconded.

PRESIDENT SANTY: That Motion is for \$10,000; you reduced it from \$20,000,

MR. LIVINGSTON: I attended part of their last meeting, no, not their last meeting, their last meeting was tonight, the meeting before that, and there was much discussion on the hiring of consultants and other lawyers, I stated the position of our Charter Revision Committee as I knew it to be. I told the Commission that first, our Board of Representatives is definitely going to be fiscally responsible on all items pertaining to that Commission. I also reminded them that it was our intention, as a Committee, to place lawyers on that Board so that we could save the City from exaggerated costs for legal consultants. I feel that Mr. Esposito's Motion is very much in order and I would like to ask our Board to approve that,

MR. PERILLO: Move the Question. Seconded. Carried Unanimously.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We will vote on the Main Motion for \$10,000 for the Charter Revision Commission. We need two-thirds vote, or 25 votes to pass. Has everyone voted? It has been APPROVED, 33 Yes, 4 Not Voting.

(13) \$20,000.00 - CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION - Additional Appropriation
- 10,000.00 for start-up costs per request from Co-Chairmen Daniel
\$10,000.00 McCabe and Michael Cacace.

MR. ESPOSITO: That concludes my report.

CHARTER REVISION COMMITTEE

MR. ROOS: No report.

PRESIDENT SANTY: At this time I would like to announce that I will be directing a letter to the Charter Revision Commission asking that the Charter Revision Committee act as a liaison between the Board of Representatives and the Charter Revision Commission at this time. Mrs. Guroian, do you have anything to say. You are a member of that Committee.

MRS. GUROIAN: I attended both of the meetings that the Commission held previous to tonight's meeting, and the only reason I wasn't there tonight was because they didn't entertain the notion that they should not meet the same night as the Board of Representatives meet. But, nevertheless, at their last meeting, they had their proposed agenda and they had a list of sub-committees that would be working on parts of the Charter. The list of agenda was a very prestigious undertaking and very well put together by the Co-Chairmen and approved by the Commission. However, I did note at one point that although they were consulting with former Presidents of the Board of Representatives, and former Mayors, and having several hearings, all of which was very nice, they should bear in mind that the Board of Representatives members would ultimately be voting on their proposals to change the Charter, and it would behoove them to, sometime between February and May, when they are supposed to complete their proposals, to meet with the Board of Representatives, and answer any questions that the Board of Representatives would have. After much, much, much, much, much, much discussion, they finally acquiesced and have provided in their agenda to meet with this Board, and I would hope that when they do, every member of this Board be present so that we won't hear any comments about not being consulted before the finalized report came before us.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Thank you, Mrs. Guroian, and thank all the members of this Committee who attended, and as I said, the letter will be forwarded to the Commission; and I hope that you will attend many more meetings and bring some reports back to us.

MR. LIVINGSTON: Did you have a chance yet to send a letter to the people who were interviewed by our Committee?

PRESIDENT SANTY: Mr. Roos is working on that and we hope that it will be going out this week, from both you and Mr. Roos, as Co-Chairmen of that Committee.

HOUSE COMMITTEE - No Report,

PETITIONS - None.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE met and they elected Rep. Gershman as their Chairwoman. Mrs. Gershman, do you have a report?

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

MRS. GERSHMAN: No report, except to say that we did meet on Sept. 30th, and that Mr. Conti, Mr. DeLuca, Mr. Hogan, Mr. Zelinski and I were present, and I was voted Chairwoman of the Committee.

PRESIDENT SANTY: We have three resolutions before us. We'll take one at a time.

- (1) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION proposed by Rep. John Zelinski commending certain Stamford students for various academic achievements. 9/20.

PRESIDENT SANTY: All those in favor of this resolution, please say Aye. Opposed? Moved, Seconded, Approved Unanimously.

- (2) CHANGING THE DATE OF THE NOVEMBER REGULAR BOARD MEETING TO MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1982, at 8:00 P.M.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The second resolution is to meet on November 8th, as Nov. 1st is Election Eve.

MR. STORK: I would like to amend it to Tuesday, November 9th, at 8:00 P.M., and I so Move.

PRESIDENT SANTY: The resolution before us is to change our November meeting date to November 8th. Mr. Stork is amending this to meet Nov. 9th. Is there a Second. I'm sorry, there is no Second, so the Motion is not on the floor.

MR. ESPOSITO: I just wanted to point out to Mr. Stork that the resolution #3 is to change the date of Steering, and I believe last month, the Steering vote was to have it the same week so that you would have an extra week, so it is built in that there will be an extra week before the regularly scheduled Board meeting, to resolve the problem of conflict of meeting dates.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Since there is no Second to Mr. Stork's suggestion, we are now going to vote on the November meeting date to be Nov. 8th. All in favor say Aye. Opposed? One No vote, Mr. Stork. Motion Approved.

The third resolution is setting the date of the Oct. Steering Meeting as Monday, Oct. 18th, giving us an extra week, which we all need for our additional meetings. Seconded. APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES

May 13-14, 1982 Special Budget Meetings and Phase-In.

APPROVED with one No vote, Mr. Dudley.

August 2, 1982 Regular Board Meeting

APPROVED with one No vote, Mr. Dudley.

August 30th and Sept. 13th are not finished.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS - None.

MR. DUDLEY: I would like to request that in the future we are still behind in our minutes, and in the future, I would like to see the current month's meeting brought up-to-date as soon as possible and catch up on the old minutes as may deem necessary. For instance, this month's meeting should be worked on right away, and then the catch-up would be done later, so we do have up-to-date meetings. It's become a problem to remember what happened three or four months ago, or one or two months ago, and if we have the current minutes, it would be a lot easier to accept these minutes. Thank you.

OLD BUSINESS ~ None.

NEW BUSINESS ~ None.

MRS. McINERNEY: I would like to thank you for sending out a copy of the Rules of the 17th Board for every member of this Board. I appreciate another copy.

ADJOURNMENT:

MR. WIDER Moved for Adjournment. Seconded. There being no further business to come before the Board, upon an unanimous vote of approval, the meeting was adjourned at 11:25 P.M.

PRESIDENT SANTY: Ladies and Gentlemen, we set a record at 11:25 P.M.!(Several members and the President remained until 11:45 P.M. for discussion and other business matters.)

By

Helen M. McEvoy
Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant
(and Recording Secretary)

HMM:MS

APPROVED:

Jeanne - Lois Santy
JeanneLois Santy, President
17th Board of Representatives