

MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1985

18th Board of Representatives

Stamford, Connecticut

A regular monthly meeting of the 18th Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 1985, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board, in the Municipal Office Building, Second Floor, 429 Atlantic Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

The meeting was called to order at 8:50 P.M. by President Sandra Goldstein, after both political parties had met in caucus.

INVOCATION was given by the Rev. Clarence Whitehead, Pastor of Friendship Baptist Church, 267 Fairfield Avenue, Stamford.

"May we bow our heads. Our Father and our God in whom we move and have our being; Oh God of our hearts, we thank Thee for this day, for the blessings which have been ours to have received, whether those blessings were little or great. But above all, oh Lord, we thank Thee for America, the land in which we live. We thank Thee for this State, and for this City, and for the wisdom that has gone into the democratic process whereby we can have transition peacefully, evolution and not revolution. We ask, oh God, that Thou would bless these members of the Board of Representatives. We appreciate that which they have done and that which they will do for the citizenry of Stamford. To those who come on and to pick up the past that those who leave, we pray, oh Lord, that Thou would give them strength, that they may move rightly and do the things which would benefit the citizenry once again of Stamford. We know that it is a difficult task, oh Lord, that we cannot do it alone, so in the words of Lincoln, I would say as he said "I have often found myself driven to my knees by the overwhelming conviction that my faith, that my wisdom is not enough alone and that the wisdom around me is inadequate to adequately fill or suit the need of the day." And, so I would say to these members of the Board of Representatives as they confront the awesome task of representing the citizenry of Stamford that they would, too, go to their knees once in a while, and look to that higher source from whence cometh all wisdom. We ask special blessing upon these assembled here and their homes, oh Lord, bless them, bless their work, bless their endeavors, and bless the City of Stamford, Connecticut. Amen."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by President Sandra Goldstein.

ROLL CALL was taken by Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville. There were 35 Present and 5 Absent. The absent members were Reps. Lyons, Livingston, Glover, Santagata, and Owens.

The CHAIR declared a QUORUM.

MR. BLUM made a Point of Information. He asked what the wall behind the assemblage was doing there. Is this a divided Board? Is this East Stamford and West Stamford? They call that the Berlin Wall.

THE PRESIDENT said she would answer Mr. Blum's question after the machine test vote was completed.

MACHINE TEST VOTE was conducted by the President and found to be in good working order. However, the microphones need attention.

MOMENTS OF SILENCE

For the late JANE DOHERTY, R. N., of 34 Forest Lawn Ave., who had lived in Stamford for over 60 years. She practiced her profession to the time of her death, being an extremely dedicated person. Mr. Dudley will miss her as a relative of his. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley.

For the late JOHN JACK SELMER, Chief of the Springdale Volunteer Fire Company, Inc. Mr. Selmer passed away at the age of 47 on Tuesday, Oct. 22, 1985. He was a life-long resident of Stamford. He was one of the most dedicated, hard-working, and caring public servants. This Board extends its sympathy to his wife, Faith, his sons, Robert and Jay, his daughters, Laurie and Dawn, his granddaughter, Pamela, his parents, Stephen and Elizabeth, and his colleagues and friends of the Springdale Fire Company. He will be greatly missed. Submitted by Rep. Scott Morris.

For the late MICHAEL VIGGIANO of Rowayton, who had lived in Stamford for 49 years. He was a most competent musician and teacher of music. Submitted by Rep. David Blum.

For the late LEON KLINGHOFFER, who tragically lost his life when the Achille Lauro was hijacked. Submitted by Rep. Claire Fishman.

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT

THE PRESIDENT commented that with the addition of the wall at the back of the room, every sound is now heard that anybody is whispering to a friend. If members wish to speak and not officially, please leave the floor because it will be extremely distracting.

REMARKS BY THE PRESIDENT (continued)

THE PRESIDENT: "It is hard to believe that the 18th Board will be holding its final session tonight. One wonders where two years went. For me, personally, it was two wonderful years. This has been an extraordinary Board, conscientious, active, inquiring, and you have accomplished so much. For me, it has been a joy working with you, and the 19th Board will have very, very, very hard shoes to fill. For many of us, it is a time of great joy. We've run. We've won. For others, not such joy. For those who have not won, it is a great loss to us all and to the City. I am now going to recognize Ms. Summerville who would like to make a Motion."

MS. SUMMERVILLE Moved to SUSPEND THE RULES to take up an item not on the Agenda. It is a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said unfortunately, the 18th Board will be losing a lot of their members tonight for one reason or another. This proposed resolution has been written to each individual member who is not coming back. Ms. Summerville will read one of them to give the members an idea of what the resolution states, and the names of the members receiving them.

The Sense-of-the-Board Resolutions are being given to Reps. Alfred Perillo, Joseph Santagata, Bobby Owens, John Mallozzi, Mary Jane Signore, Cadie Vos, Betty Conti, Robert Skovgaard, Robert Austin, Brien Malloy, Grace Guroian, and Robert "Gabe" DeLuca.

THE PRESIDENT asked that those who approved the resolutions to say "Aye". Passed by acclamation. She said all of these members will be missed and the Board would not be the same without this marvelous group.

MRS. GUROIAN thanked the members of the Board for this gracious accolade. She has misgivings about accepting it because she was elected to do a job for her District and she did it to the best of her ability. She hopes her constituents recognize that she put every effort into it, but also appreciates the good-will of her fellow members of the Board, but she would rather have the good-will of her constituents.

THE PRESIDENT reminded the members of the Legislative Seminar on Nov. 16th. It will be held at the Sheraton Hotel and will be an extraordinarily informative, interesting session; much more data will follow, and will be really productive for everyone.

The President read a letter she received from Rep. Mary Jane Signore:

"It will be necessary for me to abstain any and all times presented to us this evening. This is due to the recent ruling of Judge Martin Nigro designating my position of 16 years as a Speech and Language Pathologist with the Dept. of Health as a classified position. In view of this and in order not to be in conflict-of-interest or give the appearance of such, I will abstain on all items at this, my last meeting. My thanks to you, my fellow Board members, and the people of the 18th District for this opportunity to serve my community for the past 6 years. I wish the new Board and my husband well. Santy and Signore will continue." (Signed Mary Jane Signore)

The President said the wall is a fire-wall. It was put up upon the orders and design specifications of engineers who are hired specifically to begin the fire safety improvement for the building. It looks like a perfect object for graffiti.

STANDING COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE - Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved to Waive the Reading of the Steering Committee Report. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

HMM:MS

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT

The Steering Committee met on Wednesday, October 16, 1985, in Conference Room II in the Board of Education Administration Building located on Hillandale Avenue. The meeting was called to order at 7:35 p.m., at which time a quorum was present, by Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein.

PRESENT AT THE MEETING:

Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman	Robert Skovgaard	Audrey Maihock
Donald Donahue	Scott Morris	John Mallozzi
Mary Lou Rinaldi	Maria Nakian	Annie Summerville
Robert "Gabe" DeLuca	Mildred Perillo	Len Gambino, WSTC
Lathon Wider	Alfred Perillo	John Seward, Weekly Mail
Lois Santy	James Dudley	Anne Kachaluba

1. APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were three of the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was John M. Zimmerman for the Environmental Protection Board. After Suspension of the Rules approved, ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the item on a Report on Discussion of City and Town Committees' Appointments Procedures.

2. FISCAL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA were all 12 items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED to be divided into two parts was the item concerning \$93,720.00 Dept. of Public Works to cover maintenance expenses for Belltown School. ORDERED OFF THE PENDING STEERING AGENDA was the item for \$21,390.00 salary increases for various Classified non-union administrators.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)3. LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was one of the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was one item on the Pending Steering Agenda being for final adoption, proposed ordinance Allocation of Funds for Works of Art in Municipal Buildings carrying out provisions of CGS 7-122B. ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA to be put on a separate list for the 19th Board were two items: For publication, proposed ordinance Amending Ord. No. 514 regarding Demolition Board to include a member of the Big Five Volunteer Fire Departments, and a members of an historical or architectural community group, and For publication, proposed ordinance Concerning the problem of graffiti. Also, ordered on the list was an item from the Pending Steering Agenda For publication, as amended, proposed ordinance Concerning the abatement of taxes on structures of historical or architectural merit. ORDERED moved to the Transportation Committee was the Proposed lease between the City and DeLeuw, Cather/Parsons to lease office space in the Rice School.

4. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was one item appearing on the Pending Steering Agenda and that was a Report on Discussion on Revision of New Proposed Merit Rules. ORDERED OFF THE PENDING STEERING AGENDA was the item For final adoption, proposed ordinance Designating Positions Created or Funded by a Grant as Unclassified. ORDERED OFF THE ADDENDA was the item regarding Slotting for Scotty Johnstone and Carmine Longo, employees of the Department of Traffic and Parking.

5. PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

6. PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was an item appearing on the Pending Steering Agenda; Proposed resolution approving the transfer of jurisdiction of Belltown School from the Board of Education to the Public Works Department.

7. HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

ORDERED OFF THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. ORDERED OFF the Pending Steering Agenda was the Matter of Litter.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

9. EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT (continued)

10. HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

11. URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

Suspension of the Rules approved to place an item on the Agenda, and that item being a Report.

12. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

13. TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was an item moved from the Legislative and Rules Committee and that item was the proposed lease between the City and DeLeuw, Cather/Parsons to lease office space in Rice School.

14. HOUSE COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

15. CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

16. COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

17. LABOR CONTRACT LIAISON COMMITTEE

No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

18. RESOLUTIONS

ORDERED ON THE AGENDA was an item appearing on the Addenda; Sense-of-the-Board Resolution Honoring the Pilots and Crew of the U.S.S. Saratoga.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Steering Committee, upon a motion made, seconded, and approved, the meeting was adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

SG:ak

SANDRA GOLDSTEIN, CHAIRWOMAN
STEERING COMMITTEE

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE

MRS. PERILLO said the Appointments Committee met Oct. 24, 1985 at 7:30 P.M. at Hillandale Avenue. Present were Gabe DeLuca, Ruth Powers, John Boccuzzi, and Millie Perillo. Also present were Sandra Goldstein, Ellen Camhi, Rose Grosso, Connie Brandi, Tom Pia, and John Roman. There was no quorum, therefore, Mrs. Perillo Moved to take Item #3 out of committee. She will make a report on Items 1 and 2.

- (1) EVALUATION OF MEMBERS OF VARIOUS BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS. Submitted by Rep. Mildred Perillo, Chairwoman, Appointments Committee 7/24/85. Held in Committee 8/12/85. Held in Steering 8/22 and 9/18/85.

MRS. PERILLO said on Item #1, they have set a date of Nov. 21st at 7:45 P.M.

- (2) REQUEST FOR THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES TO INITIATE PROCEEDINGS TO REMOVE MR. RICHARD VAUGHT FROM THE FAIR RENT COMMISSION. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 8/19/85. Held in Steering 8/22/85. Held in Committee 10/7/85.

MRS. PERILLO said on Item #2, the hearing has been rescheduled for Nov. 21st at 7:30 P.M.

MRS. PERILLO Moved to take Item #3 out of committee and on to the floor for consideration. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

This item is for publication of a proposed ordinance. There are two minor changes. On Page 1, under 3b, fourth line, which states "among themselves, a Chairman", this is to be changed to "Chairperson". Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

The other change is that the next word after that will be "vice chairperson" and she so Moved. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MRS. McINERNEY said that 3b, fourth line, should also be changed to read "chairperson" instead of "chairman", and "vice Chairperson" instead of "vice chairman", in order to be consistent with the entire ordinance, and she so Moved. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MR. BLUM Moved to Waive Publication. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MRS. PERILLO Moved for final adoption of the ordinance, as amended. CARRIED, voice vote.

FINAL ADOPTION, AS AMENDED -

- (3) FOR-PUBLICATION- - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORD. #286 CREATING ALTERNATES FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/8/85/

APPROVED, VOICE VOTE.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE (continued)

- (4) REPORT ON DISCUSSION OF CITY AND TOWN COMMITTEES' APPOINTMENTS PROCEDURES. Submitted by Rep. Mildred Perillo, Chairwoman, Appointments Committee 10/16/85.

MRS. PERILLO said they met with both Democratic and Republican leaders. There was great in-put from both sides and they are inviting both Democratic and Republican leaders back on Nov. 21st so they can get first-hand information on the evaluation of the boards and commissions that the Committee will be interviewing.

THE PRESIDENT thanked Mrs. Perillo for the many hours that Mrs. Perillo put in as chairwoman of the Appointments Committee and for the innovations she made in considering these unusual kinds of items.

FISCAL COMMITTEE

MR. DONAHUE said the Committee met on Wednesday, October 30, 1985, at 7:30 P.M., and again this evening for both the public hearing and the meeting. In attendance were Reps. Mallozzi, Rinaldi, Vos, Conti, Lyons, Rybnick, Donahue. He Moved to the Consent Agenda Items #4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11.

Mr. Donahue said on Item #1, the meeting will be held at Dolan School as that is the only available building now with sufficient parking. It will be on Nov. 12th at 7:30 P.M. Continuing Adult Education and other events are using many of the facilities.

- (1) MATTER OF PUBLIC HEARING SET FOR NOV. 12, 1985, LOCATION TO BE DETERMINED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SOLICITING IN-PUT REGARDING THE ISSUE OF CITY HALL. Submitted by Rep. John Zelinski, and co-sponsored by Reps. Nakian, Dudley, Burke, Vos, Conti, Guroian, DeLuca, Maihock, David Martin, McInerney, Santy, and Signore. Sense-of-the-Board Resolution approved 9/9/85. Held in Committee 10/7/85.

HELD - PUBLIC HEARING NOV. 12, 1985 DOLAN MIDDLE SCHOOL, 7:30 P.M.

- (2A) \$375,000.00 - PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET FOR A PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS #110,489 - Additional Appropriation for proposed resolution and agreement concerning the approval of purchase of premises located at 54 West Main Street, Stamford, from Nick J. Downer and Ruth M. Downer by City of Stamford for the purchase price of \$350,000.00; \$20,000.00 to be used for demolition; and \$5,000.00 to be used for a fence. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/16/85. Planning Board approved 5/21/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85. Returned to Committee 10/7/85.

Above also referred to PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said the Committee met last week and the area residents came in, including Mrs. Farrington, and other area residents. The Committee tried to address the problems that were raised specifically would this property become another Carwin Park. There is a report from Finance Commissioner Paul Pacter, which talks of short-term goals and long-term goals for this property. This answered the questions that were raised by area residents quite well, and for this reason and because of the opportunity to purchase this property at this time, which may not exist in the future, the Committee voted 6 in favor, one opposed, and one abstention, to recommend approval of this purchase, and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. WHITE said the Planning and Zoning Committee concurred.

MRS. CONTI spoke against the appropriation. Those members of the public who came to the meeting were home-owners in the area and were very strongly opposed to this purchase. They objected to a park in the area for what appeared to be good reasons. She cannot vote against the homeowners in any area when something is going to affect them; it is really up to them, and rightly so, whether or not they want it. She would hate to have anyone vote against the homeowners in her district, and she would not go against any homeowner in the City.

MS. RINALDI said she would like to clarify what went on that evening. Three people came to address the Fiscal Committee, people who had property that was across the river on Clinton Avenue. What their objections were had nothing to do with the acquisition of the Downer Property. They were most concerned about, and rightly so, was what was going on at the Shelter for the Homeless, and how that property was not being taken care of. That's one of the reasons why she asked Mr. Pacter to give the Board some assurances in writing that once the property and building was acquired and leveled, it would be taken care of, that Public Works and the Police and any other City departments that would be involved, would take care of the property, so that the same kind of mistakes that were made at Carwin Park and with the Shelter for the Homeless would not happen again.

MR. DeLUCA agrees with Rep. Conti and wishes to speak out against purchasing this piece of property for several reasons. If memory serves him correctly, the Board had the same verbal assurances that Carwin Park was an ideal place for a neighborhood park there, there would be no problems, the curfews were changed several times, yet as everyone knows, Carwin Park is a disaster area. Second, although only three residents attended the meeting, but outside of City officials who voiced their opinions in favor, no one else spoke in favor. He agrees with the residents who are against it, and petitioned against it.

This is a valuable piece of property going off the tax rolls. Mr. DeLuca also has a hang-up with some of Mr. Pacter's remarks in his 11/4/85 letter. His letter came to us after the meeting and the residents did not receive it or have any opportunity to respond to it, or ask further questions. Why did this information not come up at the public hearing as it certainly was not made up over night since it mentions short and long-range plans. Why were not the residents advised of these long and short-range plans for the future of their neighborhood and backyards? These taxpayers may have the same questions that Mr. DeLuca has.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DeLUCA went on to say he also has a hang-up in these short and long-range plans where Mr. Pacter states the City will be purchasing the Scalzi Property for \$550,000. Why Scalzi Paint, who paid approximately \$500,000 for this piece of property, two or three years down the road, would sell it for \$550,000, is something I find hard to understand. Land escalates like crazy in this town. A friend just bought a condo the other day and here it has already gone up \$20,000. Is it possible Scalzi would agree to something where they purchased something today for \$500,000 and already has agreed to sell it in two or three years for \$550,000. Logically, what has the City done to entice Scalzi Paint to agree to something like this? Has something been given to Scalzi for the next two or three years that will be costing the taxpayers? He feels this item should be rejected. Perhaps Scalzi will want to buy this piece of property themselves and expand, and then we would have something on the tax rolls.

Another hang-up Mr. DeLuca has is they keep saying this is going to be parkland, part of the green belt area. One only has to take a walk down our present green belt area and see the weeds, the high grass, and the lack of maintenance and care being tendered right now.

Our Parks Department has problems now taking care of the existing parks, and to purchase this land for the idea of a park, it is questionable that they will be able to maintain it. The best interests of the City can be served by rejecting this proposal and let someone come in and develop it, perhaps Scalzi may want it, and put it back on the tax rolls. Also, it is beginning to sound like a rather expensive project, \$375,000, plus \$550,000, just for a beginning, and what else is to be added for a small strip of land along the river.

MR. WIDER said he knows all the people who appeared before the committee. And he knows their concerns, but they were more than satisfied after they listened to the City officials who spoke in favor of this item. He has talked to several of them since, and visited the area since. He visited the Shelter for the Homeless also, and spoke to the director there. There are things that have to be done at the Shelter in the way of getting good management and direction, but we should not lose the opportunity to acquire this property now at this price. If we do, we have lost it forever. The plan put together for the green belt for the ten years past, will be blown away. Perhaps it should be acquired even if they build some housing there later. He believes the property should be acquired and preserved.

MR. SKOVGAARD agrees with Mr. Wider. He believes the property is worth substantially more than \$375,000 at the present time. He said Mr. Downer's counsel has told him they have had other offers which they are considering at this time which exceed the \$375,000. The people from that area with whom Mr. Skovgaard has spoken concerning this item are unanimously behind the acquisition of the property. The plan for the use over the next few years is a viable one, and the opportunity which could be lost if it is not passed tonight, is one that should not be lost. He urges approval.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM said our Planning Board of years ago decided to accept the Coastal Plan (CAM) of making a green belt from the Woodside Park or the Scalzi Park down to our harbor along the Rippowam River. It has taken ten years, and we bought dribs and drabs, but here is a large area which we have an opportunity to buy. To let it go, to put it on the market, to let developers build on it, enough is enough. It is time that we either have some housing in the City, or consider park area. If we don't save it today, it may be too late in the future. In New York, it looks very nice to see Central Park in the walled area, a nice park development, and we may get that in Stamford. Think positive. Vote positive. Vote today, at this our last meeting, for something positive.

MS. RINALDI said she has been on the Board for three terms, ^{and} if she has heard anything from her constituents, it is "isn't it a shame about West Main Street. What is going to be done about it?" The West Side is really starting to come back and there is some really positive effort on West Main Street. The acquisition of this property is really going to help. She urges a positive vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote. Mrs. Powers will not be voting, for the record. DEFEATED with 21 Yes, 12 No, 1 Abstention, 1 Non-Voting. This item requires a two-thirds' affirmative vote, being an additional appropriation to the budget. (End of Tape Side #1)

- (2B) APPROVAL TO FINANCE AN AMENDMENT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET FOR PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS #110.489 - purchase of premises at 54 West Main Street in the amount of \$375,000 from the Capital Non-recurring Fund. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/16/85. Planning Board approved 5/21/85. Board of Finance approved 9/12/85. Returned to Committee 10/7/85.

MR. DONAHUE said there is no point in taking up 2B at this time.

MOTION TO SUSPEND THE RULES TO TAKE UP AN ITEM OUT OF ORDER ON THE AGENDA

Motion made, Seconded, and APPROVED by voice vote.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

MR. PERILLO said the Public Works and Sewer Committee met on Oct. 30th at the Board of Education Bldg. on Hillandale Ave. Present were Committee members Betty Conti, John Zelinski, Brien Malloy and Al Perillo. Absent were Tom Burke, Joe Santagata, and Roger Taranto. Also present were DPW Comm. John O'Brien and Supt. of Bldgs. & Grounds John Strat.

The item being taken out of order on the agenda and to put it on the floor for action is the proposal of a resolution concerning the transfer of jurisdiction of Belltown School from the Board of Education to the Stamford Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE (continued)

- (1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION OF BELLTOWN SCHOOL FROM BOARD OF EDUCATION to CITY OF STAMFORD PUBLIC WORKS DEPT., pursuant to Ord. 144. Submitted by A. G. Grafton, Asst. to School Supt, 11/29/84. Held in Committee 1/7, 2/4, 3/4 and 4/1/85. Held on Pending Steering Agenda since 4/17/85.

MR. PERILLO Moved for approval of the proposed resolution. Seconded.

MRS. CONTI said the Committee was misled at their meeting, and she just learned tonight that was not true. Commissioner O'Brien informed them that the senior citizens who had been meeting at Belltown had been relocated to Glenbrook Community Center, but that is not true. She Moved to put this back into committee until the problem of relocating the senior citizens is resolved. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said that effectively, sending this back to committee kills the item for this Board and the whole thing will have to be re-proposed for the 19th Board.

MR. DUDLEY had a question of Mrs. Conti, asking where she got her information.

MRS. CONTI said it was in the Republican Caucus tonight. Very likely the large group of senior citizens in the gallery told them.

MR. BLUM said he will yield to Mr. Donahue, who has more information.

MR. DONAHUE said the senior citizens who had been meeting at Belltown have been temporarily relocated at the Belltown Fire House and have been meeting there. They have been left in limbo for a period of time by the non-action of the Board of Finance. It is necessary for the Public Works Dept. to take over the school before the senior citizens can be relocated back to Belltown.

MRS. GUROIAN asked where did Mr. Donahue get his information.

MR. DONAHUE said Mr. Massa in the audience told him. Mr. Massa is Executive Secretary of the group.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on returning this item to committee. DEFEATED. The item will not go back to committee, and the main motion will now be considered.

A machine vote on approving the transfer of the school back to Public Works. APPROVED with 29 Yes, 3 No, 3 Non-Voting.

MR. PERILLO said that concludes his report.

Above also referred to PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said Item 3B is to replace the two boilers at Belltown School. They are not operational, and there is a great deal of damage to them. About the worst thing that can be done to a building like Belltown is to leave it without heat through the course of a very cold winter. It would increase the deterioration of the building that would ordinarily occur by not using the building. The Committee voted 6-1 to recommend approval of this item.

MR. PERILLO said the Public Works Committee by a vote of 3 yes and one No approved this item.

MRS. McINERNEY asked Mr. Donahue if Mr. O'Brien did mention in their discussion that the senior citizens would be utilizing Belltown School in the future.

MR. DONAHUE said he believed that was the intent, or one of the intentions. It would probably be used for other community purposes also, and there might be the possibility of leasing some space out in the future.

MRS. McINERNEY asked about what has appeared in the media about a nursery school leasing some of that space, and whether Mr. O'Brien had any opinion on that matter.

MR. DONAHUE said it was not a question that they got into with the Commissioner. There are inquiries being made throughout the City for space, and possibly the same inquiries have been made about space in Belltown School. But that is something that would have to be negotiated in the future.

MRS. McINERNEY hopes this will be a multi-use school, as she feels senior citizens and the young nursery school children should co-mingle.

MRS. CONTI said once again they have not been given accurate information. In all the other schools, the systems have been drained, there is no heat provided in those buildings. Why now, suddenly, is there heat to be provided in what is supposed to be an empty school building. If the other buildings are not deteriorating, why would this one deteriorate without heat? This appropriation should not be made until it is known exactly to what use it is going to be put. She will vote against this.

MR. BLUM said he has been in the Belltown School to some of the senior citizen activities. There was a child care group on the lower floor at one time. He hopes the senior citizens will eventually go back into Belltown under lease. Day care centers might also be housed there. The boilers should be repaired or replaced.

MRS. MAIHOCK asked if the boilers were absolutely defunct, or can they be maintained until such time as the future use of the building is determined.

MR. DONAHUE said his information is that some of these boilers may not be able to be fired any more. They are very possibly hazardous to operate.

MR. ZELINSKI is 100% in favor of this item. Senior citizens should have places to meet. We owe it to them at the very least. He will vote yes.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BOCCUZZI is in favor of this item. If this building has a sprinkler system for fires, then heat will be required so the water pipes will not freeze. It will take time to schedule who will be using the building. While that is being decided is the time to make the necessary repairs. You don't hold up repairs until after the use of the building is decided. It takes a good six months to see an appropriation through from Planning Board, the Mayor, the Board of Finance, this Board, etc.

MR. WIDER said we are talking about people who paid for this school to be built. The boilers should be repaired and quickly, before the cold weather sets in.

THE PRESIDENT asked the people in the gallery to refrain from applauding or making other sounds that make it difficult for Board members.

MRS. VOS said that Public Works at the Fiscal Committee meeting stated it would take about \$400,000 to put this building back into shape. She would like to know more about that, what it would take, what needs to be done, why it will cost \$400,000 so that it can be used, etc.

MR. DONAHUE said that is nothing that he discussed with Public Works at all. What needs to be done in that building has not been considered by his Committee. He does remember a question about the roof being a problem. He has been in that building and it is dry. Perhaps it is paint and other kinds of repairs. It may need handicapped access. He does not have a detailed breakdown on the rehab of that building.

MRS. McINERNEY said Mrs. Vos has a good question, based on the experience with Martha Hoyt School. This is now a gorgeous building, after about \$350,000 to repair it. With Belltown, although she wants to see the seniors in there as soon as possible, she does not know if she can support a figure of \$400,000 without knowing the ramifications of what has to go into that building. Therefore, she moves that this go back into committee until they can determine what is needed to bring Belltown into compliance with Federal regulations for occupancy. Seconded.

MR. DONAHUE said he just spoke to Comm. Pacter and the \$400,000 has to do with the amount that Aid to Retarded was willing to spend to go into that building to replace the boilers, and for a 25-year lease. They would have done some reconstruction to make it accessible for the handicapped, and to run their programs. The senior citizens have been meeting there for quite some time now just as the building is now. If the use is not going to be expanded, the \$400,000 figure is no longer applicable.

MRS. McINERNEY said she is having difficulty with the whole thing. She would like the Motion to stand.

THE PRESIDENT said this is the second Motion to Re-commit. Neither this item, nor any other item, can be re-committed at this meeting to a committee for the 19th Board. She said in all of these matters, rather than re-commit, the proper thing to do is vote it down, and those interested parties who remain on the Board ask to have this considered on the next Board. This Board can do nothing in terms of influencing what is taken up in any committee on the next Board. She asked for the opinions of Mr. Skovgaard and Mr. Donahue on that.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BLUM Moved the Question. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said that was out-of-order.

MR. SKOVGAARD said he and Mr. Donahue have conferred. They think that perhaps the terminology is what is standing in the way. Re-committing something is really not an appropriate Motion because of what the President has stated. However, there might be alternative Motions which would have the same effect, although voting it down would have the same effect as the President stated. Perhaps a Motion to Table might be the same thing.

THE PRESIDENT said a Motion to Table would effectively do the same thing as voting it down.

MRS. McINERNEY said she would like to re-clarify her Motion and have it re-committed to the 19th Board for action at the December meeting. To defeat it tonight would start the entire process all over again and would probably take the Board back two months and that is not her intent. Her intent is to clarify what is actually needed.

THE PRESIDENT said the Motion actually is to send it back to committee and to recommend that Steering place^{it} on the agenda for Fiscal. That is really what the re-committing would be.

MRS. SANTY said her Seconding Motion stands for that, and there is no one in this room who would deny the use of this school to the senior citizens. However, there are so many questions before we finally approve this.

MR. BLUM Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on re-committing Motion. DEFEATED with 27 No, 7 Yes, 1 Non-Voting.

The Main Motion will now be considered.

MRS. GUROIAN said she is totally confused with one Committee telling her one thing, and another Committee telling her another. If this appropriation is approved, has Mr. Donahue been assured that the building will be ready to open again.

MR. DONAHUE said Mr. Strat, the Supt. of Bldgs. & Grounds, assured them (both Committees) that as soon as he gets the money, he's got the bid, and they will go out to work right away.

MRS. GUROIAN asked how long it would take to go through the bid process and get the boiler replaced. Will that be done before winter's end.

MR. PERILLO said they have all that information already as that is where they got the figure of \$68,650. They could occupy it now, but they just have to replace the boilers. Within two weeks the boilers should be in. They already have the bid. They just need the money to pay for it. Comm. O'Brien said occupancy date by the senior citizens is up to the Mayor. The Public Works Committee just heard, but were not assured of it.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. DONAHUE said that is clearly the intent of this action. When buildings change hands from the Board of Education to the Public Works Dept. they require the building be clear and ready to be taken back, and clear of tenants. In the last month or so, everyone in that building has been asked to move and relocate at least temporarily. That has been done. The senior citizens are meeting temporarily in the Belltown Fire House. They will be able to come back in, and the bidding process will continue, and the boilers will be replaced.

MRS. GUORIAN said since this is clearly the intent, and since her twenty years of experience with City Government assures her that intent does not always equate itself to reality, she will vote for this appropriation, but she would also like a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution stating that the members of this Board are voting for the appropriation with the understanding that, and urging that, the senior citizens be placed back in as soon as the building becomes habitable.

THE PRESIDENT said those sentiments are very apropos. She asked Mrs. Guroian to draw up something very quickly to that effect so they can vote on that as soon as the money is appropriated.

MR. BURKE said in light of the very recent developments, he does not know exactly what is going on, as he had intended to Move the Question. He will wait for the resolution.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the Main Motion. Seconded. APPROVED with 33 Yes, 1 No, and 1 Non-Voting. The \$68,650 is appropriated. The Chair will proceed to the next item and give Mrs. Guroian an opportunity to write up the resolution.

- (4) \$1,371,495.00 - LABOR NEGOTIATIONS - TEAMSTERS' LOCAL UNION #145 - Additional Appropriation requested to grant salary increases and related benefits as a result of negotiated contract covering period July 1, 1984 thru June 30, 1987. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/1/85. Board of Finance approved 10/10/85.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (5) \$ 79,000.00 - LABOR NEGOTIATIONS - BIG 5 VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS and STAMFORD AMBULANCE CORPS - Additional Appropriation requested to grant salary increases to paid members similar to those recently negotiated with Stamford Fire Dept. Mayor's request 10/1/85. Finance Board approved 10/10.
- | | |
|---|------------------|
| Code 470.3330 Belltown Fire Department | \$11,500. |
| Code 470.4350 Long Ridge Fire Department | 12,000. |
| Code 470.4380 Stamford Ambulance Corps. | 7,000. |
| Code 470.4340 Glenbrook Fire Department | 16,000. |
| Code 470.4360 Turn-of-River Fire Department | 32,500. |
| | <u>\$79,000.</u> |

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (6) \$ 500.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 550.3712 VACCINES AND SERUMS -
Additional Appropriation requested for Haemophilus
influenza type B vaccine. To be reimbursed by FEES.
Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/2/85. Board of
Finance approved 10/10/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (7) \$ 900.00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Code 550.2610 MAINTENANCE OF EQUIP-
MENT - Additional Appropriation requested for repairs
needed for Hematofluorometer (instrument used for lead
detection in blood and anemia). Requested by Mayor
Thom Serrani 10/8/85. Board of Finance approved 10/10/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA, with Mrs. Conti Abstaining.

- (8) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT
WITH THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES TO PROVIDE CARE FOR
TUBERCULOSIS AND TO CONTROL LUNG DISEASE. Amount not to exceed
\$3,000. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/10/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (9) \$ 12,000.00 - TAX ASSESSOR'S OFFICE - Code 250.2924 MICRO-FILMING.
Additional Appropriation requested to micro-film all
Tax Assessor's field cards. This is for safety proce-
dures. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/8/85. Board
of Finance approved 10/10/85.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (10) \$ 1,400.00 - FINANCE DEPARTMENT - BUREAU OF ACCOUNTS AND RECORDS -
TREASURY - Code 241.2650 NEW EQUIPMENT - Additional
Appropriation requested for electric check signer.
Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/1/85. Board of
Finance approved 10/10/85.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (11) \$ 7,600.00 - FINANCE COMMISSIONER - Code 240.5120 PROFESSIONAL AUDITING SERVICE - Additional Appropriation requested for auditing services. \$7,600 never encumbered; funds were turned back to General Fund. Therefore, funding is requested. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 10/8/85. Board of Finance approved 10/10/85.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA.

- (12) \$ 9,000.00 - TRAFFIC AND PARKING DEPARTMENT - Code 280.1110 SALARIES. Additional Appropriation request to fund position of Signal Systems Engineer. Funding was cut in budget process. Position requested as part of Computerized Traffic Signal System. Requested by Mayor Thom Serrani 7/10/85. Board of Finance approved 10/10/85.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

MR. DONAHUE said the Committee voted 6 in favor and one opposed to recommend approval of Item #12 and he so Moved. Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY said Personnel Committee concurred.

MR. SKOVGAARD asked when in the budget process was this funding cut.

MR. DONAHUE said it was cut by the Board of Finance as they wanted to explore use of contract services to do this job. They did not want to bring the person on board before the work had begun. Recently, when this request was re-submitted, it was held in the Board of Finance and it has been determined it would be inappropriate to try to contract this work out because the City would be at the mercy of outside contractors coming in and providing this type of service. We have to have someone in-house. who knows how this system is put together, can repair it, and can operate it, and can make daily and periodic changes to the operation efficiently. It is a multi-million dollar project and the person is needed in-house to be able to operate it.

MR. SKOVGAARD said in light of that response, he would urge passage of this item. The Computerized Traffic Signal System is only a start to the problems that we have with the traffic, but it is something that must be done. Given the reason for the cut, it is clear it wasn't something felt to be inappropriate and unnecessary. It clearly is necessary.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote. APPROVED with Mrs. Conti in opposition.

MR. DONAHUE Moved for approval of the items on Consent Agenda Nos. 4,5,6, 7,8,9,10, and 11. APPROVED with Mrs. Conti abstaining on #7. Was Seconded after Motion made for approval.

FISCAL COMMITTEE (continued)REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF RULES ON THREE ITEMS WHICH ARE NOT ON AGENDA:

MR. DONAHUE Moved to Suspend Rules to consider a resolution authorizing Mayor to apply for Maternal and Child Health Grant. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

MR. DONAHUE Moved for approval of the resolution. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (13) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES REGARDING THE MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH GRANT.

MR. DONAHUE Moved to Suspend Rules to consider a resolution authorizing Mayor to apply for funds for the North Street Bridge. He asked that the record show the Committee held a public hearing this evening on that issue. No members of the public appeared to either speak in favor or opposed to the funding. Seconded. CARRIED by voice vote.

MR. DONAHUE said the Committee unanimously recommends approval of that resolution, and he so Moves. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (14) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE PROJECT LOAN AND/OR PROJECT GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE NORTH STREET BRIDGE UNDER THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM.

MR. DONAHUE Moved to Suspend the Rules to consider a resolution authorizing the City to file for disaster relief due to Hurricane Gloria. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (15) PROPOSED RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT, TO FILE FOR DISASTER RELIEF.

MR. DONAHUE Moved to approve this item by unanimous vote of the Fiscal Committee. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MR. DONAHUE thanked his Committee for the good work done during the past two years. (He named them but the tape was flipped over and some of his remarks were lost.)

THE PRESIDENT: "Thank you, Mr. Donahue, for being an extraordinary Chairman of Fiscal. It is a very, very difficult Committee to lead and you did it with incredible intelligence and fortitude and vigor. Thank you very much."

MR. DAVID MARTIN said he would like to voice the President's comments as well to the Fiscal Chairman. The meetings were always well-organized and well-run and that was very much appreciated.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE

MR. SKOVGAARD said the Committee met on Monday, October 28th, at 7:30 P.M. in the Board of Education Bldg. Members of the Committee present were Terrence Martin, Maria Nakian, John Zelinski, Ruth Powers, James Dudley, Audrey Maihock, and Skovgaard. Vice-Chairman Scott Morris was out-of-town on business. Also present were Richard Robinson from the Law Department, Finance Commissioner Paul Pacter, Marguerite Strobe, Consultant for the Stamford Public Arts Advisory Panel, David Anderson, SEAC President, and Diane Erickson, member of the Restaurant Division of Stamford Downtown Council. The first item on the Agenda was the public hearing concerning the final adoption of the sidewalk cafe ordinance. Mr. Anderson from SEAC addressed the Committee regarding the evolution of the sidewalk cafes, which grew out of the Streetscape Program and the widening of the sidewalks in an attempt to bring more traffic downtown, more pedestrian traffic downtown. In order to accommodate that pedestrian traffic to give the City more ambience, it was proposed that sidewalk cafes be permitted in the downtown area. Mr. Anderson indicated that SEAC viewed very favorably the proposal for sidewalk cafes and he was concerned about a portion of the ordinance as published dealing with the amount of sidewalk that could be occupied, or must remain unoccupied, in the originally published ordinance that was 50%. He thought that would effectively kill the proposal for a number of the establishments that might avail themselves of the ordinance. Diane Erickson of the Downtown Council Restaurant Division, also the proprietor of Mem's Cafe, indicated Mr. Anderson was correct, that the 50% proposal would be unworkable and recommended that the Committee consider making a change along those lines.

The Committee voted 6-1 to recommend for final adoption the proposed ordinance as amended at the Committee, and everyone on the Board has received a copy of the amended proposed ordinance. He Moved to approve the amendment under cover of 11/1/85. Seconded. APPROVED, voice vote.

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REGARDING SIDEWALK CAFES.
Submitted for Mayor Thom Serrani 7/11/85. Held in Committee 9/9/85.
Approved for publication 10/7/85.

MR. SKOVGAARD Moved for acceptance of the ordinance as amended by the Committee's vote of 6-1 for approval. Moved. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT asked that those members off the floor please return to their seats, and rang the bells in the caucus rooms as there is not a quorum on the floor.

MS. SUMMERVILLE said the third paragraph on Page 1 gives the Building and Zoning Enforcement Officer and the Board of Representatives the right of approval. She wishes to delete the part that refers to the approval by the Board of Representatives as she feels it is not within the purview of this Board and it would not be in good taste. "And the approval of the Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford" is to be deleted, and she so Moved. Seconded.

The function of this Board is legislative, not administrative. She feels it puts this Board in an awkward position to have it decide who shall have a sidewalk cafe downtown. Such decisions should be made by paid, professional staff. She would not like it to become political.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SKOVGAARD said he wished the Clerk of the Board were on the Committee, or had been there when this Motion was made a few months ago and had spoken against it as she has tonight. This language was not in the ordinance originally and the Committee added the language that Ms. Summerville now wishes to delete. He agrees with Ms. Summerville.

MR. TERRENCE MARTIN agrees with Ms. Summerville wholeheartedly.

MRS. PERILLO said in the letter on the desks tonight from Dr. Gofstein, line 2 states "no provision for fee increase to Health Department for increased seating", and asks if this is in the ordinance.

THE PRESIDENT asked Mrs. Perillo to hold that question until the Board has voted on the amendment proposed by Ms. Summerville.

MR. ZELINSKI feels there is no harm in leaving that language in the ordinance as individual Representatives may have more intimate knowledge of certain establishments within their District which may be quite pertinent to the matter, and this additional information might make an important difference. At least for the first year, it should be monitored by the Board. The deletion could be made after that time if it proves advisable.

MS. FISHMAN said that knowing the way the Board works, this could be put in Committee, held there so long that by the time they acted on it, the summer season would be over.

MRS. MAIHOCK would rather see the language in until a trial period has elapsed to see how sidewalk cafes work out. She agrees with Mr. Zelinski.

MR. WIDER Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the amendment. Mrs. Maihock wished to be recorded as voting No and Mr. Morris as Yes. (They cancelled each other's vote out.) The ordinance is amended with 21 Yes votes, 5 No votes, and 9 Non-Voting.

MR. DeLUCA said he had originally planned to vote on this ordinance, but in view of the memo received tonight from Enid Carruth, Director of Environmental Health, and Fred Petersen, Sanitarian, of the Health Dept. who raised several what he feels are valid questions as to whether or not this ordinance is workable. They conclude by saying: "In conclusion, the ordinance is unworkable as it relates to enforcement by the Health Dept." They raise several questions. There is no provision for fee increase to Health Dept. for increased seating capacity. Are other cooking devices allowed other than grilles or barbeques. They raise some serious problems regarding health enforcement. He Moved to re-commit to Steering with recommendation that answers are obtained to the questions being raised by the Health Dept. regarding the best interests and the health of the community. (Mr. DeLuca's Motion was Seconded.)

Mr. DeLuca feels the questions raised by Enid Carruth are important, as he has found in his dealings with her in the past. She knows her business. Likewise with Mr. Petersen who is known as a strict disciplinarian as far as Health Codes go in the City of Stamford. Their questions are serious. They, with Dr. Gofstein, should attend the meeting and their recommendations considered.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SKOVGAARD is against re-committing, or rather sending it to the 19th Board for consideration. He agrees with Mr. DeLuca that Enid Carruth is very good at what she does. He has been fortunate enough through his pet noise ordinance to have a lot of dealings with her. He has found her to be very effective. He found, though, that with her concerns, quite frankly, she is wrong, down the line, with one exception, and that is the Health Dept. is not involved in review of plans, nor does the Director of Health sign off on plans. That is correct. That is not something that is in there. With reference to the rest of the items, the other ordinances that are on the books of the City of Stamford, as well as on Page 6 under 3(f), "The Department of Health shall at all times have full jurisdiction concerning the compliance with appropriate laws, statutes, and regulations, etc." The Health Department has all the authority that the Health Department should have under the rest of the ordinances of the City of Stamford. The fee increase is incorporated, or should be incorporated, in the ordinance dealing with the fees paid by the restaurants. The technical standards for plan review by the Health Department, there are none because there was no plan review by the Health Department. The Health Department need not review the plans of where the tables are going to be set to determine whether or not food is being sold and delivered in a safe manner. The Department is getting the control that it needs with the recognition in the statute. There is nothing in this ordinance that is construed to limit or abrogate the powers of the Director of Health. They have all the powers they currently have with this ordinance, and sending it back to committee for these purposes would not be proper. He has enough faith in the Office of the Corporation Counsel to write a proper ordinance so it dovetails with the other ordinances that are on the books so that the concerns that are raised by Enid Carruth are not, in fact, realistic problems. And, too, the method by which to work out any of those problems already exists.

MRS. FISHMAN said she has nothing to say now as Mr. Skovgaard said it all excellently.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the Motion to send this to the 19th Board. DEFEATED with 8 Yes, 24 No, and 3 Non-Voting. It will not go to Committee.

The CHAIR notes that Mrs. Santy and Mrs. Signore have left. There are now 33 members present.

MR. WIDER said this is about the fourth time that the Health Dept. has come to do some additional work. For some reason they just don't want to assume the kind of responsibility that he feels they should be assuming in this City. This ordinance only points out that they have a responsibility. He cannot see sending it back to committee to re-do something that they already had the authority in the ordinance to do. This should be passed right now, and be done with it.

MR. ZELINSKI urges passage of this item tonight. Its time has come. Everyone may not agree with all parts of the ordinance but it should be tried out. After a year, changes can be made if necessary.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. PERILLO asked of Mr. Skovgaard if there is a provision for fee increases for increased seating capacity.

MR. SKOVGAARD said in this ordinance there is nothing dealing with an increase in the Health Dept. fees for increased seating capacity. He understands it is based on number of seats which would be counted when determining the fee.

MRS. McINERNEY said any question raised by Enid Carruth is covered under Ordinance #365 which deals with food service, sanitation, and setting license requirements, fees for the same, and penalties for violation. The permit/license period runs from July 1st through June 30th of each year. If there is going to be outdoor seating in the summer, she believes June would be the time of inspection and at that time the seating capacity would be taken into consideration. She thinks this is a terrific idea for the City of Stamford.

MR. BOCCUZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the ordinance as amended. She rang the bells in the caucus room as several members were not seated. She asked Ms. Summerville if she had voted, and as she had not, waited for her to resume her seat. The Motion was APPROVED with 21 Yes, 4 No, 2 Abstentions, 6 Non-Voting.

MR. SKOVGAARD Moved for Reconsideration of the vote just taken for purposes of adding an amendment to the proposed ordinance which he feels is very, very important, and should be discussed by the Board. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said it is always applicable to reconsider if one voted on the Prevailing Side.

MR. SKOVGAARD wished to add a provision whereby a permit issued may be revoked that this ordinance is at some point in the future repealed. He wished to obviate the possibility of existing sidewalk cafes remaining in place should a future Board of Representatives repeal this ordinance for whatever reason. By adding this amendment, all sidewalk cafes would go out of existence should the ordinance be repealed.

MRS. McINERNEY is against this amendment. Licenses are reviewed annually and this amendment is not necessary.

MR. BURKE asked should this ordinance be repealed, would the provision provide that the license "must" be revoked, or "may" be revoked.

MR. SKOVGAARD said his intended amendment, on Page 8, would add "G" which would contain the words "repeal of this ordinance" which would mean any permit issued pursuant to this ordinance may be revoked by the building official for any of the following: and "G" would be one of them. The answer to Mr. Burke's question is "may".

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said it was not necessary to have the exact wording right now of the change. First, a vote to reconsider is necessary.

MR. ZELINSKI is against Reconsideration as he feels it is unnecessary, since permits are renewed each year and the conditions are re-examined. If the ordinance were repealed at some time in the future, then the sidewalk cafes could not stay in existence. This proposed amendment would be superfluous.

MRS. MAIHOCK asked if this amendment is addressed to (4) which speaks about the permit being issued hereunder limited to a revocable license. Would this be a totally new amendment? Could it not be incorporated therein somehow?

THE PRESIDENT said first it should be determined whether the Board will reconsider it, then they can talk about where the amendment will be placed. If you are in favor of an amendment, then you are in favor of reconsideration, or if you are opposed, or the alternative. Mr. David Martin is the next speaker.

MR. DAVID MARTIN said "An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure". It is a pain, but it should be taken care of, provided the President can assure him that they will be allowed to consider amendment after voting for reconsideration, if reconsideration is approved.

THE PRESIDENT said that once Reconsideration is approved, the entire question is open to whatever you want to do with it, unless someone Moves the Question.

MR. BLUM asked if Mr. Skovgaard would clarify what he means by "may". Is he speaking of those properties which have an open sidewalk cafe and in existence when a City official may examine it and finds some problem with a particular cafe and the Board would vote that cafe down and out of business. He asks for clarification in plain, layman's language. "May" does not have law behind it, as does "shall."

MR. SKOVGAARD said it does not affect existing cafes as they do not exist on public sidewalks. "May" means may, it is common usage; it does not mean "shall". It gives authority to, but does not give^a mandate to. It is the language that was included by the Office of Corporation Counsel that states that "the permit may be revoked for any one of the following items (a through f)..." He proposes the addition of an item "G".

MR. DUDLEY said Mr. Skovgaard's intentions are good, but this Board's time should not be wasted at this point. The next Board can consider it should it be necessary for amending, deletion, or whatever, at a later date, after it has had a trial period. He is opposed to reconsidering now.

MR. MALLOZZI Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the Motion to Reconsider. DEFEATED with a vote of 11 Yes, 17 No, 5 Non-Voting.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. SKOVGAARD: "May a future Board never repeal this ordinance."

- (2) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR WORKS OF ART IN MUNICIPAL BUILDINGS PURSUANT TO CONNECTICUT GENERAL STATUTES Sec. 7-122B - submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 3/5/85. Approved for publication 5/6/85. Held in Committee 6/3/85. Held in Steering 6/13, 7/24, 8/22/85 and on Pending Steering Agenda 9/18/85.

MR. SKOVGAARD said Marguerite Stroup, who is the consultant for the Stamford Public Arts Advisory Panel spoke to the Committee, indicating that this ordinance is an integral and working part of an on-going program in furtherance of public art in the community and urged the passage of this ordinance. She indicated that the 1% figure used in the ordinance allocated to art would cover all sums necessary for the program, including the acquisition of the work, the installation, the maintenance, insurance, security, everything would be encompassed within that 1% figure. Finance Commissioner Paul Pacter addressed the Committee, indicating he was in agreement with the proposed ordinance.

The ordinance would not require that art be placed in all municipal buildings, but only those where there would be a flow of public traffic. An incinerator would not require public art, but a new town hall would have public art in it. By a vote of 4-1, with 1 abstention, the Committee voted to recommend final adoption and he so Moved. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said the record will show that Mrs. Nakian is abstaining from participation in this vote. A machine vote was taken and the Motion was DEFEATED by a vote of 20 Yes, 6 No, 1 Abstention, and 8 Non-Voting. 21 votes are required for passage. (Note: This item was reconsidered later and approved. See next page.)

MR. SKOVGAARD thanked his Committee members who worked hard on the items appearing on their agenda and for attending the meetings. It was an honor and a pleasure to chair the Committee. He specifically and especially wished to thank the Vice-Chairman Scott Morris for his help in the voluminous minutes that Scott usually prepared for the meeting. He also thanked the Board for the very kind Resolution given him this evening.

THE PRESIDENT said to Chair a major Committee, like L&R, as a freshman legislator is a very, very difficult job. Mr. Skovgaard did a fine job and worked very, very hard, and the Board is most appreciative of it. He will be missed. Similarly, Mr. Morris, who stood in on a number of occasions, really distinguished himself. She thanked Mr. Morris for taking the ball many, many times. She recognized Mr. Morris as the next speaker. (the tape was changed and part of Mr. Morris' remarks were lost.)

MR. MORRIS said the Committee worked extraordinarily well under Mr. Skovgaard's direction. He will be greatly missed, and a tough act to follow by the next Chairperson.

THE PRESIDENT: "Before proceeding, I would like to say something about the person who has provided the Board with delicious sandwiches, and refreshments at every Board meeting, and for this evening, who ordered and brought here a beautiful cake for us to enjoy, a farewell cake to the 18th Board, my thanks to Jerry Rybnick, who is Mr. House Committee! It is right there on the table for everyone to eat, but first we have to open it up and get it ready."

MRS. GUROIAN, at the request of the President, read the resolution: "Sense-of-the-Board Resolution. WHEREAS, the 18th Board of Representatives has approved an appropriation of \$68,650.00 for replacement of two boilers at Belltown School with the understanding that this appropriation will make it possible for the Belltown School building to be re-opened for occupancy as soon as the boilers are installed. It is the sense of this Board that as soon as the building can be used, the senior citizen group formerly meeting at the Belltown School building, re-occupy the building for their meetings." Seconded.

MR. BOCCUZZI asked if "re-occupy the building" means the whole building.

MRS. GUROIAN said it is for their meetings. What would Mr. Boccuzzi like to say?

MR. BOCCUZZI said re-occupy the portion of the building for their needs, or some similar phrase. Now it sounds like the whole building and should be clarified.

MRS. GUROIAN suggested it say "for their meetings as previously occupied." It will now state "The senior citizen group formerly meeting at the Belltown School building re-occupy the building for their meetings as previously occupied." Moved and Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT asked Mrs. Guroian to write it and then repeat it. Repeat the portion that was changed.

MRS. GUROIAN said "the senior citizen group formerly meeting at the Belltown School building re-occupy the building for their meetings as previously occupied."

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote. CARRIED unanimously.

MRS. GUROIAN said this was the first time she got an unanimous vote.

MR. MALLOY Moved for Reconsideration of Item #2 under L&R, the works of art matter. Seconded.

MRS. MCINERNEY asked, in dollars and cents, based on the 1986 Capital Projects Budget, what is the figure exactly that the 1% figure for art would be this year.

MRS. POWERS said this only applies to municipal buildings where the public would be going into; the only project would be the K. T. Murphy School renovation which was \$800,000 and that would mean \$8,000. Actually since 44% is being reimbursed by the State, it would not be that much. It would be 1%, \$8,000, and 56% of that, \$4,480.

LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. MCINERNEY said it does not say 1% of the Capital Projects Budget. It says 1% of the Capital Projects Budget for that municipal building for which the art is to be selected.

MRS. POWERS said it says 1% of only the portion which the public will go through, and it is only the part of the Capital Projects Budget where we are not being reimbursed by any one else. So it would be the part that the City is actually spending, and only those areas that are available to the public.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote on the Motion to Re-Consider. APPROVED with 23 Yes, 5 No, and 7 Non-Voting.

MR. BURKE wished to remind the members that while 56% of 1% may come from the City, but 100% is coming from our pockets. It becomes very strange with money. Once it leaves him, it is his money; when it comes back to him, it is the State's money. Somewhere, after a certain amount sticks, it changes character.

MR. MALLOZZI Moved the question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT called for a vote on the re-consideration of final adoption. APPROVED with 23 Yes, 5 No, and 5 Non-Voting.

She said any time any one wants some of that cake, it is there.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

MR. DUDLEY said he would defer to Mr. Jachimczyk, who chaired in his absence, due to a death in the family, but he wished to have the Chair back before the Committee report is over.

MR. JACHIMCZYK said the Committee met on Thursday, October 24th at 7:30 in the Main Room of the Board of Education Bldg. Present were Committee members David Blum, Scott Morris, Tom Burke, Claire Fishman, and David Jachimczyk. Also present were John Boccuzzi of Labor Liaison Committee, Tom Barrett, Vito Aulenti of Local 1083 AFSCME/Council 4, and John Roman of WSTC. At this meeting, the Committee had a wide-ranging discussion with Mr. Barrett and Mr. Aulenti over the FactFinding Report of the school custodians and mechanics. Mr. Barrett said the FactFinder rejected most of the changes sought by the City and the Board of Education. Mr. Aulenti said that, while not happy with the FactFinder's Report, his union hoped that we would accept the report as his union has been trying to get a contract with the City through negotiation or factfinding for over the past 18 months and they thought this, though not totally favorable, a decent report and some place to get started from. The meeting was adjourned and resumed the meeting this evening. Present this evening, in addition to the same members as on Oct. 24th, were Jim Burke, and John Boccuzzi of Labor Liaison.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. JACHIMCZYK said at tonight's meeting, Mr. Boccuzzi presented figures which showed that over the same contract period of years, 1984, 1985, and 1986, the police received increases of 7%, 7% and 6%. The firemen received the same increases, and the teamsters received increases of 6%, 6%, and 6%; whereas, the Factfinder was giving the custodians and mechanics increases of 8%, 7½%, and 7%.

Earlier this evening, the Board of Education voted to approve the Factfinder's Report. At the Oct. 24th meeting, Mr. Barrett said both the City and the Board of Education are certified as joint employers of the school custodians and mechanics. Therefore, if this Board takes no action, the Factfinder's Report will be approved by virtue of the Board of Education's approval. If this Board votes to reject the Factfinder's Report, then it will be rejected, and we will have to give such notice.

The Personnel Committee, this evening, voted 5-1 to reject the Factfinder's Report and he Moved that this Board reject the Factfinder's Report. Seconded.

THE PRESIDENT said the Motion must be in the negative, which is something we don't usually do; because to reject a Factfinder's Report, we must do it in active sense. Therefore, according to State Statute 7-473, we must Move and approve, or defeat, the rejection of, and if we do vote to reject, we must notify the union tomorrow.

The first speaker on the Motion to Reject is Mr. Boccuzzi.

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Labor Liaison Committee met and by a vote of 2-1 also voted to reject the Factfinder's Report.

MR. BLUM said he is the one person on the Personnel Committee who voted to approve the Factfinder's Report, and being Co-Chairman of the Labor Liaison Committee, voted to accept the Factfinder's Report. He is against the rejection of this Report for the simple reason that the Board of Education adopted it this evening by a majority, or 9 persons. They are the employers of the school custodians and are well aware of their contract. He feels that rejection will cost the City more money. The union, by rejection, has the right to open up the contract as if they were starting from scratch. The arbiter has the right, at that time, to give or reject any new items, or take away, or accept the Factfinder's Report. The City should go along with the Board of Education and accept.

MR. WIDER has been upset listening to this union being compared to other unions. These broom-pushers are owed some respect. The Factfinder's found for increases of 8%, 7½% and 7%. If we see this again, it may be 9%, 8% and 7½%. He urges acceptance of report.

MR. ZELINSKI said the pay scale of this contract is lower than some of the higher-paying unions. These people are the backbone of the educational system and the schools could not function without these dedicated men and women. It does not function by teachers alone. To reject for a mere 1% or 1½%, when the City has a surplus of \$2 Million, is really adding insult to injury. Vote in favor of this contract.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT said she wished to clarify that the Motion is to reject which is a Yes vote. A No vote is in favor of the Factfinder's Report.

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Board of Education has the responsibility for education. This Board has the responsibility for expending money. There is a difference between the duties of these two Boards. He can see the point of the custodians and they have waited a long time for settlement. When you look at the percentages and the figures of contracts that have been approved for the same amount of time, and for a like union, which would be the Teamsters 4 Locals, you have to realize that there are now 4 other unions in negotiations or at the Factfinding point, if you want to just go right ahead and approve this because of what the Factfinder has decided, or you are scared of what might happen in Arbitration, or because the Board of Education approved it, you have that right. Just remember that there are other unions out there waiting for their contracts to be signed, and he has heard the same people who have now said they want to approve the contract but when it comes to the appropriation, they worry about \$10,000, \$15,000, and the impact on the taxpayers. These people sometimes talk from two sides of their mouths. He cannot see approving a contract that is so far above the other contracts that have been approved.

If we approve this contract, then we cannot say no to those contracts which will be coming down the road very shortly for similar percentages and benefits. If we refuse them, they will go to arbitration and show what has been approved for other unions. Either we have to be consistent, or the whole pay scale will go out the window. He is not against them, but as a legislator who is responsible to the taxpayers as so many of us always talk about, we are making a mistake if we allow one union to get 23½% over 2 years and others to get 20% and 18%. It is not equal. It is not fair to those unions to whose contracts we have already agreed. There are 4 unions coming in shortly and they will ask us to give up the same percentages. If we want to hold contracts in line, we are going to have to make up our minds, or is it acceptable for us to let them get out where we have no control over anything. It is possible that if this goes to arbitration, the same figures may come back to us, or as Dave Blum said, they might come in with higher ones. Mr. Boccuzzi is willing to take the chance. There are many ways to solve the problem. He has respect for anybody who works for the City, no matter what their job is, firefighter, police officer, teacher, garbage collector, broom-pusher as Mr. Wider said. It is not a question of having respect for the person or the job they do.

Right here you have to sit down and say to yourself, am I going to allow one union to get more than anybody else in the City, and am I going to allow the four unions coming in shortly to get the same amount. If you feel the taxpayers are willing to pay for it and you feel it is fair, you should vote accordingly.

MR. BURKE said he does not like to hear any member of any bargaining unit referred to as broom sweepers or low men on totem poles. We don't operate a totem pole. These men are working at jobs and are entitled to the same due respect as anyone else working on any job, be it the City or anyone else. Secondly, we should examine just slightly just what this arbitration thing is that people are drawing across the path. An arbitrator, under the system that now exists under the State of Connecticut, is not free to choose what he would like to see put into the contract.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MR. BURKE went on to say that he cannot take what he sees the union asks for, or management offers, and decide where if in the middle. This is a last-best-offer type of arbitration where the arbitrator is bound by law to take either one or the other. The arbitrator does not have freerein to put what he wants in. Echoing Mr. Boccuzzi's comments, it is not a question of what we feel this bargaining unit is worth in the matters of percentages. It is a question of fairness. We have argued and argued about the fairness of contracts in the previous unions that came up. We cannot sit here and say something different this time. This is talking out of three sides of the mouth. And it is not filled with cake.

MR. DeLUCA said he must more or less echo the comments of Mr. Burke and Mr. Boccuzzi. As far as the Board of Education approving the contract tonight, he would find it difficult for them not to approve it, when, in reality, in the past, the Board of Education has approved substantial increases for their administrators, and to not approve 8%, 7½%, and 7% for the custodians and mechanics just would not be consistent with their philosophy. Another comment was made by rejecting this report this evening, it would cost the City more money by binding arbitration. Approval tonight would definitely cost more money; and that the other unions would expect the same percentage increases. Mr. DeLuca would be willing to take his chances with binding arbitration.

A week ago some statistics came out of Washington regarding collective bargaining settlements. It is noted that the first nine months of 1985 the annual increases averaged 2.3% versus 1984 increases of 2.4%. Inflation has only been about 3% or 4%. Yet here we are talking about 8%.

The comment that the City has realized a \$2 Million surplus so we should be able to give gigantic increases. The surpluses have been attributed to increased productivity, improved efficiency on the part of management of our various departments. To offset these reasons by giving large percentage increases does not say much for our management people. The most disturbing thing about the custodians' contracts is that it has been impossible to get the personnel to agree to a swing-shift from 4 to 12 p.m., or 3 to 11 p.m., which would represent a substantial saving to the City. Other communities have a swing-shift for their schools, but Stamford cannot, unfortunately, get this in their contracts. There is heavy usage of the schools during the evening hours, and weekends by churches, so much over-time pay is generated. Some community groups cannot afford to pay and must do without. These are the same taxpayers who pay the salaries and maintenance of the schools. Mr. DeLuca recommends going along with the recommendation to reject this Factfinder's Report.

MR. AUSTIN said 8%, 7½%, and 7% are not that much over 3 years, especially when you see what that does to the salaries of the individuals concerned. He feels the existing pay scale is low and he hopes the Board will approve the increases.

MRS. McINERNEY feels strongly that the Board must stay in line with previously established policies regarding the public employees of the City. She will not vote for this contract since other contracts have already been set at a previous level and we should try to meet that level and hopefully it will work out to the benefit of the City.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. POWERS said we should show a degree of consistency. If we start giving larger percentage increases, we are going to be morally committed for the next union contract, and the next one after that, and the next one, etc. She will vote to reject.

MR. JACHIMCZYK commented on Mr. DeLuca's statement about the swing-shift. At the Committee meeting on Oct. 24th, speaking with Mr. Barrett and Mr. Aulenti, that is a bit of a bad rap to throw on the union. The way it was presented to them was the Board of Education just threw out and said they wanted a night shift, a so-called swing-shift. They gave no details on how it would be worked out, and there was no basis for the union to really negotiate on it, no specifics thrown on the table on how this would be done, and what type of people would be affected.

MR. BLUM said a lot of people are talking here about precedent-bargaining, if one union signs for a certain amount, then all the unions are going to follow through and to want that amount. That does not always happen, unless you have a coalition of labor. Mr. Boccuzzi read figures, and he is asking him to read the figures for police and fire, recently settled. Next was the teamsters. So how come the teamsters got less than the police and fire? The police and fire contracts were signed by the Mayor and they did not go to factfinding or binding arbitration. Same with the Teamsters.

MR. BOCCUZZI said the Teamsters agreed to 6%, 6%, and 6%, and this Board had no right to increase, only to accept or deny. The Police agreed to 7%, 9%, and 7% and we agreed. However, the same year the custodians and mechanics got 7%, 8½%, and 8%, so you get 23% for police, and same for the firefighters, and 23½% for the custodians.

MRS. FISHMAN said she wished to take issue with Mr. Zelinski in his statement about the schools being unable to operate without custodians. As a teacher, she can attest to the fact that they have often operated with none or only one custodian. Teachers are there when the custodians are not.

MR. ZELINSKI said he did not say the schools could not function. What he did say was that the custodians and mechanics are the backbone of the Board of Education system. They also do not have anything in their contract that gives them extra bonuses for coming to work with a perfect attendance. Why are we singling out a particular union in Stamford to decide that they should not receive their due raises. A new police officer will receive a salary of \$25,000 a year, a first-year man. He was unable to determine what the starting pay is for a custodian, but it is no where near \$25,000 to start. We are nickel-and-diming here. Each contract is different. This particular contract is a fair one and should be approved.

(Tape changed here and start of Mr. Martin's remarks lost.)

MR. DAVID MARTIN said something about singling out a union and he recalls that recently we rejected a FactFinder's Report, for the Law Department's attorneys? He understands both parties and believes there is a lot of merit in some of the things that were said, but he does not believe this Board is singling out anyone, and certainly he is not singling out anyone and does not intend his vote to be taken that way.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McINERNEY Moved the Question. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MR. ZELINSKI asked for a Roll Call vote. Seconded by Mr. Wider and several others.

MR. DUDLEY asked what is needed for passage. The President said a simple majority.

THE PRESIDENT said the Motion before the Board is to reject the Factfinder's Report between the Stamford Board of Education and Local 1083, Council 4, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, Custodian and Mechanics Union. If you vote to reject and if there is passage of the Motion to Reject, the Union will be notified tomorrow and this will go on to Binding Arbitration. If you vote against rejection and there is a majority against rejection, then the Factfinder's Report will be passed and that will serve as the contract for the union.

MR. MALLOY Moved for a five-minute recess. Seconded. CARRIED voice vote, with several in opposition.

RECESS 11:45 P.M. - 12:05 A.M. (five-minute recess)

THE PRESIDENT requested the Clerk of the Board ANNIE M. SUMMERVILLE to call the attendance roll.

PRESENT

McGrath, Patricia	Vos, Cadie	Morris, Scott
Nakian, Maria	White, W. Dennis	Skovgaard, Robt.
Maihock, Maria	McInerney, B.	Zelinski, John
Wider, Lathon	Blum, David	Dudley, James
Taranto, Roger	Perillo, Alfred	Powers, Ruth
Burke, Thomas	Summerville, A.M.	Austin, Robert
Rybnick, Gerald	Malloy, Brien	Jachimczyk, D.
Mallozzi, John	Fishman, Claire	Rinaldi, M. L.
DeLuca, Robert	Perillo, Mildred	Boccuzzi, John
Martin, David	Martin, Terrence	Goldstein, S.
Guroian, Grace		

ABSENT FROM THE MEETING

Lyons, Richard
Santy, Jeanne-Lois
Livingston, Jeremiah
Signore, Mary Jane
Glover, Katie
Santagata, Joseph
Owens, Bobby

OFF THE FLOOR AND NOT PARTICIPATING

Conti, Betty
Donahue, Donald

Present.	31
Absent from meeting. . .	7
Not Participating. . . .	2
	<u>40</u>

MR. BLUM Moved to adjourn the meeting due to the late hour.
There wss no Seconding Motion.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

THE PRESIDENT said the matter before the Board was the ratification of the FactFinder's Report. The Motion is to reject the FactFinder's Report. If you vote YES, you are voting to reject the Report. If you vote NO, you are voting, in effect, to accept the Report. Vote will be by Roll Call.

ROLL CALL VOTE ON BOARD OF EDUCATION CUSTODIANS AND MECHANICS CONTRACTTHOSE VOTING YES TO REJECT (18)

McGrath, Patricia	Vos, Cadie	Dudley, James
Nakian, Maria	McInerney B.	Powers, Ruth
Maihock, Audrey	Malloy, Brien	Jachimczyk, D.
Burke, Thomas	Fishman, C.	Rinaldi, M. L.
DeLuca, Robert	Perillo, M.	Boccuzzi, John
Martin, David	Morris, Scott	Goldstein, S.

THOSE VOTING NO TO APPROVE (13)

Wider, Lathon	White, W. D.	Martin, T.
Taranto, Roger	Blum, David	Skovgaard, R.
Rybnick, Gerald	Perillo, Al	Zelinski, J.
Mallozzi, John	Summerville, A.	Austin, Robt.
Guroian, Grace		

THOSE OFF THE FLOOR AND NOT PARTICIPATING (2)

Conti, Betty
Donahue, Donald

THOSE ABSENT FROM THE MEETING (7)

Lyons, Richard	Glover, Katie	Voting Yes to Reject 18
Santy, Jeanne-Lois	Santagata, J.	Voting No to Approve 13
Livingston, J.	Owens, Bobby	Not Participating 2
Signore, Mary Jane		Absent from Meeting 7
		<u>40</u>

THE PRESIDENT said the FactFinder's Report has been rejected by a vote of 18 Yes in favor of rejection, and 13 No. Notification must go out to the union by this Body tomorrow.

MR. SKOVGAARD said a correction since it is past midnight, does not the President mean today instead of tomorrow.

- (1) RATIFICATION OF THE FACTFINDER'S REPORT BETWEEN THE STAMFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION AND LOCAL 1083 AFSCME - COUNCIL 4. AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO - CUSTODIAN MECHANICS' UNION. Statutory time limit for rejection expires on December 3, 1985. If rejected, the Union must be notified in writing before that date, i.e., Dec. 3, 1985. Board of Finance has not yet submitted an advisory opinion. Submitted by Thomas Barrett, Labor

Above also referred to LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE.

FACTFINDER'S REPORT REJECTED: 18 VOTING TO REJECT; 13 VOTING TO APPROVE.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (continued)

- (2) REPORT ON DISCUSSION ON REVISION OF NEW PROPOSED MERIT RULES. Submitted by Rep. James Dudley, Personnel Committee Chairman, 3/22/85. Report made and Held in Committee 6/3/85. Held on Pending Steering Agenda since 6/13/85.

MR. JACHIMCZYK said on the second item, he Moved that this be put on the agenda for the new Board.

THE PRESIDENT said it is not necessary to make a motion. Your simply writing a note on behalf of the Committee or yourself will do it.

MR. DUDLEY thanked Mr. Jachimczyk, who didn't get a chance to Chair too often, but when he did, he was quite professional in his actions. He thanks Mr. Jachimczyk, and also the rest of the Committee. Over the past two years, he is proud to say the Committee never went once without a quorum. They have always been very cooperative, very professional, and have always acted in a fair manner, and he thanks them for their cooperation during the past two years.

THE PRESIDENT thanks Mr. Dudley, and if his Committee never went without a quorum, it is a testament to his leadership. She thanked Mr. Jachimczyk for filling in, and he did an extremely good job for a very difficult committee.

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE

MR. WHITE said since they did not have a meeting for various reasons, he requests that this report be put on the agenda for the next Board, plus the fact that the Board members have not had a chance to look it over. It was passed out to everyone and can read it through and handle it at the next meeting. He apologizes that the frontispiece has his name on it, as it should have the name of the sub-committee, Mrs. Guroian, Mr. Donahue, and himself, or no name on it. However, his wife typed it up.

- (1) ESTABLISHMENT OF A STRATEGY FOR SALE OF ALL CITY-OWNED ASSETS. Submitted by Rep. Barbara McInerney 12/3/84. Held in Committee 1/7/85 and 10/7/85. Reports made 2/4 and 4/1/85. Held on Pending Steering Agenda 2/4, 3/13 and since 4/1/85.

Above also referred to FISCAL COMMITTEE.

THE PRESIDENT: "Mr. White, you filled in and you really took over and took over well; very, very fair, very, very incisive about zoning, and I thank you very much, Dennie, you did a fine, fine job."

MRS. GUROIAN said she wished to add her kudos. Dennis has been an excellent chairman, very knowledgeable, very concise, gives an excellent report, and it has been a pleasure to work with him.

PUBLIC WORKS AND SEWER COMMITTEE

MR. PERILLO said he already gave his report earlier on the Agenda (See Pages 11 and 12 of these Minutes); he wished to thank the Committee members who served with him on the past 24+ meetings. We were a good Committee, very active. We did our homework, and as the Agenda shows tonight, we cleaned up almost the last thing that was put before us for action. To Betty Conti, Tom Burke, Brien Malloy, John Zelinski, Roger Taranto, and Joe Santagata, my thanks. I hope the 19th Board will appoint as good a Committee as the 18th Board was. This is important because there are three major issues out there that have to be acted on by the 19th Board, and those are the Sewage Treatment Plant, the Incinerator, and that \$39 railroad station up there! Make sure you put the right people on this Committee, and good luck to the 19th Board.

THE PRESIDENT: "Mr. Perillo, but how are we going to find the right chairman. I want to thank you for this year's chairing of the Committee, and all the previous years. You were outstanding. You were fair. Every time anybody had any public works issue into the Public Works Committee, you did a sensational job. You really care. Thank you."

MR. PERILLO: "I thank you for those kind words. You only said them because they're true!"

MRS. MAIHOCK said that Mr. Perillo has always been a gentlemen and has always been very fair with anyone who came before his Committee.

HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MS. RINALDI said she has no report this month, but she wishes to thank her Committee members, Scott Morris, Ruth Powers, David Martin, and special thanks to her Vice-Chairman, Tom Burke. Everyone did a wonderful job for the past two years.

THE PRESIDENT: "And thanks to you, Ms. Rinaldi. You're a real professional. You got through some very important legislation in that Committee, and you are a marvelous leader and very, very fair. Thank you. And, Mr. Burke, for all those times when I forgot you were Vice-Chairman, I thank you."

PARKS AND RECREATION COMMITTEE

MR. DeLUCA said due to the fact that they had a very minor item on the Agenda, they did not have a meeting. He would like to waive the Committee report, and Moved to take the one Agenda item out of committee. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (1) REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO HANG A BANNER ON SUMMER STREET FROM NOV. 22nd through DEC. 8, 1985 from the Stamford Symphony Orchestra. Requested by Vivienne R. Silver, Development Dir., 400 Main St., Stamford 06901-3005, Sept. 19, 1985.

MR. DeLUCA Moved for approval of this item. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

MR. DeLUCA said, before he concludes his last report, he would like to make several announcements. One is about the Christmas Party. As he stated at the October meeting, the Board's Party for December 8, 1985, Sunday, at Taranto's will billed as a Hello-and-Farewell Party, and he will be there for the Farewell part of it. So far he has received money this evening from Scott Morris, Jim Dudley, and the Perillos. You have until Nov. 30th to get your checks in at \$15 per person. Please send them in as soon as you can. He, publicly, would like to extend his best wishes to the two new Representatives of the 14th District and he wishes them success, Pat McGrath and Rosanne Begel. Also, at this time, he would also like to extend his sincere thanks and appreciation to the members of the Parks and Recreation Committee, who played an important role during the past two years in contributing their input and dedication in making Stamford's Parks and Recreation facilities and programs second to none in the State. It is people like Jerry Rybnick, Bob Skovgaard, Brien Malloy, who made his task as Chairman of the P&R enjoyable. They did a lot to improve the parks and recreation in the City, and he hopes the 19th Board has a P&R Committee just like the 18th Board had, and continue to perform in the same manner. He will miss the Board and he wishes everyone success and best wishes. And all get out for the party.

THE PRESIDENT: "There will be no Parks and Rec Committee next year. I cannot imagine the Board without Mr. DeLuca as the Chairman of the Committee. Your name has become synonymous across the City with Parks and Rec and getting things done within that area. You really will be missed, Gabe."

MR. BOCCUZZI thanks Gabe for all the work he did with the Parks and Rec. He has been a great, hard worker. He has only one question for Gabe, will there ever be a Pinocchio?

MRS. McINERNEY expressed the Republican Caucus' good wishes and good health to Gabe. He has been on the Board for many years and has been a valued member of the caucus, has always brought humor, brought good points, brought bad points, and all have agreed with Gabe, have disagreed with Gabe, but you can still come away and say Gabe is your friend. She hopes he does well with whatever he decides to do. God bless him.

MRS. MAIHOCK agrees that he has done an outstanding job on the Parks & Rec Committee. What she looked to Gabe for was his research and in-put on many of our labor matters, our personnel matters. He took a very sincere interest in these things and perhaps helped many members form their opinions and judgments about things because of his in-depth research on so many matters. She thanks him very much.

MR. DONAHUE added that because of Mr. DeLuca's efforts, it has been another banner year for the Parks and Recreation Committee and this Board.

MRS. PERILLO said to make it easier for Gabe for the Christmas Party, if any members want to drop the money off at her store, she will give it to Gabe.

EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

- (1) REIMBURSEMENT FOR OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES FOR MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF FINANCE. Requested by Rep. David I. Blum (D) 12th District, 7/29/85. Held in Steering 8/22/85. Held in Committee 10/7/85.

MRS. NAKIAN said her Committee met on Thursday, Oct. 24, 1985, at 7:30 P.M. in the Board of Education Building. Present were Powers, Fishman, Maihock, Nakian, and President Goldstein. At the last Board meeting, Rep. Blum provided Mrs. Nakian with a copy of the November, 1982 amendment to the State Constitution regarding the definition of "compensation" for elected officials. She asked Corp. Counsel for a second opinion, because the first opinion had been done prior to 1982. A new opinion was rendered by Wm. Hennessey stating that while compensation is not allowable under the City Charter, compensation as clarified by the amendment to the State Constitution does not include reimbursement. Therefore, in his opinion, elected officials in the City of Stamford are eligible to receive reimbursement for necessary out-of-pocket expenses incurred during the performance of their official duties. It was his suggestion that the Board establish, by ordinance, regulations and guidelines clarifying eligibility, types of costs, limits, and procedures.

They also asked, and he clarified verbally the point that because this is reimbursement and not compensation, it does not have to be done by this Board, and take effect before a new Board comes on. We will recommend that this item remain on the agenda because they feel these guidelines need to be researched and procedures set, and ordinance written that would then be presented to the full Board for approval or disapproval. This is to be placed on the agenda for the 19th Board.

Mrs. Nakian thanked the members of her Committee: Claire Fishman, Ruth Powers, Mary Lou Rinaldi, and Audrey Maihock. They were a great group of people to work with. There were many varied things on the Agenda this year and they were there, always ready to work on them. She thanks them all.

THE PRESIDENT: "We didn't think it was ^{the} minor Committee that it usually was. There were so many important items under EW&G this year. You really distinguished yourselves. You really worked hard. You, too, became synonymous with Smith House. And thank you. Thank you for pitching in for everything."

HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

- (1) STATUS REPORT ON THE BROADMOOR HOUSING PROJECT. Submitted by Rep. Lathon Wider, Sr., Housing & Community Development Comm. Chairman, 10/7/85.

MR. WIDER said they had a meeting scheduled for 10:30 but no one showed up, after receiving a letter from the Rich Co. concerning the Broadmoor Housing and they have asked to postpone the meeting until the last of November, so there will be a meeting and reporting on this item the last week in November.

THE PRESIDENT said that Mr. Wider's Committee will be meeting and will report back, and put the matter on the Agenda for the 19th Board.

MR. WIDER said he would like to thank his Committee who operated with four people these two years. He thanks Mr. Jachimczyk, Ms. Summerville, and David Blum for sticking by through all the process of the two years priority plans for the Community Development Program money. There are 77 units of senior citizen housing being built on Shippan Ave. and a lot of credit is due to this Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: "And we give a lot of credit to you, Mr. Wider. You, too, have made this area, the area of housing and community development for the people in this downtown area which is incredibly important. You have handled it with care and we appreciate it. Thank you, Lathon."

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE

(1) REPORT.

MR. MALLOZZI said this is the last of many reports that he has submitted the last two years. He was expecting to do a lot of work on this Committee, but unfortunately, all the new members on the Commission worked a little slower than anticipated and all the big issues of Blocks 8 and 9, development, design reviews, and everything else went down the drain. It will probably take another ten years to accomplish something there. He hopes that does not happen. The Urban Renewal Commission has on their agenda as a top priority, the development of Blocks 8 and 9, which has been top priority for the last ten years also. So we see how slowly and how fast they are moving. He hopes the new chairman of this Committee will push the Commission a little more than he has done, even though he has attended all their meetings, but they need a little push. He would like to thank his Committee but he does not even know who they are.

THE PRESIDENT: "In that case, Mr. Mallozzi, I will not thank you for all your hard work."

MS. SUMMERVILLE: "That's because we held all our meetings and he never made the meetings. The Committee is herself, James Dudley, and Mr. Mallozzi, but Mr. Mallozzi was never present for the meetings, but we had meetings without him."

THE PRESIDENT: "However, I will miss you as a co-Representative, John. And the Board will miss you. You are really right on the mark on many issues. Thank you."

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE

MRS. MAIHOCK said she does not have an item on the Agenda tonight, but she thanks her Committee: Terrence Martin, Dennis White for their significant contributions to the Environmental Protection Committee and for their dedicated commitment to the protection of the City's environment. They were very, very prompt and gave very good advice on this Committee in their decisions, and she appreciated all their efforts.

THE PRESIDENT: "Mrs. Maihock, you care so much about the environment. You were a wonderful chairman. We loved your report. It was something to look forward to at the end of a long meeting, but you cared and they were incisive. Thank you."

TRANSPORTATION

MR. DAVID MARTIN said the Committee met on Monday, Oct. 28th, and unfortunately they did not have a quorum and so he Moved to take this item out of Committee. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

- (1) PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE APPROVAL OF A LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND DeLEUW, CATHER/PARSONS (DCP), to lease office space in the Rice School. DCP is Construction Manager of Transportation Center Project. Lease for a month-to-month basis until Center is completed for a monthly rent of \$1,000.00. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/11/85. Planning Board approved 10/8/85. Board of Finance approved 10/10/85.

Above also referred to LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE.

MR. MARTIN said the material received by the Board was self-explanatory, and Audrey Maihock and Mr. Martin met and discussed this issue with representatives from the City and it was their opinion that this lease be approved, and he so Moves. Seconded.

MR. DUDLEY will probably support this with reservations. His concern is not so much with DCP going into Rice School, but what has happened to some of the organizations that have been there in the past, mainly the Homeless about whom he is concerned at this point. Next month this question will come up for renewal. He spoke to Mr. Pacter about this, but could not reach the Mayor, who was out-of-town. He does not wish to hold up DCP and construction of Transportation Plaza, but he also would not want to displace the Homeless, and hopes that the next Board will renew the Homeless lease if and when it comes up soon.

MRS. CONTI asked if the rent goes into the General Fund, or into the Revolving Fund for the railroad.

MR. MARTIN said it goes into the General Fund.

THE PRESIDENT called for a machine vote at the request of several members. APPROVED with 29 Yes, 1 No, 1 Abstention, and 2 Non-Voting.

MR. DAVID MARTIN thanked his Committee members: Audrey Maihock, Cadie Vos, David Blum, and Dick Lyons for their work on the Transportation Committee over these past two years. Some interesting issues were handled, and he appreciated their attendance and support.

THE PRESIDENT: "Thank you, Mr. Martin. You had some real difficult things before you with the Railroad Station in the state of flux or non-flux unfortunately, that ours is. You did a fabulous job. You are a good leader. Thank you."

HOUSE COMMITTEE will be taken later as Mr. Rybnick is off the floor attending to an important matter.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

- (1) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE ADOPTING AND ENACTING A NEW CODE OF ORDINANCES FOR THE CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT; ESTABLISHING THE SAME PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF CERTAIN ORDINANCES NOT INCLUDED THEREIN; EXCEPT AS HEREIN EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR; PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF SUCH CODE AND A PENALTY FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF AMENDING SUCH CODE; AND PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE. Submitted by Rep. Barbara McInerney Co-Chairperson, Charter Revision and Ordinance Committee, 8/22/85. Held in Committee 9/9/85. Approved for publication 10/7/85.

MRS. McINERNEY said it has taken her one day to type her report, and it has given her two years of agony. The Committee is presenting for final adoption tonight dealing with codification of the Code of Ordinances. The Committee met three times during October. While the rest of the Board was out there campaigning, the Committee spent the full day of Oct. 9th and 17th in the Republican Caucus Room working with the Law Department to get this ready for publication. On Oct. 23rd, let the record show that a public hearing was held with copies of the work manuscript available for the public inspection at the Old Town Hall and the Municipal Office Bldg., in accordance with the Charter.

She would like to indicate that the Charter requires that she publish the ordinance that is to be adopted this evening, which is the one received last month and again this month, concerning the adopting and enacting of a new Code of Ordinances for the City of Stamford, Connecticut.....(as stated in Item #1 above).

Also for the record, Section 204.1 regarding the "Passage of Ordinance" indicates that all ordinances except ordinance codifying or re-arranging existing ordinances shall be confined to one subject. The subjects or subjects of all ordinances shall be clearly expressed in the title. Having checked with the Law Department this evening, we are passing, hopefully, this ordinance for final adoption which will allow the City to complete its project on re-codifying. The preparation of this Code began with the review of our legislation and it concluded with research and recommendations of the Law Department, the Committee, our codification company, and certainly we felt that we are doing a fairly decent job for the City.

In explanation of the new Code, there have been no substantive changes for the City's legislation made as part of this initial project, except for the deletion of legislation deemed to be obsolete or superseded by Connecticut General Statutes, or unconstitutional. The research involved sending material to all Citydepartment heads and soliciting their comments and recommendations. In doing so, we found out that the State Statutes have actually superseded and taken control of certain aspects of our ordinances, and the City no longer had the authority over those ordinances and could no longer regulate certain areas which we were carrying on our books for so many years.

We also found in some cases that fees for licenses which the City was to be collecting for the past several years, I am going back to 1972, have actually not been collected at all.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE

MRS. MCINERNEY (continuing): The Committee has directed her to send a letter to certain department heads indicating that we would like to have the license fees collected as required under those ordinances. Everyone has a copy of her report, and the hour is late, so she will not read the entire report as it is self-explanatory. She will Move for final adoption of this ordinance which allows the adoption and enactment of a new Code of Ordinances for the City of Stamford. Moved and Seconded.

MS. SUMMERVILLE asked that Mrs. McInerney's entire report be made a part of the Minutes, of the official record of this meeting tonight.

THE PRESIDENT said that would be done. (Someone, unidentified, asked if Mrs. McInerney were going to read all the ordinances.) The President asked if he would like them read. A voice vote was called for, and the Motion was APPROVED, with two abstentions (Mrs. Guroian and Mrs. Conti). The ordinance has final adoption.

MRS. MCINERNEY thanked her entire Committee: Jerry Livingston, Tom Burke, Maria Nakian, Cadie Vos, John Mallozzi, Annie Summerville. When they signed on with the 18th Board, the Committee's initial act was to establish the Charter Revision questions for the April 10th election. They expected to finish their work in April and be able to walk away from the majority of the requirements of the Charter Revision and Ordinance Committee never foreseeing that they would be charged with doing a codification project within that short period of time.

She spoke with General Code Corp. today and they were amazed that all the research was completed and we were ready to move forward. This is just the initial project. Much work was done. The Committee met two, three, and four times during certain months, and it was most time-consuming. The new Charters will be passed out next month. She recommends to the next President of the Board, the 19th Board, that in order to be in compliance with the State-mandated Section indicating that the City review the Code of Ordinances every two years, that a Standing Committee be established on this Board for this purpose. It has to be done.

There are a lot of questions in the present Code, as the Committee is doing their initial project, that could be revised, amended, and streamlined further. It would only be fair to have an on-going committee. She appreciates the passage of the ordinance which was done tonight.

THE PRESIDENT: "Barbara, one heck of a job! Monumental. Wonderful Committee and it is absolutely hard to believe that we have gotten this accomplished. Thank you."

MS. SUMMERVILLE said she could not leave this 18th Board meeting tonight without saying that if she had an award to give for the woman of the year, it surely would be to Barbara McInerney. Serving on the Committee and knowing that she herself did not all the time fulfill her commitment as she should have, Ms. Summerville stated that Barbara carried on as a one-person Committee at times. She wishes she had the patience that Mrs. McInerney had. It was a revelation to see Barbara work with the legal minds of the City. She learned a lot about Barbara that she did not know before. It was inspiring to work with her, and to learn so much. She appreciates what she did.

CHARTER REVISION AND ORDINANCE COMMITTEE (continued)

MRS. McINERNEY wished to publicly thank Rick Robinson of the Law Department, as well as Bill Hennessey. Rick is an asset to the City and we should be glad he is here. He had a lot of time to give us. He was willing to do that, and so was Bill. She also thanks her Committee again, and Annie Summerville in particular for her kind comments.

HOUSE COMMITTEE

(MR. RYBNICK's remarks were lost in flipping the tape over.)

THE PRESIDENT: "Jerry, what would the House Committee be without you? You're terrific. Thank you so much."

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE

Mr. Lyons is not present.

LABOR CONTRACTS LIAISON COMMITTEE

MR. BOCCUZZI thanked his Committee, Mr. Blum and Mr. Burke, both publicly and personally. His report was made earlier this evening. They might have caused a few problems but they did what they had to do.

THE PRESIDENT: "Thank you, Mr. Boccuzzi, Mr. Blum, and Mr. Burke. It is very hard to be on the Labor Contracts Committee, or to lead it. Thank you very much."

RESOLUTIONS

- (1) SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION HONORING THE PILOTS AND CREW OF THE U.S.S. SARATOGA. Submitted by James Dudley, 6th Dist. Rep. (D).

MR. DUDLEY said everyone has a copy of the resolution, and it is self-explanatory. The pilots and crew are to be honored for action taken during the hi-jacking and he hopes justice will be done. He Moved for acceptance of the proposed resolution. Seconded. CARRIED, voice vote.

THE PRESIDENT: "For one-half of this 18th Board, there was no trouble with our voting machine, and that was because of Terry Martin, and I thank him. I want him to be in charge of fixing the sound system for the next Board, because if he can do for the sound system what he did for the voting machine, then we won't have all the differences that we have now. Also, our Staff. I don't know what I would have done without you. It really has been wonderful to work with you, very, very hard-working, Mrs. Kachaluba and Mrs. McEvoy. They put in a lot of time, a lot of effort, and you know it all, you get all the stuff that is sent to you. You call in a lot and they are always there."

PETITIONS - None.

ACCEPTANCE OF THE MINUTES

OCTOBER 7, 1985 Regular Board Meeting Minutes.

MS. SUMMERVILLE Moved for Acceptance. Seconded.

MRS. MAIHOCK said her name was misspelled on Page 15.

MR. DUDLEY said he has a correction on tonight's meeting. Mr. Jachimczyk called him Jim Burke. He is known as Jim Dudley. He would like it so noted as part of Mr. Jachimczyk's report.

THE PRESIDENT called for a voice vote on Acceptance of the Minutes, as corrected. CARRIED.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM OTHER BOARDS and INDIVIDUALS

None.

NEW BUSINESS

THE PRESIDENT reminded the members of the Legislative Seminar to be held on Saturday, Nov. 16th. Everyone received a sheet about it. It is going to be very productive, and is being held at the Sheraton, all day long.

OLD BUSINESS - None.

ADJOURNMENT

MR. SKOVGAARD said as an out-going member of the Board, he would like to join with all the other out-going members of the Board in Moving for Adjournment. Seconded. There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:47 A.M.

By Helen M. McEvoy
Helen M. McEvoy, Administrative Asst.
(and Recording Secretary)
Board of Representatives
City of Stamford, Connecticut

APPROVED:

Sandra Goldstein
Sandra Goldstein, President
18th Board of Representatives

SG:AMS:HMM
Encls.

7 pages Ordinances
6 pages Codification Report
13 pages Resolutions
3 pages Voting Tally
9 pages Agenda
35 pages Committee reports, accolades,
discussion, etc.
73 pages