MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985

18th Board of Representatives

Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 18th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board, in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 P.M., by President Sandra Goldstein, after both political parties had met in caucus.

The <u>INVOCATION</u> was given by the Rev. William W. Ross, Pastor of the Ridges United Metnodist Church, 11 Den Road, Stamford, 06902.

"Shall we have a moment of preparation for prayers? Father, Who hasth given and prolonged our lives and ability to face the tasks before us, drive from our minds all perplexing thoughts as may mislead or hinder us in the pursuit of just answers to the questions to be considered. Receive our praise for all Thy gifts imparted to us and our fellow servants that each acknowledge Thee as the source of all wisdom and courage, authority, and pray Thy help that no deceit mislead or temptation corrupt our decisions. Forgive what has been amiss in former actions, reform our thoughts, words, and practices to the benefit of those we represent. Receive our thanks for the confidence of our citizenry, who have empowered us to create sound foundations for the future well-being of our City.

"Let us pray for open minds to hear their voices of need, and to consider their goals. Above all, may we seek to follow Thy divine guidance in our decisions, grant us vision to choose well, and strength to serve Thee to Thy glory and the good of all, now and forever. Amen."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by President Sandra Goldstein.

ROLL CALL. Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville called the Roll. There were 36 present and 4 absent. Absent were Reps. Skovgaard (excused due to illness), Santagata, Owens, and Zelinski. There were 37 present and 3 absent at 9:05 P.M., when Rep. Zelinski came in.

The CHAIR declared a QUORUM.

MACHINE TEST VOTE was conducted by the President and found to be in good working order. (The printer malfunctioned and did not print for approximately 20 minutes, at which point it was corrected. The printing of the test votes and the first appointment did not register on the printer.)

MOMENTS OF SILENCE

REP. SCOTT MORRIS gave a moving, personal eulogy for the late <u>BYRON</u> <u>ANDREW DRUMMOND</u>, who died suddenly in a plane crash at the age of 29, near Wyers Cave, Virginia, on Sept. 23, 1985. He was a boyhood chum and schoolmate of Mr. Morris, having lived in the Shippan area for 20 years. He was graduated from King School in 1973. He was a caring and loving individual, making a positive, lasting impact on those with whom he came into contact, and he will be missed and long-remembered. Our sympathies are extended to his parents, sisters, and brother.

2.

For the late <u>MARJORIE K. WHITE</u>, a long-time resident of Farms Road. Her many friends will miss her greatly. Submitted by Rep. Audrey Maihock.

STANDING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE - CHAIRWOMAN SANDRA GOLDSTEIN

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> Moved to Waive the Reading of the Steering Committee Report. Seconded. Carried, voice vote.

HMM:MS

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

The Steering Committee met on Wednesday, September 18, 1985, in the Board of Education Administration Building on Hillandale Avenue. The meeting was called to order at 7:35 p.m. by Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein who declared a Quorum.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

hairwoman	Donald Donahue	Audrey Maihock
	Robert DeLuca	Annie Summerville
	Mildred Perillo	Dennis White
	Alfred Perillo	David Martin
	John Zelinski	Pat Wen, Advocate
	Robert Skovgaard	Anne Kachaluba
	hairwoman	Robert DeLuca Mildred Perillo Alfred Perillo John Zelinski

1. APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

CADERED ON THE AGENDA were six of the eight items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. CRDERED HELD IN STEERING was one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and that item was the Evaluation of Members of Various Boards and Commissions. CRDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the name of Philip Bowler for the Coliseum Authority.

2. FISCAL COMMITTEE

ORCERED ON THE AGENDA were all eleven items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one item appearing on the Addenda to the Tentative Steering Agenda and that item was a resolution regarding Social Services Block Grant. Item #7 on the Agenda was divided into two parts: A. The amendment to the Capital Projects budget for purchase of premises located at 54 West Main Street (Downer Funeral Home), and B. Approval to finance an amendment to the Capital projects budget for the project in the amount of \$375,000 from the Capital non-recurring fund.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

3. LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three of the seven items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED HELD IN STEERING were two items, those being For publication, proposed ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 514 Regarding Demolition Board to include a member of the Big Five Volunteer Fire Departments, and a member of an historical or architectural community group and For publication, proposed ordinance concerning the problem of graffiti. ORDERED ON THE PENDING STEERING AGENDA were two items and those being For final adoption, proposed ordinance Allocation of funds for works of art in municipal buildings carrying out provisions of CGS 7-122B and For publication, as amended, proposed ordinance concerning the abatement of taxes on structures of historical or architectural merit.

4. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

5. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three of the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the item to Review certain actions of the office of the Zoning Board Enforcement Officer.

6. PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were four of the six items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA were two items and those being the Matter of the future use of Riverbank School, and the item concerning the Structural problems at the new Transportation Center/Review of the project. This item also appeared under the Transportation Committee and was left in that Committee.

7. HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

9. EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED CN THE AGENDA was one of the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the item concerning the Matter of public hearing set for November 12, 1985, for the purpose of soliciting input regarding the issue of City Hall. This item also appeared under the Fiscal Committee and was left in that Committee.

10. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

4. <u>MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985</u> STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

11. URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and that item was an Updated report from the Urban Redevelopment Commission.

12. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

13. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

14. HOUSE COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

15. CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were the two item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

16. COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

17. LABOR CONTRACT LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

18. RESOLUTIONS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one item appearing on the Addenda to the Tentative Steering Agenda and that item was a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution Honoring Eric Strom on the occasion of his Bar Mitzvah in Cracow, Poland.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Steering Committee, upon a motion made, seconded, and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

> SANDRA GOLDSTEIN, CHAIRWOMAN STEERING COMMITTEE

SG:ak

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MRS. PERILLO said the Committee met on October 3, 1985 from 7:00 P.M. until 11:00 P.M. at Hillandale Avenue. Present were Gabe DeLuca, Ruth Powers, Annie Summerville, John Boccuzzi, Lois Santy, and Chairwoman Millie Perillo. Reps. Tom Burke and Grace Guroian attended part of the meeting. She Moved to the Consent Agenda #2, 3, 5.

PLANNING BOARD

Term Expires

Dec. 1, 1986

(1) <u>MR. IRVING BLUM</u> (D) Replacing Stuart Robbins 25 Third Street who resigned (Mr. Blum is currently a Planning Board Alternate) Held in Steering 7/24 and 8/22/85.

MRS. PERILLO said Mr. Blum is a Certified Public Accountant (CPA); and has been a resident of Stamford for 61 years. He has served as an Alternate on the Planning Board for almost two years. The Committee voted 2 Yes, 1 No, 2 Abstentions, and she Moved for approval of Mr. Blum. Seconded.

<u>MRS. CONTI</u> said Mr. Blum on his resume shows, under Community Activities, that he is Chairman of the West Side Planning Task Force, and Treasurer of the Kerrytown Assn., Adams Ave. and Greene St. Neighborhood Assn. She would like to know if he still has these connections.

MRS. PERILLO responded that Mr. Blum has resigned from both groups.

<u>MR. LIVINGSTON</u> endorsed Mr. Irving Blum based on his many years of his knowledge and interaction with him. While they have not always agreed on everything, and one generally does not agree with everyone on everything, but Mr. Blum has shown a concern for the future planning of this City, and has always been willing to listen and to exchange ideas. He has developed a particular expertise in the planning of Stamford that is valuable, and it takes a diversity of ideas. He hopes approval of Mr. Blum is unanimous.

THE PRESIDENT noted that Mr. Zelinski has arrived and there are 37 present.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said he is a Committee member who voted against Mr. Irving Blum, and wished to state his reasons. As Mr. Livingston said, no one ever always agrees with everyone else and there are differences of opinion. However, he finds it difficult to vote for someone who feels the decisions of the Planning Board are finite and the opinions of neighborhood associations or people who have a problem with the Master Plan are secondary or not as pertinent. Mr. DeLuca feels the average citizen would not get a fair shot when appearing at a hearing in opposition to the Planning Board's positions.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she is on the Appointments Committee and voted in favor of Mr. Blum. She interpreted Mr. Blum's answer to the question to which Mr. DeLuca alluded differently. The question was that if there were a public hearing and two or three people showed up, would Mr. Blum weigh that heavily toward a decision, or would the decision of the Planning Board govern. She said Mr. Blum was of the opinion that it ought to be more than one or two persons, as those few would not speak for an entire neighborhood.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

Item #1 - MR. IRVING BLUM (continued)

MS. SUMMERVILLE went on to say that Mr. Blum lives downtown and is a neighborhood person himself, being well-acquainted with not only the residents, but also the business community. There is a co-op in his vicinity. He identifies with all types of zoning; and he is as fair and just as they come, or can come. He is a man of good character, and she has heard only good of him over the many years she has known of him.

MR. WIDER gives Mr. Irving Blum a great deal of credit for listening to various agencies and committees and associations during the West Side planning. Mr. Wider attended many such meetings and found Mr. Blum to be an open-minded person. He may not have come across that way to some. He should get credit for assisting in the organization right now of a business men's association in the West Side. He has given more than his share to community problems and improvements. He will be a great asset to the Planning Board as a regular member, and we are lucky to have him.

MRS. POWERS is a member of the Appointments Committee and voted in favor of Mr. Irving Blum. She feels he made it abundantly clear in the interview that he has the best interests of the City at heart. It would be a great disservice and loss to the City if he were not appointed. It is imperative and a definite plus to have someone on the Planning Board who has lived in the City for many years and has a sense of history. She urges everyone to vote in favor of Mr. Irving Blum's appointment.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the appointment of Mr. Irving Blum to the Planning Board. APPROVED with 27 Yes, 6 No, and 4 Abstentions. (Note: The printing unit malfunctioned and did not print out individual votes. The summary of votes registered on the computer screen.)

PLANNING BOARD ALTERNATE

(2) MR. ALVIN TALIAFERRO (D) 29 Pierce Place Held in Steering 8/22/85. Replacing Irving Blum who this evening has been appointed as a regular member.

Dec. 1, 1989

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

SEWER COMMISSION

MR. GEORGE HELENESE (D) (3) 34 Arthur Place Held in Steering 8/22/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

Replacing Meredith Leitch Dec. 1, 1986 whose term expired.

Term Expires

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD

7.

Term Expires

(4) <u>MR. JOHN M. ZIMMERMAN</u> (D) Replacing William Morris Dec. 1, 1987
 122 Hoyt St., Apt. 1-E whose term expired.
 Held in Steering 8/22/85.

MRS. PERIALO said Mr. Zimmerman has been a resident of Stamford for about two years. His occupation is Programmer Analyst. He has not attended any EPB meetings. He was interviewed for almost an hour by the Committee. He did not have the answers to the questions pertaining to the EPB. Many on the Committee felt he would better serve on CAM (Coastal Area Management). The Committee voted 5 No, 1 Yes, for denial. As is customary, she made a positive Motion to approve, it being noted the Committee's vote was for rejection. Seconded.

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said he recognizes the judgment of the Committee, and he spoke to certain people about Mr. Zimmerman's interview. He Moved to send this nomination back to committee with a proviso that Mr. Zimmerman make another appearance before the Committee and that those members who truly have an interest in this nomination, try to attend the next Appointments Committee meeting. He feels this is a terrific appointment. Motion Seconded.

Mr. Morris went on to say that if this Board follows the negative recommendation of the Appointments Committee, the Board would be making a great mistake and doing a grave disservice to the City of Stamford. Mr. Zimmerman is clearly qualified and clearly capable of having a seat on this Board.

MRS. SANTY asked that Mr. Morris repeat his reasons for this going back to committee as what she heard was that he wanted all the members of this Board to attend the next Appointments Committee meeting at which Mr. Zimmerman would again be interviewed, and was her understanding correct? When she has that answer, she would like to speak further.

MR. MORRIS said he thinks Mrs. Santy heard what he said.

MRS. SANTY said no, she does not understand what he was saying and that is why she is asking; and then she would like to further comment. What is the reason for returning to committee?

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said he wished this to go back to committee because there were a number of Appointments Committee members who were not present, and a number of Board members who had expressed an interest in this nomination who were not present, to have the opportunity to attend the next Appointments Committee meeting to question Mr. Zimmerman.

MRS. SANTY said she will speak against that Motion. Everyone received Agendas. Everyone received Committee meeting notices. Anyone who wanted to attend a meeting certainly could have attended. This would be setting a precedent here by returning to committee for the stated reason. Thousands of people have come before the Board's Appointments Committee, and many more will in the future. Is this to suggest that they, too, should have second chances because they get rejected at the first Committee interview? What is going to change? Certainly, not the fact that this man did so poorly on the Appointments Committee last week.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. SANTY went on to say this is a way of dodging the issue; it is setting a poor precedent, and she does not know why Mr. Morris feels it is such a terrific appointment. If it were so terrific, why didn't the Committee feel justified to approve this person? This is a maneuver. It is wrong. The Mayor still has the opportunity, if Mr. Zimmerman is defeated tonight, to re-submit his name once more. She has certainly more she could say about the appointment, but this is a very bad maneuver tonight and she is surprised at the actions of Mr. Morris.

<u>MRS. GUROIAN</u> concurs with Mrs. Santy. The arguments that were forwarded by Mr. Morris were not arguments at all. In fact, if the same criteria were used on every item on the Agenda, then everything would be going back to committee. If you feel a person is a good appointee, let it come up for a vote and then vote yes for that person. The fact that the entire committee was not there has no basis, so long as there is a quorum. Things would grind to a halt if a committee's full complement were not present in order to vote. No business could be done. These are not arguments. These are delaying tactics after the fact, to ask to hold when a negative report comes in. There is a proper procedure to follow if a person does not pass muster at the first try, and that is for the Mayor to re-submit. At the second try, the proposed appointee might be able to present him or herself in a better light and be recommended for approval.

Mrs. Guroian was at the meeting and has to concur that Mr. Zimmerman did not interview well. She cannot go along with Mr. Morris' Motion to hold. Almost without exception, the only time a name is held is if the person was not interviewed for whatever reason. The man has to stand on his interview. The Committee did its job.

MR. JACHIMCZYK Moved the question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on Returning to Committee. APPROVED with 18 Yes, 17 No, 1 Abstention, and 1 Non-Voting.

PARKS COMMISSION

Term Expires

8.

(5) <u>MS. DIANE C. JONES</u> (D) 130 Dolphin Cove Quay

Replacing Kieran Hickey Dec. 1, 1987 whose term expired.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(6) <u>REQUEST FOR THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES</u> to initiate proceedings to remove <u>MR. RICHARD VAUGHT</u> from the <u>FAIR RENT COMMISSION</u>. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 8/19/85. Held in Steering 8/22/85.

<u>MRS. PERILLO</u> said she just received a letter from the Corporation Counsel on the procedure that must be taken on having a hearing for the removal of Richard Vaught from the Fair Rent Commission. Her understanding is that the President will be sending a letter to the Mayor.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT said the process must be initiated by the Mayor. She will inform Mayor Serrani of his Corporation Counsel's opinion in that regard and will proceed along those lines.

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO CONSIDER AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA.

MRS. PERILLO Moved to Suspend the Rules to consider the name of Mr. John A. Marsalisi for re-appointment to the Board of Ethics, whose term expired June 30, 1984. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

<u>MRS. PERILLO</u> Moved for the approval of Mr. John A. Marsalisi to the Board of Ethics for a five-year term. On the desks tonight is a letter from Corporation Counsel explaining why it is necessary to vote on this name tonight. There are hearings to be scheduled and they cannot proceed unless there is a five-member Board legally seated.

Mr. Marsalisi is a resident of Stamford and has served one year on the Board of Ethics, which was for an unexpired term. He is very knowledgeable about the Code of Ethics, and she Moved for approval. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said a two-thirds' vote is required of those present and voting for approval.

<u>MRS. McINERNEY</u> wholeheartedly endorses Mr. Marsalisi, but she is not pleased that it has come with such short notice and was delivered with an opinion of the Corporation Counsel. It bothers her that the Republican Party has submitted over 50 names to the Mayor since he has sat in the fifth floor of this building. He does not have the courtesy to even take those names into consideration, but now that we have a serious problem before the City, an ethical question, we must have four members present in order to conduct a hearing, so we rush to have this person confirmed. Mr. Marsalisi has done an excellent, outstanding job in the short period of time that he has been there. He deserves to be re-appointed, but those other fifty people who are so willing to serve this community and demonstrate their talents and expertise should also be considered.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on Mr. Marsalisi for re-appointment to the Ethics Board. APPROVED with 35 Yes votes, and 2 Non-Voting.

BOARD OF ETHICS

Term Expires June 30, 1989

1

(7) <u>MR. JOHN A. MARSALISI</u> (R) Re-Appointment 92 Alton Road - 06906 (term expired 6/30/84) (Ord. #445; 2/3 of those present and voting)

APPROVED: 35 Yes, 2 Non-Voting.

MRS. PERILLO Moved for the approval of Consent Agenda items #2, 3, 5. Seconded. CARRIED.

FISCAL COMMITTEE

MR. DONAHUE said the Fiscal Committee met on October 2, 1985, with Reps. Rybnick, David Martin, Conti, Vos, McInerney and Donahue attending. A short meeting was also held this evening before the regular Board meeting concerning part of Item #2. He Moved to the Consent Agenda #4, 5, 8.

(1) \$150,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 350.5510 CONTRACT <u>REFUSE COLLECTION</u> - Additional Appropriation requested for start-up cost for solid waste collection for condominiums and cooperative residences for Service Option #1 of the Booz, Allen and Hamilton, Inc. Report. (Option #1 is twice a week collection provided by a combination of City forces and private contractors under contract to the City.) Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/18/85. Board of Finance approved 8/21/85. Held in Committee 8/12 and 9/9/85.

Above also referred to PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said on Item #1, the collection problem has been around for so long, but unfortunately the Committee voted 4 in favor and 2 opposed to hold this in committee.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to take this out of committee. Seconded. CARRIED voice vote.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said these funds are requested to put staff in place to implement refuse collection for condominiums and cooperative apartments. The staffing involved and the putting together of bids would hopefully begin the service July 1, 1986. The Committee has discussed a reduction in the requested amount and the Public Works and Sewer Committee has also done so. For the sake of discussion, he Moved the \$150,000. Seconded.

MR. PERILLO said his Committee has taken a different position on this issue. The Public Works and Sewer Committee Moved to accept Option #1 of the Booz, Allen and Hamilton Report, but not to accept the staff recommended by same. In its place, his Committee recommends to hire 1 Coordinator Clerk at \$15,466 for a six-month period; 1 Asst. Coordinator Clerk for \$9,186; Over-time, \$2,500; Fringe Benefits, \$7,500; Other Costs, \$2,500; 1 Vehicle, \$11,000; for a total of \$48,132. Of that, the Committee is recommending to cut \$101,868. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Mr. Perillo's motion to cut. Seconded. CARRIED.

The sum of \$48,132 is on the floor for approval.

<u>MR. PERILLO</u> said this is enough to start up the program as it does not go into effect until next July, and these two people will come on in January, 1986, giving them six months to organize the whole operation so they start collections on July 1, 1986.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the motion to approve \$48,132. Seconded. <u>APPROVED</u> with 31 Yes votes, 5 No votes, and 1 Non-Voting.

FISCAL COMMITTEE

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said this was sent back to Committee last month, and based on discussion with various Board members, the Fiscal Committee voted to recommend that the original request for \$147,330.00 be reduced by \$64,350.00, which will reflect the elimination of retroactive payment for 1982/83, 1983/84, and 1984/1985. The new total will be \$82,980.00 and he would so Move. Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY said the Personnel Committee concurs.

MR. DAVID MARTIN made a Motion to reduce the \$82,980 by \$10,039, making a net amount of \$72,941. The breakdown of the cut he proposes would be a cut of \$6,100 from the Mayor's Office Dept. 201, leaving \$3,817. The Mayor's salary would then be reduced from \$60,000 to \$49,500. The second part of the reduction would be on the Labor Negotiator line with a reduction of \$3,939. leaving \$9,163; taking the proposed salary of \$58,939 down to \$55,000, the level at which the cabinet members are paid. Seconded.

He said the pay plan that has been worked upon and the salaries are appropriate. He feels such an huge raise for the Mayor at this point is inappropriate.

<u>MR. LIVINGSTON</u> said he does not have a bunch of figures to refer to, but he would like to refer to some figures that were released in the STAMFORD ADVOCATE, and it most certainly did show that the Mayor of this City, compared to other cities and towns in this State of comparable size, is not paid a salary that is in line with the others, and is in fact, substantially lower.

He has said it before, and he will repeat it, that as a City we should be competitive with what is happening in industry, and he is referring to general income. If we are to allow the salary of the Chief Executive, the Mayor, of this City, to remain at a minimum as it is at the present time, we have to keep in mind some of the things that have happened everywhere when we ask public officials to pursue and take jobs that do not compensate them for the type of responsibility that we place on them, we are asking for more than we have a right to....(tape flipped over and dialogue lost)....as has occurred in other cities, under-the-table affairs and a number of unscrupulous things happened. This kind of a thing is not spanking brand-new.

Our City is no longer the bedroom community it was ten or fifteen years ago. The facts are our City happens to allow other communities to be the bedroom of this City. The salary of our Chief Executive Officer should reflect the salary that compensates for the type of responsibility we expect of the man. If we intend to continue doing this at a minimum, then at some point along the line, we are going to have to start asking ourselves just who is going to be able to afford to run for Mayor and be there at the kind of salary that is being offered. If we get the kind of leadership that can guide this City, we will have to spend a little bit more for it. The request that is being asked is somewhat reasonable.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> agrees with Mr. Martin's amendment on the Mayor's and Labor Negotiator's salaries. Going from \$45,000 to \$49,500 is a ten per cent increase and is fair considering that the rate of inflation is 3% to 4%. The resolution and pay plan that will be voted on later on, eventually does bring the Mayor up to one of the highest salaries state-wide.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

Mr. DeLuca went on to say that indirectly the comment was made that unless high salaries are paid, unscrupulous things occur. He disagrees with that comment, as he believes that the people who have been running for public office or mayor or whatever, are doing it because of dedication and their desire to do something for their city. Look at the 40 people who sit on the Board of Representatives. The pay is fantastic! It is a big zilch! Each and every one of the members are dedicated, as they are here this evening. They listen to their constituents. They go out, answer phone calls, make house calls, view complaints, take notes, and he doubts any of these members have done anything unscrupulous. You cannot equate the money given to someone to the job they are going to do. The suggestion for the Labor Negotiator is a good one. In reality, he is part of the Mayor's Cabinet, and this will keep everyone reporting to the Mayor on a even keel. \$55,000 for cabinet officials is not a bad salary. Given time, the Mayor will be up there where he belongs. It is not the time to do it now, not in one giant step.

MS. RINALDI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the amendment to cut \$10,039. APPROVED with 34 Yes votes, 3 No votes,

The amount before the Board now is \$72,941.00, which reflects the cuts made by the Public Works Committee and by Rep. David Martin. This includes cutting the salary accruals for prior years, reducing the retroactive to zero, plus cuts for 1985/86 in the Mayor's Office and the Labor Negotiator.

MRS. McINERNEY Moved to reduce the \$72,941 by \$5,250, bringing it down to \$67,691.00. The cuts would be Dept. 230, \$1,750; Dept. 240, Finance, \$1,750; Dept. 301, Public Works Administration, \$1,750. Seconded.

She feels strongly that these amounts should be reduced based on the fact that there is another group in here that has not gotten a raise, and these people have all received raises in 1984. If it is the intent to create a situation for the future, it would not be fair to keep on rewarding some people one year, and other people not at all in another year. The amount arrived at and given last year, \$52,000, would be fair and equitable for continuation in these cabinet posts.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said the Fiscal Committee has discussed this for two months and there has never been a motion made to reduce these amounts for any of the elected officials, or the cabinet members. The figures included here reflect 6% increase for the salaries for those positions. We must run Stamford as a business and we have to be able to attract qualified people to these positions. They cannot be left stagnant for years and years and years. We have quality people now and we will have to continue to have them in the future. This reduction should not be supported.

MR. DUDLEY Moved the Question. Seconded.

12.

0

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985 13.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McINERNEY called for a Point of Information as a member of the Fiscal Committee. She said they had never, over the past two months, even suggest entertaining a reduction in the Mayor's Office, nor the Labor Negotiator's, but they did entertain that this evening earlier by another Committee member. She would, therefore, take exception to Mr. Donahue's remarks.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on Moving the Question. CARRIED with a vote of 33 Yes, 3 No, and 1 Non-Voting.

The President called for a machine vote on the Motion to reduce the item by \$5,250. DEFEATED by a vote of 31 No, 4 Yes, 2 Non-Voting.

The President called for a machine vote on the Motion to approve \$72,941. for Item #2. APPROVED with 34 Yes and 3 No votes.

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO CONSIDER AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA:

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said as part of the package that was presented to them for these non-union unclassified administrators, it was also suggested that a Pay Plan be developed so the City or the individuals involved are not put in a position of being over-looked year after year after year when it came to salary increases. He has a resolution that puts the Pay Plan in place. It has been amended by the Committee. It takes all mention of retroactive pay out of it, except for one line that Mr. Donahue made a mistake of leaving in. He Moved to Suspend the Rules to consider this. Seconded. Voice vote was inconclusive, therefore, a machine vote was taken. APPROVED to SUSPEND THE RULES TO CONSIDER AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA: 30 Yes votes, 6 No votes, 1 Non-Voting.

(2A) PROPOSED SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PAY PLAN FOR VARIOUS NON-UNION UNCLASSIFIED ADMINISTRATORS (Mayor's Cabinet; Police and Fire Chiefs and their Deputies; Town & City Clerk; Registrars of Voters; and 5 contract personnel) - submitted by Fiscal Chairman Donald Donahue 10/3/85.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said there is one line that should be omitted and that is Section 2, A - Policy, and the second to the last line on Page 1, and it says "foregoing would apply for 1984/85 and later years". He said it was his error and that should be omitted. Moved. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

He said this puts forth an equitable Pay Plan so it does not put us in a very bad position in future years, and he and the Committee recommend this resolution be approved this evening. Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY said Personnel did meet jointly with Fiscal and concurs on the resolution.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said in keeping with the prior amendment he made, he would like to make an amendment to this resolution also. Specifically, on Page 2, in the second paragraph, where it begins "for Mayor", he would delete that line where it says "\$5,000 above Cabinet members", and in its place, it would now say "for Mayor: approved salary levels for each year will be authorized as listed below: Dec. 1, 1985, \$49,500.; Dec. 1, 1986, \$53,500.; Dec. 1, 1987, \$56,000.; Dec. 1, 1988, \$57,500.; as of Dec. 1, 1989, the salary of the Mayor will be \$2,500 above the Cabinet members." He so Moved. Seconded.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

<u>MR. DAVID MARTIN</u> said it is important that this is in keeping with the prior resolution, and he feels as Mr. Livingston and Mr. DeLuca mentioned earlier, it is important that we set a pay standard for the Mayor as well as everyone else, and this does that, and it phases it in over time, which is in the best interests of everyone. 0

14.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

<u>MRS. McINERNEY</u> asked how this equated itself to the Charter which says a person cannot have a raise other than prior to the year they are elected. This brings in 1986 and 1988, which are the second years of the term of office of the person getting the raise.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said he reviewed that, and the Board of Finance has already approved \$60,000 per year forever until a new raise is recommended. They have reduced each of those successive years and are doing it before the new term begins, so that in looking at the Charter and what they are doing tonight, they are not doing anything in conflict with the Charter. It simply has to be decided before Dec. 1, 1985.

MRS. McINERNEY said as a member of Fiscal, she is amazed that some of the members of that Committee simply never get informed.....

THE PRESIDENT said that was no longer a Point of Order.

A voice vote was taken on Moving the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

A machine vote was called for the Motion on the Amendment made by Mr. David Martin. APPROVED: 30 Yes, 6 No, 1 Non-Voting.

The main motion is now on the floor on the Pay Plan as amended.

<u>MR. ZELINSKI</u> urged voting against the proposed resolution for some very good points. He does not think it is within the jurisdiction of this Board at this time to conclude what salary levels and percentages should be for the future. Future Boards of Representatives have that prerogative. Also, there are other contingencies that may come into the picture at a later date. Fringe benefits are not addressed in the resolution; for example, the use of a City vehicle, gasoline, other expenses. We cannot dictate to future Boards.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for machine vote on the resolution as amended. Seconded. APPROVED with 28 Yes, 8 No, 1 Non-Voting.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said Item #3 concerns the public hearing about the situation in City Hall. The Committee is reviewing different alternatives and will be meeting during the next week to ten days to set a site, as the major problem right now is parking. There is no other report at this time.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

(3) <u>MATTER OF PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR NOVEMBER 12, 1985</u>. Location to be determined for the purpose of soliciting in-put regarding the issue of City Hall. Submitted by Rep. Zelinski 8/13/85; co-sponsored by Reps. Nakian, Dudley, Burke, Vos, Conti, Guroian, DeLuca, Maihock, David Martin, McInerney, Santy, Signore, (Sense-of-the Board Resolution approved 9/9/85.)

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE as Joint Committee.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

(4) <u>\$ 3,600.00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 320.5540 CONTRACT -</u> <u>SONITROL</u> - Additional Appropriation requested for security at Riverbank School complex. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/3/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

Above also referred to PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(5) \$ 81,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET for a project to be known as #341.3881 HARBOR BULKHEAD - Additional Appropriation needed to complete additional work. Financing to be determined. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/3/85. Planning Board approved 9/17/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

Above also referred to PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. DONAHUE said on Item #6 the Committee voted 5-1 to recommend approval and he so Moved. Seconded.

MRS. MIAHOCK said she has been voting for these Day Care Center appropriations, but she is getting concerned as they always appear to be \$100,000 something over the previous one. Why is it accelerating at that particular rate?

MRS. NAKIAN said E.W. & G. concurred on this item.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said the specifications for setting up day care programs are determined by the State of Connecticut. There must be one professional person, one teacher or aide for every seven children. Also there has been approval for expansion of the program and have taken new children into it within the last two years. There are certain salary increases in the State grant over a period of time, and probably the program is labor-intensive. Also they have expanded the program to match a growing need in Stamford.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

(6) \$857,343.00 - STAMFORD DAY CARE PROGRAM - CODE 750-760 Various - Additional Appropriation requested to continue operations of City's 10 day care centers. This represents approved budget for fiscal year 7/1/85. Received from State grant, clients fees, school lunch programs, and child care and head start lunches. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/3/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Item #6. <u>APPROVED</u> with 2 No votes: Reps. Conti and Maihock.

<u>MR. ZELINSKI</u> requested the Chair's indulgence regarding Item #3. He asked what was going to be done on that matter. Is a public hearing to be held or what is the disposition?

THE PRESIDENT said the matter was Held in Committee for another month.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said there is going to be a public hearing on Nov. 12th. That was decided by this Board a month ago. A meeting will be held soon to decide on the location. The Adult Education Program has just begun, so there is some problem finding a location with sufficient parking for the anticipated attendance.

(7A) <u>\$375,000.00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS</u> <u>BUDGET</u> for a project to be known as #110.489 - (Green Belt - Coastal Parkland) - Additional Appropriation for proposed resolution and agreement concerning approval of purchase of premises at 54 West Main Street, Stamford, from Nick J. Downer and Ruth M. Downer by the City of Stamford for a price of \$350,000.00; \$25,000.00 to be used for demolition and \$5,000.00 to be used for a fence. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/16/85. Planning Board approved 5/21/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

Above also referred to PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said the Committee voted 4 in favor, 1 opposed, and 1 abstention, to recommend approval and he so Moves. Seconded.

MR. WHITE said by a vote of 5 in favor and 1 Abstention, his Committee voted to concur.

MRS. POWERS said she wished the record to show she has left the floor as she has a conflict.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> made a Motion to move the item back into committee. Seconded. He feels there should be something in writing that when the property is purchased, if that occurs, what kind of guarantees and what steps are going to be taken to make sure that the residents in the area and the abutting property owners will be satisfied that this does not become another place for a hang-out or anything of that nature.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BOCCUZZI went on to say the present building(s) are to be demolished, proper fencing installed, and proper protection and security for it.

<u>MR. LIVINGSTON</u> said he agrees with Mr. Boccuzzi, and he has some riders to add to Mr. Boccuzzi's recommendations. One is the financial aspect of this proposed purchase and what are the long-term plans. It should be kept in mind that this so-called Green Belt must have some kind of projection as to what the cost will be in the future; is this just one piece of an entire coastal parkland and what is the cost and planning for the entire plan, and what other properties will be involved later? We should not commit the City to this particular piece of property without allowing the people in the immediate neighborhood to express their feelings. They are entitled to participate in a public type hearing.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said he agrees to a certain degree with Rep. Livingston, that it should go back to committee, and determine the total cost, not just the Downer property, for the long-range plans, to include any other pieces of land that are contemplated to be purchased along the river or coastal line. He said people like Boots Farrington and other area residents to have some in-put as they always receive petitions voicing their opinions on this and other matters as they arise. It would be honorable on the part of the Board to invite these people to attend a meeting and voice their opinions.

<u>MRS. CONTI</u> agrees with Mr. DeLuca. She said, as a member of Fiscal, she is just as guilty. They were very lacking in courtesy in that they did not invite those people who went to the trouble to send petitions. They should have been invited to attend the Fiscal Committee meeting to let their feelings be known in this situation. She voted No for another reason. Many of us have said we are concerned about the lack of affordable housing. From the map she saw in Committee, it appeared the over-all plan would require the taking of a good many affordable, small one-family residences. She asks the Committee to investigate how much condemnation of housing would be required to complete the total plan of which the Downer Property is only one section.

THE PRESIDENT said now before the Board is a request to send back to committee to discuss the points that were articulated including inviting the interested neighborhood to come and speak before the Committee.

<u>MRS. McINERNEY</u> said she supports holding this item in Committee. She abstained on this item in Committee as she was very concerned with the feelings that were expressed in written form by the community that lives in an area surrounding the Downer Funeral Home, and the fact that they taken the trouble to make known their public opinions via petitions to this Board. She is glad to see that Mr. Livingston has brought forward the main reason why we should be concerned with this, to know what community feels, how they will react to the future development of this area; and certainly the fiscal questions are good ones, but the public should have their right to address their issues in a forum before the Committee that will approve it.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Item 7B. <u>APPROVED</u>, with Mr. Wider in opposition. The item will go back to committee and consider the questions articulated.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. GUROIAN made a Point of Order. She asked if this means that it will also go back to the secondary committee?

THE PRESIDENT said that it does.

MR. DONAHUE said Item #7B should also stay in committee and he so Moved. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

(7B) APPROVAL TO FINANCE AN AMENDMENT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET FOR PROJECT KNOWN AS #110.489 PURCHASE OF PREMISES AT 54 West Main Street in the amount of \$375,000 from the Capital Non-Recurring Fund. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/16/85. Planning Board approved 5/21/85. Finance Board approved 9/12/85. (Green Belt-Coastal Parkland)

RETURNED TO COMMITTEE.

(8) <u>\$ 99,450.00</u> - <u>PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - Code 284. RAILROAD STATION</u> -Additional Appropriation requested to fund normal expenses incurred in the maintenance of the Railroad Station. (\$50,000 appropriated for coming year's budget totally inadequate.) Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/30/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

Above also referred to TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. DONAHUE said there is no action required on Item #9 because the Board of Finance deferred this item.

(9) \$ 18,500.00 - TRAFFIC AND PARKING DEPARTMENT - Code 280.1110 SALARIES -Additional Appropriation requested to fund position of Signal Systems Engineer. Funding was cut in budget process. Position requested as part of Computerized Traffic Signal System. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/30/85. Board of Finance deferred 9/12/85.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

MR. DONAHUE said Item #10 is a resolution to obtain grant money for the W.I.C. Program and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Item #10. APPROVED with Mrs. Conti in opposition.

(10) PROPOSED RESOLUTION ENABLING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TO RECEIVE GRANT FUNDS FROM THE STATE FOR PURPOSE OF ALLOWING CITY, THROUGH ITS HEALTH DEPT. TO OPERATE A SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR LOW-INCOME WOMEN, INFANTS AND CHILDREN (W.I.C.), entirely financed by the State and Federal Governments. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/12/85.

<u>MR. DONAHUE</u> said Item #11 is to fund the W.I.C. Program, which is the resolution which was just approved. By a Committee vote of 5 in favor, 1 opposed, approval is recommended, and he so Moves. Seconded.

MS. RINALDI said she deferred to Mr. Burke on the secondary committee, Health and Protection.

MR. BURKE said Health and Protection concurs.

(11) <u>\$168,786.00</u> - <u>HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 573 WOMEN, INFANTS AND</u> <u>CHILDREN (W.I.C.) NUTRITION PROGRAM</u> - Additional Appropriation for fiscal year 10/1/85 - 9/30/86. Amount to be received by City from State Dept. of Health Services. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/10/85. Approved by Board of Finance 9/12/85.

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote. Motion <u>APPROVED</u> with Mrs. Conti in opposition.

MR. DONAHUE said his Committee voted 5 in favor and 1 opposed to recommend approval of Item #11, and he so Moved. Seconded. <u>APPROVED</u> by voice vote with Mrs. Conti in opposition, and Mrs. Maihock abstaining.

(12) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT UNDER THE SOCIAL SERVICES BLOCK GRANT in an amount not to exceed \$92,975.00. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/17/85.

MRS. GUROIAN made a Point of Information. She said she did not hear the report on Fiscal Item #3. She has a question and asked if it would be in order to pose it now. She said she is not running for re-election so would not be voting on this item. She asked does she sit on the hearing but not vote on item?

THE PRESIDENT said it is to be a hearing to obtain in-put from the public. Actually, there is nothing before the Board on this. There is no appropriation request for City Hall. This Board is requesting, by resolution, to get citizen in-put on the whole range of the matter. Mrs. Guroian will be sitting during the in-put hearing since her term of office does not expire until December 1, 1985, ending in fact on November 30, 1985. There will be no vote necessary on this.

MR. DONAHUE Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda Items #4, 5, 8. CARRIED by voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called on Mr. Scott Morris to give the LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE Report, due to Mr. Skovgaard's illness.

Concerning and the second second second

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

MR. MORRIS said the Legislative and Rules Committee met on Monday, Sept. 23rd, at 8:00 P.M. in the first floor lounge room at the Board of Education Bldg., to discuss the items for consideration on tonight's Agenda. Committee members present were Reps. Skovgaard, Morris, Zelinski, Dudley, Nakian, Powers and Maihock. Also in attendance were Rep. Fishman, Mrs. Julie Wynne Breunich, Ms. Dee Ronald representing Americans for Morality, Mr. Wayne Randall representing the approximately 800 families of St. Mary's Parish, Gwendolyn Byeth of the Muslin American Assistance Fund, and Diane Erickson, manager of Mem's Cafe, and representative of the Restaurant Division of the Downtown Council of Stamford.

20.

It should be noted that the Board's notice for the meeting of the L&R Committee dated Sept. 19th, and the notices appearing in the Legal Section of both THE ADVOCATE and the STAMFORD WEEKLY MAIL advertising a public hearing on the proposed ordinance regulating the visual display of obscenity to minors all stated that the public hearing would take place in the Main Board Room at the Board of Education Building at 8:00 P.M. on Monday, Sept. 23rd. Due to the fact that the Board of Education decided to hold their meeting in the Main Board Room that evening, the public hearing had to be held in the only other available room in the building, the first floorlounge room, and notices to that effect were posted in various areas throughout the building, and Mrs. Powers was stationed near the entrance to the Main Board Room in order to direct interested members of the public to the Lounge on the first floor.

He Moved Items #1 and #2 on the Consent Agenda. Seconded.

(1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REGULATING THE VISUAL DISPLAY OF OBSCENITY TO MINORS. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley 3/11/85. Approved for publication 5/6/85. Held in Committee 6/3, 7/1, and 8/12. Approved for re-publication 9/9/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(2) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE No. 514 <u>SUPPLEMENTAL - CREATION OF DEMOLITION BOARD AND ESTABLISHMENT OF</u> <u>RELOCATION/DEMOLITION FUND.</u> Submitted by Reps. Nakian, Morris, and Skovgaard. Held in Committee 6/1, 7/1, 9/9/85. Approved for publication 8/12/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

(3) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REGARDING SIDEWALK CAFES. Submitted by Mayor Thom serrani 7/31/85. Held in Committee 8/9/85.

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said the Committee voted 5-1-0 to approve as amended and he so Moved. The amendments are in the hands of the Board members. On Page 1, the third paragraph, which starts "Now, therefore, be it ordained.."

THE PRESIDENT said there seem to be a very large number of amendments, and she would like to expedite this matter, but they will take them up one at a time and see how it progresses, as another course of action might become necessary. 20.(a) MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985 20.(a)

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. FOWERS said on Page 3A, amendment to Section 2(b)(4), she Moves to change this to read "No sidewalk cafe may obstruct more than 50 per cent of the sidewalk" and the rest to read the same as it is. She is deleting 8, 8 ft. and the word "or"; also delete "whichever is greater", which is in parenthesis. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Mrs. Powers' amendment. <u>APPROVED</u> with Mrs. Maihock in opposition.

MRS. CONTI said she did not understand exactly what is being done here.

(At this point, the tape is changed, and a voice vote is in the process of being taken. Whatever Motion was on the floor was approved by voice vote.)

THE PRESIDENT asked if there were any further amendments. There were none.

The President called for a machine vote on Item #3, sidewalk cafes, as amended this evening, and as amended in committee with all the corrections that are before each member. <u>APPROVED</u> with 23 Yes votes, 2 No votes, 1 Abstention, and 11 Non-Voting.

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda. Seconded. This is for Items #1 and #2. APPROVED, voice vote. Mr. Austin has left and there are 36 members present and 4 absent.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

MR. DUDLEY said the Personnel Committee met on Thursday, Sept. 26, 1985, at 7:30 P.M. in Conference Room II, Board of Education Bldg. On Item #1 the Committee voted 4-0 in favor, as amended, and the amendments are before everyone tonight; and he Moved for approval. Seconded.

(1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CREATING POSITION OF PART-TIME <u>RISK REDUCTION PROGRAM SPECIALIST</u>. This position is in a Block Grant program administered by the State. Position is to be created by ordinance, to be in unclassified service with duration to depend solely upon duration of grant involved. Current grant will begin on 6/1/85 and extend through 5/31/86. Submitted by Health Dir. R. Gofstein 5/28/85. Approved for publication 7/1/85. Held in Committee 8/12 and 9/9/85.

THE PRESIDENT said since this is the final adoption, she would like Mr. Dudley to read the amendment.

<u>MR. DUDLEY</u> said Item #4, "for clarification purposes, this ordinance is for an individual position and may not be sub-divided in any way". Mr. Burke has a friendly amendment. Seconded.

<u>MR. BURKE</u> said his amendment is to clarify what he knows the sense of the Personnel Committee to be in this particular instance. The way the amendment reads "for clarification purposes, this ordinance is for an individual position and may not be sub-divided in any way"; they are talking about a position and what they are saying is that this position may not be sub-divided in any way.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

<u>MR. BURKE</u> went on to say they are talking about a position and what they are saying with this particular amendment is that this position may not be sub-divided, but the sense of the Committee is they should only have one individual in the position. If we added the words, after the word "position", "to be filled by one person", Mr. Burke said in that way the sense of the Committee would be recognized. It would now read, with his amendment: "for clarification purposes, this ordinance is for an individual position to be filled by one person and may not be sub-divided in any way." He so Moved. Seconded.

MS. SUMMERVILLE asked why is it better not to be divided by two persons, part-time.

<u>MR. DUDLEY</u> said there was a problem created in the past with a S.H.A.P.E. Director position which cost the City some money and which had to go to arbitration. This is an attempt to save the City some money and any problems in the future in a like situation. In fact, that particular problem may still be pending and unsettled.

MRS. MAIHOCK said the way it reads now, it would seem that if it were left "for clarification purposes, this ordinance is for an individual position." (period), it would mean the same thing as Mr. Burke is proposing.

<u>MR. BURKE</u> said it would not, because they are talking about two different things: position, and people filling them. You have only one position on the books, but if it were a 40-hour position, you could have 4 people at ten hours each, filling that one position, and that is just what they are trying to eliminate, more than one person filling the position

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said Mr. Burke answered the problem very well. He sat on the Personnel Committee when this was discussed, and within the Health Dept., where this particular position will be, there was a problem created in which they took one position and filled it with 3 people. What is trying to be done is that if there is one position to be filled, it should be filled by one person, for many good reasons for the benefit of the City. If it is a supervisory position, that is all the more reason; but there is jurisdiction; cost of fringe benefits, if any; continuity of work performed, different people handle their duties in different ways, etc.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the amendment of Mr. Burke on Mr. Dudley's amendment. <u>APPROVED</u>, with Ms. Summerville abstaining.

Then a vote was taken on the main amendment #4, as amended, <u>APPROVED</u>, voice vote.

<u>MR. DUDLEY</u> said Item #5 on the ordinance which states "this position shall only exist as long as the grant is in effect". Item #6 "the City will not assume any responsibility for continuing this position should the grant program end". In addition, there would be a line following #6 which would say "this ordinance is in effect June 1, 1985 and expires May 31, 1986." He wished to note that there was a typographical error on the last line of the ordinance and it should read "through May 31, 1986" and <u>not</u> May 30, 1986.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT said Mrs. Guroian is the next speaker on the amendments.

MRS. GUROIAN asked for a point of clarification. Asked why are 5 and 6 needed? They appear to say the same thing.

MR. DUDLEY said one is speaking exactly to the grant, and the other is speaking to the City's responsibilities, which is the intent.

THE FRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the amendments. APPROVED.

A vote was then taken on the Main Motion, which is the final adoption on the proposed ordinance, as amended. <u>APPROVED</u>, voice vote, with Mrs. Maihock and Mrs. Conti in opposition.

(2) MATTER OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT EMPLOYEES BEING DESIGNATED AS CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEES AS PER LETTER FROM SIM BERNSTEIN, PERSONNEL DIRECTOR, TO CATHERINE TRENTINI, DIRECTOR, STAMFORD COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, dated 6/27/85. Submitted by Rep. Robert "Gabe" DeLuca, 14th District. Held in Committee 9/9/85.

<u>MR. DUDLEY</u> said the Committee discussed this and Rep. DeLuca had some questions that he would like to have answered by the Personnel Director. Those two questions were "Does the Board of Representatives have the right to approve the classified positions", and the Personnel Director replied "No". The other question was "Have they taken exams", and the answer was "Yes". This item is now taken care of.

Mr. Dudley said the Committee did meet with the Personnel Commission concerning the Merit Rules and they had their first joint meeting. They will continue to meet regularly and hopefully through the next Board, regardless of who is on it, until the Merit Rules are revised.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

MR. WHITE said on Thursday, October 3, 1985, a meeting of the Planning and Zoning Committee was held in the Board Room of the Board of Education Bldg. on Hillandale Ave. On the agenda were 3 items. Present were Reps. Donahue, Guroian, Jachimczyk, White, Vos, and Wider. Also attending were Commissioner of Finance Pacter, David Anderson of SEAC, Bob Karp of Downtown Council, Lydia Maffie of The Coppola Shop, Everett Mallozzi of Bob's Sports. Other members of the public were also present.

On Item #1, as was said at last month's general meeting, the Committee is not entirely happy with the situation, largely because it underscores the problem of poor planning and Zoning. However, given this caveat, the Committee remains unchanged in its conviction that the plan is, within limits, a good one. What it will do will enable the City to save the Lounsbury Building and prevent maximum density development, exercise control over building design, and begin to improve the parking area behind the Bedford/Broad Street establishments. To summarize last month's report, what will happen is the City will sell to the developer, Mr. Elliot Jaffee, an 11,600 sq. ft. of City property, a parcel of land on Broad Street

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WHITE went on to say: immediately south of the Bedford Street Garage, for \$597,000. The figure is approximately \$90,000 less than the full market value based on the income approach. However, it is only about \$20,000 less when the discount element is considered based on land use restrictions, requirements for approval, etc. Further negotiations wherein developer agreed to various conditions, i.e., setbacks, pedestrian access to the parking lot, etc., seemed in the opinion of the Committee to justify the final figure of \$597,000. Upon acquisition of the property, the developer will have combined two parcels into a holding of approximately 33,000 sq. ft. He will then restore the Lounsbury edifice, constructing an office building on the acquired City property. He has promised to use, in the two buildings, design and materials compatible with the Lounsbury's historical context. He has also agreed to put up on the acquired property, a building smaller than allowed by the C-G Zone, which it is zoned. It should, however, be noted that the developer now has two buildings in 33,000 sq. ft. as opposed to one building and 21,000 sq. ft. To handle parking, a new level will be added on the garage and a parking level placed atop the new office building, both at the developer's expense.

The new office building, though smaller than could be constructed, especially when one considers the original parcel, will nonetheless not be small. It will be five stories and about 43,000 sq. ft. Gay Street will be widened and realigned, and roofed, with some of the new building extending over it. A landscaped pedestrian walkway leading to the rear parking area will be laid out. The new parking area, which is now a labyrinthian arrangement is to be reordered and landscaped. It should also be understood that this is not² part of the agreement is the City's responsibility, except for the fact that the developer has agreed to fix up and landscape the rear of the Lounsbury Building.

The downtown businesses, especially those in the immediate neighborhood, seem very much in favor of the project. Messrs. Anderson, Karp and Mallozzi, and Miss Maffie all spoke in support of the agreement. Pursuant to this, the Committee voted in favor, 6 in favor, none against, to recommend to the full Board adoption of the ordinance and he so Moves. Seconded.

(1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING SALE OF CITY-OWNED PROPERTY on the north side of Broad Street adjacent to and south of the Bedford Street Garage to Mr. Elliot Jaffee for relocation of a planned office building and preservation of the historic Lounsbury Building for the appraised value of \$597,000. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 8/12/85. Planning Board approved 8/20/85. Board of Finance approved 8/21/85. Approved for publication 9/9/85.

MR. WHITE Moved an amendment to this proposed ordinance, the following sentence to be inserted on Page 2, after the words "described as follows", "the subject property is approximately 11,600 sq. ft. and is located on the north side of Broad Street and south of the Bedford Street Garage." Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the amendment. <u>APPROVED</u>. She asked Mr. White to give the written amendment to the staff for the record.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the Main Motion, as amended. She requested that the members off the floor please take their seats for voting.

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said she wished to speak on this item. She is in favor of preservation of historic properties, definitely, but she still has reservations about the City selling these properties without obtaining more than one bid for them, and it is almost as though the City were tailor-satisfying some of these sales for particular purposes. She is not sure that is the intent of City policy.

THE PRESIDENT said they will machine vote on the Main Motion. <u>APPROVED</u> with 32 Yes votes, 3 No votes, 1 Non-Voting.

(2) PROPOSED RESOLUTION RENAMING A PORTION OF LINDSTROM ROAD to <u>HARBOR PLAZA DRIVE</u>, Per letter from Arthur Collins, President, Collins Development Corporation 7/1/85. Submitted by Corp. Counsel Jay Sandak, 8/21/85. Held in Committee 9/9/85.

MR. WHITE said it is incorrectly stated on the Agenda as "Harbor Plaza Drive". It is "Harvard Drive". As is evident on the notice and map that was sent to the affected residents, the entire thoroughfare from its junction at Magee Avenue to its terminus in the Harbor Plaza Complex, will be named Harbor Drive. Fortions of this roadbed have been respectively named Harbor Drive, Lindstrom Road, and Wallace Street. This last, Wallace Street, has been named quite unofficially and completely without legal status, as Harbor Plaza Drive. The problem developed obviously when the new road was constructed through Czesik Park, and the streets of Lindstrom Road, Mariner's Lane, and Wallace Street were dead-ended. Unfortunately, the names at the time of construction were not clarified, leading to a situation wherein Lindstrom Road from its bend south to Wallace Street was left with its original name. We thus had an instance of people living on a truncated road with the same thoroughfare continuing beyond the dead-end. Further, there was no logical way for someone unfamiliar with the neighborhood to puzzle out the problem. The Planning and Zoning Committee considering this issue at its September meeting, opted for the following procedure: (1) It was proposed to name the entire road "Harbor Drive". (2) It would hold a public hearing on such, and at Rep. Guroian's suggestion would notice on the appropriate maps, all directly affected residents. (3) It would perform the change by resolution rather than by ordinance. The Committee has been assured by Corporation Counsel Wm. Hennessey that this is a perfectly legal and indeed, in his opinion, the better way. Mr. Wm. Carpenter representing the Schooner Cove Condominiums, by letter and public testimony, extended their unqualified support for the proposal. Mr. Ron Gold, from the same complex, also gave his support. Only one resident on the Lindstrom Road portion of the street objected on the grounds that the change of her mailing address would constitute extra work, annoyance, and some expense. The same chores would be involved if she were to change residences said the Committee. Who rejects moving merely because he must change the address on his checkbook. Further, the present standing problem is more than annoyance. It reeks of real danger. If emergency vehicles and personnel cannot find the proper address because of such a situation, the result could be tragic.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. WHITE went on to say that this Shippan resident, while not of immediate neighborhood, did object, Mr. Edward Lindstrom. It is after his family that the road was originally named. Basically, Mr. Lindstrom felt he would like to see a larger portion of the road preserved in the family name. He pointed out that the road originally ended in the stretch of waterfront owned by his family, Lindstrom's Boat Yard. The yard had been founded in the early days of the century and existed into the mid-seventies. It had served the marine community for a long time, building a reputation for integrity and service. As such, he felt that an abbreviated road was short-shrifting Stamford's waterfront heritage. Mr. Lindstrom also pointed out other roads in Stamford with similar names to Harbor Drive, such as Harborview, etc. He wondered at the wisdom of using such like-sounding designations in the same town. The Committee sympathized with Mr. Lindstrom, members of the boatyard and his fine reputation. On the other hand, the Lindstrom family did liquidate the boatyard and sell off much of the real estate at a handsome profit. Moreover, the Committee was careful to retain the dead-end of the street as Lindstrom Road. One of the reasons they kept this part of the road as Lindstrom Road was that the family homestead was located there. Finally, and most importantly, the Committee believes to go through the entire process again of re-designating street names, re-noticing residents, re-publishing the hearing, reproducing of the map would entail too much work for too little. Therefore, by a vote of 6 in favor, none against, the Committee recommends the name "Harbor Drive" be adopted by the full Board via the resolution before them, and he so Moves. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI, ACTING PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on the resolution. APPROVED with 2 in opposition (Mr. Lyons and one other (unidentified) member).

(3) ESTABLISHMENT OF A STRATEGY FOR SALE OF ALL CITY-OWNED ASSETS. Submitted by Rep. B. McInerney 12/3/84. Held in Committee 1/7/85. Reports made 2/4 and 4/1/85. Held on Pending Steering Agenda 2/4/85, 3/13/85, and since 4/1/85.

Above also referred to FISCAL COMMITTEE.

HELD IN COMMITTEE, pending next month's report.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

<u>MR. PERILLO</u> said the Committee met on September 25, 1985 at 8:00 P.M. in the Board of Education Bldg. on Hillandale Ave. Present were Committee members Betty Conti, Tom Burke, Brien Malloy, Roger Taranto, John Zelinski and Al Perillo. Absent was Joe Santagata. Also Rep. Lathon Wider present. Deputy Comm. Canavan, Joe Black, and an Advocate reporter were present.

(1) MATTER OF BOOZ, ALLEN AND HAMILTON STJDY OF SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AND FUNDING ALTERNATIVES FOR STAMFORD. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/18/85. Held in Committee 8/12/85. Returned to Committee 9/9.

MR. PERILLO said Item #1 has already been disposed of. (See Fiscal Item #1 on page 10 of these Minutes.) (Option #1 approved.)

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE (continued)

FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PUBLICATION WAIVED -

(2) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REPEALING ORD. #385 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES - USE OF CITY INCINERATOR - LICENSE DURATION AND FEE. LICENSE FEE SHALL BE AT THE RATE OF \$2.50 PER CWT. OF EMPTY VEHICLE. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 8/30/85.

<u>MR. PERILLO</u> said by a vote of 6-0, the Committee approved to put this on the Consent Agenda. (Taken off Consent as Mr. Blum wishes to speak on it.) Item #4 is on Consent.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> on Item #2, Moved to Waive Publication and go to Final Adoption. Seconded. Mr. Perillo said he had no objection to that.

MRS. MAIHOCK asked if there were a reason for this expediency.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said since it was proposed to go on the Consent Agenda, and there is no objection to it from the floor, he does not see why it should be published and held a month until final adoption. It could be disposed of tonight. There is nothing wrong with that.

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said there may be something wrong with it in the eyes of the public. It would be wise to have it published, rather than waived. Those affected by the increased rate should have an opportunity to be heard.

MR. TARANTO Moved the Question. Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI, ACTING PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Moving the Question. APPROVED unanimously.

A machine vote was called for Mr. Blum's Motion to Waive Publication. <u>APPROVED</u> with 27 Yes votes and 9 No votes. Publication is waived.

A machine vote was taken on the Motion for Final Adoption. Seconded. APPROVED with 31 Yes votes, 3 No votes, 1 Abstention, 1 Non-Voting. The ordinance has received final adoption.

(3) <u>THE MATTER OF CURB-SIDE PICK-UPS BY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT</u>. Submitted by Rep. Lathon Wider (D) 3rd District.

<u>MR. PERILLO</u> said the DPW Deputy Comm. Canavan, as well as Mr. Wider, and the Committee, discussed at length the problems of the garbage lying at curb-side for hours before it is picked up. Mr. Canavan said there is no reason for this, and he asked for time and patience and will definitely resolve the problem, which is with dogs, cats, skunks, you-name-it, eating the garbage at the curb. He will not permit this. He will issue an order that this be stopped, and the garbage cans be taken out.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said he is a little curious as to the time and patience that is being requested. The problem first came up last January and Mr. DeLuca put it on the agenda. At that time, they were told it would be no more than six months to resolve it. Then, time and patience was requested because of snow and ice. At that time Mr. Canavan said there would be a saving of \$250,000 because the crews were reduced from ten to eight; however, the garbage is still at the curb at three and four ^{o'clock} in the afternoon waiting to be picked up in certain areas.

27. <u>MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985</u> 27. PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE (continued)

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> went on to say he wonders what is going to happen during the next couple of months when they start picking up Vine Road, where the sewers have just been installed and that is an additional pick-up area. The answer does not lie in being patient. One or two more crews must be restored, because these eight crews are generating a lot of over-time money, and we have yet to see those savings promised in six months. The Public Works Committee should emphasize to Mayor Serrani and DPW Comm. O'Brien that the crews should be increased and forget paying out all this exorbitant over-time.

<u>MRS, CONTI</u> said with all due respect to Mr. DeLuca and all the other Representatives, Public Works has had problems. It is summer-time. There are vacations, absenteeism, illness, and part-time summer help was not available. Mr. Canavan said all these trucks come complete with a little broom and a bucket, so the Committee said if the trucks are late in their collections, if they would sweep up and neaten up, the constituents would be pleased. Broken bags and strewn-around garbage is the objection. If it is picked up late but left clean and completely picked up, they will hear fewer complaints.

<u>MR. WIDER</u> said Mr. Canavan smoothed it off. However, the same thing is still going on. In a few more weeks, he will be getting another letter and have to come back. Mr. Wider has been paying taxes on his house for 25 years and this is the worst it has ever been with garbage collection in the Third District. Mr. Wider will not continue to pay and have thesekind of conditions, and Mr. Canavan ought to know that now. It is not service. It is dis-service. This is a health hazard, messy, and should stop promptly.

<u>MR. PERILLO</u> said Mr. Canavan asked for a month. If he does not keep his word, it will be back on the agenda.

Item #4 was on Consent and he so Moved. Seconded. APPROVED voice vote.

(4) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONDEMNATION OF SANITARY SEWER EASEMENTS THROUGH AND UNDER PROPERTY FOR SEWER PROJECT KNOWN AS WOODRIDGE DRIVE. Properties of Lillian B. Tutules and Patricia T. Smith, Joseph and Mary Mennona, Ida L. Greenberg, I. Leonard Wisner, Miriam B. Goldberg, Julius J. and Lily Ann Blois, James H. and Isabelle Wignott, Ellis Cooper and Martha Coleman, Margaret J. Sharkey, Diana McElroy Beattie, Louis and Sylvia Rattner, June H. Waters, Sabatino Carolluzzi, Francis A. Carolluzzi, Sandra A. Piacenza, Leonard A. Piacenza, Vincent and Felicia Rosano, which easements are more specifically described in Schedules, A,B,C,D,E,F, G,H,I,J,K,L,M, and N, for the purpose of completing sanitary and storm system known as Woodridge Drive. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/31/85. Planning Board approved 8/11/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1985
 28.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MRS. RINALDI said in her absence Mr. Burke Chaired the meeting, therefore, he will make the Committee Report.

<u>MR.BURKE</u> said the Health and Protection Committee met on Thursday, Oct. 3rd, at 7:30 P.M. in the Conference Room I, Board of Education Bldg., to take up the matter of final adoption of the proposed fire alarm ordinance. The Committee voted unanimously to approve this and he Moved it to the Consent Agenda. Seconded. APPROVED with Mrs. Santy and Mrs. Signore in opposition.

(1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - FIRE ALARM ORDINANCE -

Concerning fire alarm tie-in service within the City of Stamford. Repealing Ordinance #206. Submitted by Hawley Oefinger, Communications Director, 1/18/84. Held in Committee 3/12, 4/24, 6/4, 11/7 and 12/3/84. Approved for publication 5/7/84. Held in Steering 6/18, 7/26 and 12/19/84. Held on Pending Steering Agenda 8/22 and 9/19/84 and since 1/16/85. Held in Committee 5/6/85 and 8/12/85. Held on Pending Steering Agenda since 5/15/85. Approved for Publication 9/9/85.

APPROVED VOICE VOTE; Santy and Signore in opposition.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said the Committee met on Monday, Sept. 30th, at 8:00 P.M. at the Board of Education Bldg., Conference Room I, Hillandale Avenue. Present were Committee members Skovgaard and DeLuca; also Parks Supt. Robert Cook; Bill Colucci of the Gaynor Brennan Golf Commission; Len Gambino of WSTC; John Stewart of the Stanford Weekly Mail; John Pirre, and Pete Stekla. Due to a lack of a quorum, the Committee could not vote on the Agenda items, and Mr. DeLuca will move all the items on the Agenda out of committee one at a time.

He Moved Item #1 out of committee. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

(1) SUBJECT OF PREFERRED STARTING TIME UTILIZED BY E. GAYNOR BRENNAN GOLF COMMISSION MEMBERS. Submitted by Rep. Robert "Gabe" DeLuca, Parks and Recreation Chairman, 6/6/85. Held in Committee 7/1 and 8/12/85. Held in Steering 8/22/85.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said that he and Rep. Skovgaard agreed that the only way for this Board to handle this problem is via the Appointments process, which means the Board could recommend approval or disapproval of any present Gaynor Brennan Commission members when they come up for appointment again. However, Mr. DeLuca personally feels the Mayor has the power to take action, if he so desires, and this was more or less indicated in a memo to the Board President regarding removal of Board members which Corporation Counsel staff member Robinson which stated the Mayor must take the first step in the process to remove any members, not this Board. That is the recommendation.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

<u>MR. BURKE</u> said once again, the time of 40 people is being taken up to hunt mosquitoes with a shotgun. If there is anything to be done on this, he feels it should be some other vehicle than this Board. Tonight we saw one instance of what this Board <u>should</u> be doing in passing Mr. Dudley's antiobscenity law. The fact that a few people may have to wait^amaximum of 32 minutes once a week to play golf is something that is beyond our purview. If these commissioners are misusingthe powers given them, then it is a matter for the Board of Ethics, and not this Board.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> Moved to have a meeting of the whole Board with the Parks and Recreation Committee inviting the five members of the E. Gaynor Brennan, Sr., Golf Commission to be interviewed as to their vote taken regarding this early teeing-off time, or freebie. (There was no Seconding Motion.)

Numerous times every one of these five commissioners have come before the Appointments Committee of their respective parties, as well as the Appointsment Committee of this Board. When any of these prospective appointees came before the Appointments Committee, they were asked if they believed in availing himself or herself of these "freebies", and every one always said they were against this. If this is not a special dispensation where they can come in and circumvent any member of the public before that person has a right to get a place in line behind those already there, and the Commissioner goes to the head of the line, then what do you call it? Not only is the Commissioner jumping to the head of the line, but he also is taking with him three or four other golfing colleagues, who may or may not be commissioners and they did not have to stand in line.

Why is this allowed? Why has not Chairman Gabe DeLuca said anything about this? Also, what is the extent of the "freebies", is it only jumping the line for preferred starting time (or just reserving it and not even having to line up); does it include free golfing, with no charge for playing, and no charge for the golf carts? What, exactly, is the extent of this?

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> answered Mr. Blum's comments by saying apparently Mr. Blum has been on vacation for the past four months. Perhaps Mr. Len Gambino of the radi station would make his tapes available for Mr. DeLuca's comments regarding the E. Gaynor Brennan Golf Commission policy....

<u>MR. BLUM</u> interjected here, saying why did Mr. DeLuca not make a presentation ... (and then the tape was flipped over.)

THE PRESIDENT ruled Mr. Blum out-of-order.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said how could he make any comments when his Committee has not yet taken any action. He operates by the majority vote of his Committee. If they recommend no action, he doesn't give a report.

<u>MRS. CONTI</u> said the problem is not as insignificant as some of the members thinks. She has received calls on this, and she wondered had Mr. DeLuca read the ordinance that created this golf commission, and is this matter addressed in the ordinance. If it is not, then it should be amended.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA said the Charter states that the E. Gaynor Brennan, Sr., Golf Commission is a five-person commission and they have the right to dictate their own policy; unlike the Parks Commission and the Board of Recreation, the Board of Representatives has the power given to them by Charter to over-rule decisions made by either of those departments. This is not the case with Gaynor Brennan Golf Commission.

MR. DUDLEY asked if any letter was sent to the Mayor to look into this matter any further at this point.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said as far as his Committee is concerned, they have not sent any letter, but John Pirre, who initiated the petition at Gaynor Brennan Golf Commission has sent numerous letters to the Mayor with copies usually sent to us. In fact, Mr. DeLuca has copy of the Mayor's latest response to Mr. Pirre dated Oct. 2nd stating the Board of Representatives has a process by which such a commissioner can be removed. However, Mr. DeLuca says this is not so and that the first step must be made by the Mayor. This Board acts after the Mayor has made his recommendations.

<u>MR. DUDLEY</u> said in view of the comments just made, he hopes the Parks and Recreation Committee will send such a letter to the Mayor, and send a letter to the full Board stating just what Mr. DeLuca has just said here tonight, so the proper procedure can be followed. Mr. Dudley said while he is not a golfer, he is a citizen of this town, and he would be extremely upset if preferential treatment were given to someone and he had to stand outside forhours to wait his turn in line. He said he does not know anything about the Gambino tapes, but would really be upset for this. We are here for the people of the City, not for ourselves, and he would have reservations if he is on the next Board and any one comes up for appointment to a golf commission, he would want to know what their intentions would be if a vote came up such as did in this case. He could not support any one who wanted preferential treatment and special privileges for him or herself.

<u>MR. LIVINGSTON</u> said we do have a Board of Ethics and he feels the proper procedure would be for those who feel they have a grievance to take it to the Ethics Committee. This Board should be a committee of last resort, rather than shooting off our guns now.

THE PRESIDENT said it is getting late, but the speakers should be shown the courtesy of being listened to.

This item is now off the agenda, unless someone wants to keep it on, and that should properly be placed in Steering.

MR. DeLUCA Moved to take Item #2 out of committee. Seconded. CARRIED.

(2) <u>REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER</u> on Bedford Street from Oct. 6th to Oct. 20, 1985 to publicize "Magic World Oct. 19th & 20th", from Children's Learning Center of Stamford. Requested by Hal Minot, Magic World Promotion Chairman, Children's Learning Center of Stamford, c/o Merrill Lynch Equity Mgmt., Inc., 4 Landmark Sq., 06901, 8/28/85.

MR. DeLUCA Moved for approval of Item #2. Seconded. APPROVED by voice vote.

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA Moved to take Item #3 out of committee. Seconded. CARRIED.

(3) <u>REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER</u> on Summer Street from April 19th to May 3, <u>1986</u> to publicize art show and children's craft fair, from Long Ridge School. Requested by Guy A. Bailey, Headmaster, Long Ridge School, 478 Erskine Rd., Stamford 06903, 8/22/85.

MR. DeLUCA Moved for approval of Item #3. Seconded. APPROVED by voice vote.

REQUEST TO SUSPEND RULES TO CONSIDER TWO ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:

MR. DeLUCA Moved to Suspend the Rules to take up request to hang a banner from the United Way Campaign. Seconded. CARRIED.

(4) <u>REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER</u> on Bedford Street to advertise the United Way Campaign - from Oct. 21st to Dec. 4, 1985 - per request from Therese Dunn, Dir., Comm. & Mktg., 9/18/85 letter.

MR. DeLUCA Moved to approve Item #4. Seconded. APPROVED by voice vote.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> Moved to Suspend the Rules to take up request to approve Parks Dept. fees for winter storage at marina at Czescik Park. Seconded. CARRIED.

(5) REQUEST TO APPROVE PARKS DEPT. FEES FOR WINTER STORAGE AT MARINA AT CZESCIK for winter storage from November to April.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> Moved to approve Item #5. Seconded. Everyone received a letter from the Parks Dept. regarding winter storage. For medium slips, it is \$200 for the year, and large slips \$300. There will be 81 slips, and the revenue generated will be approximately \$18,000, and the demand is there for this. APPROVED voice vote.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> said the Christmas Party for the Board will be held on Sunday, December 8, 1985, at 6:00 P.M., at Taranto's, and thanks to the kidness and generosity of Mr. Taranto, the proprietor, the cost will be \$15.00 per person. This includes a family-style buffet with wine, pastry, cheese and crackers before the main dinner. We will provide our own entertainment, as in the past.

THE PRESIDENT said the pictures from the softball game have been developed and are on the wall near the clock. There is also a picture on each desk of the group at the game. Thanks to Mr. DeLuca for his report.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

MRS. NAKIAN said the Committee did not meet this month, and she would hold the item in committee.

 <u>REIMBURSEMENT FOR OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES</u> for members of the Board of Representatives and members of the Board of Finance. Requested by David I. Blum, 12th District 7/29/85. Held in Steering 8/22/85.

HELD IN COMMITTEE.

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

MR. WIDER said he has no Committee Report, but he does have a matter of real serious concern which is that Broadmoor Housing is not moving. It is time to get a report.

THE PRESIDENT said a request should be made to put it on the next Steering Agenda.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

MR. MALLOZZI said there is no report.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said when this was put on the Agenda, she had asked that it be for a report only. It was not necessary for the Committee to investigate anything. Is it in order for her to give a report since it does not say so on the Agenda? The President said yes.

(1) <u>CONTINUING REVIEW OF MATTER OF ORDER OF WILLIAM BELL LEVINE TO</u> <u>ABATE POLLUTION; REGARDING STATE OF CONNECTICUT vs. LEVINE:</u> <u>DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ORDER 7/7/84</u>. Submitted by Rep. Audrey Maihock, EPC Chairwoman 12/19/84. Reports made 2/6, 3/12, and 8/13/84. Held on Pending Agenda since 8/13/84.

MRS. MAIHOCK said a closure plan is being put into effect in this area with the fill deposit. It was estimated that the dumped fill was 10 acres, 10 feet deep. A closure plan means that a soil covering will be placed over the deposited fill. The State has approved using excavated material from a pond to be deposited over the fill area. Other approved material will be put over this layer, then a layer of vegetation will be planted including ground cover, shrubs, and trees. It is believed extensive root systems will utilize and filter surface water and promote ecologic stability. Nevertheless, since only a few test wells were used to determine whether to effect a closure plan, we may not know if the fill is free from potential hazard. Of concern to the residents is that maybe long-term testing of this fill will not be assured. At the present, six monitor wells and six surface water sites have been chosen for continued observation.

. . .

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. MAIHOCK went on to say that these sites are Wells #1, located in New York; #2, located in Connecticut; #3, located in Connecticut; #4, located in New York; #6, located in Connecticut; #7, located in New York. The vernal pool, the culvert adjacent to the access road at both the state line and where it empties into the wetlands, at the pond north of the Beson Property, and at the stream running south from the pond before it passes the north side of this accessway. All the samples are to be analyzed for the volatile hydrocarbons, volatile organics previously sampled, and the following inorganic parameters: pH total dissolved solves, alkalinity, iron, manganese, chlorides, and chemical oxygen demand. The monitored sitesshall be sampled quarterly for the first year. At the completion of that test period, the results of the monitoring program will be evaluated. At that time, the future frequency of sampling and the analytical parameters required shall be determined. And this latter situation that she thinks is of concern to the residents, and they would like to have long-term testing continued.

MR. BLUM asked what does her report have to do with Item #1 on the Agenda.

MRS. MAIHOCK said that just as she explained, this was a very large fill deposit, and in order, according to the concept that is used here, for the fill not to be subjected to the elements, they decided that it should have what they call a closure plan put into effect. It is effected in the way that she explained, so that they feel if it has vegetation growing on it, it has soil over it, perhaps the percolation through the soil will not be as rapid, and it will not be harmful should there be any material in there which might be harmful to people generally.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

MR. DAVID MARTIN said his Committee met on Monday, Sept. 30, 1985, at 7:30 at the Board of Education Bldg. Present were David Martin, Cadie Vos, and Audrey Maihock, all Committee members. Also present were Maria Nakian and Robert Skovgaard. Invited guests included Jay Sandak and Paul Disario regarding issues on the Transportation Center. Several hearings have been held on this issue previously.

Most recently the City signed an agreement with the FRA, which provides for several things. First, the FRA will provide the funds to complete Transportation Center. The FRA is to take over the construction management responsibility. The FRA also indemnifies the City for delay claims by O&G, the contractor. He was technically the contractor from the City. The FRA will provide up to \$10.4 Million to complete the project, and in this regard the City has waived its right to seek claims againstany parties for construction problems, provided of course that the funds required do not exceed \$10.4 Million.

The FRA has agreed to the City's position that all design fixes will comply both in form and in substance with the original design objectives. That is to say there will be no changes permitted in the Transportation Center that would materially alter the final appearance of the structure. And secondly, the project will comply with all State and City building codes. These, in fact, were important issues that the Transportation Center's final appearance will be as it was originally designed, and that it will comply with all building codes. In order to accomplish those objectives, some parts of the

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DAVID MARTIN went on to say: structure will have to be demolished and reconstructed. Jay Sandak and Paul Disario were unable to provide any estimate of when construction would resume or when the project would be completed. Part of this is because the FRA is now responsible for managing the construction. The FRA is negotiating with 0&G to settle the delay claims and resume construction. The Committee Chairman, and I believe the Committee would share this concern, expressed the concern that we still had no firm estimate for when the project. would be completed. The Committee also suggested that efforts should be directed to complete the parking garage as soon as possible so that it could be available to the public.

In summary, the contract signed will not necessarily mean that the City will not be engaged in litigation regarding this project sometime in the future. What it does do, however, is remove the major obstacles to completing the project and providing the City will receive the Transportation Center as it was originally proposed.

MRS. MAIHOCK said she spoke out at that meeting for the safety and convenience of commuters, and an experience this past Sunday reinforced her concern that there must be the utmost safety provided for persons attempting to get to the train platform. One of the means of getting to the platform is by way of a very steep stairway and then a steep downward slope. An elderly lady was wending her way carefully up the stairway ahead of Mrs. Maihock, and Mrs. Maihock hopes this stairway is not used in slippery weather as she fears for the safety of persons using this stairway.

MR. WIDER asked if the Committee discussed the development of the area surrounding that railroad station to make sure there are places there for people to use 24 hours a day.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said no, that was not part of the Agenda objectives and that was not discussed at all. He does agree with Mr. Wider that that is a fair consideration and probably should be taken up immediately by the 19th Board of Representatives.

That completes the report.

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

HOUSE COMMITTEE

MR. RYBNICK said he has no report.

MR. WIDER said he would throw a little something to the House Committee to see if they could get some decal or sign for the Board members would know their cars are there outside the building at night so they could drive up. He has had a little problem with people freezing him out.

THE PRESIDENT suggested that Mr. Wider talk to Mr. Rybnick about that.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

<u>MRS. McINERNEY</u> said the Committee met on Sept. 12, 1985 with Committee members present: Tom Burke, John Mallozzi, Maria Nakian, Jerry Livingston, and Barbara McInerney. A representative from the Law Department, Richard Robinson. Before them was the review, discussion, and research on the codification project. A second meeting was scheduled for Sept. 30th, but there was no quorum atthat meeting. A meeting was scheduled prior to the Board meeting this evening, Oct. 7th, so they could present for publication an ordinance regarding the enactment of a new Code of Ordinances, and recodification of the City's Charter.

The Committee plans to conduct a public hearing on the issue of codification and the proposed changes once they have met and set a date. They are planning to meet this next Wednesday at 10:00 A.M. in the Republican Caucus Room, again with two representatives of the Law Dept., Mr. Hennessey and Mr. Robinson, to the up any loose ends they might have with the working manuscript.

Mrs. McInerney has one Code, a master manuscript, which she will leave in the office this evening for anyone to look at prior to the public hearing. She will incorporate within them the changes of the items that are proposed for the codification project, as well as each member this evening got a copy of recommended changes that will also be in the front of this book.

There have actually been no substantive changes for the City's legislation made as part of this initial project except for the deletion of certain legislation deemed to be so obsolete or superseded by Connecticut General Statutes, or in some cases, unconstitutional.

Our new Code of Ordinances as proposed by the General Code Corp. will consist of two main parts: Part I deals with the administrative legislation and incorporates several aspects of the administrative duties of the City, i.e., General Provisions, Administration, Authorities, Boards, and Commissions, City-owned Property, Elections, Code of Ethics, City-owned Facilities, Finances, the Fire Department, Acquisition of Land, Officers and Employees, Personnel Policies, Police Department, Regional Planning Agency, and the Transit District.

Part II of the new Code deals with general legislation and is listed alphabetically as follows: Advertising, Aircraft, Air Pollution, Alarm Systems, Alcoholic Beverages, Amusements, Auctions, Bingo, Boats, Hazardous Buildings, Contractors' Curfew, Dogs and Other Animals, Excavation Fees, Fire Protection, Flood Plains, Food Sales, Fumigators, Garbage, Rubbish and Refuse, Hairdressers and Cosmeticians, Health Standards, Housing Standards, Junk and Secondhand Merchandise, Licensing, Littering, Loitering, Microwave Transmitters, Noise, Numbering of Buildings, Parental Responsibility, Parks and Recreation, Peace and Good Order, Peddlers, Hawkers and Solicitors, Personal Property, Pigeons, Prostitution, Public Gatherings, Records, Refrigeration, Rental Properties, Storage of Salt, Sewers, Shellfish, Special Sales, Streets and Sidewalks, Swimming Pools, Taxation, Taxicabs, Trees and Shrubs, Vehicles and Traffic, Water Emergency, Weapons, and Weights and Measures.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McInerney went on to say that she would again indicate that a copy of the master ordinance workbook with index titles will be available in the office, the new index title as proposed would be on the right-hand corner. She would wish that there were copies available to each member of theBoard and adequate copies for the examination by the community. However, we only have the copies given to us by General Code for the Committee, as well as makinga few copies so each member of the Committee could have a working copy.

If there are any questions, Mrs. McInerney will entertain them; if not, she would Move for publication of a proposed ordinance adopting and enacting a new Code of Ordinances for the City of Stamford, establishing the same, providing for the repeal of certain ordinances not included therein, except as herein expressly provided for, providing for the effective date of such Code and penalty for the violation thereof, and providing for the manner of amending such Code, and providing when this ordinance shall become effective, and she so Moves. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said she will not ask Mrs. McInerney to repeat that report. Since she sees no hands, a voice vote was called for. <u>APPROVED</u> by voice vote.

(2) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND ENACTING A NEW CODE OF ORDINANCES FOR THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT; ESTABLISH-ING THE SAME PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN, EXCEPT AS HEREIN EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR; PROVID-ING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SUCH CODE, AND A PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF AMENDING SUCH CODE; AND PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE. Submitted by Rep. Barbara McInerney, Co-Chairperson, Charter Revision and Ordinance Committee 8/22/85. Held in Committee 9/9/85.

<u>MRS. McINERNEY</u> wished to note that the last codification was done in November of 1972. From all her research of previous minutes, she can say the Code was never truly examined as has been examined in the last several months. She hopes that once this project is completed, that this will be an on-going job of the Board of Representatives and that they will live up to the mandated Section of the Connecticut General Statutes which requires this Board to do every two years. When she started this project, it was probably a dream and an idealistic point of view that it could be finished in plain, simple, English language. However, it was entirely too cumbersome, the lack of time from which it had been done previously was too long, and the initial clean-up job had to be done now. She wishes that she could give the public something that they could understand readily. Unfortunately, it doesn't look like that will totally be the case.

THE PRESIDENT said the 18th Board can certainly be proud of this accomplishment, once they go for final adoption. It is really a significant work and the Committee as chaired by Mrs. McInerney and Mr. Livingston has certainly done an outstanding job. It is really a very complicated, complex process.

. :

37.

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

MR. LYONS said no report.

LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE

MR. BOCCUZZI said there was no report.

RESOLUTIONS

(1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION CHANGING DATE OF REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING FOR NOVEMBER FROM NOVEMBER 4, 1985 to WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1985.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to approve the Resolution, Item #1. Seconded. APPROVED voice vote, with several in opposition.

(2) <u>SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION HONORING MR. ERIC STROM</u> on the occasion of his historic Bar Mitzvah in Cracow, Poland, on Saturday, September 7, 1985. Submitted by Reps. Morris, Blum, David Martin, Maihock, Burke, 9/17/85.

MR. MORRIS said this Resolution speaks for itself, Moved. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

PETITIONS - None.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES

September 9, 1985 Regular Board Meeting Minutes.

Moved, Seconded, APPROVED, voice vote.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS

THE PRESIDENT said with a great deal of sadness that the Chair accepts the resignation of our Researcher, Sherry Dorfman. It will be a great loss.

. .

NEW BUSINESS - None.

OLD BUSINESS - None.

ADJOURNMENT:

38.

There being no further business to come before the Board, upon Motion duly Moved, Seconded, and APPROVED, the Meeting adjourned at 11:50 P.M.

Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Assistant (and Recording Secretary) Board of Representatives City of Stamford, Connecticut

APPROVED:

andra Goldstøin, President

18th Board of Representatives

SG:HMM Encls. 11 pages of Agenda 3 pages of Voting Record 9 pages of Ordinances 17 pages of Resolutions 28 pages of dialogue 68 (there is a page 20 & 20-A)

$\overline{3}$			39
STAMFORD BOARD	OF REPRESENTATIVES -	REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING MONDAY, OCT	2. 7, 19
10/07/85 21:05	:55		
NUMBER PRESENT	= 36 Present; 4 Absent-	-(at 9:10 P.M 37 Present, 3 abser	ıt; Zelin
Y 1 MCGRATH	Y 11 SANTY Y 21	LIVINGSTON N 31 ZELINEKI	came
Y 2 NAKCAN	Y 12 MARTIN DAVED Y 22	MALLOY Y 32 DUDLEY	
Y 3 MATHOCK	Y 13 GURDIAN Y 23	FISHMAN Y 33 POWERS	
Y 4 WIGER L	Y 14 CENTE Y 24	Donahue x 34 Santagata	
Y 5 TARANTO		PERILLO MILDRED Y 33 AUSTIN	
Y S LYENS		SIGNORE Y 35 JACHIMCZK	
Y 7 3196		GLOVER Y 37 RINALDI	
Y & RYENICK		MARTIN TERSENCE N 38 DAENS	
Y S HALLOZZI		MARIS Y J9 BOLLUZZI	
Y 12 DEUCA		SKOVGARAD Y 48 COLDSTEIN	229 2225 2
ATTENDANCE CODE:	Y = Present : VOTING COD	E: Y = Yes	n an an an an an an an an an
	N = Absent	N = No	
		A = Abstain	
		dash - = Non-Voting	
		star * = Absent from Meeting	

At this point, the printer was not operable and did not print. It was adjusted and began to print as below - at about 9:25 P.M. The printer also did not print the machine test votes (Yes, No, Abstain).

At 9:10 John Zelinski, Voting Position #31 came in, making attendance 37.

and in

While the tally of votes was shown on the display board of the computer, the printer did no record the individual member's votes for <u>Mr. Irving Blum</u> for appointment to the <u>Planning Board</u>. The vote was 27 Yes, 6 No. and 4 Abstentions. Mr. Irving Blum was APPROVED as a member of <u>Planning Board</u>. <u>Flanning Board</u>.

 STAMFORD BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES10/07/85 21:30:04

 VOTE #2
 YES 18
 NO 17
 ABSTAIN 1 NOTVOTING 1

 Motion to Hold in Committee(by Scott Morris) apptmt. of Zimmerman for EPB. APPROVED (Apptmt #4

 1 2 3 4 5 5 7 3 5 33 11 12 15 14 15 15 17 18 19 33 21 22 23 24 25 25 37 38 35 36 37 38 39 40

 Y Y N - N Y N N Y N N N N N N Y N Y S Y Y N N Y Y * N Y * Y N Y * N Y