#### MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING

#### MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991

### 21ST BOARD OF REPRESENTATIVES

#### STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT

A regular monthly meeting of the 21st Board of Representatives of the City of Stamford was held on Monday, October 7, 1991, in the Legislative Chambers of the Board of Representatives, Government Center, 888 Washington Boulevard, Stamford, Connecticut.

## <u>INVOCATION:</u> Alanzo Brown, Deacon Greater Faith Tabernacle Baptist Church 27 Baxter Avenue, Stamford, CT 06902

"Let everyone pray. Father God, we come right now in the precious name of Jesus. Father God, we pray that You will bless this meeting tonight. We pray that You will just open our hearts, give us wisdom and knowledge. Father God, we pray for the officials of this city; pray that You will just have your way in Jesus' name. Amen."

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG was led by President Sandra Goldstein.

<u>ROLL CALL</u> was taken by Clerk of the Board Annie M. Summerville. There were 35 members present and five absent. Absent were John Hogan (excused), David Jachimczyk (excused), Judith Evanko (excused), Richard Lyons (excused) and Guy Barrella.

The Chair declared a quorum.

MACHINE TEST VOTE: Test votes were taken by President and the machine was in good working order.

#### FILLING A VACANCY ON THER BOARD:

<u>MR. STORK</u> said that if he understands the Rules of Order of the Board correctly, that this would be the apppropriate time to bring up a matter, and asked for a Ruling by the Chair. Mr. Stork said that last month, he sent copies of information obtained at the Registrars of Voters office to the Town Clerk concerning a move out-of-district by Representative Frank Mollo, and the Town Clerk forwarded all the information to the Corporation Counsel's office who rendered an opinion in a letter on September 18. Mr. Stork asked President Goldstein if she had seen the letter?

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> stated that she did not see the letter. The President said that at this point in the meeting, she did not agree that it was the proper time to take up the matter. President Goldstein said that the proper time would be under "Old Business" and at that time, Mr. Stork's concerns would be taken up.

MR. RUBINO challenged the Chair.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN asked the Parliamentarian for an opinion.

Ξ.

## FILLING A VACANCY ON THE BOARD; (continued)

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> stated that customarily, vacancies are dealt with after the Machine Test Votes are taken. Mr. Rubino said that if there is a vacancy, it should not go unfilled through the entire meeting.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> said that the first order of business would be to fill a vacancy, however, she did not recognize that there is a vacancy, and the matter, if to be brought up, would be under "Old Business."

MR, RUBINO maintained the Challenge to the Chair. The motion was Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> said the Challenge made by Mr. Rubino was that there is a vacancy on the Board that should be filled at this time. The Chair ruled that notwithstanding the merit, the issue should be resolved at the end of the meeting; the Chair ruled that there was no vacancy. The President based her ruling on the opinion by David Martin, Parliamentarian.

The Chair turned the matter over to Mr. Martin.

<u>MR. MARTIN</u> said that the Rules of Order of the Board in Section IV. state the Order of Business of Board Meetings. He said that in paragraph B of the Section, the Rules state, "to fill the vacancy shall occur after the Test of the Voting Machine and shall take precedent over all other business before the Board." Mr. Martin said that the issue was, "is there a vacancy?" He said the point trying to be made is that there should be a vacancy. Mr. Martin stated that in his opinion, there was no vacancy, therefore, the item is not properly before the Board to be taken up first. Mr. Martin said that the Rules also state that by two-thirds of the members present, an item can be added to the Agenda and could be added at anytime. Mr. Martin said there was no vacancy and if there is a dispute or challenge, it should be brought up at the appropriate time.

Mr. Martin said that regarding a Challenge to the Chair, it is classified as a Point of Order and Appeal; if anyone is dissatisfied with the Ruling of the Chair, an appeal can be to the Body for a final decision and the appeal is debatable according to the Parliamentary Rules; the vote needed is a basic majority vote with a tie going to the Chair.

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> said that he would like to appeal and the Board should think about the proposals to be voted on this evening and what would happen if something passes by one vote and the seat is later on found to be vacant.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> ruled there was no vacancy on the Board; the Motion is to Challenge the Rule of the Chair.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said that many people may not know there is a vacancy and do not know what is happening. He asked where the vacancy is and why Mr. Rubino feels there is a vacancy?

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> said that he is interested in the procedural motion; the substantive matter would have to be turned over to Mr. Stork.

#### FILLING A VACANCY ON THE BOARD: (continued)

<u>MR. STORK</u> said the issue arose from the fact that Mr. Mollo moved from the 9th District to the 17th District for a period of time. Mr. Stork said that Corporation Counsel wrote an opinion that "should a representative during his term move from the district, under Section 2-10-3 of the Charter, he automatically ceases to be a member of the Board." Mr. Stork said that was the premise under which the claim is made that there is a vacancy from Mr. Mollo's seat in the 9th District.

MS. SUMMERVILLE Moved for a Recess. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote on the motion to Recess. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

Recess was from 9:45 to 10:35 p.m.

<u>MS. SUMMERVILLE</u> called the Roll. There were 34 members present and six absent. Absent were Reps. Evanko, Lyons, Mollo, Hogan, Jachimczyk and Barrella.

The Chair declared a quorum.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> said she ruled that it was appropriate to discuss the matter of the vacancy at this time; the former appeal was no longer necessary. She thanked Mr. Stork for bringing the matter to the Board's attention.

President Goldstein turned the Floor over to Mr. Martin, Parliamentarian.

<u>MR. MARTIN</u> stated that after further reviewing the situation in the interest of protecting the integrity of the Board of Representatives and given the possible appearance of a technical violation of residency requirement, he said that he believed the Chair should declare a vacancy and proceed as the Rules require to consider the vacancy as the first order of business.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> declared a vacancy in the 9th District; nominations were in order.

<u>MR, BOCCUZZI</u> nominated Frank Mollo, 40 DePinedo Avenue, for the seat in the 9th District. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve Mr. Mollo to serve as a representative from the 9th District. APPROVED by voice vote with one no vote, Mr. Stork.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> administered the Oath of Office to Mr. Mollo. Mr. Mollo took his seat.

There were 35 members present and five absent.

<u>PAGES:</u> Mr. Eugene Neginsky, 8th Grade student at Cloonan Middle School. Mr. Neginsky came to the United States from U.S.S.R. on January 9, 1990.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN welcomed Eugene and thanked him for serving as a Page.

4. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING - MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991 4.

#### MOMENTS OF SILENCE:

For the late JOSEPH PALUMBO requested by Rep. John R. Zelinsky, Jr., D-11. "Mr. Palumbo was born in Stamford. He was a bowling lanes operator and owned the Town Fair Bowling Lanes in Stratford. Mr. Palumbo was a World War II Army Veteran. He is survived by his wife Lorraine Dionne Palumbo of Norwalk; also by his sister, Mrs. Antoinette Gergle of Stamford and nephew, Joseph Gergle, Jr. also of Stamford. Sincerest sympathy is extended to his wife and family."

For the late VIRGINIA LEVINE requested by Reps. Ellen Mellis, David Martin and Sandra Goldstein. "Mrs. Levine died on September 25, 1991 in Israel. She was the mother of Martin Levine, former Chairman of the Zoning Board. Our deepest sympathy and condolences to Martin Levine and his family."

For the late VERONICA R. LINDBORG requested by Rep. John J. Boccuzzi, D-2. "Mrs. Lindborg was the aunt of Rep. Patricia McGrath. Mrs. Lindborg was a lifelong resident of Stamford. She is survived by her husband, Henry O. Lindborg, a son, Henry Lindborg and two sisters, Catherine Gallagher and Rose Duff. Sincerest sympathy is extended to her husband, Henry and all her family."

For the late ROBERT GOLDSAND requested by Rep. David I. Blum, D-12.

## STANDING COMMITTEES

## STEERING COMMITTEE: Chairperson Sandra Goldstein

REPORT.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> Moved to waive the reading of the Steering committee report. Seconded. Approved by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

The Steering Committee met on Thursday, September 12, 1991, in the Democratic Caucus Room of the Government Center, 888 Washington Boulevard. The meeting was called to order at 6:50 p.m. by Chairwoman Sandra Goldstein who declared a quorum.

#### Present at the meeting:

Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman	John Boccuzzi	Scott Morris
James Rubino	Audrey Maihock	David Martin
Mildred Perillo	Robert DeLuca	Judith Evanko
Ellen Mellis	Peter Nanos	John Hogan
Ruth Powers	John Zimmerman	Pam Harris, LWV
David Blum	Richard Lyons	John Roman, WSTC
Maria Nakian	John Zelinsky	Anne Kachaluba

<u>APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered Held in Steering were all 21 names on the Tentative Steering Agenda. The motion to Hold was approved by a vote of 14 yes and one no vote, Mrs. Perillo; Mr. Boccuzzi did not vote.

<u>FISCAL COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were all 12 items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>MR. WHITE</u> said that he would vote against the ordinance. He said the more he thought about the matter, the more problems he saw that traditionally develop in Stamford. Mr. White stated that he thought that we were buying a lot of trouble for the future. He said this ordinance reminded him of a typical Stamford gimmick to avoid having the government confront the problems. Mr. White said that in six months or two years later, we will find that there are all sorts of complexities where a significant control over part of the town has been given up and we can no longer control or regulate parts of the town. Mr. White also stated that we will find that we have taken on a lot of responsibilities. Mr. White said that if there are problems in the Downtown, the government should confront them.

Mr. White said that he would vote against the ordinance and urged his fellow representatives to do the same.

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said that she felt the same concerns as expressed by Mr. White regarding the ordinance. She said that the people working for the creation of a Downtown Special Services District are among the most talented planners in the City with a great deal of collective expertise. Mrs. Maihock said that it would seem that if they put their considerable determination and enthusiastic efforts into a private business organization, coordinated with the Chamber of Commerce and other civic-minded groups, they could very successfully accomplish and realize a more dynamic Downtown without forming a symbiotic attachment to the City of Stamford and the use of its taxing authority to promote a private business purpose. Mrs. Maihock said that she feels as Mr. White does that the concept could have some problems that at this point, we cannot understand, but may encounter later.

Mrs. Maihock said that she had a number of reservations and felt that if the privilege is given to the Downtown business people, the same consideration would have to be given to any other area business group in the City and might become an unwieldy situation for the City to manage such a burdensome taxing operation.

Mrs. Maihock stated that although there is an indemnification clause, it is not quite absolute that the City would never be facing a suit because the District has the power to sue and to be sued. She said the District also has the power to acquire real estate and various other things that are probably of great value. Mrs. Maihock said that with all the expertise and the proper conditions, the District could be a very successful operation. She said that unfortunately, the economic climate is not always perfect and the City could encounter some very unfortunate situations among which would be something of this nature; the Board of Commissioners recommend that the Board of Representatives, on or before May 1 of each year, levy a tax on taxable interests within the District, but at the time of dissolution, there is some concern that the Board of Representatives must impose a levy on the property for as many years as any such liabilities shall remain outstanding and since after the dissolution of the District, the District transfers its assets to the City, therefore, does it not follow that the City would also inherit the problem of liabilities? Mrs. Maihock said that these concerns cannot be answered at this time; therefore, she said that she would also vote against the ordinance.

MR. BOCCUZZI asked if the City was financially obligated in anyway?

MRS. NAKIAN stated that the City was not obligated.

<u>MS. SUMMERVILLE</u> stated that for the Record and as the 6th District Representative, she agreed with what the Special Services District Committee was trying to do for the Downtown area. She asked that her colleagues support the ordinance as the Downtown people want the Special Services District.

<u>MR. PIA</u> said that for the Record, he agreed with Mr. White and intended to vote against the ordinance for the same reasons as articulated by Mr. White.

<u>MR. MARTIN</u> said there was an outstanding show of support at the Committee meeting by various people within the Downtown District. He said the issues raised by Rep. Maihock and Rep. White were some of the concerns of the Committee. Mr. Martin stated that for those concerns, that was why the ordinance was modified from the original one. Mr. Martin said the District does not take off parts of the City; all ordinances and regulations still apply to this particular part of the City. He said there is the ability to dissolve the District and the City would not be financially responsible if such an occurrence has to happen. Mr. Martin said the City was well protected, and what the City is not protected from are the concerns expressed by the District if the Downtown continues to go as it is going now.

Mr. Martin urged his fellow Board members to vote for the ordinance.

MR. NANOS Moved the question. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to Move the question. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote on the final adoption of the proposed ordinance for the formation of a Special Service District in the Downtown area. APPROVED by a vote of 29 yes, three no votes with three abstentions.

APPOINTMENTS COMMITTEE - Mildred Perillo, Chairwoman - No report.

# FISCAL COMMITTEE - Richard Lyons, Chairman

Ms. Powers reported for Mr. Lyons.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the Fiscal Committee met on Wednesday, October 2, 1991. Present were Committee members Richard Lyons, Ruth Powers, Gerald Rybnick, David Martin and John Zimmerman. There was no quorum. The Committee reconvened this evening at 6:30 p.m.

8.

## STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT: (continued)

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE - Ordered on the Agenda were seven of the nine items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and two item from the Pending Agenda. Ordered on the Pending Agenda was one item, the proposed ordinance concerning protection of city employees who disclose illegal activities. Ordered off the Agenda was the mattter of Board of Representatives not voting on items unless submitted 48 hours prior to meeting.

<u>PERSONNEL COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one item from the Addenda.

<u>PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>PUBLIC WORKS & SEWER COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were three of the four items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one item from the Addenda and one from the Pending Agenda. Ordered off the Agenda was the matter of flooding at 8th & Summer Streets; this item in the process of being resolved.

<u>HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE</u> -. Ordered on the Agenda were three of the five items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda and one from the Pending Agenda. Ordered on the Pending Agenda was the item re "Safe Store" program. Ordered off the Agenda was the matter of salary for the Acting Fire Chief; this was not a matter for the Board to consider.

<u>PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE</u> - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

EDUCATION. WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - Ordered on the Agenda was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Ordered on the Agenda was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda. Ordered on the Agenda was one item from the Addenda.

<u>TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were two of the three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda. Ordered off the Agenda was the matter of privatization of Dial-A-Ride Program.

HOUSE COMMITTEE - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were the two items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Agenda were the three items appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</u> - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda. Ordered on the Agenda was one item from the Pending Agenda.

<u>DOWNTOWN REVIEW COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered on the Pending Agenda was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

## STEERING COMMITTEE REPORT: (continued)

<u>AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE</u> - Ordered Held in Steering was the one item appearing on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

**<u>RESOLUTIONS</u>** - No items appeared on the Tentative Steering Agenda.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u> - There being no further business to come before the Steering Committee, upon a motion made, Seconded and Approved, the meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

> Sandra Goldstein, Chairwoman Steering Committee

6.

<u>MR, DeLUCA</u> Moved to take up item two on the Legislative and Rules Agenda. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve Mr. DeLuca's motion. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE - Maria Nakian & David Martin, Co-Chairpersons

 (L&R21.99) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR THE FORMATION OF A SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT IN THE DOWNTOWN STAMFORD AREA. Submitted by the Chamber of Commerce Downtown Retail Management Committee per letter from Schatz & Schatz. Ribicoff & Kotkin, 5/13/91. Held in Committee 6/3, 7/8 and 8/5/91. Approved for publication 9/4/91.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said a public hearing was held on the item; present were Norman Lotstein and Ira Dansky from the Downtown Special Services District Committee; David Anderson and Gino Giusti from the Stamford Partnership; Terry Walsh from the Chamber of Commerce; Gerald Marquis from the URC; many representatives of the Downtown merchants, of banks, of St. John's Episcopal Church; Randy Brion from the Stamford Center for the Arts; Lois PontBriant, Town and City Clerk of Stamford and Jon Smith, Director of Planning and Zoning for the City.

Mrs. Nakian said that everyone at the public hearing spoke very favorably of the proposed Special Services District. She said the Special Service District Committee, along with the merchants, worked hard over the past year to establish the District, and the District seems to be what the Downtown needs. Mrs. Nakian stated that there is great hope that the District will bring life to the Downtown area.

Mrs. Nakian said the Committee voted six in favor, no opposition with two abstentions to approve the ordinance. Mrs. Nakian said that she would have placed the item on the Consent Agenda had the item been in the regular order of the L&R Committee. Mrs. Nakian Moved for approval. Seconded.

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said that as a member of the Committee, he voted in favor of the ordinance. He urged his colleagues to support the ordinance as he thought it most beneficial to the City and a giant step can be taken this evening to show in the future, that the Board did the right thing in approving the formation of the Special Services District.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said he hoped that the Downtown District Committee remembers that the Old Town Hall is a part and parcel of the District.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> placed items five, six, seven and eleven on the Consent Agenda. All Secondary Committees concurred or waived the Secondary report.

1. <u>\$166.888.00</u> - PURCHASING DEPARTMENT - VARIOUS CODES - additional appriopriation to restore monies to accounts cut by the Board of Finance during budget process. Code 243.1110 salaries \$157,505 Code 243.2610 maintenance of equipment 425 Code 243.2740 telephone 2,750 Code 243.2750 gasoline 300 Code 243.2923 copying & printing 1,000 Code 243.2930 office supplies 4,908 \$166,888 Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 5/28/91 Contingent upon Board of Finance Approval. Held in Committee 7/8 and 8/5/91. Held in Steering 8/14/91.

Above also referred to LEGISLATIVE AND RULES COMMITTEE.

HELD IN COMMITTEE

2. <u>\$100,000.00</u> - LAW DEPARTMENT - CODE 230.5150 PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS - additional appropriation requested because of potential conflicts of interest; outside counsel engaged to represent Board of Ethics in investigation of E-911 system installation. Also for reimbursement to police officers for attorneys' fees per contract. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 6/17/91. Contingent upon Board of Finance approval. Held in Steering 8/14/91.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said item two was not considered as the Board of Finance deleted the item from their Agenda on 9/12/91; to be resubmitted.

3. <u>\$ 11,087.00</u> - PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT - CODE 270.1110 SALARIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1991/92 - additional appropriation for funding for two Personnel Analyst II positions eliminated from the '91/92 budget. One Analyst is assigned to Employee Benefits Office and one to manage Civil Service exam program. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 7/29/91. Board of Finance approved 8/8/91. Returned to Committee 9/4/91.

Above also referred to PERSONNEL COMMITTEE.

MS. POWERS said the Committee voted 6-0-1 to approve. Moved. Seconded.

The Personnel Committee's Secondary Committee report was waived.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> asked if tests for the Personnel Analyst II positions were taken?. He said the question arose last month and wondered if the Personnel Director was asked the question at the meeting?

<u>MS. POWERS</u> stated that the Personnel Director was asked that question. Ms. Powers said that when the money is approved, the jobs will be posted and examinations will be given; that was the correct civil service procedure.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote to approve \$11,087 for the Personnel Department Personnel Analyst II positions. DEFEATED by a vote of 20 yes, 13 no with two abstentions. (A two-thirds vote was required)

4. MATTER OF TAX COLLECTOR REFUSING TO ACCEPT PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX ON AUTOMOBILES THAT ARE LEASED. Submitted by Rep. Michael D. Larobina, D-16, 8/14/91. Held in Committee 9/4/91.

## HELD IN COMMITTEE

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said a report was given last month; Mr. Mello, Tax Collector, stated that he would send a list of all the leasing companies who are in arrears.

5. <u>\$ 6,268.00</u> - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - FISCAL YEAR 1991-92 - WOMEN INFANT & CHILDREN (WIC) PROGRAM - CODE 573.1110 SALARIES - additional appropriation represents approved contract amount from CT. Department of Health Services for fiscal year 10/1/91 through 9/30/92. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 9/3/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

## APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

6. \$ 28,468,00 - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - CHILDHOOD LEAD POISONING PREVENTION PROGRAM GRANT - 570 VARIOUS CODES - additional appropriation request to cover grant received by the Center for Disease Control. Funds will be administered by State of Connecticut Dept. of Health Services. Amount will be reimbursed 100% by Federal funding. 570.1201 Overtime \$ 4,465.00 570.2650 New equipment 3,279.00 570.2740 Telephone 560.00 570.2930 Office supplies & expense 8,287.00 570.2940 Conferences, training & travel 2,987.00 570.3711 Laboratory supplies 4,390.00 570.5150 Professional consultants 4,500,00 \$28,468.00 Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 9/3/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

## APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

7. <u>\$ 10.483.00</u> - HEALTH DEPARTMENT - AIDS EDUCATION RISK REDUCTION FY 91/92 -CODE 577.1110 SALARIES - additional appropriation to cover grant funds. This year, the grant has increased to cover social security for all unclassified grant employees and full salary of AIDS Program Coordinator. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 9/3/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

#### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

8. <u>\$ 14,600.00</u> - COMMISSIONER OF FINANCE - CODE 240.5150 PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS - additional appropriation request for preparation of the City's Cost Allocation Plan. Plan is required for the City to be reimbursed for administrative costs on grants where indirect costs are an allowable reimbursement. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 9/3/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the \$14,600 was for professional consultants for the allocations of grant money. She said that in this way, the City is able to obtain more grant money as sometime benefits, social security and other costs are paid for. Moved and Seconded.

The Education, Welfare and Government Committee concurred.

MR. BLUM asked why are more professional consultants needed at this time?

<u>MS. POWERS</u> stated that the proper accounting standards require that an outside person do this.

<u>MS. BROMLEY</u> asked if the item was cut from the Mayor's budget or was it not in the budget?

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said that it was not in the budget; it comes through when the audit is due. Ms. Powers said the matter has gone to bid each year and the lowest received was \$30,000. Ms. Powers said a contribution is being received.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve \$14,600 for Commissioner of Finance for professional consultants - APPROVED by a voice vote with two no votes, Mr. Blum and Mr. Mollo and one abstention, Ms. Summerville.

9. <u>\$ 60,000.00</u> - DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES - CODE 510.1110 SALARIES additional appropriation for two caseworker positions and one clerical positions. Current staff of 14 persons is not sufficient to keep the City in compliance with state regulations. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/3/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the original request was for \$93,000; the Board of Finance cut the amount to \$60,000 to pay for two caseworkers and one clerical support person. Ms. Powers said the Committee vote 4-0-2 to approve. Moved. Seconded.

The Education, Welfare and Government Committee concurred.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve \$60,000 for the Social Services Department - APPROVED by voice vote with four no votes, Mr. Mollo, Mrs. Perillo, Ms. Mitchell and Ms. DePina; Ms. Summerville abstained.

10. <u>\$733,000.00</u> - BOARD OF EDUCATION - special appropriation request to provide psychological, social work and speech services to student in non-public schools. If allocated, funds will be retained in a special budget apart from regular Board of Education Budget. Request in accordance with provisions of C8-30-10 of the Charter. Request by Daniel G. Cook, Asst Supt. for Support Services, 9/3/91. Contingent upon Board of Finance approval.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

11. <u>\$161.600.00</u> - STAMFORD MUSEUM & NATURE CENTER - AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1991/92 - CODE 720-355 - DAM REPAIRS - additional appropriation required for repairs to be made to the dam to prevent undermining and piping of embankment material. Repairs necessary to ensure safety of downstream residents and property. Plans completed. State of Connecticut, Dept. of Environmental Protection is requiring that repairs be made. To be funded by bonds. Request by Mayor Thom Serrani, 7/8/91. Planning Board approved 10/1/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

Above also referred to EDUCATION, WELFARE AND GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE.

## APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

12. APPROVAL OF STAMFORD EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, INC. CONTRACT. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani, 8/22/91. (This is a resubmission; was not sent to Board of Finance)

Above also referred to HEALTH AND PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the Committee vote 5-0-1 to approve the contract as amended. Moved. Seconded.

The Health and Protection Secondary Committee report was waived.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> Moved to amend the contract. She said that since the contract has been sent to the Board several times, the 1991/92 budget is already in place and last month, \$70,000 was approved for a new ambulance. Ms. Powers said that on page 8, the first paragraph "(f) Capital Funding" should be deleted; the second paragraph should start, "(f) In the event that SEMS requires additional funds for capital expenditures for fiscal years subsequent to 1991-92 and does not have sufficient funding for such expenditures from its operation and income, then in that event it shall submit capital requests to the City pursuant to the City Charter and the City's normal budget process. The Capital budget shall be used exclusively for capital expenditures necessary for SEMS to maintain an efficient and cost-effective operation."

The motion was Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the above amendment. APPROVED by voice vote with one abstention, Mr. Rybnick.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> Moved to amend on page 10, "5.2 Number of Ambulances Available (b) SEMS shall provide the following ambulance coverage:" The change would be at 684 Long Ridge Road; "1, 12 hours (7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) all weeks <u>and 1</u> <u>stand by unit, 12 hours, 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. all week</u>." The underscored text is the amendment.

The change at the Turn of River Fire Co. - "1, 12 hours (7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.) all week and 1 fly car, 12 hours, 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. all week." The underscored text is the amendment. The motion was Seconded.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> wanted to know if the vote is going to be for four ambulances or four and a half ambulances as was previously voted on?

MS. POWERS said that the amendments would be for four and a half ambulances.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said that if we have four ambulances, Medicare would pick up the bill. He said that he wondered how long the senior citizens will have to wait until Medicare pays for part of the bills. Mr. Blum stated that he was totally against the four and a half ambulance concept. He said he was for the four ambulances and with the change in administration coming soon, then it could be determined if we need four or four and a half ambulances.

MR. MARTIN Moved the question. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to Move the question. APPROVED by voice vote.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the amendments. APPROVED by a vote of 23 yes and 12 no votes.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> Moved to amend on page 11, "5.3 Ambulance Staffing." At the end of the paragraph, a new sentence to be added: "The fly car shall be staffed by at least one individual who shall be a Connecticut certified EMT-P". The motion was Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the amendment. APPROVED by voice vote with two no votes, Mr. Esposito and Mr. Blum.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said that the Committee wanted to indicate that the way the contract reads would save at least \$50,000 a month and urged approval of the contract, as amended.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to approve the SEMS contract, as amended. APPROVED by a vote of 25 yes and nine no votes with one abstention.

MS. POWERS Moved the Consent Agenda. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the Consent Agenda. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

LEGISLATIVE & RULES COMMITTEE - Maria Nakian & David Martin, Co-Chairpersons

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the Legislative and Rules Committee met on Monday, September 30, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. Present were Committee members Maria Nakian, David Martin, Ellen Bromley, Carmen Domonkos, Stanley Esposito, Michael Larobina, Audrey Maihock, James Rubino and John Zelinsky. Also present for item seven; was Greg Dunn from Neighborhood Preservation Program and on item one, Sandra Dennies, Acting Director of the Coliseum Authority, Vivien White and Caddy Vos, members of the Coliseum Authority.

Mrs. Nakian placed items three and six on the Consent Agenda.

 (L&R21.31) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING THE STAMFORD COLISEUM AUTHORITY. Submitted by Rep. James Rubino, R-7, 5/16/90. Held in Committee 6/4, 7/9, 12/3/90, 1/7, 2/4, 3/4, 4/1, 5/6, 7/8, 8/5 and 9/4/91. Returned to Committee 6/3/91.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the Committee approved the ordinance by a vote of five in favor, two opposed and one abstention, and Moved for publication. Mrs. Nakian said that upon the advice of Corporation Counsel, she would propose three amendments to the ordinance. Seconded.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> asked which proposed ordinance would the Board be voting on: the one submitted by Rep. Rubino or the one proposed by Mr. Martin?

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the Committee voted on the proposed ordinance titled, "Proposed Ordinance Amending Chapter 6, Article VII of the Code of Ordinances (Creation of an Independent Authority)." Mrs. Nakian stated that Mr. Rubino also wrote an ordinance that was not accepted by the Committee; the one adopted by the Committee was the one making the Coliseum Authority an independent authority.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> wanted to know what the vote of the Committee was on the rejection Mr. Rubino's ordinance?

MRS. NAKIAN said that the vote was five to three.

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> asked what the origin of the amendments were. He said that he did not hear about them at the Committee meeting and there was no prior written notification in regards to the amendments. Mr. Rubino stated that he would have liked to study the amendments with reference to the ordinance and Section 7-136c Enabling Legislation.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said that after the Committee voted on the ordinance, Mr. Martin, the author, again reviewed the ordinance with Corporation Counsel, and resulting from the second review, Corporation Counsel sent an amended ordinance containing three changes from the version voted upon by the Committee. Mrs. Nakian said the ordinance was on every members' desk this evening.

Mrs. Nakian Moved the first amendment on page 2, the last sentence; to be added would be, "Terms shall coincide with the beginning and ending dates of the City's fiscal year." Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the amendment. APPROVED by voice vote with two no votes, Mr. Blum and Mrs. Perillo.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> Moved the second amendment on page 6, deleting the entire section G in the original version of the ordinance and substituting a new section G. in Section 6-40. Seconded.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> Moved to return the proposed ordinance to Committee. He said that he had no copy of the old section G. and found it very confusing to follow what was going on. The motion was Seconded.

<u>MR. MARTIN</u> said there were many draft ordinances. He said the ordinance passed by the Committee was before the Board this evening. He also stated that a second copy incorporating some proposed amendments was placed on every members' desk this evening. Mr. Martin said that a new section G is proposed.

Mr. Martin said there have been a number of delays on this ordinance and at this date in time, there has been a number of significant issues that have been raised regarding the Coliseum Authority and to continue to Hold the ordinance for another Board would be a dereliction of duty.

Mr. Martin said that a letter was received from Sandra Dennies telling of an urgent need to address the Coliseum Authority issues. Mr. Martin said if anyone disagrees with the proposed ordinance, a conclusion should be made and not send the ordinance back to Committee.

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> said that there should be no accusation of being derelic because there is objection to an amendment put on the desk at the last minute. He said the amendment goes to the heart of the ordinance; the spending plan, how the budget is dealt with and the amendment should have been debated in Committee when there was time to review the statute and time to question Corporation Counsel. Mr. Rubino said that it would be ridiculous to consider the ordinance this evening.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said that the proposed ordinance should not be returned to Committee and that a vote should be taken this evening.

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said that she resented getting something this evening that she had not seen before. She said that she could not consume all the new changes in the short time and that she would like to know what she is voting on before she votes on the matter. Mrs. Maihock stated that it was not fair to the Representatives to constantly have these things shoved under their noses at the last minute and expecting them to vote without reading the material. She said that that was not in the best interest of the City.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> stated that since the item is for publication, there will be an opportunity for the item to be discussed in Committee when the item comes up for final adoption. She said that if the proposed ordinance is not voted on this evening, it will have to go through the process on the next Board which will take several months.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote to return to Committee the proposed ordinance concerning the Coliseum Authority. DEFEATED by a vote of 21 no and 11 yes votes.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> Moved the amendment on page 6, substituting section G of the ordinance with the section G in the ordinance that everyone received this evening. Seconded.

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> said that he would have to abstain as he did not have time to read the amendment.

MR. BLUM asked if the amendment could be read?

<u>MRS. NAKAIN</u> said the amendment provides for an annual spending plan for the Coliseum Authority. She said the plan will have specific categories of spending and the plan will be submitted by the Mayor for the coming fiscal year; the Mayor and the Board of Finance may review the Authority's spending plan and forward any recommendations to the Board of Representatives. Mrs. Nakian said the authority is with the Board of Representatives.

Mrs. Nakian said that after the adoption of the City budget, the plan can be amended only if the Coliseum Authority does so by an unanimous vote of at least six members and they may not spend any funds unless the expenditure is provided for in the spending plan or the amendment to the plan. Mrs. Nakian stated that this was the safeguard and as much as the Board can possibly and legally have an Authority.

<u>MR. MARTIN</u> said that at the Committee meeting, Mr. Rubino was incredulous that the Corporation Counsel would have approved the previous paragraph G. Mr. Martin said that he could assure Mr. Rubino that Corporation Counsel did approve the paragraph. Mr. Martin said that after Mr. Rubino's comments, he called Corporation Counsel to confirm and to get in writing, what Mr. Rubino was concerned about, and at that point, Corporation Counsel said that he did not think he could do that. Mr. Martin said the Board is not voting on the plan and that Corporation Counsel, put in writing, that this would now be a legal independent agency. Mr. Martin said that the Board will know what they are going to do as the plan will come before the Board. Mr. Martin said that the amendment should be approved.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the amendment on page 6, "G". APPROVED by a voice vote with 11 abstentions; Mr. Esposito, Mr. Fedele, Mr. Rubino, Mrs. Maihock, Mr. Pavia, Mr. Johnson, Mrs. Perillo, Mr. Blum, Mr. Zelinsky, Mr. Pia and Mr. DeLuca.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> Moved two changes; to amend a technical amendment on page 9, Section 6-41 Continuing Obligations, in "B" at the end of the first sentence, "approved" should be changed to "submitted"; should read "...until a spending plan is submitted." Mrs. Nakian said the rest of the paragraph will be deleted.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the next amendment would be in the Section 6-41, "C"; "approval" should be deleted and "submission" inserted; it should now read "...shall not require any spending plan submission." Mrs. Nakian said all after that is deleted.

Mrs. Nakian's motion was Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the amendments. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

<u>MR. RUBINO</u> said that he was against the ordinance as put forward by Mrs. Nakian and Mr. Martin. He said that with the amendments, this creates an independent authority outside of the budget control of the Board of Representatives and the Board of Finance. Mr. Rubino said a submission of the Authority's budget would be for informational purposes only.

Mr. Rubino stated that as the Authority exists today, it is a City department. He said that from Ms. Dennies' letter, it says otherwise but the attachment to the letter on page 3, of Mr. Robinson's letter of May 24, 1991, footnote two says that it should be noted that while the conclusion of this opinion that it appears that the intent of the ordinance creating the Coliseum Authority was to designate the Department of Finance as the Coliseum Authority, historically, to the best of this department's knowledge, 6-37a has never been literally followed.

Mr. Rubino said that the above says that the Department of Finance was designated as the Coliseum Authority meaning that it is a City department. Mr. Rubino stated that on page 5, it says, "This section showed the Board of Representatives intention to create a captured authority subject to the provisions of our Charter." Mr. Rubino stated that there was no one sentence in the opinion letter saying the Coliseum Authority is a City department, but it is clear that that is what was meant. Mr. Rubino said that from the opinion letter, the ordinance was poorly written.

Mr. Rubino Moved to substitute the ordinance proposed by him in place of the one being discussed. His ordinance was entitled, "Proposed Ordinance Amending Chapter 6, Artice VII of the Code of Ordinances Designating the Department of Finance as the Authority. The motion was Seconded.

Mr. Rubino said that his ordinance designates the Department of Finance as the Authority; he said the Coliseum Authority would operate as a City department and would be subject to budget review by the Board of Representatives and the Board of Finance.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said that he agreed with the ordinance proposed by Mr. Rubino.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote on substituting an ordinance that makes the Coliseum Authority a city department. DEFEATED by a vote of 19 no and 13 yes votes with one abstention.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> Moved for approval to publish the proposed ordinance, as amended, Amending Chapter 6, Article VII of the Code, Creation of an Independent Authority. Seconded.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said that he was against the ordinance based on the letter that went to Mr. Martin. Mr. Blum quoted from the letter, "As I stated during the telephone conversation, the basic issue is whether or not the Board of Representatives inadvertenly setting up a mock independent...." "If the Board of Representatives desires to create an independent corporation, it may establish guidelines concerning the operation and the intent of the intensity but it cannot exert dominant control over the entity once it is created." Mr. Blum said the Board was not voting for control as stated in the ordinance.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote to approve for publication the proposed ordinance as amended for Creation of an Independent Authority. APPROVED by a vote of 21 yes and 12 no votes.

 (L&R21.99) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE FOR THE FORMATION OF A SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT IN THE DOWNTOWN STAMFORD AREA. Submitted by the Chamber of Commerce Downtown Retail Management Committee per letter from Schatz & Schatz, Ribicoff & Kotkin, 5/13/91. Held in Committee 6/3, 7/8 and 8/5/91. Approved for publication 9/4/91.

SEE PAGE 2: TAKEN UP UNDER SUSPENSION OF RULES - APPROVED BY A VOTE OF 29 YES AND THREE NO VOTES WITH THREE ABSTENTIONS.

3. (L&R21.104) FOR FINAL ADOPTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 669 SUPPLEMENTAL CONCERNING PURCHASING OF SUPPLIES, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES. Amending to include contract administration and compliance. Submitted by Reps. Maria Nakian, D-20 and David Martin, D-19, 6/3/91. Held on Pending Agenda 6/12/91. Held in Committee 8/5/91. Approved for publication 9/4/91.

## APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

4. (L&R21.120) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF STAMFORD AND THE STAMFORD EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, INC. FOR PREMISES KNOWN AS 684 LONG RIDGE ROAD, STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT WHICH HAS BEEN USED AS HEADQUARTERS OF THE STAMFORD AMBULANCE CORPS, INC. Schedule A of Contract. Per SEMS Contract submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani, 8/22/91. (This is a resubmission)

HELD IN COMMITTEE (UNTIL CONTRACT IS SIGNED)

5. (L&R21.121) PROPOSED RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE TRANSFER OF AMBULANCES AND CERTAIN ANCILLARY EQUIPMENT FROM THE CITY OF STAMFORD TO THE STAMFORD EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES. Schedule B of Contract. Per SEMS contract submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani, 8/22/91. (This is a resubmission)

HELD IN COMMITTEE (UNTIL CONTRACT IS SIGNED)

6. (L&R21.122) PROPOSED RESOLUTION APPROVING LICENSING AGREEMENT BETWEEN STATE DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES AND THE CITY OF STAMFORD. Under the terms of lease, the DMV will set up a Photo Licensing Center in Government Center two days per week, Wednesdays and Thursday, from 10 a.m. to 7 p.m. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani 9/4/91. Planning Board approved 10/1/91. Board of Finance approved 9/12/91.

### APPROVED ON CONSENT AGENDA

7. (L&R21.119) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING TAX ABATEMENT FOR STAMFORD LAND CONSERVATION TRUST FOR PROPERTY ON OLD MILL LANE, LOT A, LIST #R90040796, CARD 5A, DONOR JEREMY MAIN. Requested by Joel M. Berns, Vice President, Stamford Land Conservation Trust, 22 First Street, Stamford, CT 06905, 8/24/91.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the Committee voted four in favor, one opposed with one abstention for approval and so Moved. Seconded.

<u>MR. BLUM</u> said he was against the approval of the item. He said that an acre of land will be taken off the tax roles and taxes will not have to be paid.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve for publication proposed ordinance Concerning Tax Abatement for Stamford Land Conservation. APPROVED by voice vote with five no votes, Mr. Blum, Mr. Johnson, Mr. DeLuca, Mr. Pavia and Mrs. Maihock; abstentions were Mr. Owens, Mr. Rubino and Mr. Boccuzzi.

 (L&R21.114) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING TAX ABATEMENT FOR SITE AT 93 SPRUCE STREET DEVELOPED BY NEIGHBORHOOD PRESERVATION PROGRAM OF STAMFORD. Requested by Greg Dunn, Executive Director, Neighborhood Preservation Program of Stamford, CT., Inc., 295 West Main Street, Stamford, CT 06902, 7/11/91. Held on Pending Agenda 7/17/91

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

9. (L&R21.91) FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 231-46 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES CONCERNING TRUCK PARKING ON RESIDENTIAL STREETS. Submitted by Rep. Maria Nakian, D-20, 4/10/91. Held in Committee 5/6, 6/3, 7/8 and 8/5/91. Held on Pending Agenda 8/14/91.

<u>MRS. NAKIAN</u> said the Committee voted six in favor, one opposed with no abstentions. Moved and Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve for publication, the proposed ordinance Concerning Truck Parking on Residential Streets. APPROVED by a voice vote with four no votes, Mrs. Maihock, Mr. Boccuzzi, Ms. Summerville and Ms. Powers.

MRS, NAKIAN Moved for approval of the Consent Agenda. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the Consent Agenda. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

PERSONNEL COMMITTEE - Scott Morris, Chairman

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said the Personnel Committee met on Wednesday, September 25, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. Present were Committee members David Blum, Michael Larobina, Scott Morris, Peter Nanos, Philip Stork and John Zelinsky; excused were John Hogan and David Jachimczyk. Also, attending were Rep. Robert DeLuca; Sandra Dennies for item one; Sim Bernstein and Personnel Commission members Mark Teichman, Otto Calder and Nicholas Loglisci, Jr. for item two.

 APPLICATION FOR A GRANT OFFERED BY THE FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE (FMCS) TO IMPROVE LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS. Submitted by Reps. Philip R. Stork, R-15 and Sandra Goldstein, D-16, 2/7/91. Held in Committee 3/4, 4/1 and 9/4/91. Held on Pending Agenda 4/17/91.

MR. MORRIS said the Committee first considered the item in February; it was Held and then placed on the Pending Agenda in April. He said the item was placed back on the Agenda so that it could be discussed with the Grants Director as to what the next steps the City might choose to take in relations to applying for the grant and the timetable the City is looking at in terms of an application process, or whether it is feasible or practical to apply for the grant. Mr. Morris said that Ms. Dennies stated that after speaking to the unions and the Mayor about applying for the grant, which could be up to a \$100,000, both groups were not in favor of applying for the grant. Mr. Morris said that the goals of the grant are worthy but the goals cannot be worked toward without the grant. Mr. Morris stated that in order to proceed with the grant, it was necessary to obtain concurrence of both labor and management; both sides would have to sign off on the application. Mr. Morris said that it was recommended that at the appropriate time, the item be submitted on the Agenda of the next Board.

 APPROVAL OF NON-UNION MANAGEMENT PAY PLAN. Plan provides for the following: (1) no wage increases on July 1, 1991 and (2) adjustment of salary ranges by 6%. Plan approved by Personnel Commission 5/23/91. Submitted through letter to Board of Finance 6/3/91. Held in Committee 7/8/91. Held in Steering 7/17/91. Board of Finance approved 8/8/91. Item taken off Steering Agenda 8/14/91. Resubmitted by Reps. Scott Morris, D-17 and Robert DeLuca R-14, 9/10/91.

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said the Committee voted four in favor, one in opposition with no abstentions to approve the pay plan. Moved. Seconded.

Mr. Morris said that all members should have received a letter dated June 3, 1991 from the Personnel Commission to the Board of Finance outlining what the plan provides for, namely, no wage increases as of July 1, 1991, for the non-union classified administrators and an adjustment of salary ranges by 6%. Mr. Morris stated that any future proposals for wage adjustments or increases must go through the entire process of being submitted to the Personnel Commission, the Board of Finance and the Board of Representatives.

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> stated that several months ago, a resolution was approved rejecting the pay plan as submitted. He said to approve the plan this evening is losing credibility with the public. Mr. DeLuca said that he was at the meeting and nothing different was said from what was rejected several months ago.

### PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (continued)

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> stated that it was simple for a person making \$80,000 a year to say that he was willing to take a pay freeze but for the person making \$50,000 and comparing the two, and to suggest that the one making \$50,000 take a pay freeze is ludicrous. Mr. DeLuca said that certain members of the Personnel Commission said that they wanted to send out a message to the unions. Mr. DeLuca said the statement was ridiculous.

Mr. DeLuca said that he believed that the uniformed peoples' plan should be sent down separately. Mr. DeLuca said he did not agree with Asst. Corporation Counsel Rick Robinson's interpretation of the pay plan; that the Charter stated that the pay plan was unambiguous meaning one plan. Mr. DeLuca stated that that was Mr. Robinson's interpretation and other attorneys could interpret the matter in ten different ways.

Mr. DeLuca asked that his colleagues reject the pay plan.

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said that when the Board voted on the Sense-of-the-Board Resolution, there was no pay plan submitted to the Board at that time. Also, Mr. Morris stated that he would have to go along with the Personnel Department and Commission that to separate the police and fire chiefs and the deputy police chief from the rest of group is bad management and bad personnel practice. Mr. Morris said that he agreed with what Mr. Robinson said; that the Charter states there is one pay plan for all positions not included in a collective bargaining unit and not in the Board of Education.

<u>MS. SUMMERVILLE</u> wanted an explanation regarding part (2) "adjustment of salary ranges by 6%."

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said the 6% adjustment is to salary ranges; the minimum salary to the maximum salary covering each particular position mentioned in the plan. He said this was in regards to the pay ranges and not the salaries.

<u>MR. LOVALLO</u> asked that if someone was to be a new hire, would they be brought in at a rate of 6% higher because the salary range is being increased by 6%?

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said that wages would not be increased; just the salary range.

<u>MR. LOVALLO</u> asked if the minimum salary level would be 6% higher that it is today?

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> stated that if the plan is approved, it would be.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> asked Mr. Lovallo to repeat his question for a clearier understanding of the matter as Mr. Morris' answer indicated something different.

<u>MR. LOVALLO</u> wanted to know if a minimum salary level of a particular position would be raised 6% if the plan is approved?

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> replied that it would be. He said that the minimum salary rate for each position will be increased by 6%.

## PERSONNEL COMMITTEE: (continued)

<u>MR. LOVALLO</u> asked if the people currently serving in those positions would not receive a 6% raise, but if a new person was hired, they would be brought in at a minimum level that would be 6% higher than what the currently employed people are making?

<u>MR. LAROBINA</u> said that he believed that was correct. He said that it wouldn't give any discretion on the floor but on the ceiling; there would be discretion on the part of the person that is hiring. Mr. Larobina said that the minimum salary level would be raised.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> asked if someone was at the minimum of the scale now, and the pay plan is approved, would that someone get a 6% raise?

<u>MR. MORRIS</u> said that the person would not get a 6% raise in salary; the range of his pay scale would increase by 6%.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> asked Mr. Morris if he were getting \$40,000 a year, and he was at the bottom of the scale and the plan is voted through raising the minimum by 6%, would he still be working for \$40,000 or would the minimum scale be received according to the pay plan?

MR. MORRIS asked that Mr. Boccuzzi re-phrase his question.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> stated that if he were working in a department at the minimum, getting \$40,000 per year, if the pay plan goes into effect, does the minimum go up by 6% of \$40,000?

MRS. PERILLO Moved to return the item to Committee. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to return to Committee item two under Personnel. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

#### PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE - David Jachimczyk, Chairman

Mr. White reported for Mr. Jachimczyk.

<u>MR. WHITE</u> said the Planning and Zoning Committee met on Thursday, October 3, 1991, at 7:30 p.m. Present were Committee members David Jachimczyk, W. Dennis White, Carmen Domonkos, Scott Morris, Maria Nakian, and Philip Stork; also present was Planning and Zoning Director Jon Smith.

1. STATUS REPORT ON SENIOR CENTER. Requested by Reps. David Jachimczyk, D-4 and Carmen Domonkos, D-18, 6/6/91. Held in Committee 7/8, 8/5 and 9/4/91.

<u>MR. WHITE</u> said that Carmen Domonkos reported to the Committee that the grant application has been sent to the State for \$250,000 to be used for the renovations to the Board of Education building. Mr. White said that the Committee and, in particular, Carmen Domonkos will continue to keep the full Board informed on the matter.

### PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE: (continued)

 MATTER OF COLOR CODED PLANNING MAP AND ZONING MAP. Requested by Rep. W. Dennis White, D-1, 6/13/91. Held in Committee 7/8, 8/5 and 9/4/91.

<u>MR. WHITE</u> said that the Committee by a vote of five in favor with one opposed endorsed a motion to ask Jon Smith to prepare two color coded maps; one for each Caucus room. Mr. White stated that the Committee decided this would be the most cost-effective method at this time for getting the color coded maps as the cost would be just for a few magic markers.

 MATTER OF ESTABLISHING AN ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW BOARD. Submitted by Reps. Michael Larobina, D-16 and W. Dennis White, D-1, 7/16/91. Held in Committee 8/5 and 9/4/91.

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

<u>MR. WHITE</u> said that the Zoning Board will be invited to attend the next meeting to discuss the issue.

 SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION SUPPORTING FUNDING IN THE 1992/93 BUDGET FOR THE POSITION OF ZONING INSPECTOR. Submitted by the Planning and Zoning Committee, 9/5/91.

<u>MR. WHITE</u> stated that Mr. Smith told the Committee that for the size of the City, it was virtually impossible for one person to be responsible for zoning enforcement issues as there is an average of 110 zoning permits per month. Mr. White said that a zoning permit must be issued before a building permit can be requested, and that the Zoning Officer receives approximately 165 questions on alleged zoning violations per month.

Mr. White said that it was felt that with the addition of a zoning inspector, the City would be able to improve its zoning enforcement. Mr. White said the expected cost of the position would be approximately \$35,000 to \$40,000. Mr. White said the Committee by a vote of six in favor with none opposed approved a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution supporting the position in the 1992/93 budget. Mr. White Moved for approval of the resolution. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the resolution. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

## PUBLIC WORKS & SEWER COMMITTEE - Peter Nanos, Chairman

<u>MR.NANOS</u> said the Public Works and Sewer Committee met on Wednesday, September 25, 1991.

 MATTER OF LEAF STORAGE IN THE CITY. Submitted by Rep. Peter Nanos, D-8, 7/17/91. Held in Committee 8/5/91. Held in Steering 8/14/91.

<u>MR. NANOS</u> said that the leaf storage locations were on Magee Avenue and on the Finch Property. He said that the leaves will be removed from both locations and the properties will be cleaned up.

## PUBLIC WORKS & SEWER COMMITTEE: (continued)

 FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE REQUIRING EMISSIONS TESTING OF STAMFORD'S INCINERATOR. Submitted by Rep. James Rubino, R-7, 11/28/90. Held on Pending Agenda 12/12/90 and 5/15/91. Held in Committee 2/4, 3/4, 4/1, 5/6 and 8/5/91. Held in Steering 8/14/91.

Above also referred to ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE.

<u>MR. NANOS</u> said that the State has taken over on the matter and no action can be taken at this time.

3. IMPLEMENTATION OF A NEW POLICY ON RESIDENTIAL DISPOSAL OF SOLID WASTE; I.E., YARD DEBRIS, BULKY WASTE AT THE INCINERATOR REGARDLESS OF RESIDENT'S VEHICLE. Submitted by Rep. Joseph Lovallo, R-15, 4/9/91. Held in Committee 5/6, 6/3, 8/5 and 9/4/91. Held on Pending Agenda 6/13/91.

### HELD IN COMMITTEE

4. MATTER OF PROBLEM REGARDING THE SEWER PROJECT ON PEAK STREET. Submitted by Reps. Sandra Goldstein, D-16 and Michael Larobina, D-16, 9/12/91.

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

 MATTER OF FLOODING ON HALLOWEEN BOULEVARD. Submitted by Rep. Bobby Owens, D-3, 11/28/90. Held in Steering 12/12/90. Held on Pending Agenda 1/16 and 6/12/91. Held in Committee 6/3/91.

<u>MR. NANOS</u> said that representatives from the City's Engineering Department will meet with the residents to try to correct the problem.

# HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Ruth Powers, Chairwoman

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the Health and Protection Committee met on Wednesday, September 25, 1991. Present were Committee members Fred Johnson, Judith Evanko, James Rubino, Joseph Lovallo and Ruth Powers; also Reps. Audrey Maihock, David Blum, Michael Larobina and John Zimmerman. Ms. Powers said a complete Committee report was sent to all members and will be attached to these Minutes.

 SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION CONCERNING INOPERABLE TRAFFIC SIGNALS. Submitted by Reps. Ruth Powers, James Rubino, Ellen Mellis, Ellen Bromley, Fred Johnson, Judith Evanko, Joseph Lovallo, Audrey Maihock, Stanley Esposito and John Zelinsky, 5/30/91. Held in Committee 7/8, 8/5 and 9/4/91.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the Committee drafted a resolution asking that funding be restored to the Traffic and Parking Department for standby time. Ms. Powers Moved for approval of the resolution. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the resolution. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

#### 25. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING - MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991 25.

## HEALTH & PROTECTION COMMITTEE: (continued)

2. FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING LOITERING. Submitted by Reps. James Rubino, R-7, and Michael Fedele, R-13, 6/10/91. Held in Committee 7/8, 8/5 and 9/4/91.

## HELD IN COMMITTEE

 ENFORCEMENT OF LAWS CONCERNING MOTORCYCLES. Submitted by Rep. W. Dennis White, D-1, 4/17/91. Held on Pending Agenda 4/17/91. Held in Committee 8/5 and 9/4/91.

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said that Deputy Chief Walter Young indicated that as a result of the Committee's request, enforcement of all traffic laws, including motorcycles, has been a priority.

4. MATTER OF EXPANDING RECYCLING. Submitted by Rep. James Rubino, R-7, 4/9/91. Held in Committee 6/3 and 7/8/91. Held on Pending Agenda 7/17/91.

See Health and Protection Committee report attached to these Minutes.

PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE - Thomas Pia & Robert DeLuca, Co-Chairpersons

No report.

## EDUCATION, WELFARE & GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE - John R. Zelinsky, Chairman

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said the Education, Welfare and Government Committee met on Wednesday, October 2, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. Present were Chairman John Zelinsky, Vice Chair Audrey Maihock, Reps. Ellen Mellis, Judith Evanko, Carmen Domonkos; excused were Reps. Elaine Mitchell and Gloria DePina; also present was Rep. Thomas Pia and Allan Brown, Risk Manager.

 RECORD OF ALL AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENTS OF CITY-OWNED VEHICLES AND REPAIR AND CONDITION OF SAID VEHICLES. Requested by Rep. Thomas Pia, R-7, 7/31/91. Report made and Held in Committee 9/4/91.

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said that Mr. Brown attended the meeting and gave the Committee additional information stating that there are 508 city vehicles and gave a breakdown of the accidents. Mr. Zelinsky said copies will be made and distributed to all Board members. Mr. Zelinsky said that Mr. Brown will have additional information for next month's meeting. 26. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING - MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991 26.

HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Frank Mollo, Chairman

Ms. Mitchell reported for Mr. Mollo.

<u>MS. MITCHELL</u> said the Committee met on Monday, September 23, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. Present were Reps. Elaine Mitchell, Nicholas Pavia, Annie Summeville and Clemmons. The Committee voted four in favor with no dissenting votes or abstentions to approve republication of the proposed ordinance.

 FOR REPUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 648 SUPPLEMENTAL CONCERNING BUDGETARY PROCEDURES FOR THE STAMFORD COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. Submitted by Frank A. Mollo, Chairman, Housing and Community Development Committee, 5/15/91. Held in Committee 6/3, 7/8 and 9/4/91. Approved for publication 8/5/91.

MS. MITCHELL Moved for approval to republish the proposed ordinance. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve republication of the proposed ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 648 Concerning Budgetary Procedures for the Community Development Program. APPROVED by voice vote with Mrs. Maihock abstaining due to a conflict of interest.

URBAN RENEWAL COMMITTEE - Annie M. Summerville, Chairwoman - No report.

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE - Stanley Esposito, Chairman

<u>MR. ESPOSITO</u> said the Environmental Protection Committee met on Tuesday, October 1, 1991, at 7:00 p.m. Present were Chairman Stanley Esposito, Committee members John Zimmerman, Audrey Maihock, Philip Stork and W. Dennis White; also present were David Emerson, Director of Environmental Protection; Mandi Kelmin; and Bill Shepherd of WSTC. A complete Committee report was sent to all members and is attached to these Minutes. Note: It was later learned that a reconvened meeting was held on Monday, October 7, 1991.

1. FOR PUBLICATION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING THE DESIGNATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD AS THE CITY'S AQUIFER PROTECTION AGENCY. Submitted by Mayor Thom Serrani, 9/6/91.

<u>MR. ESPOSITO</u> said the proposed ordinance extends the responsibility of the Environmental Protection Board. Mr. Esposito stated that one member of the Committee felt that there was no need to establish the Aquifer Protection Agency at this time. Mr. Esposito said that Mr. Emerson believed that authorization of the local Aquifer Protection Agency is in accordance with the intent of state ordinance and Mr. Emerson felt that it would make good sense to have the Environmental Protection Board assume the Aquifer Protection Agency function and begin the process sooner rather than later as it was in the best interest of the community; it also makes a statement with regards to Stamford's interest in the environment.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE: (continued)

<u>MR. ESPOSITO</u> said the Committee voted two in favor and three against publication. Mr. Esposito Moved for approval. Seconded.

MR. ZELINSKY asked for clarification as he also had a copy of a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution regarding the same matter.

MR. ESPOSITO said the vote was two in favor and three against. He said the Committee then considered a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution in place of publication of the ordinance should the ordinance not be approved this evening.

MR. ZIMMERMAN called for a Point of Order. He asked if the Committee has voted in favor of the Sense-of-the-Board Resolution to replace the publication of an ordinance, is publication of the ordinance in order?

MR. ESPOSITO stated that he did not know the parliamentary procedure and suggested that the ordinance be considered as members of the Committee may wish to change their votes.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said that she did not think that that would matter in relation to the issue.

MR. ESPOSITO again stated that the Committee voted two in favor and three against publication of the ordinance. He said that subsequent to that, the Committee reconvened to consider a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution which was approved by a vote of four (or five) in favor and none opposed.

PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN said that the resolution and reconvening were not mentioned in the Committee report.

MR. ESPOSITO said the Committee report was sent out and after that, a Committee notice was sent out to reconvene this evening at 6:45 p.m. to consider the resolution in place of the ordinance.

<u>MRS. MAIHOCK</u> said that she was reconsidering her opinion. She said that rather than the resolution, after evaluating the matter, the Board should go ahead to enact the ordinance. Mrs. Maihock stated that to put the item before a new Board with many other items to consider, would not be fair. She said that it was agreed in both the resolution and ordinance that the Environmental Protection Board of the City of Stamford serve as the City's Aquifer Protection Agency. Mrs. Maihock said that there was no harm approving the proposed ordinance even if it is a little ahead of time. She said the matter must be done in a certain time frame and this would be the most expeditious way to complete the matter.

MR. ZIMMERMAN said that he has had extensive conversations with people in the Department of Environmental Protection. He stated he wrote the resolution and in the resolution, his reasons for opposing publication of the ordinance were that draft regulations have not yet been written by DEP. Mr. Zimmerman said the draft regulations could have an affect on how the City wants to constitute the Agency.

Mr. Zimmerman stated that in his reading of the proposed ordinance, it creates a commission that has the same members as the Environmental Protection Board and that the statutes says that it has to be the same Board. Mr. Zimmerman said that this was a minor difference but a difference nonetheless.

27.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE: (continued)

<u>MR. ZIMMERMAN</u> said that other towns have enacted ordinances enabling an existing Board to act as the Aquifer Protection Agency by citing the statute and saying that this Board has the power now. Mr. Zimmerman said that it seems too early to adopt an ordinance that has such a wide ranging potential impact on the drinking water supply without knowing what the Department of Environmental Protection is going to draft as their regulations.

<u>MR. ESPOSITO</u> reiterated Mr. Emerson's belief that the Environmental Protection Board assume the Aquifer Protection Agency function and that the process begin sooner rather than later as it would be in the best interest of the community.

Mr. Esposito said the proposed ordinance is before the Board for publication and not for final adoption and suggested that it be considered for publication. Mr. Esposito Moved for publication. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> proceeded to a vote for publication of the proposed ordinance Designating the Environmental Protection Board as the City's Aquifer Protection Agency. APPROVED by a vote of 30 yes and four no votes.

TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE - Judith Evanko and Ellen Bromley, Co-Chairpersons

Mr. Zelinsky reported for Ms. Evanko.

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said the Transportation Committee met on Thursday, October 3, 1991. Present were Chairwoman Judith Evanko, Reps. Audrey Maihock, W. Dennis White and John Zelinsky; Director of Traffic and Parking Vincent Akhimie and from Parc-Ads, Inc. Mr. Tony Lockwood, Mr. Glen Houser and Mr. William Thurber.

 MATTER OF PURSUING ADDITIONAL REVENUE GENERATING MEASURES VIS-A-VIS PARC-ADS, INC. Submitted by Rep. Sandra Goldstein per correspondence from Vincent Akhimie, Director of Traffic & Parking, 5/16/91. Held in Committee 6/3, 8/5 and 9/4/91. Held on Pending Agenda 6/12/91.

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said that the City would receive 20% of the income from the ads and there is no liability to the city. Mr. Zelinsky said that Mr. Akhimie told the Committee that he will request Corporation Council to draft a contract and Corporation Counsel will be present at the next meeting.

 REVIEW TRAFFIC PATTERNS AND CONTROLS ON ALL ROADS AT THE BULLS HEAD INTERSECTION. Submitted by Reps. David I. Blum, D-12 and John R. Zelinsky, D-11, 8/7/91. Held in Committee 9/4/91.

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said that Mr. Akhimie stated that a possible solution would be to have High Ridge Road changed to a "No turn on red" to see if that helps the situation. (Mr. Zelinsky thought that should be Long Ridge Road) Mr. Zelinsky said that if that does not help, changing the timing on the traffic lights will be considered. He said that changing the timing is complicated as there are five or six highways coming together and to change one light affects the others.

#### TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE: (continued)

<u>MR. ZELINSKY</u> said that, speaking as a Representative, he sent the Board members a copy of a letter that he sent to Mr. Akhimie on September 5, 1990, and was distressed as it has been over a year and the problem has not been addressed or resolved nor has he ever heard from Mr. Akhimie as to whether or not he ever received the letter. Mr. Zelinsky stated that in his letter to Mr. Akhimie, he stated that there is a dangerous situation at this location. Mr. Zelinsky said that he appreciated the "No turn on red" but that would not correct the problem. He said that the lights must be red on High Ridge and Long Ridge Roads at the same time; he hoped that the item would be back on next month's Agenda.

#### SPECIAL COMMITTEES

HOUSE COMMITTEE - Gerald Rybnick, Chairman - No report.

COLISEUM AUTHORITY LIAISON COMMITTEE - David I. Blum, Chairman

MR. BLUM said that a complete report will be forthcoming.

 STATUS REPORT ON THE OLD TOWN HALL. Requested by Rep. David I. Blum, D-12, 6/10/91. Held in Committee 7/8/, report made and Held 9/4/91. Held in Steering 7/17/91.

HELD IN COMMITTEE

 REVIEW AUDIT REPORT ON COLISEUM AUTHORITY AND STAMFORD CENTER FOR THE ARTS. Requested by Rep. David I. Blum, D-12, 7/17/91. Held in Steering 7/17/91. Held in Committee 9/4/91.

HELD IN COMMITTEE

LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE - John J. Hogan, Chairman

Mr. Boccuzzi reported for Mr. Hogan.

<u>MR. BOCCUZZI</u> said that Mr. Hogan was in the hospital and could not attend this evening. Mr. Boccuzzi said that he was not prepared to give a complete report; a report will be forthcoming.

 GUIDELINES FOR UNION CONTRACTS - 1) requirements for review of contracts by the Board of Representatives and 2) suggestions for content of contracts. Submitted by Rep. Ruth Powers, D-8, 10/11/90. Held in Steering 10/17, 11/14, 12/2/90 and 1/16/91. Held in Committee 3/4, 8/5 and 9/4/91. Held on Pending Agenda 3/13/91.

## HELD IN COMMITTEE

## LABOR RELATIONS COMMITTEE: (continued)

2. REVIEW COSTS OF HEALTH INSURANCE PAID BY THE CITY FOR EMPLOYEES. Requested by Rep. David I. Blum, 1/10/91. Held in Committee 2/4, 3/4, 8/5 and 9/4/91. Held on Pending Agenda 3/13/91.

## HELD IN COMMITTEE

 REVIEW OF LABOR NEGOTIATING PROCESS. Submitted by Rep. David I. Blum, D-12, 1/25/91. Held on Pending Agenda 3/13/91. Held in Committee 8/5 and 9/4/91.

#### HELD IN COMMITTEE

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE - Ruth Powers & James Rubino, Co-Chairpersons

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said the Economic Development Committee met on Wednesday, September 25, 1991, at 6:45 p.m. Present were Committee members James Rubino, Ruth Powers, Joseph Lovallo and Michael Larobina.

 REPORT ON SOUTHWESTERN REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY (SWRPA). Submitted by James Rubino and Ruth Powers, Co-Chairpersons, Economic Development Committee, 7/3/91. Report made and Held in Committee 8/5/91. Held on Pending Agenda 8/14/91.

HELD IN COMMITTEE

<u>MS. POWERS</u> said that the Committee is working on a Sense-of-the-Board Resolution.

DOWNTOWN REVIEW COMMITTEE - Annie Summerville & Robert DeLuca, Co-Chairpersons

No report.

AUDIT OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE - John Boccuzzi & Robert DeLuca, Members

No report.

## RESOLUTIONS

<u>MR. DeLUCA</u> Moved to Suspend the Rules to take up a resolution regarding the Veterans Day parade. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to Suspend the Rules. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

## 31. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING - MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991 31.

## RESOLUTIONS: (continued)

1. SENSE-OF-THE-BOARD RESOLUTION REGARDING STAMFORD'S VETERANS DAY PARADE. Submitted by Rep. Robert DeLuca, R-14, 10/7/91.

Mr. DeLuca said that the resolution was in regards to the Patriotic and Special Events Commission appearing before the Coliseum Authority to ask for funds for the Veterans Day Parade. Mr. DeLuca said that the request was made by Pat Battinelli, Chairman of the Patriotic and Special Events Commission.

Mr. DeLuca Moved for approval. Seconded.

<u>PRESIDENT GOLDSTEIN</u> called for a vote to approve the resolution. APPROVED by voice vote with no dissenting votes.

#### MINUTES

1. JULY 8, 1991 REGULAR BOARD MEETING - Approved.

2. AUGUST 5, 1991 REGULAR BOARD MEETING - Approved.

3. SEPTEMBER 4, 1991 REGULAR BOARD MEETING - Approved.

COMMUNICATIONS - None.

OLD BUSINESS - None.

NEW BUSINESS - None.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u> - Upon a motion duly made and Seconded and approved by a voice vote, the meeting was adjourned at 12:25 a.m.

tune le

Anne A. Kachaluba, Administrative Assistant and Recording Secretary 21st Board of Representatives

APPROVED

Sandra Goldstein, President 21st Board of Representatives SG:ak Enclosures 32. MINUTES OF REGULAR BOARD MEETING - MONDAY, OCTOBER 7, 1991 32.

## STAMVOTE - ATTENDANCE

NO		NAME	NO			NAME
		EVANKO, JUDITH				DE PINA, GLORIA
2	PRS	NAKIAN, MARIA		22	PRS	DOMONKOS, CARMEN
3	PRS	PIA, THOMAS		23	PRS	CLEMMONS, GARY
4	PRS	OWENS, BOBBY		24	PRS	NANOS, PETER
5	PRS	MC GRATH, PATRICIA				PERILLO, MILDRED
		LYONS, RICHARD				PAVIA, NICHOLAS
		ZIMMERMAN, JOHN				MITCHELL, ELAINE
		RYBNICK, GERALD				CARDILLO, DOMINICK
		MOLLO, FRANK				MORRIS, SCOTT
		LOVALLO, JOSEPH				HOGAN, JOHN J.
		MAIHOCK, AUDREY				ZELINSKY, JOHN
		MARTIN, DAVID				BROMLEY, ELLEN
13	PRS	DE LUCA, ROBERT		33	PRS	LAROBINA, MICHAEL
14	PRS	ESPOSITO, STANLEY		34	PRS	POWERS, RUTH
15	PRS	STORK, PHILIP				BLUM, DAVID
		FEDELE, MICHAEL				JACHIMCZYK, DAVID
		RUBINO, JAMES				BARRELLA, GUY
		MELLIS, ELLEN				JOHNSON, FRED
		WHITE, W. DENNIS				BOCCUZZI, JOHN
20	PRS	SUMMERVILLE, ANNIE		40	PRS	GOLDSTEIN, SANDRA
		NOT PRESENT 5			1	PRESENT 35

STAMVOTE - VOTE PROCESSING

TEST VOTE YES

NO NAME 1 N/P EVANKO, JUDITH 2 YES NAKIAN, MARIA 3 YES PIA, THOMAS 4 YES OWENS, BOBBY 5 YES MC GRATH, PATRICIA 6 N/P LYONS, RICHARD 7 YES ZIMMERMAN, JOHN 8 YES RYBNICK, GERALD 9 YES MOLLO, FRANK 10 YES LOVALLO, JOSEPH 11 YES MAIHOCK, AUDREY 12 YES MARTIN, DAVID 13 YES DE LUCA, ROBERT 14 YES ESPOSITO, STANLEY 15 YES STORK, PHILIP 16 YES FEDELE, MICHAEL 17 YES RUBINO, JAMES 18 YES MELLIS, ELLEN 19 YES WHITE, W. DENNIS 20 YES SUMMERVILLE, ANNIE N/P 5 N/V 0 10-07-1991

21:30:02

0

	-		
1	NO	NAME ·	
	21 YE	S DE PINA, GLORIA	
		S DOMONKOS, CARMEN	
		S CLEMMONS, GARY	
		S NANOS, PETER	
		S PERILLO, MILDRED	
		S PAVIA, NICHOLAS	
		S MITCHELL, ELAINE	
	28 YE	S CARDILLO, DOMINICK	
		S MORRIS, SCOTT	
	30 N/	P HOGAN, JOHN J.	
	31 YE	S ZELINSKY, JOHN	
	32 YE	S BROMLEY, ELLEN	
	33 YE	S LAROBINA, MICHAEL	
	34 YE	S POWERS, RUTH	
	35 YE	S BLUM, DAVID	
	36 N/	'P JACHIMCZYK, DAVID	
	37 N/	P BARRELLA, GUY	
	38 YE	S JOHNSON, FRED	
	39 YE	S BOCCUZZI, JOHN	
	40 YE	S GOLDSTEIN, SANDRA	
YES 3	5	NO 0 ABS	5

10-07-1991

21:29:21